

# Radiation Induced Radioresistance – Role of DNA Repair and Mitochondria

Madhu Bala

*Radiation Biology Department, Institute of Nuclear Medicine and Allied Sciences  
Brig. S K Mazumdar Marg, Delhi,  
India*

## 1. Introduction

The bio-positive effects of exposure to small doses of environmental stressors such as radiation, chemicals and mutagens have been reported since long. A number of studies and reviews (Bala & Mathew, 2000; Luckey, 2008; Pandey et al., 2006; Sasaki et al., 2002) document that exposure to small doses of ionizing radiation enhanced the tolerance towards the detrimental effects of lethal doses of ionizing radiation given subsequently. Such phenomenon was observed in prokaryotes as well as in eukaryotes. Some of the laboratory studies with human lymphocytes are summarized in Table 1. The information on beneficial effects of low dose irradiation also poured in from the epidemiological studies (reviewed by Bala & Mathew, 2000; Dasu & Denekamp, 2000; Luckey, 2008). The populations exposed to high background radiation showed long term beneficial effects such as increased life span, enhanced immune system, decreased cancer mortality and cancer risk (Calabrese et al., 2001; Cohen, 1999; Nambi & Soman, 1987, UNSCEAR, 2000). Among the A-bomb survivors from Hiroshima and Nagasaki, those, who received doses lower than 200 mSv, showed no increase in cancer deaths. Further, the population which received doses below 100 mSv, showed decrease in the mortality caused by leukemia in comparison to the age-matched control cohorts (UNSCEAR, 1994).

Often in epidemiological studies the exposure to low levels of radiation was for longer duration, while in laboratory studies the exposure to low level radiation was for a shorter duration (sometimes even a pulse exposure). Nonetheless, beneficial effects were observed in short as well as in prolonged exposures. This strongly suggested that the low dose radiobiological studies could have bearing in diverse and important applications such as radiation protection, risk assessment and radiotherapy. It was, therefore, considered important to initiate investigations for understanding more about the mechanisms of radioprotective effects caused by pre-exposure to low doses of radiation. It was reported that the resistance to lethal doses of ionizing radiation could be induced not only by low doses of radiation but also by variety of agents other than radiation, *viz.* heat, pH, nutrients, UV rays, though, the genes and the molecular pathways affected in these cases differed with the inducing agent. (Bala & Goel 2007; Boreham & Mitchel, 1991; 1994; Boreham et al., 2000). Further, it was believed that the induced resistance to lethal doses of radiation by short term pre-exposure to low doses of radiation was transient in nature and the radiation doses

required to induce beneficial effects varied qualitatively as well as quantitatively from organism to organism. To understand the genetic basis of the phenomenon of low dose induced radioresistance in a comprehensive manner, we chose two different model systems i.e. the microbe *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and cultured human peripheral blood lymphocytes. The unicellular eukaryote *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* was used to carry out the basic studies and perform the genome wide search for identifying the affected genes. The cultured human peripheral blood lymphocytes were employed to study the role of select genes. In order to minimize the load of mutations, it was considered important to select the smallest possible doses of ionizing radiation for pre-exposure. The term radiation-induced radioresistance (RIR) was introduced (Bala & Goel, 2007) to explain the phenomenon of radioresistance to lethal doses of ionizing radiation, which was (i) specifically induced by a single pre-exposure to sub-lethal doses (causing not more than 10% death of population,  $\leq LD_{10}$ ) of ionizing radiation, and (ii) was transient in nature. The conventional term 'radio-adaptive response' was avoided because the term 'adaptation' in one of the several senses referred to the evolutionary transformations, where new stable behavioral patterns evolved due to prolonged exposure to the environmental stress. This review chapter presents some of our important findings.

References	Important findings
Olivieri <i>et al.</i> , 1984	First <i>in vitro</i> experiment with human lymphocytes, reduction in chromosomal aberration was greater at higher pre-irradiation dose.
Sanderson & Morley, 1986	Pre-exposure of human lymphocytes to [ <sup>3</sup> H] dThd reduced the number of mutations at <i>hprt</i> locus by 1.5 or 3.0 Gy of X-rays.
Shadley & Wolff, 1987	Only stimulated and not G <sub>0</sub> lymphocytes, pre-irradiation with low dose of X-rays showed survival benefit against high doses of X-rays.
Shadley & Wiencke, 1989	The beneficial effects of low dose depended upon total dose, dose rate of pre-irradiated dose but not on dose rate of challenge dose in human lymphocytes.
Boothman <i>et al.</i> , 1996	Elevated level of PCNA, cyclin D1, cyclin A in human cell line pre-irradiated with gamma-rays, may play a role in cell cycle regulation and DNA repair.
Carette <i>et al.</i> , 2002	Implication of PBP74 in low dose irradiated human tumour cell lines HT29 and MCF-7 with gamma-rays within 30 min after irradiation.
Seo <i>et al.</i> , 2006	Role of p27Cip/Kip in the induction of radio-adaptive response in gamma-irradiated RIF cells.

Table 1. Summary of some important studies performed to understand low dose response in human lymphocytes.

## 2. Materials and methods

The studies were performed sequentially with two different types of cells. Initial studies were executed with the unicellular eukaryotic microbe, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, where the focus was to identify the effects of inducing radiation doses and dose rates; the beneficial effects induced in terms of survival, mutagenesis and recombination; for conducting the genome wide search to identify the affected genes; and reconfirming the role of cell cycle, DNA repair and mitochondrial genes in inducing beneficial effects. The subsequent studies

were conducted with cultured human lymphocytes to investigate some of the key events that were observed in *S. cerevisiae*.

## 2.1 Studies with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*

The diploid strain D7 of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* with genotype  $a/\alpha$ : *trp5-12/trp5-27, ilv1-92/ilv1-92* (Zimmermann *et al.*, 1975) was used because it allowed quick detection of mutants, recombinants and survivors. The strain D7 of *S. cerevisiae* had functional defects in *TRP* gene (heteroallelic) and *ILV* gene (homoallelic) making it auxotrophic for tryptophan and isoleucine. Presence of two different inactive alleles within tryptophan locus (*trp5-12/trp5-27*) caused nutritional requirement for tryptophan which could be recovered by gene conversion to form fully active wild type gene, thereby alleviating the need for tryptophan requirement. The resultant colonies after gene conversion could be scored on synthetic medium lacking tryptophan. The presence of two defective copies of alleles at isoleucine locus (*ilv1-92/ilv1-92*) caused the nutritional requirement of isoleucine which could be corrected by reverse mutation. The resultant colonies could then be scored on the synthetic medium lacking isoleucine. The usefulness of this organism to understand radiation responses and their modification has been demonstrated (Bala & Jain, 1994, Bala & Jain, 1996; Bala & Goel 2004; Bala & Goel 2007).

Cultures of *S. cerevisiae* were grown on yeast extract peptone dextrose medium (YPD; 1% yeast extract powder, 2% peptone, 2% dextrose, HiMedia, India) at  $30 \pm 1$  °C. The cells were harvested, washed and suspended in phosphate buffer (PB, 67 mM, pH 6.0;  $4 \times 10^7$  cells/ml). The cell suspension was cooled to 4 °C, and irradiated with  $^{60}\text{Co}$ - gamma- radiation using Gamma Cell-220 (Atomic energy, Canada; dose rate 0.0078 Gy/s) or Gamma Cell-5000 (BRIT, India; dose rate 1.26 Gy/s). After low dose irradiation, cell suspension was maintained at  $30 \pm 1$  °C till the subsequent exposure to lethal doses of radiation ( $\text{LD}_{50}$ , 400 Gy). The survivors, gene convertants and revertants were estimated using defined synthetic complete (DSC), tryptophan omission (TRP-) and isoleucine omission (ILV-) medium, respectively as described (Bala & Goel, 2007). While survivors were expressed as a fraction of unirradiated controls, the gene convertants and revertants were expressed as fraction of CFUs on respective omission medium to the CFUs on DSC medium after the corresponding treatment. The RIR was calculated in terms of percent changes in survivors, convertants and revertants as below:

$$\% \text{ Change}_{(s,c,r)} = \frac{s / c / r \text{ pre - irradiated} - (s / c / r \text{ non pre - irradiated}) \times 100}{s / c / r \text{ from non - irradiated cells}}$$

Where, s=survivors; c=convertants/ $10^6$  survivors; r=revertants/ $10^6$  survivors (after 400 Gy)

RNeasy Mini Kit and OneStep rt-PCR Kit (Qiagen, Germany) was used to isolate total RNA and carry out rt-PCR respectively (Bala & Goel, 2007). Table 2 enlists the gene specific primers (synthesized from IDT, Coralville). Reverse transcription was at 50 °C for 30 min, followed by incubation at 95 °C for 15 min. The amplification was for 25 cycles. The denaturation was at 94 °C for 45 s; annealing at 60 °C for 45 s; extension at 72 °C for 60 s and the final extension was at 72 °C for 10 min. The PCR products were separated on 1% agarose gel, stained with ethidium bromide and quantified using Lab Works software, version 4.0

(UVP Inc., U.K.). Real-time one-step rt-PCR kit with SYBR green as flourophore (Qiagen, Germany) was used as per manufacturer's protocol to perform quantitative rt-PCR using iCycler (Bio-RAD, US, software version 2.1). The fold changes were determined by calculating the fold change in threshold cycle ( $\Delta Ct'$ ).

$$\text{Fold change} = 2^{-(Ct \text{ values of control} - Ct \text{ value of irradiated sample})}$$

