# **Flooding Stress on Plants: Anatomical, Morphological and Physiological Responses**

Gustavo Gabriel Striker

*IFEVA-CONICET, Faculty of Agronomy, University of Buenos Aires Argentina* 

## **1. Introduction**

Flooding is a natural disturbance affecting crop and forage production worldwide due to the detrimental effects that it provokes on most terrestrial plants (Bailey-Serres & Voesenek, 2008; Colmer & Vosenek, 2009). Over the last years, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (http://www.ippc.ch) has informed that man-induced world climate change will increase the frequency of precipitations of higher magnitude as well as tropical cyclone activity. As a result, the occurrence of flooding events on flood plains (*i.e.* lowlands) and cultivated lands is expected to be higher (Arnell & Liu, 2001). On the other hand, the increasing world population, along with the intensification of agriculture have provoked a reduction in the arable land per capita, which has decreased over the last five decades from 0.32 ha to 0.21 ha, and it is expected to be further diminished up to 0.16 ha per capita by 2030 (FAO 2006 as cited in Mancuso & Shabala, 2010). As a consequence, marginal lands are being incorporated into production to cope with the rising food demand. These issues lead to the necessity to get highly productive crops in arable lands subjected to periodic events of water excess, and to introduce new (or improved) flood-tolerant forage species in floodprone pastures (and grasslands) devoted to livestock production. So, the understanding of plant functioning under flooding conditions is crucial in order to achieve these goals.

Soil water excess determines a severe decrease in the oxygen diffusion rate into the soil because of the 104 lower diffusion of gases into water with respect to air (Armstrong, 1979; Ponnamperuma, 1972; 1984). Shortly after the soil is flooded, the respiration of roots and micro-organisms depletes the remnant oxygen and the environment becomes hypoxic (*i.e.* oxygen levels limit mitochondrial respiration) and later anoxic (*i.e.* respiration is completely inhibited; Blom & Voesenek, 1996; Bailey-Serres & Voesenek, 2008; Wegner, 2010). So, the first constraint for plant growth under flooding is the immediate lack of oxygen necessary to sustain aerobic respiration of submerged tissues (Armstrong, 1979; Vartapetian & Jackson, 1997; Voesenek et al., 2004). As flooding time increases, a second problem associated with water excess appears as a result of the progressive decrease in the soil reduction-oxidation potential (redox potential) (see Fig. 1; Pezeshki & DeLaune, 1998; Pezeshki, 2001). With the reduction of the soil redox potential potentially toxic compounds appear such as sulfides, soluble Fe and Mn, ethanol, lactic acid, acetaldehyde and acetic and formic acid (Kozlowski, 1997; Fiedler et al., 2007). Therefore, the lack of oxygen and later the accumulation of some potentially toxic compounds are the major constraints that plants suffer under flooding conditions.



Fig. 1. Course of soil redox potential at pH 7 (EH7) at control and flooded conditions by 40 days. [Reproduced from Striker et al. (2005) with permission from Springer].

In a broad sense, the term flooding is often used to depict different situations in which the water excess can range from water saturated soil (*i.e.* waterlogging) to deep water columns causing complete submergence of plants (Fig. 2). So, a first step is to accurately define the correct terms for each situation of water excess. Waterlogging corresponds to the full saturation of the soil pores with water, and with a very thin – or even without - a layer of water above the soil surface. Hence, under waterlogged conditions, only the root system of plant is under the anaerobic conditions imposed by the lack of oxygen, while the shoot is under atmospheric normal conditions. Flooding is the situation in which there is a water layer above the soil surface. This water layer can be shallow or deep, so that it can provoke partial or complete submergence of plants. It should be noted that, at the same water depth, the degree of plant submergence will depend on the developmental stage (*eg.* seedlings *vs.* adult plants) and plant growth habit (*eg.* creeping plant growth *vs.* erect plant growth), among other traits influencing plant height. Under partial submergence conditions, plants have a portion of their shoots underwater, besides having their roots completely immersed in water-saturated soil. Under complete submergence, plants confront the most stressful scenario because both, shoot and root plant compartments, are underwater, and in this case



Fig. 2. Scheme of the different scenarios encountered by plants in front to increasing levels of water excess, ranging from waterlogging to complete submergence.

the chances to capture atmospheric oxygen and to continue with carbon fixation are restricted (but see plant strategies to deal with this stress on section 3.1). This situation is worsened in turbid water and/or with deep water columns above plants because the irradiance available to sustain underwater photosynthesis for survival is drastically reduced (Mommer et al., 2004; Colmer & Pedersen, 2008; Vashist et al., 2011).

Another crucial aspect that should be taken into account when defining 'flooding' is its duration (see Colmer & Voesenek, 2009). In this sense, flooding duration has been recognized as a major factor in determining plant survival following oxygen deprivation (Kozlowski & Pallardy, 1984; Armstrong et al., 1994; Lenssen et al., 2004). It is known that a single species of a similar age and size that is capable of surviving a short flooding period may perish if exposed to a longer one (Else et al., 1996; Crawford, 2003). In addition, a recent review of methodological aspects of flooding experiments highlighted the importance of also considering the type and age of the species tested (Striker, 2008; Fig. 3). This work showed that: (i) crop species are subjected to shorter flooding periods than non-crop species, and that (ii) seedlings of crops are exposed to even shorter periods than adult individuals; a fact that did not occur in experiments that used non-crop species (Fig. 3).



Fig. 3. Flooding duration in the experiments done on crop species and non–crop species. The end of the boxes defines the 25th and 75th percentiles, with a line at the median and error bars defining the 10th and 90th percentiles. Different letters indicate significant differences (P< 0.05) between medians based on the Mann-Whitney test. Lower case letters compare medians within the crop category while upper case letters compare medians within the non– crop category. [Adapted from Striker (2008) with permissions from Wiley-Blackwell]

## **2. Plant responses to partial submergence**

Plants develop a suite of anatomical, morphological and physiological responses in order to deal with partial submergence imposed by flooding (Armstrong, 1979; Kozlowski & Pallardy, 1984; Vartapetian & Jackson, 1997; Striker et al., 2005; Colmer & Voesenek, 2009). The most common anatomical response is the generation of aerenchyma in tissues (Justin  $\&$  Armstrong, 1987; Seago et al., 2005), which facilitates the transport of oxygen from shoots to roots (Colmer, 2003a). At morphological level, usual responses to flooding include adventitious rooting and increases in plant height and consequently, in the proportion of biomass above water level (Naidoo & Mundree, 1993; Grimoldi et al., 1999). This also helps to facilitate the oxygenation of submerged tissues through the aerenchyma tissue (Laan et al., 1990; Colmer, 2003a). At physiological level, flooding modifies water relations and plants carbon fixation. Closing of stomata, with or without leaf dehydration, reduction of transpiration and inhibition of photosynthesis, are responses that can occur in hours or days, depending on the tolerance to flooding of each plant species (Bradford & Hsiao, 1982; Else et al., 1996; Insausti et al., 2001; Striker et al., 2005; Mollard et al., 2008; 2010). The following sections show the main plant responses at those levels associated with tolerance to flooding.

## **2.1 Anatomical traits of tolerance to partial submergence**

Aerenchyma formation in the root cortex is the most studied plastic response to flooding (Smirnoff & Crawford, 1983; Justin & Armstrong, 1987; Colmer et al., 1998; Visser et al., 2000; McDonald et al., 2002; Evans, 2003; Grimoldi et al., 2005; Seago et al., 2005; Striker et al., 2007a). This aerenchymatic tissue provides a continuous system of interconnected aerial spaces (aerenchyma lacunae) of lower resistance for oxygen transport from aerial shoots to submerged roots, allowing root growth and soil exploration under anaerobic conditions (Armstrong, 1979; Colmer & Greenway, 2005).

The spatial arrangement of aerenchyma in the root cortex in response to flooding is variable among species (Smirnoff & Crawford, 1983; Justin & Armstrong, 1987; Visser et al., 2000; McDonald et al., 2002; Grimoldi et al., 2005; Seago et al., 2005). Different aerenchyma types arise from the combination of four general root structural types (Justin & Armstrong, 1987). Such four general root structural types - graminaceous, cyperaceous, *Apium*, and *Rumex* have been described on the basis of the spatial arrangement of the aerenchyma tissue and the packing of the cells in the cortex (Justin & Armstrong, 1987; Seago et al., 2005). The shape of these root types resembles a bicycle wheel (graminaceous), a spider web (cyperaceous), a honeycomb (*Rumex*) and a non-organized structure with irregular aerenchyma lacunae (*Apium*) (Justin & Armstrong, 1987; Striker et al., 2007a; Fig. 4).

Three different origins of aerenchyma tissue generation have been recognized after the comprehensive review by Seago et al. (2005), namely: lysigeny, schizogeny and expansigeny. The most common is lysigeny, a process that involves the collapse and death of cells in the cortex zone, often coupled with cell separations preceding cell collapse (schizo-lysigeny). Within this aerenchyma origin, two distinct patterns leading to aerenchyma lacunae can be distinguished. The first one is called radial lysigeny, in which aerenchyma lacunae are generated by collapse of cells radially aligned in the cortex and separated by intact radial files of cells (or remnant cell walls). This type of aerenchyma is typical of many graminaceous species and resembles the shape of a bicycle wheel (Fig. 4a). The second one is termed tangential lysigeny, which implies cell separation and collapse in tangential sectors of the root cortex with intact radial files of cells, so that the resulting shape resembles a spider web. This aerenchyma type is typical of cyperaceous species (Fig. 4b). Sometimes, aerenchyma lacunae generated by cell lysis (lysineny) does not present a regular and easily identifiable spatial pattern. The last case is that of species showing an irregular

non-organized location of aerenchyma lacunae in their root cortex (*Apium* root type; Fig. 4c). Schizogeny is the process of aerenchyma generation that involves the expansion of intercellular spaces into lacunae along radial sectors (*sensu* Seago et al., 2005). This origin often precedes the cell lysis (lysogeny) that increases the size of the lacunae generated and just in few cases, it appears as the only process generating aerenchyma. Expansigeny implies the generation of lacunae by cell division and cell enlargement but without cell death (lysigeny) or further separation (schizogeny). This type of aerenchyma generation is characterized by a honeycomb (hexagonal) appearance in root cross sections and it is called *Rumex* type (Fig 4d).