Where Ct: threshold cycle

Gene	Forward primer	Reverse primer
<i>XRS2</i>	AGCAACAATACTGAGAAGG	TGAAATTGGAAATACTCGGA
<i>MRE11</i>	GTCACCTACCAAGTACTGA	CCATATCACCATATCCAGGAA
<i>RAD50</i>	GGCTTTCATCTCAGGA	ATTCTGGGIGAGGGGAA
<i>SSC1</i>	GTCCCACAAATCGAAGTCAC	GGCATTGTTGCCGTTGTTG
<i>OXI3</i>	GAAGTATCAGGAGGTGGTGAC	TCCCACCACGTAGTAAGTATCG
<i>OGG1</i>	CAGGATGAAAGTGAGCTATGT	CAGATCTATTTTGTCTTTG

Table 2. Primers for genetic studies with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*

For microarray studies, the labeled cDNA was synthesized from total RNA by using CyScribe™ First-Strand cDNA Labeling Kit (Amersham Biosciences). Either Cy3-dUTP or Cy5-dUTP was incorporated into the cDNA of samples under comparison. The cDNAs were dried in a vacuum trap. Pre-printed DNA microarrays with complete set of 6400 Open Reading Frames (ORFs) of *S. cerevisiae* genome (Microarray Centre of the University Health Network, Toronto, Canada), were used in this study. The slides were first hybridized in pre-hybridization solution (6x SSC, 0.5% SDS, 1% bovine serum albumin) for 1 h and then hybridized overnight with labeled probe at 42 °C in a water bath. Before using as a hybridization probe, the labeled cDNA was re-suspended in 40 µl of hybridization solution (50% Formamide, 6x SSC, 5x Denhardt's, 0.5% SDS, 20 µg of poly(A) and salmon sperm, Invitrogen). For each test, cDNAs from the un-irradiated control and from the stress dose irradiated samples were together hybridized on to one chip. Further, for each test, two different hybridizations were performed by swapping the fluorochromes to cross check the transcriptional changes, if any, due to experimental procedures. At least two DNA microarrays were analyzed for each test condition. The chips were scanned at a resolution of 10 µm and data was analyzed using GenePix Pro 4.0 analysis software (Axon Instruments, Union City, CA).

To study the DNA damage in individual chromosomes by pulsed field gel electrophoresis, the samples were prepared as described earlier (Bala & Jain 1996, Bala & Mathew, 2002). In brief, the cell suspension was washed with PB, centrifuged; pellet was treated with lyticase enzyme and then immobilized in low melting agarose plugs using the mould provided by BioRad USA. The plugs were first treated with LET buffer [0.5 M EDTA pH 8.0, 0.01 M Tris(hydroxymethyl)-aminomethane pH 7.0, 7.5% β-Mercaptoethanol] for 20 h at 37 °C. The LET buffer was removed, plugs were washed two times with NDS buffer [0.01 M Tris(hydroxymethyl)-aminomethane pH 7.0, 7.5% EDTA pH 8.0, 1% n-luaryl sarcosine]. The plugs were then treated with NDS buffer containing 2mg/ml Proteinase K for 20 h at 48 °C. Sufficient washings were given in EDTA (0.5 M, pH 8.0) thereafter. The plugs were stored at 4 °C before electrophoresis. The pulsed-field gel electrophoreses (PFGE) was for 20 h (60 sec

for first 13 h and 90 sec pulse for next 7h) at 200 V, using CHEF DRII (BioRad, USA), to resolve genomic DNA into a number of chromosomal bands.

## 2.2 Studies with cultured human Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells (PBMC)

Heparinized vacutainers (Griener, Astria) were used to draw 3-5 ml venous blood from healthy, non-smokers, non-alcoholic male donors (age 25-30 years). The blood was layered on the ficoll-histopaque column (Sigma Aldrich Chemicals, USA) and centrifuged at low speed at  $26 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ , the interface between plasma and histopaque comprising PBMCs was collected and washed three times with serum free RPMI-1640 (HiMedia, India). The washed cells were suspended @  $1 \times 10^6$  cells/ml in complete RPMI-1640 containing 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 units/ml penicillin sodium salt, 100  $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$  streptomycin sulphate, 2 mg/ml sodium bicarbonate. Phytohemagglutinin (PHA, Difco, Hamburg, Germany) was added to stimulate the cells proliferation. The cultures were setup in 96 well flat-bottomed micro titer plates (Tarson, India) at  $37^\circ\text{C}$ , 5%  $\text{CO}_2$ . Each well had 150  $\mu\text{l}$  volume containing  $1.5 \times 10^5$  cells. The 22-24 hour old cultured PBMCs were irradiated first with low dose of  $^{60}\text{Co}$ - $\gamma$ -radiation (0.07 Gy, using Gamma Cell GC 220, Canada dose rate 0.0078 Gy/s) and then after suitable time interval with lethal dose of  $^{60}\text{Co}$ - $\gamma$ -radiation (5.0 Gy, using Gamma Cell-5000, BRIT, India; dose rate 1.26 Gy/s).

The cell proliferation was quantified using Hoechst 33342. The cells were washed at least three times with saline in microtiter plate, freshly prepared Hoechst 33342 solution in serum free RPMI (10  $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$ ) medium was added and the suspension was incubated at  $37^\circ\text{C}$  for 30 min. (Blaheta et. al., 1991). Fluorescence was measured at  $\lambda_{\text{ex}}$  355 nm and  $\lambda_{\text{em}}$  460 nm in fluorescence spectrophotometer (Varion, Australia).

To score the micronuclei, cytochalasin B (Sigma Aldrich Chemicals, USA) was added at 44 hour after initiation of human PBMC culture and the cells were harvested at 72 hour.  $1 \times 10^6$  cells were washed, the cell pellet was suspended in 200  $\mu\text{l}$  carnoy solution (methanol: acetic acid, 3:1) and incubated at  $4^\circ\text{C}$  for 2 hour. This cell suspension was laid on the chilled slides, dried overnight at  $26 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$  and stained with hoechst 33342 (10  $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$ ) at  $26 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$  for 30 min. in dark. Micronuclei were counted at  $\lambda_{\text{ex}}$  355 nm and  $\lambda_{\text{em}}$  460nm as per criteria described (Fenech, 1993). At least 1000 cells per sample were scored at  $1000\times$  magnification under oil immersion.

## 2.3 Western blotting

Protein extraction and Western blotting was as per procedures standardized in our laboratory (Bala & Goel, 2007). Briefly,  $4 \times 10^7$  cells/ ml of *S. cerevisiae* were lysed and treated with 160 ml of 50% trichloroacetic acid (TCA), washed with 1.5 ml of chilled acetone, re-suspended in 100 ml of extraction buffer (4% SDS; 0.16 M Tris-Cl, pH 6.8; 20% Glycerol; 0.38 M b-mercaptoethanol) and heated for 4 min at  $95^\circ\text{C}$ . For extracting proteins from PBMCs, standard protocol was used. Briefly  $5 \times 10^6$  cells were suspended in PB containing protease inhibitors for 1.5 hours at  $4^\circ\text{C}$ . The cells were ruptured by sonication and soluble proteins were collected after centrifugation in cold. Total soluble proteins were quantified by using Bradford's reagent and resolved by one dimensional SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) using Mini-PROTEAN II (BIO-RAD, US). Gels were stained with Coomassie brilliant blue R-250. Electro-blotting was on nitrocellulose membrane

(Millipore) and treatment with primary and secondary antibody was as described earlier (Bala & Goel, 2007).

## 2.4 Statistical analysis

Each experiment based on CFUs assay, had three replicates and was repeated at least three times. The data was presented as the average of three experiments  $\pm$  S.D. For estimating differential gene expression, DNA damage and protein expression, the data was analyzed using paired t-test. For cell survival, mutagenesis and recombinogenesis the data was analyzed using two-way ANOVA.  $P \leq 0.05$  was considered significant.

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1 Studies with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*

#### 3.1.1 RIR inducing doses, survival and mutagenesis

Systematic study with cultures grown to different phases (mid-log phase, late log phase and stationary phase) showed that the stationary phase cultures did not show any RIR. Mid-log phase cultures showed 25% increase, while late log phase cultures showed only 12% increase in survivors in comparison to the non-pre-irradiated cultures. This comparison was made at pre-irradiation dose 20 Gy ( $LD_{10}$ ), challenge dose 400 Gy ( $LD_{50}$ ) and the time interval between stress dose irradiation and challenge dose irradiation 4.5 h (Sharma & Bala, 2002). This was in agreement with earlier reports (Cai & Liu, 1990), where mitogen stimulated human lymphocyte cultures showed far better radio-adaptive response than the resting cells. The RIR, since was maximum with mid-log phase cells, further studies were planned with the mid-log phase cells. Pre-treatment with three different doses of  $^{60}\text{Co}$ -gamma-ray viz. 4, 10 and 20 Gy ( $\leq LD_{10}$ ) showed that RIR increased with increase in the pre-irradiation dose. In comparison to non-pre-irradiated controls, the 4 Gy pre-irradiated samples showed maximum 13% increase in survivors, 10 Gy pre-irradiated samples showed maximum 27% increase in survivors and 20 Gy pre-irradiated samples showed maximum 32% increase in survivors after lethal irradiation (400 Gy). The time of maximal increase in survivors was delayed at higher stress doses and was approximately 10 h after irradiation at 20 Gy, 6 h after irradiation at 4 or 10 Gy (Figure 1). However, there was no linear correlation between the pre-irradiation  $^{60}\text{Co}$ -gamma-ray dose and increase in survival due to RIR. These studies suggested that priming of cells with small radiation doses may induce some signaling events which may lead to RIR. The pre-irradiation (stress) dose (20 Gy), thereafter, was delivered at two different dose rates i.e. 0.0078 Gy/s and 1.26 Gy/s to the mid-log phase cells. It was observed that in comparison to non-pre-irradiated cultures, the cultures pre-irradiated (20Gy) at lower dose rate (0.0078 Gy/s) and lethally irradiated with 400 Gy, showed a maximum of 32% increase in survivors while cultures pre-irradiated at higher dose rate (1.26 Gy/s) showed a maximum of 25% increase in survivors after lethal irradiation. Further, in comparison to non-pre-irradiated cultures, the pre-irradiated cultures showed decrease in gene convertants and revertants when irradiated with lethal dose (400 Gy, Dwivedi et al., 2001). The dose rate also impacted the mutations and gene conversion quantum and time kinetics. Pre-irradiation dose (20 Gy), delivered at lower dose rate decreased the gene conversions and mutations for a longer time period in comparison to the same dose delivered at higher dose rate (Figure 1).




Fig. 1. Effect of different pre-irradiation doses (4,10, 20 Gy) and of dose rates of stress dose (20 Gy) on RIR in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. dT (h): duration in hours between pre-irradiation and lethal irradiation (400 Gy). The values are average  $\pm$  S.D. of three experiments. (From Dwivedi et al., 2008).

There are reports to show that there is difference in the nature and quantum of DNA damage by stress doses delivered at different dose rates (Chaubey et al., 2006). The higher RIR (survival) by stress doses delivered at lower dose rate as compared to the same stress dose delivered at higher dose rate suggested that nature of damage generated by stress dose, is an important determinant of induction of protective mechanisms.

### 3.1.2 Alteration in gene expression after irradiation with low dose of $^{60}\text{Co}$ -gamma-radiation - whole genome analysis

As many as 110 open reading frames (ORFs) displayed more than 2 fold increase in transcription at 4.5 h after the low dose irradiation (20 Gy) and some of the annotated once are listed in Table 3.

The functional groups of the up-regulated genes were DNA damage, repair, synthesis, energy generation, metabolism and stress response. Besides this, many transcripts with

unknown function (not listed in Table 3), were also up-regulated. Some genes such as IRE1, HSP12 were down-regulated 4.5 h after irradiation (20 Gy) (Table 4). Sahara et al., 2002 reported that Hsp12p might play a role in protein binding in yeast. The Ire1p and Hac1p participate in the "unfolded protein response" (UPR) pathway. It is predicted that in our study the UPR pathway was down regulated. Other genes that were down regulated were DDR48, MSN2. Further studies are planned to understand the role of these genes and the pathways in which they participate to induced RIR.

ORF	Gene	Function	ORF	Gene	Function
<b>Stress response</b>			<b>DNA damage, repair, synthesis</b>		
YOL053C YDL229W YHR103W	HSP78 DDR2 SSB1 SSA4	Heat shock protein DNA damage stress response cytosolic HSP70 cytosolic HSP70	YER164W YML061C YER095W YER018C YDR201W YNL222W YNL206C	CHD1 PIF1 RAD51 SPC25 SPC19 SSU72 unknown	chromodomain-helicase-DNA-binding (CHD) family DNA helicase Recombinase spindle pole body component spindle pole body component nuclear protein similar to SSRP proteins (DNA structure-specific) required for inter-strand crosslink repair
<b>Signal Transduction</b>			YMR137C	SNM1 /PSO2	DNA binding protein MutS homolog, mismatch repair
YKL161C YKL168C YOL016C YHR030C	MLP1 KKQ8 CMK2 SLT7	Serine-threonine kinase Serine threonine protein kinase Ca/calmodulin dependent kinase MAP kinase pathway	YNL250W YOL090W YCR014C YER088C	RAD50 MSH2 POL4 DOT6	DNA polymerase IV Nuclear protein with Myb DNA-binding domain
<b>Energy and Metabolism</b>			YBL019W	APN2	exonuclease III homolog (AP endonuclease)
YDL022W YJL155C YPL088W YOR374W YER062C YEL060C YIR038C YNR001C YLR044C YOL086C YIL053W YPL231W YGL187C YGR008C YDR074W YBR093C YJL116C YLR327C	GPD1 FBP26 ALD4 HOR2 PRB1 GTT1 CIT1 PDC1 ADH1 RHR2 FAS2 COX4 STF2 TPS2 PHO5 NCA3	Glycerol-3P-dehydrogenase Fructose/mannose metabolism Putative aryl dehydrogenase Mitochondrial Aldehyde dehydrogenase DL-glycerol-P-phosphate Protein degradation Glutathione transferase TCA cycle, citrate synthase Glycolysis, pyruvate decarboxylase Alcohol dehydrogenase Glycerol metabolism Fatty acid biosynthesis Cytochrome C Oxidase ATPase stabilizing factor Glucose, fructose metabolism Phosphate metabolism Mitochondrion biogenesis Organelle biogenesis	YCR088W YDR545W YJL065C YNL088W YJR021C YKL113C YGL163C YDR225W YGL037C	ABP1 YRF1 unknown TOP2 REC107 RAD27 RAD54 HTA1 PNC1	actin binding protein Y' helicase (subtelomerically-encoded) similar to DNA polymerase epsilon subunit c DNA topoisomerase II ds break formation complex subunit ssDNA endonuclease DNA-dependent ATPase Transcription; Chromatin binding Cell aging
<b>Transcription and Translation</b>			<b>Cell cycle regulatory</b>		
YKR062W YNL301C YNL178W YFR031CA YDL083C YGL031C YOR204W YDR088C YLR325C YDR280W	TFA2 RP28B RPS3 RPL5B RPS16B RPL30A DED1 SLU7 RPL38 RRP45	RNA pol II transcription factor Constituent of ribosome Constituent of ribosome Component of ribosome Constituent of ribosome Component of ribosome mRNA processing helicase mRNA processing ribosomal protein L38 3'->5' exonuclease	YER059W YLL065W YDR285W YAL063C YBR211C YNL289W YPR120C YDR217C YFL029C	PCL6 GIN11 ZIP1 FLO9 AME1 PCL1 CLB5 RAD9 CAK1	cyclin (Pho85p) growth inhibitor synaptonemal complex protein <i>cell wall protein</i> microtubule associated G1/S cyclin G1/S cyclin Cell cycle, DNA damage Cdk-activating kinase

Table 3. Up regulated (> 2.0 folds) transcripts, 4.5 h after the irradiation (20 Gy). Categorization of ORFs into functional groups is based on SGD Library.



Gene	Function
<i>HSP26</i>	Heat shock protein
<i>GPD1</i>	Glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (NAD <sup>+</sup> ),cytoplasmic
<i>HSP12</i>	Heat Shock protein
<i>HSP30</i>	Heat Shock protein
<i>SSA1</i>	Cytosolic HSP70
<i>YGP1</i>	Secreted glycoproteins
<i>ECM32</i>	DNA dependent ATPase/DNA helicase B
<i>ATH1</i>	Acid trehalase, vacuolar
<i>ARN1</i>	Ferrichrome-type siderophore transporter
<i>DDR48</i>	Heat Shock Protein
<i>MSN2</i>	Stress-responsive regulatory protein

Table 4. Some important genes down regulated ( $\geq 1.5$  folds) after the 20 Gy irradiation

### 3.1.3 Confirmation of stress dose induced time dependent changes in selected transcripts as well as associated genes

#### 3.1.3.1 The MRX complex

Significant over expression of genes from the DNA damage, response, repair complex, prompted us to perform real time quantitative PCR for the MRX complex (*MRE11*, *RAD50* and *XRS2*) of which *RAD50* is an essential gene. The  $\beta$ -actin gene, though considered as a house keeping gene, showed differences in the stress dose irradiated cultures in comparison to the non-pre irradiated cultures, suggesting that  $\beta$ -actin gene could not be used as a house keeping gene. The experimental data was, therefore, compared with reference to the un-irradiated control at the corresponding time. The results obtained from the real time quantitative rt-PCR (Figure 2) confirmed the significant increase in *RAD50* transcripts at 4.5 h in stress dose irradiated cultures and supported the information obtained by microarray (Table 3).




Fig. 2. Effect of stress dose irradiation on relative time dependent changes in gene expression of MRE11, RAD50 and XRS2 as studied by Real Time- reverse transcription PCR; the value of untreated control was assigned as one. RFU: relative fluorescence units (from Dwivedi et al., 2008)

The *RAD50/MRE11* complex possesses single-strand endonuclease activity and ATP-dependent double-strand-specific exonuclease activity. Rad50 provides ATP-dependent control of mre11 by unwinding and/or repositioning DNA ends into the MRE11 active site. The rt-PCR studies showed that in non-irradiated controls, there was significant increase in *MRE11* transcript level from 0 h to 4.5 h. In comparison to the non-irradiated controls, the stress dose irradiated samples showed significantly higher level of *MRE11* transcripts at 3 h time interval after irradiation. Further, in comparison to non-irradiated controls, the stress dose irradiated samples showed significantly higher levels of *XRS2* up to 3 h and reduced levels at 4.5 h after irradiation. The Mre11 complex influences diverse functions in the DNA damage response. The complex comprises the globular DNA-binding domain and the Rad50 hook domain, which are linked by a long and extended Rad50 coiled-coil domain. Recently it is reported that functions of *MRE11* complex are integrated by the coiled coils of Rad50 (Hohl et al., 2011). *MRE11* is reportedly involved in DNA double-strand break repair and possesses single-strand endonuclease activity and double-strand-specific 3'-5' exonuclease activity. Its role in meiotic DSB processing is also reported (Smolka et al., 2007).