Fig. 4. Root cross sections showing the four main aerenchyma types: graminaceous in *Paspalum dilatatum* Poir. (a), cyperaceous in *Cyperus eragrostis* Lam. (b), *Apium* type in *Lotus tenuis* Waldst. & Kit. (c) and *Rumex* type in *Rumex crispus* L. (d). Asterisks indicate aerenchyma lacunae. Scale bars represent 150 μm. [Adapted from Striker et al. (2006, 2007a) with permissions from Wiley-Blackwell]

Cell death and lysis leading to aerenchyma lacunae development are attributed to low pressures of oxygen (hypoxia) and ethylene accumulation (Jackson et al., 1985; Evans, 2003; but see Visser & Bögemann, 2006). However, aerenchyma development is identical independently of being promoted by hypoxia or by ethylene (Gunawardena et al., 2001). This suggests that the cell death programme generating aerenchyma is common but it can be triggered by a variety of stimuli (Evans, 2003), also including soil mechanical impedance (Engelaar et al., 1995; Striker et al., 2006) and phosphorus deficiency (Fan et al., 2007; Postma & Lynch, 2011). Pioneer experiments by He et al. (1994) demonstrated that the application of low oxygen or high ethylene concentrations provoked an increase of the cellulase activity in the root apex, which is likely to contribute to cell wall breaking down (final step in lysigenous aerenchyma generation) (see review by Visser & Voesenek, 2004). The events of aerenchyma formation can be described in five stages (*sensu* Evans, 2003). The first stage is the perception of hypoxia and the initiation of ethylene biosynthesis; the second stage corresponds to the perception of the signal of increasing ethylene by cells located in the root cortex (especially in the mid cortex). The third stage starts with the beginning of cell death during which ions are lost from the cell into the apoplast, the plasma membrane invagination commences and the first changes in the cell walls structure can be detected. In the fourth stage, the condensation of the chromatin to nuclear periphery is produced, cell organelles are surrounded by bilipid membranes and a marked increase in cell wall hydrolytic enzymes takes place. Finally, in the fifth stage the cell wall breakdown and cell lysis occur, and then immediately the cell content is absorbed by the surrounding cells (Schussler & Longstreth, 2000; Evans, 2003).

In herbaceous plants, oxygen transport through aerenchyma along relatively short distances (*i.e.* from shoots to roots) is mostly attributed to diffusive mechanisms. In contrast, transportation of  $O_2$  at longer distances (metres; for instance along flooded rhizomes) is theoretically most likely to occur by convective mechanisms (see a detailed review on this topic in Colmer, 2003a; Wegner, 2010). Diffusion of oxygen under flooding conditions is established by the generation of a longitudinal gradient towards the root apex. This gradient is produced by  $O_2$  consumption due to respiration along the root, and by the radial oxygen loss towards the rhizosphere (hereafter referred to as ROL). Both processes act as a sink of  $O<sub>2</sub>$  in the waterlogged soil, determining a low oxygen concentration in the root apex and consequently the generation of the mentioned gradient. It should be noticed that a higher aerenchyma generation by lysogeny (cell death in root cortex) determines a lower respiratory demand, favouring the supply of more oxygen to the root apex, at the same time that it facilitates the  $O_2$  transport due to the lower resistance for  $O_2$  diffusion, associated to the bigger size of the aerenchyma lacunae. In addition, the magnitude of radial oxygen loss regulates the  $O_2$  reaching the apex, which is expected to be low if the ROL is higher (Colmer, 2003a; Colmer & Voesenek, 2009; see further on in section 2.2.3).

## **2.2 Morphological responses conferring plants tolerance to partial submergence**

Flooding induces morphological changes in roots and shoots. In roots, the formation of adventitious roots is highlighted as a common response of flood-tolerant species. These adventitious roots, which have high porosity, help plants to continue with water and nutrient uptake under flooding conditions, replacing in some way the functions of older root system (Kozlowski & Pallardy, 1984). It is frequent that these adventitious roots are positioned near the better-aerated soil surface. Following the review by Jackson (2004), there are three mechanisms for generating these 'replacement' root systems: (i) stimulation of the outgrowth of pre-existing root primordia in the shoot base (Jackson et al., 1981), (ii) induction of a new root system that involves initiation of root primordia and their subsequent outgrowth (Jackson & Armstrong, 1999; Shimamura et al., 2007; Fig. 5a) and (iii) placing roots at the soil surface involving the re-orientation of the root extension as seen for woody species by Pereira & Kozlowski (1977) and for herbaceous species by Gibberd et al. (2001). The two first mechanisms appear to be triggered by ethylene, which is thought to increase the sensitivity of plant tissues to auxin (Bertell et al., 1990; Liu & Reid, 1992). In *Rumex palustris,* it was established that application of exogenous ethylene stimulated the production of adventitious roots without changing the root levels of indole acetic acid (IAA, an auxin). These results indicate that adventitious rooting is due to an increased sensitivity of tissues to auxin and not due to an increase in its concentration (Visser et al., 1995; 1996).

Complementarily, Mc-Donald & Visser (2003) showed for *Nicotiana tabacum* (tobacco) that the application of naphthaleneacetic acid (NPA) – an auxin transport inhibitor – to wild type plants reduced the adventitious root formation to the level of ethylene-insensitive transgenic plants. These antecedents strongly demonstrate that cooperation between both hormones is important in defining adventitious rooting (see also the review by Visser & Voesenek, 2004).

It is predictable that stress from soil flooding on roots also alters shoot morphology because of the close functional interdependence between both of them. In this way, flooded plants of tolerant species are often taller than their non-flooded counterparts (Fig. 5b) as a result of increases in the insertion angles and length of their aerial organs. These responses were well characterized in the dicotyledonous *Rumex palustris* by Cox et al. (2003; 2004) and Heydarian et al. (2010) among others. The faster response is the increase in the petiole angle, called hyponastic growth, where maximum angle (70-80º, an almost vertical position) is reached just in four hours (Cox et al., 2003). Next to the change in the insertion petiole angle, an increase in petiole length follows (Cox et al., 2003, Heydarian et al., 2010) in order to maximize the leaf area above the water level (Laan et al., 1990). Such lengthening of petioles is associated with the cell wall loosening due to an increase in the expression and action of expansins (Vriezen et al., 2000). It was proved that both the increase in petiole angle and lengthening, are well mimicked by treating plants with ethylene, so that this hormone appears to be involved in regulating those responses (Vriezen et al., 2000; Heydarian et al., 2010). In graminaceous species the morphological responses are analogous to those developed by dicots. For instance, in the grass *Paspalum dilatatum* the first morphological response to flooding is the increase in the tiller insertion angle (Insausti et al., 2001) followed by the elongation of the leaf sheaths, and lastly (but not always) elongation of leaf blades (Insausti et al., 2001; Mollard et al., 2008; 2010). The higher leaf sheath length of flooded plants is the result of a higher number of longer parenchymatic cells with respect to control plants (Insausti et al., 2001).

Another specific change at shoot level implies stem hypertrophy (Fig. 5a), which is a white spongy tissue with large volumes of intercellular gas spaces (Armstrong et al., 1994). This tissue is secondary aerenchyma that forms externally from a phellogen and is homologous to cork (Shimamura et al., 2010; Teakle et al., 2011). Its role seems to be increasing air space which allows for increased movement of gases between water and plant tissues (Teakle et al., 2011). Some species with capacity to develop stem hypertrophy are *Lythrum salicaria* (Stevens et al., 1997), *Lotus uliginosus* (James & Sprent, 1999), *L. tenuis* (Striker et al., 2005; Fig. 5a), *Glycine max* (Shimamura et al., 2010) and *Melilotus siculus* (Teakle et al., 2011). In woody plants, an important morphological trait developed by tolerant species is lenticels hypertrophy at the stem base (Kozlowski, 1997). It is supposed that these special structures, functionally analogous to hypertrophied stem tissue, allow oxygen entrance into shallow roots through aerenchyma and intercellular spaces (Kozlowski, 1997; Shimamura et al.,

2010). This idea was based on studies where the blocking of lenticels of waterlogged plants with lanolin determined a marked reduction in the root aeration, so that lenticels appeared as points of air entrance to the root system (Shimamura et al., 2003). In spite of the abovediscussed, there is controversy about the function of hypertrophied lenticels because, in several cases, they tend to be more developed below water (Parelle et al., 2006). Hence, this location does not support the idea of enablers of oxygen entry toward the root system. Some authors proposed that it is more likely that lenticels may help maintain plant water status during flooding, by partially supplying water for the shoots and thus replacing the lessfunctional roots (Pezeshki, 1996; Folzer et al., 2006). The recovery of stomatal conductance of flooded plants matching in time with the appearance of hypertrophied lenticels supports the belief that they contribute to the plant water homeostasis under flooding conditions (Groh et al., 2002; Parent et al., 2008). Finally, it was proposed that hypertrophic lenticels may also allow dissipation of metabolically generated volatile compounds like ethanol, ethylene and acetaldehyde, although the physiological significance of this fact for plant performance and survival has not been assessed to date (Jackson, 2004).