### 3.1.3.2 The heat shock proteins

The western blotting studies with members of *HSP70* family showed that in untreated controls, level of *Kar2 p* did not increase significantly from 0 h to 4.5 h. In comparison to non-irradiated controls, the stress dose irradiated samples showed significantly higher *Kar2 p* level at 3 and 4.5 h. The *Ssa1p* transcript level did not change in the untreated control from 0 h till 4.5 h. In stress dose irradiated samples, the *Ssa1p* level increased up to 3 h but decreased significantly at 4.5 h, in comparison to the non-irradiated control (Bala & Dwivedi 2005). By microarray technique also the *SSA1* level were found to be lower in the stress dose irradiated samples as compared to the untreated control at 4.5 h (Table 4). In stress dose irradiated cultures, the *Ssa2p* level was significantly higher than the non-irradiated control at 0h and 3 h (Figure 3).




Fig. 3. The effect of low dose irradiation (20 Gy) on expression of *Kar2p*, *Ssa1p* and *Ssa2p*. The top strip of membrane blot shows the protein expression in unirradiated controls.

### 3.1.3.3 The mitochondrial genes

*Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is an excellent eukaryotic model system to study DNA repair mechanisms because DNA repair pathways are highly conserved between human and yeast. Furthermore, yeast and human mitochondria resemble each other in structure and function. Mitochondria are the major sites of energy (ATP) production in the cell. Mitochondria also perform many other cellular functions, such as respiration and heme, lipid, amino acid and nucleotide biosynthesis. Mitochondria also maintain the intracellular homeostasis of inorganic ions and initiate programmed cell death. Mitochondria are the major source of endogenous reactive oxygen species (ROS) in cells as they contain the electron transport chain that reduces oxygen to water by addition of electrons during oxidative phosphorylation. The rt-PCR studies with the mitochondrial genes (*SSC1* gene coding for mtHsp70, *OXI3* gene coding for COX1 respiratory component of complex-IV and *OGG1* gene) showed that the expression of *OXI3* was more than unirradiated controls up to 6 h and that of *SSC1* only at 2 and 10 hours after irradiation (20 Gy, Figure 4a,b). The expression of *OGG1* was increased up to 2 hour only, after irradiation [(20 Gy), data not shown]. The mitochondrial genome of eukaryotic cells is extremely susceptible to damage due to constant exposure to significant amounts of reactive oxygen species (ROS) produced endogenously by mitochondria as a by-product of oxidative phosphorylation (Gupta et al., 2005). It is known that inactivation of *OGG1* in yeast leads to spontaneous mutations in the mitochondrial genome. Our analysis revealed that irradiation with low doses of gamma radiation enhanced the expression of expression of *OGG1* at 2 h only.




Fig. 4a. Expression of *OXI3* gene after low dose pre-irradiation at different time intervals in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (From Arya et al., 2006).




Fig. 4b. Expression of *SSC1* (mt-HSP70) gene after low dose pre-irradiation at different time intervals in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (From Arya et al., 2006)

Maintenance of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) is essential for ensuring respiratory competence. *MGM101* was identified as a gene essential for mtDNA maintenance in *S. cerevisiae*. The *MGM101*p binds the DNA. The *MGM101* function exclusively in the repair of DNA contained in the mitochondrial organelle, and is predicted to participate in base excision and/or nucleotide excision repair pathways. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* contain 3 different Hsp70s i.e. *SSC1*, *SSQ1*, and *SSC3*. Amongst these, *SSC1* is the most abundant constitutively expressed multifunctional Hsp70 and is essential for the viability of yeast cells. It plays a critical role in protein translocation across the mitochondrial inner membrane and folding of almost all pre-proteins targeted to the mitochondrial matrix compartment, thus maintaining protein homeostasis in mitochondria. For proper translocation function, *SSC1*p moves to the translocation *Tim23*-channel as a core component of "import motor complex" via the peripheral membrane protein, *Tim44*. Besides translocation function, *SSC1*p plays a crucial role in folding of proteins that are imported into the mitochondrial matrix. The mitochondrial genome of eukaryotic cells is extremely susceptible to damage due to constant exposure to significant amounts of ROS produced endogenously by mitochondria as a by-product of oxidative phosphorylation. It is known that inactivation of *OGG1* in yeast leads to spontaneous mutations in the mitochondrial genome. Our analysis revealed that irradiation with low doses of gamma radiation enhanced the expression of *OGG1* after 2 h. The inactivation of human *OGG1* is known to induce both the spontaneous and induced mutations in the mitochondrial genome.

### 3.1.4 Sequencing of mitochondrial genes

The amplified product of two of the mitochondrial genes *COX1* and *SSC1* were sequenced using commercial services. No significant change was observed in DNA sequence of both

these genes after low dose (20 Gy) irradiation (Figure 5a and 5b). This suggested that a RIR inducing doses were not inducing any mutations in the gene products.

NCBI Reference Sequence Untreated (0Gy) Treated (20Gy)	<b>1</b> GAA GTA TCA GGA GGT GGT GAC CCA ATC TTA TAC GAG CAT TTA TTT TGA TTC TTT GGT CAC 60 A CCA GTC TTA TAC GAG CAT TTA TTT TGA TTC TTT GGT CAC TC ATC TTA TAC GAG CAT TTA TTT TGA TTC TTT GGT CAC
NCBI Reference Sequence Untreated (0Gy) Treated (20Gy)	<b>61</b> CCT GAA GTA TAT ATT TTA ATT ATT CCT GGA TTT GGT ATT ATT TCA CAT GTA GTA TCA ACA 120 CCT GAA GTA TAT ATT TTA ATT ATT CCT GGA TTT GGT ATT ATT TCA CAT GTA GTA TCA ACA CCT GAA GTA TAT ATT TTA ATT ATT CCT GGA TTT GGT ATT ATT TCA CAT GTA GTA TCA ACA
NCBI Reference Sequence Untreated (0Gy) Treated (20Gy)	<b>121</b> TAT TCT AAA AAA CCT GTA TTT GGT GAA ATT TCA ATG GTA TAT GCT ATG GCT TCA ATT GGA 180 TAT TCT AAA AAA CCT GTA TTT GGT GAA ATT TCA ATG GTA TAT GCT ATG GCT TCA ATT GGA TAT TCT AAA AAA CCT GTA TTT GGT GAA ATT TCA ATG GTA TAT GCT ATG GCT TCA ATT GGA
NCBI Reference Sequence Untreated (0Gy) Treated (20Gy)	<b>181</b> TTA TTA GGA TTC TTA GTA TGA TCA CAT CAT ATG TAT ATT GTA GGA TTA GAT GCA GAT CTT 240 TTA TTA GGA TTC TTA GTA TGA TCA CAT CAT ATG TAT ATT GTA GGA TTA GAT GCA GAT CTT TTA TTA GGA TTC TTA GTA TGA TCA CAT CAT ATG TAT ATT GTA GGA TTA GAT GCA GAT CTT
NCBI Reference Sequence Untreated (0Gy) Treated (20Gy)	<b>241</b> AGA GCA TAT TTC CTA TCT GCA CTA ATG ATT ATT GCA ATT CCA ACA GGA ATT AAA ATT TTC 300 AGA GCA TAT TTC CTA TCT GCA CTA ATG ATT ATT GCA ATT CCA ACA GGA ATT AAA ATT TTC AGA GCA TAT TTC CTA TCT GCA CTA ATG ATT ATT GCA ATT CCA ACA GGA ATT AAA ATT TTC
NCBI Reference Sequence Untreated (0Gy) Treated (20Gy)	<b>301</b> TCA TGA TTA GCT CTA ATC CAT GGT GGT TCA ATT AGA TTA GCA CTA CCT ATG TTA TAT GCA 360 TCA TGA TTA GCT CTA ATC CAT GGT GGT TCA ATT AGA TTA GCA CTA CCT ATG TTA TAT GCA TCA TGA TTA GCT CTA ATC CAT GGT GGT TCA ATT AGA TTA GCA CTA CCT ATG TTA TAT GCA
NCBI Reference Sequence Untreated (0Gy) Treated (20Gy)	<b>361</b> ATT GCA TTC TTA TTC TTA TTC ACA ATG GGT GGT TTA ACT GGT GTT GCC TTA GCT AAC GGC 420 ATT GCA TTC TTA TTC TTA TTC ACA ATG GGT GGT TTA ACT GGT GTT GCC TTA GCT AAC GGC ATT GCA TTC TTA TTC TTA TTC ACA ATG GGT GGT TTA ACT GGT GTT GCC TTA GCT AAC GGC
NCBI Reference Sequence Untreated (0Gy) Treated (20Gy)	<b>421</b> TCA TTA GAT GTA GCA TTC CAC GAT ACT TAC TAC GTG GTG GGA 462 TCA TTA GAT GTA GCA TTC CAC GAT ACT TAC TAC GTG GTG GGA A TCA TTA GAT GTA GCA TTC CAC GAT ACT ATA
NCBI Reference Sequence Untreated (0Gy) Treated (20Gy)	<b>1</b> TAC GAT GTA GAT TAC TCC GCA ATC GAT TCC GTT GTG GTC AAT TTG GTG GGT AAC ACT TAT 60 TA GGT ACG ATT AGA TAC TCC GCA ATC GAT TCC GTT GTG GTC AAT TTG GTG GGT AAC ACT TAT AC GTA CGA TGT AGA TAC TCC GCA ATC GAT TCC GTT GTG GTC AAT TTG GTG GGT AAC ACT TAT
NCBI Reference Sequence Untreated (0Gy) Treated (20Gy)	<b>61</b> TCT TAT TCT TAC GTT AAC GTA TAT TGT ATC CAT CAC GAT TAG ATT AAC TTG GTG GTA CCT 120 TCT TAT TCT TAC GTT AAC GTA TAT TGT ATC CAT CAC GAT TAG ATT AAC TTG GTG GTA CCT TCT TAT TCT TAC GTT AAC GTA TAT TGT ATC CAT CAC GAT TAG ATT AAC TTG GTG GTA CCT
NCBI Reference Sequence Untreated (0Gy) Treated (20Gy)	<b>121</b> AAT CTC GAT TAG TAC TCT TTT AAA ATT AAG GAC AAC CTT AAC GTT ATT AGT AAT CAC GTC 180 AAT CTC GAT TAG TAC TCT TTT AAA ATT AAG GAC AAC CTT AAC GTT ATT AGT AAT CAC GTC AAT CTC GAT TAG TAC TCT TTT AAA ATT AAG GAC AAC CTT AAC GTT ATT AGT AAT CAC GTC
NCBI Reference Sequence Untreated (0Gy) Treated (20Gy)	<b>181</b> TAT CCT TTA TAC GAG ATT CTA GAC GTA GAT TAG GAT GTT ATA TGT ATA CTA CAC TAG TAT 240 TAT CCT TTA TAC GAG ATT CTA GAC GTA GAT TAG GAT GTT ATA TGT ATA CTA CAC TAG TAT TAT CCT TTA TAC GAG ATT CTA GAC GTA GAT TAG GAT GTT ATA TGT ATA CTA CAC TAG TAT
NCBI Reference Sequence Untreated (0Gy) Treated (20Gy)	<b>241</b> GAT TCT TAG GAT TAT TAG GTT AAC TTC GGT ATC GTA TAT GGT AAC TTT AAA GTG GTT TAT 300 GAT TCT TAG GAT TAT TAG GTT AAC TTC GGT ATC GTA TAT GGT AAC TTT AAA GTG GTT TAT GAT TCT TAG GAT TAT TAG GTT AAC TTC GGT ATC GTA TAT GGT AAC TTT AAA GTG GTT TAT
NCBI Reference Sequence Untreated (0Gy) Treated (20Gy)	<b>301</b> GTC CAA AAA ATC TTA TAC AAC TAT GAT GTA CAC TTT ATT ATG GTT TAG GTC CTT ATT AAT 360 GTC CAA AAA ATC TTA TAC AAC TAT GAT GTA CAC TTT ATT ATG GTT TAG GTC CTT ATT AAT GTC CAA AAA ATC TTA TAC AAC TAT GAT GTA CAC TTT ATT ATG GTT TAG GTC CTT ATT AAT
NCBI Reference Sequence Untreated (0Gy) Treated (20Gy)	<b>361</b> TTT ATA TAT GAA GTC CCA CTG GTT TCT TAG TTT TAT TTA CGA GCA TAT TCT AAC CCA GTG 420 TTT ATA TAT GAA GTC CCA CTG GTT TCT TAG TTT TAT TTA CGA GCA TAT TCT AAC CCA GTG TTT ATA TAT GAA GTC CCA CTG GTT TCT TAG TTT TAT TTA CGA GCA TAT TCT AAC CCA GTG
NCBI Reference Sequence Untreated (0Gy) Treated (20Gy)	<b>421</b> GTG GAG GAC TATGAA G 436 TGG GAG CCT CTG TAA GTT TGG GG