Fig. 5. Plant morphology (a) and plant height evolution (b) of *Lotus tenuis* subjected to 6 cm water depth flooding for 40 days(left photograph, note stem hypertrophy and adventitious rooting) and to control conditions (right photograph). (c) Shoot and root porosity of control and flooded plants of *L. tenuis* after 30 days of treatment. [(b) Adapted from Striker et al. (2011a) with permissions from Springer, (c) Adapted from Manzur et al. (2009) with permissions from Oxford University Press]

### **2.3 Physiological responses of plants to partial submergence by flooding**

#### **2.3.1 Plant water relations**

In flood sensitive species like *Solanum lycopersicum*, *Pisum sativum*, *Helianthus annuus* and *Nicotiana tabacum*, a few hours after the soil becomes flooded, the water uptake by roots is reduced (Bradford & Hsiao, 1982; Jackson & Drew, 1984). Here, the reduction of water absorption under flooding is a consequence of a reduction of the root hydraulic conductivity (Else et al., 1995; Else et al., 2001; Islam & McDonald, 2004) that seems to be associated with the acidification of the cell cytoplasm and the gating of aquaporins (Tournarie-Roux et al., 2003). It appears that the excess of protons provoking such acidification, determines conformational changes of the mentioned water channels that trigger their closure (Tournarie-Roux et al., 2003; Verdoucq et al., 2008). So, the reduction of water uptake under water excess of the soil in flooding sensitive species shows the paradoxical response of wilting of leaves (Bradford & Hsiao, 1982; Else et al., 1996), as it can be seen under drought. In this type of species, unable to tolerate short-term flooding, plants die (without recovery) when the water recedes.

In flood-tolerant plants, the plant water relations during flooding can vary depending on the season of occurrence and naturally on species-specific responses (Crawford, 2003; Lenssen et al., 2004). For example, the grass *Paspalum dilatatum* and the legume *Lotus tenuis* are able to grow during periods of soil water excess in summer season (Insausti et al., 2001; Striker et al., 2006; 2007b; 2008; Mollard et al., 2008; 2010), although the impact of oxygen deprivation on the plant water status differs between species (Fig. 6). In *P. dilatatum*, flooding had no major effects on leaf water potential  $(\Psi_w)$ , stomatal conductance  $(g_s)$  and transpiration rate (E) (see Figs 6a, c, e). Moreover, flooded plants registered a better plant water status than control ones on dates with higher water evaporative demand (VPD<sub>air</sub>; Fig. 6g). By contrast, in *L. tenuis* flooding had negative effects on  $\Psi_{\rm w}$ ,  $g_s$  and E that increased over time and provoked 40%, 55% and 60% reductions, in relation to control plants at the end of flooding period (day 15; Figs 6b, d, f). In this sense, decreases in  $g_s$  and transpiration rate by stomatal closing in response to flooding have been proposed as a mechanism to regulate the water balance of plants and prevent leaf dehydration (Bradford & Hsiao, 1982; Ashraf, 2003; Striker et al., 2005). Here, it should be noticed that the negative effects of hypoxia in a flood tolerant species like *L. tenuis* occurred after almost a week of flooding and not in the lapse of hours, as it happened in flood-sensitive species. Importantly, when flooding was discontinued, pre-flooded plants of *L. tenuis* recovered their water status (Ψw) during the first five days, and showed stomatal behaviour and transpiration rates similar to control plants until the end of the recovery phase. So, plant performance during flooding alone is not conclusive for assessments of its tolerance – post-flooding recovery also needs to be appraised (Malik et al., 2002; Striker, 2008; Striker et al., 2011b). In the case of the grass *P. dilatatum,* the lack of effects of flooding on its water relations reflects its high flood-tolerance documented in several works (Loreti & Oesterheld, 1996; Insausti et al., 2001; Mollard et al., 2008; 2010; Striker et al., 2006; 2008; 2011a). The ability of this species to maintain its leaf water status similar to controls (and even better than controls, at high evaporative demand, *i.e.* high DPV<sub>air</sub>) indicates a high capacity to continue with water uptake under flooded soil conditions. Such capacity seems to be associated with its high porous root system allowing oxygen conduction for sustaining aerobic root respiration and functionality (Insausti et al., 2001).



Fig. 6. Leaf water potential (Ψ<sub>w</sub>), stomatal conductance (g<sub>s</sub>) and transpiration rate (E) of *Paspalum dilatatum* (a, c, e) and *Lotus tenuis* (b, d, f) plants grown under different treatments: control and flooding. (g) Air vapour pressure deficit (VPD<sub>air</sub>) at the moment of each measurement. Horizontal closed bars indicate the duration of the experimental flooding (15 days at 6 cm water depth). Values are means ± SE of five replicates.

### **2.3.2 Photosynthesis responses**

A common response to flooding is the reduction of plant carbon fixation (*i.e.* rate of photosynthesis; Jackson & Drew, 1984). In the short term, photosynthesis can drop as a result of a restriction of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake due to stomata closing (Jackson & Hall, 1987; Huang et al., 1994; 1997; Pezeshki & DeLaune, 1998; Malik et al., 2001; Striker et al., 2005; Mollard et al., 2010). Several works have shown correlation between stomatal conductance and carbon fixation in flooded plants indicating that stomatal aperture can be a limiting factor for photosynthesis (Vu & Yelenosky, 1991; Liao & Lin, 2001; Mielke et al., 2003). Stomata closing under flooding can occur in response to leaf dehydration where the guard-cells lose their turgor (Bradford & Hsiao, 1982; Striker et al., 2007b; Fig. 6b,d), but it can also occur without noticeable changes in the leaf water potential responding to a hormonal (non-hydraulic) regulation (Jackson & Hall, 1987; Jackson, 2002; Striker et al., 2005). In the last case, the available evidence supports the idea of stomatal closure mediated by action of abscisic acid (ABA) in leaves (Else et al., 1996; Jackson et al., 2003), but not synthetized and transported from the roots, as it happens under drought stress (Davies & Zhang, 1991).

If flooding continues in time, a decrease in the photosynthetic capacity of mesophyll cells *per se* (Liao & Lin, 1994; Yordanova & Popova, 2001) leads to a further reduction of photosynthesis. Such lower photosynthetic capacity can be attributed to a (i) lower leaf chlorophyll content (Yordanova & Popova, 2001; Manzur et al., 2009; *cf*. leaf greenness of flooded *vs.* control plant in Fig. 5), (ii) a reduced activity of carboxylation enzymes, and (iii) an oxidative damage on photosystem II by reactive oxygen species (Yordanova et al., 2004). In this respect, Liao & Lin (1994) registered in *Momordica charantia* (bitter melon) a lower activation level of Rubisco (enzyme that catalyses the initial reaction during  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ assimilation) as flooding time increases until reaching 59% of controls values after a week of treatment. In the same experiment, these authors also registered a reduction on leaf soluble protein including Rubisco (Liao & Lin, 1994). So, both the content of Rubisco protein as well as its activation can be significantly reduced by flooding (Liao & Lin, 1994; 2001). In addition, the low photon utilization of flooded plants (Titarenko, 2000 as cited in Yordanova et al., 2004) could result in the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Asada and Takahashi, 1987). The main ROS are superoxide, single oxygen, hydrogen peroxide and hydroxyl radical, which are very reactive and provoke damage to lipid membranes and proteins (see reviews by Foyer et al., 1994; Noctor & Foyer, 1998). To manage the level of ROS for protecting cells, plants have antioxidants like ascorbate, glutathione and tocopherols, and enzymes (*i.e.* peroxidases, superoxide dismutase, glutathione reductase, catalase) with ability to scavenge ROS and regenerate the antioxidants (Asada, 2006; Murchie & Niyogi, 2011). However, under flooding stress, the scavenging capacity can be over passed due to the higher production of ROS, thus generating oxidative damage on the proteins of the photosynthetic apparatus (Yordanova et al., 2004).

If it is scaled up, the negative effects of flooding on photosynthesis from the leaf level to the plant level can lead to a low growth rate in flooded plants. Such a reduction in growth, determines a low demand of triose phosphate for sucrose biosynthesis as well as a slowdown on the phloem transport of this sugar (Pezeshki, 1994; Pezeshki, 2001; Sachs & Vartapetian, 2007). Consequently, starch starts to accumulate in the chloroplasts (Wample & Davies, 1983) leading to a negative feedback on photosynthesis rate (Liao & Lin, 2001). In addition, early leaf senescence (Grassini et al., 2007) and a reduction in leaf area may also lead to a drop of carbon fixation at plant level (Striker et al., 2005). In this scenario, plants have to draw on their carbohydrate reserves in order to maintain their metabolic activity. In consequence, the level of reserve carbohydrates may be crucial in determining the tolerance to long term flooding (Schlüter & Crawford, 2001; Ram et al., 2002; Manzur et al., 2009; Striker et al., 2011a).

#### **2.3.3 Radial oxygen loss (ROL), root apex oxygenation and root elongation**

Under partial submergence (or under waterlogging), at least part of the shoots are above water and the capture of atmospheric oxygen by leaves is possible. This oxygen needs to be transported to the roots in order to avoid root anoxia. Root apex oxygenation is crucial for continuing with root elongation and soil exploration under flooding conditions (Armstrong, 1979). In plant species having (or developing) aerenchyma as a prerequisite for facilitating oxygen movement along roots, the magnitude of oxygen reaching the apex depends on the effectiveness of its longitudinal transport. When tissue respiratory demands are satisfied along the root, such effectiveness is mostly dependent on the loss of oxygen towards the rhizosphere (ROL; see review by Colmer, 2003a). The loss of oxygen from the root depends on the presence of barriers impeding its leakage towards the soil (Fig. 7). There are species possessing high aerenchyma proportion but not a barrier against ROL (or they have a slight barrier), so a considerable amount of oxygen is lost along the root, limiting the oxygen diffusion to the apex. Hence, in these species, the apex is poorly oxygenated and the root elongation is constrained (Fig. 7a). Other species, specially those inhabiting wetland sites, have barriers in the layers of the outer root cortex which prevent the loss of oxygen from the roots. In these cases, the longitudinal oxygen diffusion is enhanced, which increases the aeration of the root apex and allows root elongation and a flooded soil deeper exploration (Fig. 7b). The 'ROL barrier' can be constitutive like in *Juncus effussus* (Visser et al., 2000) or it can be induced by stagnant conditions like in *Caltha palustris* (Visser et al., 2000), *Lolium multiflorum* (McDonald et al., 2002) and some rice cultivars (Colmer et al., 1998; Colmer, 2003b). Studies on the spatial pattern of ROL along roots revealed that the barrier is preferentially located in the basal regions of the root while there is no barrier at the apex (Colmer et al., 1998; Visser et al., 2000; McDonald et al., 2002; Colmer, 2003b). So, even in species having a strong barrier against ROL, some oxygen is released through the tip zone, which generates an aerobic zone around it (Fig. 7b). It is supposed that such aerobic zone prevents the accumulation of potentially toxic compounds, like the reduced forms of iron  $(Fe<sup>2+</sup>)$ , manganese  $(Mn<sup>2+</sup>)$  and sulfides, in the region of the sensitive root apex (Armstrong, 1979; Soukup et al., 2002; Pedersen et al., 2004; Armstrong & Armstrong, 2005).