Fig. 5a. Sequencing of COX1 gene PCR product by forward primer (top chart) and reverse primer (bottom chart). Irradiation with low dose (20 Gy) did not induce changes in DNA sequence.

NCBI Reference Sequence Untreated (0Gy) Treated (20Gy)	1 GTT GTT GTT GTT AGA GTC GTT CTT GTA TAA TTG TTC AAA CAA TTT CAT CGA GGA AGT 60 AT GTT GTT GTT GTT CGA GTC GTT CTT GTA TAT TGT TCG AC TTT TCT CGA GGA AGT AT GTT GTT GTT GTT AGA GTC GTT CTT GTA TAT TGT TCA A CAA TTT CAT CGA GGA AGT
NCBI Reference Sequence Untreated (0Gy) Treated (20Gy)	61 TTG CAA TTC TTC GGT CTT GGT CTT TAA CTC CTC AGC GTT AAC CTC TTC GCC ACC TTG TAC 120 TTG CAC TTC TTC CGT CTT GGT CTT TT CTC CTC ATC GTT AAC CTC TTC GCC ACC TTG TAC TTG CAA TTC TTC GGT CTT GGT CTT TAA CTC CTC AGC GTT AAC CTC TTC GCC ACC TTG TAC
NCBI Reference Sequence Untreated (0Gy) Treated (20Gy)	121 TCT AGC AAC CAA CTC CTT CAA GGA AGT GAT TTG ATC CCT AAC CTT TTG GGC TTC AGC CTT 180 TCT AGC AAC CAA CTC CTT CAA GGA AGT GAT TTG ATC CCT AAC CTT TTG GGC TTC AGC CTT TCT AGC AAC CAA CTC CTT CAA GGA AGT GAT TTG ATC CCT AAC CTT TTG GGC TTC AGC CTT
NCBI Reference Sequence Untreated (0Gy) Treated (20Gy)	181 GTC AAC CTT ACC TTC AAA TTC TTT CAA GGA GTT TTC AGT ATC GTT GGC CAA TTG GTC AGC 240 GTC AAC CTT ACC TTC AAA TTC TTT CAA GGA GTT TTC AGT ATC GTT GGC CAA TTG GTC AGC GTC AAC CTT ACC TTC AAA TTC TTT CAA GGA GTT TTC AGT ATC GTT GGC CAA TTG GTC AGC
NCBI Reference Sequence Untreated (0Gy) Treated (20Gy)	241 CTT GTT GGC AGT TTC GAT GGC TTG TTT TCT AGC TTC ATC TTG AGA CTT GAA TTT TTC AGC 300 CTT GTT GGC AGT TTC GAT GGC TTG TTT TCT AGC TTC ATC TTG AGA CTT GAA TTT TTC AGC CTT GTT GGC AGT TTC GAT GGC TTG TTT TCT AGC TTC ATC TTG AGA CTT GAA TTT TTC AGC
NCBI Reference Sequence Untreated (0Gy) Treated (20Gy)	301 GTC GTT AAC CAT TTG TTC AAT TTC GTT TTC GGA CAA ACC AGA AGA ACC GGC AAC AGT AAT 360 GTC GTT AAC CAT TTG TTC AAT TTC GTT TTC GGA CAA ACC AGA AGA ACC GGC AAC AGT AAT GTC GTT AAC CAT TTG TTC AAT TTC GTT TTC GGA CAA ACC AGA AGA ACC GGC AAC AGT AAT
NCBI Reference Sequence Untreated (0Gy) Treated (20Gy)	361 AGA AGA ATC TTT GTT TGT AGC TTT GTC TCT AGC AGA AAC GTT AAT AAT ACC ATC GGC ATC 420 AGA AGA ATC TTT GTT TGT AGC TTT GTC TCT AGC AGA AAC GTT AAT AAT ACC ATC GGC ATC AGA AGA ATC TTT GTT TGT AGC TTT GTC TCT AGC AGA AAC GTT AAT AAT ACC ATC GGC ATC
NCBI Reference Sequence Untreated (0Gy) Treated (20Gy)	421 GAT GTC AAA AGT GAC TTC GATT TGTG GGAC 450 GAT GTC AAA AGT GAC TTCAGATT TTGAC GGACATAACGACAAT GAT GTC AAA AGA GAC ATCAATA TTGAGAGCACACAACGACAACGGCAACAATGGC
NCBI Reference Sequence Untreated (0Gy) Treated (20Gy)	1 GTC CCA CAA ATC GAA GTC ACT TTT GAC ATC GAT GCC GAT GGT ATT ATT AAC GTT TCT GCT 60 GTT TTG ATC GAT GCC GAT GGT ATT ATT AAC GTT TCT GCT ATT TTG ATC GAT GCC GAT GGT ATT ATT AAC GTT TCT GCT
NCBI Reference Sequence Untreated (0Gy) Treated (20Gy)	61 AGA GAC AAA GCT ACA AAC AAA GAT TCT TCT ATT ACT GTT GCC GGT TCT TCT GGT TTG TCC 120 AGA GAC AAA GCT ACA AAC AAA GAT TCT TCT ATT ACT GTT GCC GGT TCT TCT GGT TTG TCC AGA GAC AAA GCT ACA AAC AAA GAT TCT TCT ATT ACT GTT GCC GGT TCT TCT GGT TTG TCC
NCBI Reference Sequence Untreated (0Gy) Treated (20Gy)	121 GAA AAC GAA ATT GAA CAA ATG GTT AAC GAC GCT GAA AAA TTC AAG TCT CAA GAT GAA GCT 180 GAA AAC GAA ATT GAA CAA ATG GTT AAC GAC GCT GAA AAA TTC AAG TCT CAA GAT GAA GCT GAA AAC GAA ATT GAA CAA ATG GTT AAC GAC GCT GAA AAA TTC AAG TCT CAA GAT GAA GCT
NCBI Reference Sequence Untreated (0Gy) Treated (20Gy)	181 AGA AAA CAA GCC ATC GAA ACT GCC AAC AAG GCT GAC CAA TTG GCC AAC GAT ACT GAA AAC 240 AGA AAA CAA GCC ATC GAA ACT GCC AAC AAG GCT GAC CAA TTG GCC AAC GAT ACT GAA AAC AGA AAA CAA GCC ATC GAA ACT GCC AAC AAG GCT GAC CAA TTG GCC AAC GAT ACT GAA AAC
NCBI Reference Sequence Untreated (0Gy) Treated (20Gy)	241 TCC TTG AAA GAA TTT GAA GGT AAG GTT GAC AAG GCT GAA GCC CAA AAG GTT AGG GAT CAA 300 TCC TTG AAA GAA TTT GAA GGT AAG GTT GAC AAG GCT GAA GCC CAA AAG GTT AGG GAT CAA TCC TTG AAA GAA TTT GAA GGT AAG GTT GAC AAG GCT GAA GCC CAA AAG GTT AGG GAT CAA
NCBI Reference Sequence Untreated (0Gy) Treated (20Gy)	301 ATC ACT TCC TTG AAG GAG TTG GTT GCT AGA GTA CAA GGT GGC GAA GAG GTT AAC GCT GAG 360 ATC ACT TCC TTG AAG GAG TTG GTT GCT AGA GTA CAA GGT GGC GAA GAG GTT AAC GCT GAG ATC ACT TCC TTG AAG GAG TTG GTT GCT AGA GTA CAA GGT GGC GAA GAG GTT AAC GCT GAG
NCBI Reference Sequence Untreated (0Gy) Treated (20Gy)	361 GAG TTA AAG ACC AAG ACC GAA GAA TTG CAA ACT TCC TCG ATG AAA TTG TTT GAA CAA TTA 420 GAG TTA AAG ACC AAG ACC GAA GAA TTG CAA ACT TCC TCG ATG AAA TTG TTT GAA CAA TTA GAG TTA AAG ACC AAG ACC GAA GAA TTG CAA ACT TCC TCG ATG AAA TTG TTT GAA CAA TTA
NCBI Reference Sequence Untreated (0Gy) Treated (20Gy)	421 TAC AAG AAC GAC TCT AAC AAC AAC AAC AAC AAC AAC AAC AAC AAC AAC AAC AAC AAC AAC 436 TAC AAG AAC GAC TCT AAC AAC AAC AAC AAC AAC AAC AAC AAC AAC AAC AAC AAC AAC AAC TAC AAG AAC GAC TCT AAC AAC AAC AAC AAC AAC AAC AAC AAC AAC AAC AAC AAC AAC AAC