Suberin is the most likely candidate to function as barrier to oxygen leakage (Colmer, 2003a,b; Colmer et al., 2006; Kotula et al., 2009; Shiono et al., 2011; Ranathunge et al., 2011). It should be mentioned that some authors consider that lignin cannot be discarded as part of the barrier to ROL, although evidence from De Simone et al. (2003) suggests that lignin does not accomplish such a function. Indeed, Shiono et al. (2011) indicate that suberin deposits may also be of more importance than lignin, as suberin increased prior to changes in lignin based on a detailed histochemical work. Besides, in species where the barrier against ROL is induced by stagnant conditions, there is an extra suberin deposition in the cell walls of the outer cortex, which denotes its importance in reducing ROL (Colmer, 2003b). On the other hand, it is interesting that in rice, the most studied species regarding this topic, the barrier to

ROL is triggered in a matter of hours and that the timing of generation also depends on the root length at the time of flood occurrence. Recently, Shiono et al. (2011) demonstrated that formation of the barrier to ROL commenced quickly in long adventitious roots (105 to 130 mm length) and that the barrier was completed within 24 hours. By contrast, barrier formation in short roots (65 to 90 mm length) took more than 2 days. These authors also showed that the timing of aerenchyma formation (see section 2.1) was similar between short and long roots. So, these root acclimations to deal with flooding, aerenchyma formation and the barrier to ROL, appear to be differentially regulated (see also Colmer et al., 2006).

Finally, the knowledge accomplished on the characteristics and functioning of the physical barrier against ROL has practical importance for improving the flood-tolerance of crops like wheat. This fact was recently demonstrated by Malik et al. (2011), who achieved a successful transfer of the barrier to ROL (and higher root porosity) from *Hordeum marinum* to wheat through wide hybridization and the production of *H. marinum*-wheat amphiploids.



Fig. 7. Scheme showing two different patterns of radial oxygen loss (ROL) from roots. In these hypothetic examples, the root aerenchyma is considered a non-limiting factor for oxygen transport. (a) Root without barrier to ROL in the outer cortex, which loses oxygen along all positions resulting in a deficient apex oxygenation, and short roots in anoxic soils. (b) Root having a strong barrier to ROL, so that oxygen is transported efficiently to the apex allowing deeper root growth in flooded soils. The loss of oxygen is circumscribed to the apex, which generates an aerobic zone that diminishes entry of potentially toxic compounds (Fe2+, Mn2+, sulfides) in highly-reduced soils. The physical barrier to ROL is due to suberin deposition in the cell walls of the outer root cortex and/or the exodermis as it is indicated by red lines of different thickness between root types in (a) and (b). The thickness of the grey colour arrows indicates the amount of oxygen available. Figure re-drawn on the basis of Colmer & Voesenek (2009).

## **3. Plant responses to complete submergence**

Complete submergence is one of the most stressful scenarios that plants can confront in environments prone to soil flooding (Blom, 1999; Mommer & Visser, 2005; Colmer & Voesenek, 2009). In addition to oxygen deficiency for roots occurring during water excess in soil, plants subjected to complete submergence are restricted from obtaining enough oxygen for sustaining tissue aeration, even though in some species, oxygen can partially be supplied by underwater photosynthesis (Mommer et al., 2004; Colmer & Pedersen, 2008; Vashist et al., 2011). As a result, aerobic metabolism for energy production shifts to the much less efficient anaerobic/fermentative pathways (Gibbs & Greenway, 2003; Voesenek et al., 2006; Kulichikhin et al., 2009). Besides, depending on the turbidity of the water, light reduction can constrain carbon gain by photosynthesis (Sand-Jensen, 1989; Colmer & Pedersen, 2008). Therefore, complete submergence can cause a drastic energy and carbohydrate crisis that can threaten plant survival (Voesenek et al., 2006; Bailey-Serres & Voesenek 2008; 2010).

According to Colmer & Voesenek (2009), this stress can be classified depending on water depth and duration of the submergence. With respect to water, shallow floods are those of less than 0.5-1 meter of water column, in which submerged plants have chances to surpass the water level if they respond elongating their shoots (Setter & Laureles, 1996; Lynn & Waldren, 2003; Hattori et al., 2007). Shallow submergence can be found in lowland flat areas of the world, as in the Flooding Pampa grasslands (Soriano, 1991), as well as in lowland rice areas. On the other hand, deep floods are those of more than 1 m of water column, in which the effort of trying to de-submerge the plant shoots is useless, because the chances to surpass the water are non-existent. In these cases, the pursued benefit of developing a shoot elongation response is not outweighed by the incurred cost, because the plant exhausts its carbohydrates reserves, dying before reaching the water surface. In contrast, plants that remain quiescent are able to succeed in front to deep submergence, surviving by using carbohydrates reserves to maintain a basal metabolism until water subsides (Schlüter & Crawford, 2001; Ram et al., 2002; Manzur et al., 2009; Striker et al., 2011b). Deep submergence can be found in areas of Asia devoted to deepwater rice cultivation, river forelands of Europe (Bloom et al., 1994), and the Amazonia of South America (Parolin, 2009). Submergence can be considered of short duration generally when it is no longer than two weeks and it occurs during flash-flooding events. If submergence period is longer than two weeks (often of a month or more), it can be regarded as of long duration (see Colmer & Voesenek, 2009 and Fig. 3 of this chapter). Although this classification can appear as arbitrary, it is useful in order to understand the strategies used by plants to deal with each combination of water depth and duration of the submergence.

## **3.1 Plants facing submergence. What to do, escape from water or stay quiescent?**

Plants cope with complete submergence by means of one of the two major strategies reported in plant submergence responses (*sensu* Bailey-Serres & Voesenek, 2008; 2010; Fig. 8). The first is an escape strategy – called LOES: low oxygen escape syndrome – and the second is a sit-and-wait strategy – called LOQS: low oxygen quiescence syndrome (Bailey-Serres & Voesenek, 2008; 2010; Hattori et al., 2010). The LOES implies shoot elongation in order to restore leaf contact with the atmosphere, while the LOQS is based on maintaining steady energy conservation without shoot elongation (Bailey-Serres & Voesenek, 2008). It has been postulated that LOES offers plants better chances to survive under shallow longterm flooding, where shoot de-submergence is easily plausible (Fig. 8a). In contrast, LOQS is more likely to be adopted by species coping with deep short-term flooding (< 2 weeks) where shoot emergence seems to represent a higher cost of energy and might compromise eventual recovery when the water recedes (Colmer & Voesenek, 2009; Fig. 8b).



Fig. 8. Main strategies used by plants to deal with complete submergence. (a) Plant escaping from water by means of upward shoot elongation is the typical response of the 'low oxygen escape syndrome' (LOES). Plants using this strategy are able to continue elongating their shoots if the water depth increases (b) Quiescent plant under deep submergence surviving at expenses of its carbohydrates reserves depicts the 'low oxygen quiescence syndrome' (LOQS). It should be mentioned that plants escaping from water change their morphology for being taller, but their growth (in terms of biomass accumulation) is lower than that of the non-submerged plants.

Fast shoot elongation (LOES) allows plants to restore the contact of their leaves with the atmosphere under shallow submergence (Grimoldi et al., 1999; Voesenek et al., 2006; Striker et al., 2011b). So, emerged leaves can function as 'snorkels' facilitating the entrance of oxygen and ventilation of gases (ethylene, methane,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ ) accumulated in the submerged tissues (Colmer, 2003a; Colmer & Voesenek, 2009). The shoot elongation can happen in petioles (as in *Rumex* species, see Voesenek et al., 1990; Chen et al., 2009; 2011), or internodes (as in rice, see Hattori et al., 2009; 2010) depending on the growth form of the plant. It has been established, using rice and *Rumex palustris* as model species, that one of the first signals triggering the shoot elongation is the ethylene accumulation in submerged tissues (Voesenek et al., 1993; Jackson, 2008). Ethylene accumulates in submerged tissues due to a highly restricted outward diffusion in water (Armstrong, 1979), and the upregulation of enzymes associated with its biosynthesis (*eg.* ACC synthase and ACC oxidase; Vriezen et al., 2000). Under complete submergence, concentrations of ethylene increase, which downregulates the abscisic acid levels, and upregulates those of gibberellins (Jackson, 2008). Increased gibberellins level promotes the expression of genes encoding cyclins and expansins, associated with cell division and cell extension (respectively), which lead to a fast shoot elongation underwater (Jackson, 2008). Besides, the increase in endogenous ethylene produces a lower pH in the apoplast that favours the action of expansins provoking the cell wall loosening as a necessary step that precedes cell extension (Jackson, 2008).