Fig. 5b. Sequencing of SSC1 (mt HSP70) gene PCR product by forward primer (top chart) and reverse primer (bottom chart). Irradiation with low dose (20 Gy) did not induce changes in DNA sequence.

### 3.1.5 Chromosomal DNA damage and repair in *S. cerevisiae* cells showing RIR

Study of chromosomal DNA damage was considered important because of its role in low dose induced responses (Bala & Dwivedi 2005; Collis et al., 2004). *S. cerevisiae* has small genome divided into sixteen chromosomes of sizes ranging from 240 to 2200 kb, which can be easily resolved into discrete bands by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE). In our study plan, PFGE could resolve the genomic DNA into several bands (Figure 6 a,b,

Lane 1). No significant change in the fluorescence intensity or mobility of bands could be recorded after low dose irradiation (20 Gy) (Lane 2). However, in comparison to untreated controls (lane1), in samples irradiated with 200 Gy, there was observable decrease in the fluorescence intensity of high molecular weight bands and increase in the smear intensity along the lanes (Figure 6, lane 3). This suggested that 20 Gy was too small a radiation dose to cause sufficiently large number of double strand breaks to be detected by PFGE. Although, presence of other types of DNA damage viz. base damage, DNA cross-links or single strand breaks as predicted by ionizing radiation at this dose could not be ruled out. The increase in the smear along the lanes in 200 Gy irradiated samples was due to settling down of broken DNA fragments along the lanes. This was similar to our earlier observations with X-irradiated (Bala & Jain, 1996) and  $^{60}\text{Co}$ - $\gamma$ -irradiated yeast cells (Bala & Mathew, 2002) and indicated that radiation dose 200 Gy could cause DNA double strand breaks immediately after irradiation. The samples pre-irradiated with 20 Gy, incubated in PBG for 2 h and then irradiated with 200 Gy showed greater DNA bands intensities in higher molecular weight region (Lane 4) as compared to non-pre-irradiated but 200 Gy irradiated samples (Lane 3). This suggested that cells which were pre-irradiated with 20 Gy and maintained in PBG for 2 h prior to lethal dose (200 Gy) irradiation, suffered considerably lower DNA damage as compared to the lethally-irradiated




Fig. 6. (Gel picture and corresponding densitometry): The pre-irradiation with low dose (20 Gy) reduces chromosomal DNA damage induced by lethal doses of  $^{60}\text{Co}$ - $\gamma$ -radiation (200 Gy) as studied by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis. Lane1: untreated control; Lane 2:  $^{60}\text{Co}$ - $\gamma$ -ray (20 Gy); Lane 3:  $^{60}\text{Co}$ - $\gamma$ -ray (200 Gy); Lane 4:  $^{60}\text{Co}$ - $\gamma$ -ray (20 Gy) + incubation in PBG for 2 h +  $^{60}\text{Co}$ - $\gamma$ -ray (200 Gy).

cells (lane 3). During analysis of pulsed-field gels throughout this study, the intensity changes in the individual bands in the lower molecular weight region were not given much importance because their intensities were influenced by the intensities of DNA fragments settling down as smears in the lower molecular weight regions and this has been shown to create errors in data analysis in our earlier studies (Bala & Jain 1996, Bala & Mathew 2002). Induction of gene transcription or protein expression has been reported after low dose irradiation (Franco et al., 2005). Our studies showed that low dose radiation enhanced DNA repair ability and produced protective proteins to minimize the indirect damaging effects of subsequent high dose radiation.

### 3.2 Studies with human PBMCs

The phenomenon of radioadaptive response has been reported in human lymphocytes in various studies (Table 1). The advantages of the lymphocytes as a model to understand the low dose ionizing radiation response is due to their radiosensitivity. More over, the lymphocytes are found in circulating peripheral blood and therefore, can be easily obtained from peripheral venous blood. These cells involved in cell mediated immunity as well as humoral immunity and cell proliferation and their radiosensitivity is similar to that of proliferating cells of the hematopoietic tissue.

#### 3.2.1 RIR in human PBMCs - cell proliferation, micronuclei formation

Hoechst binds with DNA and an increase in fluorescence is observed with the increase in cell number. This assay was used to determine the effects of low doses of  $^{60}\text{Co}$ -gamma-radiation on proliferation of PBMCs. The cells pre-irradiated with low dose of  $^{60}\text{Co}$ -gamma-rays (0.07 Gy) and 4-5 hours later irradiated with high dose  $^{60}\text{Co}$ -gamma-rays (5 Gy, LD 50) showed significantly higher cell proliferation (RIR), in comparison to non-pre-irradiated but lethally irradiated (5 Gy) cells. The RIR, however, was much less before 4h and after 5h of time interval between low dose and high dose exposure (Bala et al., 2002). The maximum increase was observed if  $^{60}\text{Co}$ -gamma-rays (5 Gy, LD 50) were given 5 h after the low dose (0.7 Gy) irradiation. In comparison to the non-pre-irradiated controls, the pre-irradiated cells showed decrease in micronuclei frequency and the decrease varied between the donors from 23.4% - 31.8% (Table 5, Bala et al., 2002).

Donors	Micronuclei per 1000 binucleated cells at doses (Gy)				% decrease
	0	0.07	5.0	0.07+5.0	
I	13±0.33	15±1.02	245±11.08	167±8.92*	31.8±2.29
II	18±0.89	16±1.26	166±9.67	89±6.65*	23.4±2.14
X	12±0.65	14±0.88	298±15.38	206±8.77*	30.8±2.47

Table 5. Micronuclei (MN) in human PBMCs stained with hoechst 33342 and total 1000 cells were scored per sample at 400 × magnification in fluorescence microscope. % decrease in  $\text{MN} = (\text{MN}_{\text{low dose+high dose}} - \text{MN}_{\text{low dose}}) \times 100 / \text{MN}_{\text{high dose}}$ ; \* indicates significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) change with respect to 5.0 Gy irradiated controls (from Bala et al., 2002).

#### 3.2.2 Effect of RIR on MRN complex proteins in human PBMCs

The *MRE11/RAD50/NBN (MRN)* complex in humans comprises genes, which are homologous/analogous the to *MRX* complex in *S. cerevisiae*. The *MRN* complex is



involved in double-strand break (DSB) repair, DNA recombination and cell cycle checkpoint control (Carson et al., 2003). The complex participates in single-strand endonuclease activity and double-strand-specific 3'-5' exonuclease activity. The protein expression of Mre11p in low dose irradiated cells was enhanced (about 1.5 times) as compared to non pre-irradiated cell after 5.0 hours of irradiation. This was similar to the enhanced the expression of *MRE11* in *S. cerevisiae* (Table 3, Figure 2). *NBS1* or *p95* is another component of the *MRN* complex, which has a role in the recruitment of the *MRN* complex to double strand break sites for DNA repair. *NBS1* plays a critical role in the cellular response to DNA damage and the maintenance of chromosome integrity. *NBS1* modulate the DNA damage signal sensing by recruiting PI3/P14-kinase family members ATM, ATR, and probably DNA-PKcs to the DNA damage sites and activating their functions (Frappart 2005; Stiff et al., 2005). It can also recruit *MRE11* and *RAD50* to the proximity of DSBs by an interaction with the histone H2AX. *NBS1* also functions in telomere length maintenance by generating the 3' overhang which serves as a primer for telomerase dependent telomere elongation. The Nbs1p levels in low dose irradiated cells were significantly reduced (nearly 2 times) as compared to non-pre-irradiated cell after 5.0 hours of irradiation. It is not clear why the protein levels were reduced. *NBS1*, since, is inducible gene, time dependent studies are now planned to understand the role of *NBS1* in RIR. After 5 hour of low dose exposure Rad50p level was similar as in unirradiated cells (Figure 7). *RAD50* is required to bind DNA ends and hold them in close proximity This could facilitate searches for short or long regions of sequence homology in the recombining DNA templates, and may also stimulate the activity of DNA ligases and/or restrict the nuclease activity of *MRE11A* to prevent nucleolytic degradation past a given point (Jager et al., 2001, Waltes et al., 2009). In our study, the levels of RAD50p did not alter 5 hour after low dose irradiation in comparison to the untreated controls.




Fig. 7. Change in expression of Mre11p, Nbs1p and Rad50p in human PBMCs 5 hour after irradiation with low dose (0.07 Gy) of  $^{60}\text{Co}$ - $\gamma$ -rays. Results were mean  $\pm$ SD.

#### 4. Summary and conclusion

Exposure to low dose radiation could be of significance in clinical evaluation of risk assessment, radiotherapy and radiation protection. Although a number of mechanisms such as enhanced DNA repair, alterations in stress proteins, immuno-modulation, and antioxidant defense system have been proposed to contribute to beneficial effects of low dose exposure, the understanding about the mechanisms inadequate. This is primarily because the reports are scattered, and among the available reports there is variability of dose response, the model systems as well as the experimental design followed in different laboratories. Our studies with a uniform experimental design on two different model systems viz. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and human PBMCs, has clearly demonstrated that irradiation with lower doses of ionizing radiation has a beneficial effect on the organism. Moreover, the effect of lower dose of radiation can not be predicted simply by extrapolating the effect of higher doses. The term 'Radiation induced radioresistance' or 'RIR' was suggested in our studies to refer a phenomenon where a single small dose radiation exposure could lead to better tolerance to the subsequently given lethal doses of radiation, and the effect was transient. Although, the RIR caused by low dose irradiation appears to be a complex interplay of many genes, this study shows that the genes of *MRX/MRN* complex, *HSP* family and also mitochondrial gene have a confirmed role in phenomenon leading to RIR. *KAR2* is an integral component of unfolded protein response (UPR) pathway. Up regulation of *KAR2* negatively regulated UPR pathway (Kimata et al., 2003), and, therefore may have caused accumulation of unfolded cytosolic proteins. If this is true then, after low dose irradiation, up regulation of *KAR2* helped the accumulation of proteins that might have unfolded due to radiation stress. The accumulation of unfolded proteins may have been responsible for increased levels of *SSA1 /SSA2 /SSA4* similar to that observed in *S. cerevisiae* cells after heat shock (Stone & Craig 1990). Also, it was observed the mitochondrial genes for maintaining the functional integrity of mitochondria; as well as to counter the reactive oxygen species that may have been produced because of oxidative stress produced after irradiation, were up-regulated. Further, the absence of mutation in representative sequences, decrease in revertants as well as tryptophan prototrophs, decrease in the micronuclei frequency together with enhanced levels of error-free DNA repair, strongly suggested that priming with low doses imparted transient radio-resistance to the cells culminating in the survival benefits via error-free mechanisms.

#### 5. Acknowledgements

The grant provided by DRDO vide project No RD-P1-2000/INM-289 and by DST for ILTP project A 9.5) for these studies is gratefully acknowledged. The efforts of research fellows (D. Singh, M. Dwivedi, A. Arya and S. Singh); trainees (Ashutosh, Divya, Sugandh); and technical assistants (C. Prakash and A. Sharma) in performing some of experimental studies, are also acknowledged.

#### 6. References


Arya, A.K., Garg, A.P. & Bala M. (2006). Upregulation of Cox1 and Shy1 genes following exposure to low dose ionizing radiation in *acharomyces cerevisiae*. *Indian Journal of Radiation Research*. Vol. 3, No. 4 (Nov). pp 273. ISSN 0973-0168.

- Bala, M., & Goel, H.C. (2007). Modification of low dose radioresistance by 2-deoxy-D-glucose in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: Mechanistic aspects. *J. Radiat. Res*, Vol. 48, No. 4, pp 335-346.
- Bala, M. & Dwivedi, M. (2005). Enhanced transcript of *Hsp70* family and *MRX* complex accompanies low dose ionizing radiation induced radio-resistance. Proceedings of 34<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting of the European Society of Radiation Biology, European Radiation Research, at University of Leicester, UK. 5-8, Sep, 2005.
- Bala, M. & Goel, H.C. (2004). Radioprotective effect of Podophyllotoxin in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *J. Environ. Pathol. Toxicol. Onco*, Vol. 23, pp.139-144.
- M., Ashutosh & Singh S. (2002). Effects of Low Dose 60Co- $\gamma$ -irradiation on DNA Repair in Human PMN Cells. Proceedings of International conference on radiation damage and its modification, at LASTEC, Delhi 12-15, Nov, 2002.
- Bala, M. & Mathew, L. (2002). An *in vitro* approach to study chromosomal DNA damage. *Molecular Biology Reports*, Vol. 28, (December). pp. 199-207.
- Bala, M., Sharma, A.K. & Goel, H.C. (2001). Effects of 2-deoxy-D-Glucose on DNA repair and mutagenesis in UV-irradiated yeast. *J. Radiat. Res*, 42, No. 3, pp. 285-294. ISSN
- Bala, M. & Mathew, L. (2000). Radiation hormesis and its potential to manage radiation injuries. *Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research*, Vol. 59, (December). pp. 988-994.
- Bala, M. & Jain, V. (1996). 2-DG induced modulation of chromosomal DNA profile, cell survival, mutagenesis and gene conversion in X-irradiated yeast. *Indian J. Exp. Biol*, Vol. 34, (January), pp. 18-26.
- Bala, M & Jain, V. (1994). Modulation of repair and fixation of UV-induced damage and its effect on mutagenesis in yeast. *Indian Journal of Experimental Biology*, Vol. 32, (December), pp. 860-864.
- Blaheta, R.A., Franz, M., Auth, M.K., Wensch, H.J., & Markus, B.H. (1991). A rapid non-radioactive fluorescence assay for the measurement of both cell number and proliferation. *J. Immunol. Methods*, Vol. 142, pp. 199-206.
- Boothman, D.A., Meyers, M., Odegaard, E. & Wang, M. (1996). Altered G1 checkpoint control determines adaptive survival responses to ionizing radiation. *Mutat. Res*, Vol.358, No. 2 (November) pp.143-153.
- Boreham, D.R. & Mitchel, R.E.J. (1991). DNA lesions that signal the induction of radioresistance and DNA repair in yeast. *Radiat. Res*, Vol. 128, No. 1 (October), pp. 19-28.
- Boreham, D.R. & Mitchel, R.E.J. (1994). Regulation of heat and radiation stress response in yeast by *hsp-104*. *Radiat. Res*. Vol. 137, No. 2 (February), pp. 190-195.
- Boreham, D.R., Dolling, J.A., Maves, S.R, Siwarungsun, N. & Mitchel, R.E.J. (2000). Dose rate effects for apoptosis and micronucleus formation in  $\gamma$ -irradiated human lymphocytes. *Radiat. Res*, 153, No. 5.1 (May), pp. 579-586.
- Cai, L. & Liu, S.Z. (1990). Induction of cytogenetic adaptive response of somatic and germ cells *in vivo* and *in vitro* by low dose X-irradiation. *Int. J. Radiat. Biol*. Vol. 58, No. 1 (July) pp187-194.
- Calabrese, E.J. & Baldwin, L.A. (2001). Hormesis: A generalizable and unifying hypothesis. *Crit. Rev. Toxicol*, Vol. 31, No. 4-5 (July), pp.353-424.
- Carette, J., Lehnert, S. & Chow, T.Y. (2002). Implication of PBP74/mortalin/GRP75 in the radio-adaptive response. *Int. J. Radiat. Biol*, Vol. 78, No. 3 (March), pp 183-190.