Quiescence syndrome of plants (LOQS) under complete submergence – of short duration but deep water column – were reported in some rice varieties (Setter & Laureles, 1996), ecotypes of *Ranunculus repens* (Lynn & Waldren, 2003)*, Rumex crispus* (Voesenek et al., 1990), *Rumex acetosa* (Pierik et al., 2009), and *Lotus tenuis* (Manzur et al., 2009). In rice, pioneer studies have advanced in the understanding of this behaviour by comparing traits between tolerant accessions (*eg.* FR13A) and non-tolerant ones (*eg.* Liaogeng, IR42 and M202). Later, genetic studies have provided evidence that the shoot extension is controlled by a polygenic locus (*SUB1*) located at chromosome 9 (Xu et al., 2009). In this locus, rice has two or three genes –*SUB1A*, *SUB1B* and *SUB1C* –depending on the cultivar (or accession). Among these genes, it was established that the expression of the *SUB1A-1* is what confers submergence tolerance through the repression of shoot elongation and conservation of reserve carbohydrates, which prolongs underwater survival of plants (see review by Bailey-Serres & Voesenek, 2008). In this respect, *SUB1A-1* acts specifically by limiting the ethylene-induced shoot extension, which involves the reduction of the expansins levels related to cell wall loosening, and the reduction of sucrose and starch consumption, among other responses (Fukao et al., 2006; Fukao & Bailey-Serres, 2008; Fig. 9).



Fig. 9. Aspect of *Oryza sativa* plants of Liaogeng cultivar (LG, submergence intolerant) and of a transgenic line with constitutively expressed *Sub1A-1* (ubi:*SUB1A-1* with background genotype LG). Plants before submergence (left photographs), plants after 16 days of submergence (center photographs), and plants after 7 days of recovery (right photographs). It should be noticed that plants with similar genetic background (LG), but expressing Sub1A-1 are tolerant to submergence. Reproduced with modifications from Fukao & Bailey-Serres (2008) with permission granted by The National Academy of Sciences, U.S.A.

In addition to the above mentioned traits associated to LOQS, there are other ones enabling plant survival when submergence time further extends. These traits are mainly associated with the improvement of photosynthesis underwater (Mommer et al., 2004; Mommer & Visser, 2005; Colmer & Pedersen, 2008). Underwater photosynthesis is enhanced in some species due to the presence of a thin gas layer (called 'plant plastron') retained at the surface of submerged leaves, which increases the thickness of the gas-liquid interface between leaves and the surrounding water. This allows stomata to remain open when submerged, which facilitates that carbon dioxide and oxygen bypass the cuticle resistance. As a result, the levels of available  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $O<sub>2</sub>$  are higher, which improve photosynthesis and oxygenation of shoots underwater (Colmer & Pedersen, 2008). Besides, some species are able to develop aquatic leaves with higher potential for carbon gain underwater. These aquatic leaves present characteristics that favour light interception underwater for improving photosynthesis, as higher specific leaf area (thin leaves), thin cuticles, thin cell walls and location of chloroplasts toward the epidermis (Mommer et al., 2004; Mommer & Visser, 2005; Bailey-Serres & Voesenek, 2010).

## **4. Conclusions**

Floods entail different stressful conditions for plants, which mainly depend on water depth and its duration. Adaptive traits of plants enabling survival under soil waterlogging and partial submergence, are those directed to oxygenation of submerged tissues (*i.e.* parts of shoots and entire root system), and the location of leaves above water to continue with carbon fixation. Aerenchyma formation and development of adventitious roots, with barriers to radial oxygen loss, appear as the most important features facilitating longitudinal oxygen transport to sustain root aeration, and thus continue with water absorption in anaerobic soils. Both the reorientation and lengthening of shoots towards a vertical position, determine a higher proportion of leaves surpassing the water level in order to capture oxygen and continue photosynthesizing. Maintenance of stomatal conductance on mild days guarantees the uptake of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  for carbon fixation, although on days of high atmospheric evaporative demand, the stomatal closure can be useful to regulate plant water homeostasis, which depends on the balance between water losses by transpiration and water uptake by roots. When water depth increases and plants are completely submerged, they can adopt two main strategies, namely LOES (low oxygen escape syndrome) and LOQS (low oxygen quiescence syndrome). The first involves the upward shoots elongation, which facilitates restoration of leaf contact with the atmosphere, and it is relevant for plants species (or ecotypes) selected in environments with shallow, prolonged floods. The second is a sit and wait strategy, where the plant remains quiescent during the submergence period by using its reserve carbohydrates conservatively for plant survival. When water subsides, plants showing LOQS resume their growth. The selection of this strategy is favoured in environments prone to deep, short floods. Future experiments assessing waterlogging and submergence responses of plants should include the combination of different flooding regimes. This would contribute to a better understanding of the costs and benefits related to particular combinations of traits conferring tolerance in variable flooding scenarios. Thus, a better comprehension of plant functioning under water excess, in a context that indicates a higher flooding occurrence during the years to come, would help to assist breeding programs as well as to define better management decisions for cultivation of crops and forage species in lands prone to flooding.

# **5. Acknowledgments**

I thank Agustín A Grimoldi, Federico PO Mollard, and Milena E Manzur for their helpful comments and encouragement on early phases of this text preparation. I thank Beatriz Santos for her helpful revision of the text English style. This revision was funded by grants from the University of Buenos Aires (UBACyT 20020090300024) and "Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Tecnológica" of Argentina (ANPCyT–PICT-2010-0205). The author is Professor of Plant Physiology at the Faculty of Agronomy of the University of Buenos Aires (Argentina) and Researcher of the Argentine National Research Council (CONICET).

### **6. References**

Armstrong, W. (1979). Aeration in higher plants. *Advances in Botanical Research* 7, 225–332.