- Carson, C.T., Schwartz, R.A., Stracker, T.H., Lilley, C.E., Lee, D.V. & Weitzman, M.D. (2003). The Mre11 complex is required for ATM activation and the G2/M checkpoint. *EMBO J*, Vol. 22, No. 24 (December), pp. 6610- 6620.
- Chaubey R.C., Bhilwade, H.N.Sonawane, V. R. & Rajagopalan R. (2006). Effect of low dose and low-dose rates of gamma radiation on DNA damage in peripheral blood leukocytes using comet assay *Int. J. of Low Radiation*, Vol. 2, No. ½, pp. 71-83.
- Cohen, B.L. (1999). Validity of the linear threshold theory of radiation carcinogenesis at low doses. *Nuclear Energy*, Vol. 38, pp. 157-164.
- Collis, S.J., Schwaninger, J.M., Ntambi, A.J., Keller T.W., Nelson, W.G., Dillehay, L.E. & Deweese, T.L. (2004). Evasion of early cellular response mechanisms following low level radiation induced DNA damage. *J. Biol. Chem*, 279, No. 48 (November), pp. 49624-49632.
- Dasu, A. & Denekamp, J. (2002). Inducible repair and inducible radiosensitivity : a complex but predictable relationship? *Radiation Research*. Vol. 153, No. 3 (March) pp. 279-288.
- Dwivedi, M., Singh, S., Sharma, A.K., Mathew, L. and Bala, M., (2001). Cytogenetic alterations associated with radio-adaptive response in proliferating and stationary phase cells of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Proceedings of Biotechnocon-2001, first conference of Biotechnology Society of India. at V.P. Chest Institute, Delhi, Oct, 2001.
- Dwivedi, M., Sehgal, N. & Bala M. (2008). The effects of low dose <sup>60</sup>Co-gamma-radiation on radioresistance, mutagenesis, gene conversion, cell cycle and transcriptome profile in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Int. J. of Low Radiation*, Vol. 5, No. 4, pp 290-309.
- Fenech, M. (1993). The cytokinesis-block micronucleus technique: a detailed description of the method and its application to genotoxicity studies in human populations. *Mutat. Res*, Vol. 285, No. 1 (January), pp. 35-44.
- Franco, N., Lamartine, J., Frouin, V., Le Minter, P., Petat, C., Leplat, J.J., Libert, F., Gridol, X. & Martin, M.T. (2005). Low dose exposure to gamma rays induces specific gene regulations in normal human keratinocytes. *Radiation Research*, Vol. 163, No. 6 (June), pp. 623-635
- Frappart, P.O., Tong, W.M., Demuth, I., Radovanovic I., Herceg, Z., Aguzzi, A., Digweed M. & Wang, Z.Q. (2005). An essential function for NBS1 in the prevention of ataxia and cerebellar defects. *Nature Medicine*, Vol. 11, No. 5 (May), pp. 538-544.
- Gupta D, Arora R, Garg A P, Bala M, Goel H C. 2004. Modification of radiation-damage to mitochondrial system in vivo by Podophyllum hexandrum: mechanistic aspects. *Mol Cell Biochem* Vol. 266: pp. 65-77.
- Hohl M, Kwon Y, Galván S.M., Xue X, Tous C, Aguilera A, Sung P, Petrini J.H.J. (2011) The Rad50 coiled-coil domain is indispensable for Mre11 complex functions. *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology* Volume: 18, pp. 1124-1131
- Jager, De. M., Van N. J., Vangent, D.C., Dekker, C., Kanaar, R. & Wyman, C. (2001). Human Rad50/Mre11 is a flexible complex that
- Kimata, Y., Kimata, Y.I., Shimizu, Y., Abe, H., Farcasanu, I.C. & Takeuchi, M. et al. (2003). Genetic evidence for a role of Bip/Kar2 that regulates Ire1 in response to accumulation of unfolded proteins, *Mol. Biol. Cell*. Vol. 14, No. 6 (June), pp. 2559-2569.
- Luckey, T.D. (2008). Atomic bomb health benefits. *Dose Response*, Vol. 6, No. 4 (August), pp. 369-382.

- Nambi, K.S.V. & Soman, S.D. (1990). Further observations on environmental radiation and cancer in India. *Health Phys.* Vol. 59, No. 3 (September), pp. 339-344.
- Olivieri, G., Bodycot, J. & Wolff, S. (1984). Adaptive response of human lymphocytes to low concentration of radioactive thymidine. *Science* Vol. 223, No. 4636 (February), pp. 594-597.
- Pandey, B.N., Sarma H.D., Shukla, D. & Misra, K. P. (2006). Low dose radiation induced modification of ROS and apoptosis in thymocytes of whole body irradiated mice, *Int. J. Low Radiation.* Vol. 2, No. ½, pp. 111-118.
- Sanderson, B.J. & Morley, A.A.(1986). Exposure of human lymphocytes to ionizing radiation reduces mutagenesis by subsequent ionizing radiation. *Mutat. Res.* Vol. 164, No. 6 (December), pp 347-351.
- Sahara, T., Goda, T. And Ohgiya, S. (2002). Comprehensive expression analysis of time dependent genetic responses in yeast cells to low temperatures. *J Biol Chem*, Vol. 277, No.51 (December), pp. 50015-50021.
- Sasaki, M.S., Ejima, Y., Tachibana, A., Yamada, T., Ishizaki, K., Shimizu, T. & Nomura, T. (2002). DNA damage response pathway in radio-adaptive response, *Mutat. Res*, Vol. 504, No. 1-2 (July), pp. 101-118.
- Seo, H.R., Chung, H.Y., Lee, Y.J., Bae, S., Lee, S.J. & Lee, Y.S. (2006). p27Cip/Kip Is Involved in Hsp25 or Inducible Hsp70 Mediated Adaptive Response by Low Dose Radiation. *J. Radiat. Res.* Vol. 47, No. 1 (March), pp 83-90.
- Shadley, J.D. & Wiencke J.K. (1989). Induction of the adaptive response by X-rays is dependent on radiation intensity. *Int. J. Radiat. Biol.* Vol. 56, No. 1 (July) pp 107-118.
- Shadley, J.D. & Wolff, S. (1987). Very low doses of X-rays can cause human lymphocytes to become less susceptible to ionizing radiation. *Mutagenesis.* Vol.2, No.2 (March), pp. 95-96.
- Sharma, A.K. & Bala, M., (2002). Beneficial effect of low level <sup>60</sup>Co-γ-radiation on yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Vijnana Parishad Anusand. Patrika. Vol. 45, No. 1 (January), pp 77-82.
- Smolka M. B., Albuquerque C. P., Chen S. H., Zhou H. (2007)"Proteome-wide identification of in vivo targets of DNA damage checkpoint kinases." *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* Vol. 104, pp. 10364-10369.
- Stone D.E. & Craig, E.A. (1990) Self regulation of 70-kilodalton heat shock proteins in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Mol. Cell. Biol.* Vol. 10, No. 4 (April), pp. 1622-1632.
- Stiff T., Reis C., Alderton G.K., Woodbine L., O'Driscoll M., Jeggo P.A. (2005) Nbs1 is required for ATR-dependent phosphorylation events. *EMBO J.* 24, pp. 199-208.
- UNSCEAR (1994) United Nations Scientific Committee on the effects of Atomic Radiation report on "Adaptive response to radiation in cells and organisms, Sources and effects of ionizing radiation: Report to the General Assembly, with Scientific Annexes. Annex B. New York: United Nations.
- UNSCEAR, (2000). Report to the General Assembly, with Scientific Annexes. Volume II: Effects. Annex I: Epidemiological Evaluation of Radiation-Induced Cancer No. E.00.IX.4. United Nations, New York.
- Waltes R., Kalb R., Gatei M., Kijas A.W., Stumm M., Soback A., Wieland B., Varon R., Lerenthal Y., Lavin M.F., Schindler D., Doerk T. (2009) Human RAD50 deficiency in a Nijmegen breakage syndrome-like disorder. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* Vol 84, pp. 605-616.

Zimmermann, F.K., Kern, R. & Rosenberger, H. (1975). A yeast strain for simultaneous detection of induced mitotic crossing over, mitotic gene conversion and reverse mutation. *Mutat. Res.* Vol. 28, No. 3 (June), pp. 381-388.



## **Gamma Radiation**

Edited by Prof. Feriz Adrovic

ISBN 978-953-51-0316-5

Hard cover, 320 pages

**Publisher** InTech

**Published online** 21, March, 2012

**Published in print edition** March, 2012

This book brings new research insights on the properties and behavior of gamma radiation, studies from a wide range of options of gamma radiation applications in Nuclear Physics, industrial processes, Environmental Science, Radiation Biology, Radiation Chemistry, Agriculture and Forestry, sterilization, food industry, as well as the review of both advantages and problems that are present in these applications. The book is primarily intended for scientific workers who have contacts with gamma radiation, such as staff working in nuclear power plants, manufacturing industries and civil engineers, medical equipment manufacturers, oncologists, radiation therapists, dental professionals, universities and the military, as well as those who intend to enter the world of applications and problems of gamma radiation. Because of the global importance of gamma radiation, the content of this book will be interesting for the wider audience as well.

### **How to reference**

In order to correctly reference this scholarly work, feel free to copy and paste the following:

Madhu Bala (2012). Radiation Induced Radioresistance - Role of DNA Repair and Mitochondria, Gamma Radiation, Prof. Feriz Adrovic (Ed.), ISBN: 978-953-51-0316-5, InTech, Available from:  
<http://www.intechopen.com/books/gamma-radiation/radiation-induced-radioresistance-role-of-mitochondria-and-dna-repair>

**INTECH**  
open science | open minds

### **InTech Europe**

University Campus STeP Ri  
Slavka Krautzeka 83/A  
51000 Rijeka, Croatia  
Phone: +385 (51) 770 447  
Fax: +385 (51) 686 166  
[www.intechopen.com](http://www.intechopen.com)

### **InTech China**

Unit 405, Office Block, Hotel Equatorial Shanghai  
No.65, Yan An Road (West), Shanghai, 200040, China  
中国上海市延安西路65号上海国际贵都大饭店办公楼405单元  
Phone: +86-21-62489820  
Fax: +86-21-62489821

© 2012 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.