- Armstrong, J. & Armstrong, W. (2005). Rice: sulphide–induced barriers to root radial oxygen loss, Fe2+ and water uptake, and lateral root emergence. *Annals of Botany* 96, 625– 638.
- Armstrong, W., Strange, M.E., Cringle, S. & Beckett, P.M. (1994). Microelectrode and modelling study of oxygen distribution in roots. *Annals of Botany* 74, 287–299.
- Arnell, N. & Liu, C. (2001). Climatic Change 2001: hydrology and water resources. Report from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. http://www.ipcc.ch/ [Verified 28 July 2011].
- Asada, K. (2006). Production and scavenging of reactive oxygen species in chloroplasts and their functions. *Plant Physiology* 141, 391–396.
- Asada, K. & Takahashi, M. (1987). Production and scavenging of active oxygen in chloroplasts. *In* Photoinhibition, DJ Kyle, CB Osmond, CJ Arntzen (eds). pp 227– 287. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Ashraf, M. (2003). Relationships between leaf gas exchange characteristics and growth of differently adapted populations of Blue panicgrass (*Panicum antidotale* Retz.) under salinity or waterlogging. *Plant Science* 165, 69–75.
- Bailey–Serres, J. & Voesenek L.A.C.J. (2008) Flooding stress: acclimations and genetic diversity. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* 59, 313–339.
- Bailey–Serres, J. & Voesenek L.A.C.J. (2010). Life in the balance: a signaling network controlling survival of flooding. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 13, 489–494.
- Bertell, G., Bolander, E. & Eliasson, L. (1990). Factors increasing ethylene production enhance the sensitivity of root growth to auxins. *Physiologia Plantarum* 79, 255–258.
- Blom, C. W.P.M., Voesenek, L. A.C.J., Banga, M., Engelaar, W.M.H.G., Rijnders, J. H.G.M., Van De Steeg, H. M. & Visser, E. J.W. (1994). Physiological ecology of riverside species: adaptive responses of plants to submergence. *Annals of Botany* 74, 253–263.
- Blom, C.W.P.M. & Voesenek, L.A.C.J. (1996). Flooding: the survival strategies of plants. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 11, 290–295.
- Bradford, K.J. & Hsiao, T.C. (1982). Stomatal behavior and water relations of waterlogged tomato plants. *Plant Physiology* 70, 1508–1513.
- Chen, X., Huber, H., de Kroon, H., Peeters, A.J.M., Poorter, H., Voesenek, L.A.C.J. & Visser E.J.W. (2009) Intraspecific variation in the magnitude and pattern of flooding– induced shoot elongation in *Rumex palustris*. *Annals of Botany* 104, 1057–1067.
- Chen, X., Visser, E.J.W., de Kroon, H., Pierik, R., Voesenek, L.A.C.J., & Huber H. (2011). Fitness consequences of natural variation in flooding–induced shoot elongation in *Rumex palustris*. *New Phytologist* 190, 409–420.
- Colmer, T.D. (2003a). Long–distance transport of gases in plants: a perspective on internal aeration and radial oxygen loss from roots. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 26, 17–36.
- Colmer, T.D. (2003b). Aerenchyma and an inducible barrier to radial oxygen loss facilitate root aeration in upland, paddy and deepwater rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Annals of Botany* 91, 301–309.
- Colmer, T.D., & Greenway, H. (2005). Oxygen transport, respiration, and anaerobic carbohydrate catabolism in roots in flooded soils. *In* Plant respiration: from cell to ecosystem. H Lambers, M Rivas–Carbo (eds). Pp. 137–158. Springer, The Netherlands.
- Colmer, T.D. & Pedersen, O. (2008). Underwater photosynthesis and respiration in leaves of submerged wetland plants: gas films improve CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> exchange. New *Phytologist* 177, 918–926.
- Colmer, T.D. & Voesenek L.A.C.J. (2009). Flooding tolerance: suites of plant traits in variable environments. *Functional Plant Biology* 36, 665–681.
- Colmer, T.D., Cox, M.C.H. & Voesenek, LA.C.J. (2006). Root aeration in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.): evaluation of oxygen, carbon dioxide, and ethylene as possible regulators of root acclimatizations. *New Phytologist* 170, 767–778.
- Colmer, T.D., Gibberd, M.R., Wiengweera, A. & Tinh, T.K. (1998). The barrier to radial oxygen loss from roots of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is induced by growth in stagnant solution. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 49, 1431–1436.
- Cox, M.C.H., Benschop, J.J., Vreeburg, R.A.M., Wagemaker, C.A.M., Moritz, T., Peeters, A.J.M. & Voesenek, L.A.C.J. (2004). The roles of ethylene, auxin, abscisic acid, and gibberellin in the hyponastic growth of submerged *Rumex palustris* petioles. *Plant Physiology* 136, 2948–2960.
- Cox, M.C.H., Millenaar, F.F., van Berkel, Y.E.M., Peeters, A.J.M. & Voesenek, L.A.C.J. (2003). Plant Movement. Submergence–induced petiole elongation in *Rumex palustris* depends on hyponastic growth. *Plant Physiology* 132: 282–291.
- Crawford, R.M.M. (2003). Seasonal differences in plant responses to flooding and anoxia. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 81, 1224–1246.
- Davies, W.J. & Zhang, J. (1991). Root signals and the regulation of growth and development of plants in drying soil. *Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology* 42, 55–76.
- De Simone, O., Haase, K., Müller, E., Junk, W., Hartmann, K., Schreiber, L. & Schmidt W. (2003). Apoplasmic barriers and oxygen transport properties of hypodermal cell walls in roots from four Amazonian tree species. *Plant Physiology* 132, 206–217
- Else, M.A., Coupland, D., Dutton, L. & Jackson M.B. (2001). Decreased root hydraulic conductivity reduces leaf water potential, initiates stomatal closure and slows leaf expansion in flooded plants of castor oil (*Ricinus communis*) despite diminished delivery of ABA from the roots to the shoots in xylem sap. *Physiologia Plantarum*  111, 46–54.
- Else, M.A., Davies W.J., Malone, M. & Jackson M.B. (1995). A negative hydraulic message from oxygen–deficient roots of tomato plants? Influence of soil flooding on leaf water potential, leaf expansion, and synchrony between stomatal conductance and root hydraulic conductivity. *Plant Physiology* 109, 1017–1024.
- Else, M.A., Tiekstra, A.E., Croker, S.J., Davies, W.J. & Jackson, M.B. (1996). Stomatal closure in flooded tomato plants involves abscisic acid and a chemically unidentified anti– transpirant in xylem sap. *Plant Physiology* 112, 239–247.
- Engelaar, W.M.H.G., Jacobs, M.H.H.E. & Blom, C.W.P.M. (1993). Root growth of *Rumex* and *Plantago* species in compacted and waterlogged soils. *Acta Botanica Neerlandica* 42, 23–35.
- Evans, D. E. (2003). Aerenchyma formation. *New Phytologist* 161, 35–49.
- Fan, M., Bai, R., Zhao, X. & Zhang, J. (2007). Aerenchyma formed under phosphorus deficiency contributes to the reduced root hydraulic conductivity in maize roots. *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology* 49, 598–604.
- Fiedler, S., Vepraskas, M. J. & Richardson J.L. (2007). Soil redox potential: importance, field measurements, and observations. *Advances in Agronomy* 94, 2–56.
- Folzer, H., Dat, J.F., Capelli, N., Rieffel, D. & Badot, P.M. (2006). Response of sessile oak seedlings to flooding: an integrated study. *Tree Physiology* 26, 759–766.
- Foyer, C.H., Descourvieres, P. & Kunert, K.J. (1994). Protection against oxygen radicals: an important defense mechanism studied in transgenic plant. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 17, 507–523.
- Fukao, T., Xu, K., Ronald, P.C. & Bailey–Serres J.A. (2006). Variable cluster of ethylene response factor–like genes regulates metabolic and developmental acclimation responses to submergence in rice. *Plant Cell* 18, 2021–2034.
- Fukao, T. & Bailey–Serres J.A. (2008). Submergence tolerance conferred by *Sub1A* is mediated by SLR1 and SLRL1 restriction of gibberellin responses in rice. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 105, 16814–16819.
- Gibberd, M.R., Gray, J.D., Cocks, P.S. & Colmer, T.D. (2001). Waterlogging tolerance among a diverse range of *Trifolium* accessions is related to root porosity, lateral root formation and aerotropic rooting. *Annals of Botany* 88, 579–589.
- Gibbs, J. & Greenway, H. (2003). Mechanisms of anoxia tolerance in plants. I. Growth, survival and anaerobic catabolism. *Functional Plant Biology* 30, 1–47.
- Grassini, P., Indaco, G.V., López Pereira, M., Hall, A.J. & Trápani, N. (2007). Responses to short–term waterlogging during grain filling in sunflower. *Field Crops Research* 101, 352–363.
- Grimoldi, A.A., Insausti, P., Roitman, G.G. & Soriano, A. (1999). Responses to flooding intensity in *Leontodon taraxacoides*. *New Phytologist* 141, 119–128.
- Grimoldi, A.A., Insausti, P., Vasellati, V. & Striker, G.G. (2005) Constitutive and plastic root traits and their role in differential tolerance to soil flooding among coexisting species of a lowland grassland. *International Journal of Plant Sciences* 166, 805–813.
- Groh, B., Hübner, C. & Lendzian, K.J. (2002). Water and oxygen permeance of phellems isolated from trees: the role of waxes and lenticels. *Planta* 215, 794–801.
- Gunawardena, A.H.L.A., Pearce, D.M., Jackson, M.B., Hawes, C.R. & Evans, D.E. (2001) Characterisation of programmed cell death during aerenchyma formation induced by ethylene or hypoxia in roots of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Planta* 212, 205–214.
- Hattori, Y., Nagai, K., Furukawa, S., Song, X.J., Kawano, R., Sakakibara, H., Wu, J., Matsumoto, T., Yoshimura, A., Kitano, H., Matsuoka, M., Mori, H. & Ashikari, M. (2009). The ethylene response factors *SNORKEL1* and *SNORKEL2* allow rice to adapt to deep water. *Nature* 460, 1026–1030.
- Hattori, Y., Nagai, K. & Ashikari, M. (2010). Rice growth adapting to deep water. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 14, 1–6.
- He, C.J., Drew, M.C. & Morgan, P.W. (1994). Induction of enzymes associated with lysigenous earenchyma formation in roots of *Zea mays* during hypoxia or nitrogen starvation. *Plant Physiology* 105, 861–865.
- Heydarian, Z., Sasidharan, R., Cox, M.C.H., Pierik, R., Voesenek, L.A.C.J. & Peeters, A.J.M. (2010). A kinetic analysis of hyponastic growth and petiole elongation upon ethylene exposure in *Rumex palustris*. *Annals of Botany* 106, 429–435.
- Huang, B., Johnson, J.W., Nesmith, D.S. & Bridges, D.C. (1994). Growth, physiological and anatomical responses of two wheat genotypes to waterlogging and nutrient supply. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 45, 193–202.
- Huang, B., Johnson, J.W., Box, J.E. & NeSmith, D.S. (1997). Root characteristics and hormone activity of wheat in response to hypoxia and ethylene. *Crop Science* 37, 812–818.
- Insausti, P., Grimoldi, A.A., Chaneton, E.J. & Vasellati, V. (2001). Flooding induces a suite of adaptive plastic responses in the grass *Paspalum dilatatum*. *New Phytologist* 152, 291– 299.
- Islam, M.A. & Macdonald, S.E. (2004). Ecophysiological adaptations of black spruce (*Picea mariana*) and tamarack (*Larix laricina*) seedlings to flooding. *Trees* 18, 35–42.
- Jackson, M.B. (2002). Long–distance signalling from roots to shoots assessed: the flooding story. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 53, 175–181.
- Jackson, M.B. (2004). The impact of flooding stress on plants and crops. http://www.plantstress.com/Articles/waterlogging\_i/waterlog\_i.htm [Verified 28 July 2011].
- Jackson, M.B. (2008). Ethylene–promoted elongation: an adaptation to submergence stress. *Annals of Botany* 101, 229–248.
- Jackson, M.B. & Armstrong, W. (1999) Formation of aerenchyma and the processes of plant ventilation in relation to soil flooding and submergence. *Plant Biology* 1, 274–287.
- Jackson, M.B. & Drew, M. (1984). Effects of flooding on growth and metabolism of herbaceous plants. *In* Flooding and plant growth. T T Kozlowski (ed). pp. 47–128. Academic Press Inc., Orlando, Florida.
- Jackson, M.B. & Hall, K.C. (1987). Early stomatal closure in flooded pea plants is mediated by abscisic acid in the absence of foliar water deficits. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 10, 121–130.
- Jackson, M.B., Drew M.C. & Giffard S.C. (1981). Effects of applying ethylene to the root system of *Zea mays* L. on growth and nutrient concentration in relation to flooding. *Physiologia Plantarum* 52, 23–28.
- Jackson, M.B., Saker, L.R., Crisp, C.M., Else, M.A. & Janowiak F. (2003). Ionic and pH signalling from roots to shoots of flooded tomato plants in relation to stomatal closure. *Plant and Soil* 253, 103–113.
- Jackson, M.B., Fenning, T.M., Drew, M.C. & Saker, L.R. (1985). Stimulation of ethylene production and gas–space (aerenchyma) formation in adventitious roots of *Zea mays* L. by small partial pressures of oxygen. *Planta* 165, 486–492.
- James, E.K. & Sprent, J.I. (1999). Development of  $N_2$ -fixing nodules on the wetland legume *Lotus uliginosus* exposed to conditions of flooding. *New Phytologist* 142, 219–231.
- Justin, S.H.F.W. & Armstrong, W. (1987) The anatomical characteristics of roots and plant response to soil flooding. *New Phytologist* 106, 465–495.
- Kotula, L., Ranathunge, K., Schreiber L. & Steudle, E. (2009). Functional and chemical comparison of apoplastic barriers to radial oxygen loss in roots of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) grown in aerated or deoxygenated solution *Journal of Experimental Botany* 60, 2155–2167.
- Kozlowski, T.T. & Pallardy, S.G. (1984). Effects of flooding on water, carbohydrate and mineral relations. *In* Flooding and plant growth. T T Kozlowski (ed). pp. 165–193. Academic Press Inc., Orlando, Florida.
- Kozlowski, T.T. (1997). Responses of woody plants to flooding and salinity. Tree Physiology Monograph 1. http://www.heronpublishing.com/tp/monograph/kozlowski.pdf [Verified 28 July 2011].
- Kulichikhin, K.Y., Greenway, H., Bryne, L. & Colmer T.D. (2009). Regulation of intracellular pH during anoxia in rice coleoptiles in acid and near neutral conditions. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 60, 2119–2128.
- Laan, P., Tosserams, M., Blom, C.W.P.M. & Veen, B.W. (1990). Internal oxygen transport in *Rumex species* and its significance for respiration under hypoxic conditions. *Plant & Soil* 122, 39–46.
- Lenssen, J.P.M., Van de Steeg, H.M. & de Kroon, H. (2004). Does disturbance favour weak competitors? Mechanisms of altered plant abundance after flooding. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 15, 305–314.
- Liao, C.T. & Lin, C.H. (1994). Effect of flooding stress on photosynthetic activities of Momordica charantia. *Plant Physiology & Biochemistry* 32, 479–485
- Liao, C.T. & Lin, C.H. (2001). Physiological adaptation of crop plants to flooding stress. *Proceeding of the National Science Council, Republic of China Part B* 25, 148–157.
- Liu, J.H. & Reid, D.M. (1992). Auxin and ethylene–stimulated advantitious rooting in relation to tissue sensitivity to auxin and ethylene production in sunflower hypocotyls. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 43, 1191–1198.
- Loreti, J. & Oesterheld, M. (1996). Intraspecific variation in the resistance to flooding and drought in populations of *Paspalum dilatatum* from different topographic positions. *Oecologia* 108, 279–284.
- Lynn, D.E. & Waldren, S. (2003). Survival of *Ranunculus repens* L. (creeping buttercup) in an amphibious habitat. *Annals of Botany* 91, 75–84.
- Malik, A.I., Colmer, T.D., Lambers, H. & Schortemeyer, M. (2001). Changes in physiological and morphological traits of roots and shoots of wheat in response to different depths of waterlogging. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology* 28, 1121–1131.
- Malik, A.I., Colmer, T.D., Lambers, H., Setter, T.L. & Schortemeyer, M. (2002). Short–term waterlogging has long–term effects on the growth and physiology of wheat. *New Phytologist* 153, 225–236.
- Malik, A.I., Islam, A.K.M.R. & Colmer, T.D. (2011). Transfer of the barrier to radial oxygen loss in roots of *Hordeum marinum* to wheat (*Triticum aestivum*): evaluation of four *H. marinum*–wheat amphiploids. *New Phytologist* 190, 499–508.
- Mancuso, S. & Shabala, S. (2010). Waterlogging signalling and tolerance in plants. Pp 294. Springer–Verlag Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Manzur, M.E., Grimoldi, A.A., Insausti, P. & Striker G.G. (2009). Escape from water or remain quiescent? *Lotus tenuis* changes its strategy depending on depth of submergence. *Annals of Botany* 104, 1163–1169.
- McDonald, M.P. & Visser, E.J.W. (2003). A study of the interaction between auxin and ethylene in wildtype and transgenic ethylene insensitive tobacco during adventitious root formation induced by stagnant root zone conditions. *Plant Biology* 5, 550–556.
- McDonald, M.P., Galwey, N.W. & Colmer, T.D. (2002). Similarity and diversity in adventitious root anatomy as related to root aeration among a range of wet– and dry–land grass species. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 25, 441–451.
- Mielke, M.S., Almeida, A–AF., Gomes, F.P., Aguilar, M.A.G. & Mangabeira, P.A.O. (2003). Leaf gas exchange, chlorophyll fluorescence and growth responses of *Genipa americana* seedlings to soil flooding. *Environmental & Experimental Botany* 50, 221– 231.
- Mollard, F.P.O., Striker, G.G., Ploschuk, E.L. & Insausti, P. (2010). Subtle topographical differences along a floodplain promote different plant strategies among *Paspalum dilatatum* subspecies and populations. *Austral Ecology* 35, 189–196.
- Mollard, F.P.O., Striker, G.G., Ploschuk, E.L., Vega, A.S. & Insausti, P. (2008). Flooding tolerance of *Paspalum dilatatum* (Poaceae: Paniceae) from upland and lowland positions in a natural grassland. *Flora* 203, 548–556.
- Mommer, L. & Visser, E.J.W. (2005). Underwater photosynthesis in flooded terrestrial plants: a matter of leaf plasticity. *Annals of Botany* 96, 581–589.
- Mommer, L., Pedersen, O. & Visser, E.J.W. (2004). Acclimation of a terrestrial plant to submergence facilitates gas exchange under water. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 27, 1281–1287.
- Murchie, E.H. & Niyogi, K.K. (2011). Manipulation of photoprotection to improve plant photosynthesis. *Plant Physiology* 155, 86–92.
- Naidoo, G. & Mundree, S.G. (1993). Relationship between morphological and physiological responses to waterlogging and salinity in *Sporobolus virginicus* (L.) Kunth. *Oecologia* 93, 360–366.
- Noctor, G. & Foyer, C.H. (1998). Ascorbate and glutathione: Keeping active oxygen under control. *Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology* 49, 249–279.
- Parelle, J., Roudaut, J–P. & Ducrey, M. (2006). Light acclimation and photosynthetic response of beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) saplings under artificial shading or natural Mediterranean conditions. *Annals of Forest Science* 63, 257–266.
- Parent, C., Capelli, N. & Dat, J. (2008). Reactive oxygen species, stress and cell death in plants. *Comptes Rendus – Biologies* 331, 255–261.
- Parolin, P. (2009). Submerged in darkness: adaptations to prolonged submergence by woody species of the Amazonian floodplains. *Annals of Botany* 103, 359–376.
- Pedersen, O., Binzer, T. & Borum, J. (2004). Sulfide intrusion in eelgrass (*Zostera marina* L.). *Plant, Cell & Environment* 27, 595–602.
- Pereira, J.S. & Kozlowski, T.T. (1977). Variations among woody angiosperms in response to flooding. *Physiologia Plantarum* 41, 184–192.
- Pezeshki, S.R. (1994). Responses of baldcypress (*Taxodium distichum*) seedlings to hypoxia: Leaf protein content, ribulose–1,5–bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase activity and photosynthesis. *Photosynthetica* 30, 59–68.
- Pezeshki, S.R. (1996). Responses of three bottomland species with different flood tolerance capabilities to various flooding regimes. *Wetlands Ecology & Management* 4, 245–256.
- Pezeshki, S.R. (2001). Wetland plant responses to soil flooding. *Environmental &Experimental Botany* 46, 299–312.
- Pezeshki, S.R. & DeLaune, R.D. (1998). Responses of seedlings of selected woody species to soil oxidation–reduction conditions. *Environmental & Experimental Botany* 40, 123– 133.
- Pierik, R., van Aken, J.M. & Voesenek L.A.C.J. (2009). Is elongation–induced leaf emergence beneficial for submerged *Rumex* species? *Annals of Botany* 103, 353–357.
- Ponnamperuma, F.N. (1972). Chemistry of submerged soils. *Advances in Agronomy* 24, 29–95.
- Ponnamperuma, F.N. (1984). Effects of flooding on soils. *In* Flooding and Plant Growth. T.T. Kozlowski (ed). pp 9–45. Academic Press, Orlando, Florida.
- Postma, J.A. & Lynch, J.P. (2011). Root cortical aerenchyma enhances the growth of maize on soils with suboptimal availability of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium. *Plant Physiology* 156, 1190–1201.
- Ram, P.C., Singh, B.B., Singh, A.K., Ram, P., Singh, P.N,. Singh, H.P., Boamfa, I., Harren, F., Santosa, E., Jackson, M.B., Setter, T.L., Reuss, J., Wade, L.J., Pal Singh, V. & Singh, R.K. (2002). Submergence tolerance in rainfed lowland rice: Physiological basis and prospects for cultivar improvement through marker–aided breeding. *Field Crops Research* 76, 131–152.
- Ranathunge, K., Lin, J., Steudle, E. & Schreiber, L. (2011). Stagnant deoxygenated growth enhances root suberization and lignifications, but differentially affects water and NaCl permeabilities in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) roots. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 34, 1223–1240.
- Sachs, M. & Vartapetian, B. (2007). Plant anaerobic stress I. Metabolic adaptation to oxygen deficiency. *Plant Stress* 1, 123–135.
- Sand–Jensen, K. (1989). Environmental variables and their effect on photosynthesis of aquatic plant communities. *Aquatic Botany* 34, 5–25.
- Schussler, E.E. & Longstreth, D.J. (2000). Changes in cell structure during the formation of root aerenchyma in *Sagittaria lancifolia* (Alismataceae). *American Journal of Botany* 87, 12–19.
- Schlüter, U. & Crawford, R.M.M. (2001). Long–term anoxia tolerance in leaves of *Acorus calamus* L. and *Iris pseudacorus* L. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 52, 2213–2225.
- Seago, J.L., Marsh, L.C., Stevens, K.J., Soukup, A., Vortubová, O. & Enstone, D.E. (2005). A re–examination of the root cortex in wetland flowering plants with respect to aerenchyma. *Annals of Botany* 96, 565–579.
- Setter, T.L. & Laureles, E.V. (1996). The beneficial effect of reduced elongation growth on submergence tolerance of rice. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 47, 1551–1559.
- Shimamura, S., Mochizuki, T., Nada, Y. & Fukuyama M. (2003). Formation and function of secondary aerenchyma in hypocotyl, roots and nodules of soybean (*Glycine max*) under flooded conditions. *Plant & Soil* 251, 351–359.
- Shimamura, S., Yoshida, S. & Mochizuki, T. (2007). Cortical aerenchyma formation in hypocotyl and adventitious roots of *Luffa cylindrica* subjected to soil flooding. *Annals of Botany* 100, 1431–1439.
- Shimamura, S., Yamamoto, R., Nakamura, T., Shimada, S. & Komatsu, S. (2010). Stem hypertrophic lenticels and secondary aerenchyma enable oxygen transport to roots of soybean in flooded soil. *Annals of Botany* 106, 277–284.
- Shiono, K., Ogawa, S., Yamazaki, S., Isoda, H., Fujimura, T., Nakazono, M. & Colmer. T.D. (2011). Contrasting dynamics of radial  $O<sub>2</sub>$ -loss barrier induction and aerenchyma formation in rice roots of two lengths. *Annals of Botany* 107, 89–99.
- Smirnoff, N. & Crawford, R.M.M. (1983) Variation in the structure and response to flooding of root aerenchyma in some wetland plants. *Annals of Botany* 51, 237–249.
- Soriano, A. (1991). Río de la Plata Grasslands. In: Ecosystems of the world 8A. Natural grasslands. Introduction and Western Hemisphere, pp. 367–407 (R T Coupland Ed). Elsevier, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- Soukup, A., Votrubova, O. & Cizkova, H. (2002). Development of anatomical structure of roots of *Phragmites australis*. *New Phytologist* 153, 277–287.
- Stevens, K.J., Peterson, R.L. & Stephenson, G.R. (1997). Morphological and anatomical responses of Lythrum salicaria L. (purple loosestrife) to an imposed water gradient. *International Journal of Plant Sciences* 158, 172–183.
- Striker, G.G. (2008). Visiting the methodological aspects of flooding experiments: Quantitative evidence from agricultural and ecophysiological studies. *Journal of Agronomy & Crop Science* 194, 249–255.
- Striker, G.G., Insausti, P. & Grimoldi, A.A. (2007b). Effects of flooding at early summer on plant water relations of *Lotus tenuis*. *Lotus Newsletter* 37, 1-7.
- Striker, G.G., Insausti, P. & Grimoldi, A.A. (2008). Flooding effects on plant recovery from defoliation in the grass *Paspalum dilatatum* and the legume *Lotus tenuis*. *Annals of Botany* 102, 247–254.
- Striker, G.G., Manzur, M.E. & Grimoldi, A.A. (2011a). Increasing defoliation frequency constrains regrowth of *Lotus tenuis* under flooding. The role of crown reserves. *Plant & Soil* 343, 261–272.
- Striker, G.G., Insausti, P., Grimoldi, A.A. & León, R.J.C. (2006). Root strength and trampling tolerance in the grass *Paspalum dilatatum* and the dicot *Lotus glaber* in flooded soil. *Functional Ecology* 20, 4–10.
- Striker, G.G., Insausti, P., Grimoldi, A.A. & Vega, A.S. (2007a). Trade–off between root porosity and mechanical strength in species with different types of aerenchyma. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 30, 580–589.
- Striker, G.G., Izaguirre, R.F., Manzur, M.E. & Grimoldi, A.A. (2011b). Different strategies of *Lotus japonicus*, *L. corniculatus* and *L. tenuis* to deal with complete submergence at seedling stage. *Plant Biology* (doi:10.1111/j.1438–8677.2011.00493.x)
- Striker, G.G., Insausti, P., Grimoldi, A.A., Ploschuk, E.L. & Vasellati, V. (2005). Physiological and anatomical basis of differential tolerance to soil flooding of *Lotus corniculatus* L. and *Lotus glaber* Mill. *Plant & Soil* 276, 301–311.
- Teakle, N.L., Armstrong, J., Barrett–Lennard, E.G & Colmer, T.D. (2011). Aerenchymatous phellem in hypocotyl and roots enables O2 transport in *Melilotus siculus*. *New Phytologist* 190, 340–350.
- Titarenko, T.Y. (2000). Test parameters of revealing the degree of fruit plants tolerance to the root hypoxia caused flooding of soil. *Plant Physiology & Biochemistry* 38, 115.
- Tournaire–Roux, C., Sutka, M., Javot, H., Gout, E., Gerbeau, P., Luu, D.T., Richard Bligny, R. & Maurel, C. (2003). Cytosolic pH regulates root water transport during anoxic stress through gating of aquaporins. *Nature* 425, 393–397.
- Vartapetian, B.B. & Jackson, M. (1997). Plant adaptations to anaerobic stress. *Annals of Botany* 79, 3–20.
- Vashisht, D., Hesselink, A., Pierik, R., Ammerlaan, J.M.H., Bailey–Serres, J., Visser, E.J.W., Pedersen, O., van Zanten, M., Vreugdenhil, D., Jamar, D.C.L., Voesenek L.A.C.J. & Sasidharan, R. (2011). Natural variation of submergence tolerance among *Arabidopsis thaliana* accessions. *New Phytologist* 190, 299–310.
- Verdoucq, L., Grondin, A. & Maurel, C. (2008). Structure–function analyses of plant aquaporin AtPIP2;1 gating by divalent cations and protons. *The Biochemical Journal* 415, 409–416.
- Visser, E.J.W. & Bögemann, G.M. (2006). Aerenchyma formation in the wetland plant *Juncus effusus* is independent of ethylene. *New Phytologist* 171, 305–314.
- Visser, E.J.W. & Voesenek, L.A.C.J. (2004). Acclimation to soil flooding sensing and signal– transduction. *Plant & Soil* 254,197–214.
- Visser, E.J.W., Cohen, J.D., Barendse, G.W.M., Blom, C.W.P.M. & Voesenek, L.A.C.J. (1996). An ethylene–mediated increase in sensitivity to auxin induces adventitious root formation in flooded *Rumex palustris* Sm. *Plant Physiology* 112, 1687–1692.
- Visser, E.J.W., Colmer, T.D., Blom, C.W.P.M & Voesenek, L.A.C.J. (2000). Changes in growth, porosity, and radial oxygen loss from adventitious roots of selected mono– and dicotyledonous wetland species with contrasting types of aerenchyma. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 23, 1237–1245.
- Visser, E.J.W., Heijink, C.J., Van Hout, K.J.G.M., Voesenek, L.A.C.J., Barendse, G.W.M. & Blom, C.W.P.M. (1995). Regulatory role of auxin in adventitious root formation in two species of *Rumex*, differing in their sensitivity to waterlogging. *Physiologia Plantarum* 93, 116–122.
- Voesenek, L.A.C.J., Harren, F.J., Bögemann, G.M., Blom, C.W.P.M. & Reuss, J. (1990). Ethylene production and petiole growth in *Rumex* plants induced by soil waterlogging: the application of a continuous flow system and a laser driven intracavity photoacoustic detection system. *Plant Physiology* 94, 1071–1077.
- Voesenek, L.A.C.J., Banga, M., Thier, R.H., Mudde, C.M., Harren, F.J.M., Barendse, G.W.M. & Blom, C.W.P.M. (1993). Submergence–induced ethylene synthesis, entrapment, and growth in two plant species with contrasting flooding resistances. *Plant Physiology* 103, 783–791.
- Voesenek, L.A.C.J., Rijnders, J., Peeters,A.J.M.,Van de Steeg H.M.V. & De Kroon, H. (2004). Plant hormones regulate fast shoot elongation under water: from genes to communities. *Ecology* 85, 16–27.
- Voesenek, L.A.C.J., Colmer, T.D., Pierik, R., Millenaar, F.F. & Peeters, A.J.M. (2006). How plants cope with complete submergence. *New Phytologist* 170, 213–226.
- Vriezen, W.H., De Graaf, B., Mariani, C. & Voesenek, L.A.C.J. (2000). Submergence induces expansin gene expression in flooding–tolerant *Rumex palustris* and not in flooding intolerant *R. acetosa*. *Planta* 210, 956–963.
- Vu, J.C.V. & Yelenosky, G. (1991). Photosynthetic responses of citrus trees to soil flooding. *Physiologia Plantarum* 91, 7–14.
- Wample, R.L. & Davis, R.W. (1983). Effect of flooding on starch accumulation in chloroplasts of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Plant Physiology* 73, 195–198.
- Wegner, L.H. (2010). Oxygen transport in waterlogged plants. *In* Waterlogging Signalling and Tolerance in Plants. S Mancuso & S Shabala (eds). pp. 3–22. Springer–Verlag Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Xu, K., Xu, X., Fukao, T., Canlas, P., Marghirang–Rodriguez, R., Heuer, S., Ismail, A.M., Bailey–Serres, J., Ronald, P.C. & Mackill, D.J. (2006). *Sub1A* is an ethylene– response–factor–like gene that confers submergence tolerance to rice. *Nature* 442, 705–708.
- Yordanova, R.Y. & Popova, L.P. (2001). Photosynthetic response of barley plants to soil flooding. *Photosynthetica* 39, 515–520.
- Yordanova, R., Christov, K. & Popova, L. (2004). Antioxidative enzymes in barley plants subjected to soil flooding. *Environmental & Experimental Botany* 51, 93–101.



**Botany** Edited by Dr. John Mworia

ISBN 978-953-51-0355-4 Hard cover, 226 pages **Publisher** InTech **Published online** 16, March, 2012 **Published in print edition** March, 2012

This book is devoted to botany and covers topical issues in this diverse area of study. The contributions are designed for researchers, graduate students and professionals. The book also presents reviews of current issues in plant-environment interactions making it useful to environmental scientists as well. The book is organized in three sections. The first section includes contributions on responses to flood stress, tolerance to drought and desiccation, phytotoxicity to Chromium and Lead; the second has aspects of economic botany including a review of Smut disease in sugarcane and properties of plant extract used Tassaboount date juice; the last covers topical issues on morphogenesis and genetics on cotton fiber special cell, secretory glands Asphodelus aestivus flower ,pollen tube growth in Leucojum aestivum , morphological studies of Ardisia crenata complex, and hybrid lethality in the Genus Nicotiana.

#### **How to reference**

In order to correctly reference this scholarly work, feel free to copy and paste the following:

Gustavo Gabriel Striker (2012). Flooding Stress on Plants: Anatomical, Morphological and Physiological Responses, Botany, Dr. John Mworia (Ed.), ISBN: 978-953-51-0355-4, InTech, Available from: http://www.intechopen.com/books/botany/flooding-stress-on-plants-anatomical-morphological-andphysiological-responses

# INTECH open science | open minds

**InTech Europe**

University Campus STeP Ri Slavka Krautzeka 83/A 51000 Rijeka, Croatia Phone: +385 (51) 770 447 Fax: +385 (51) 686 166 www.intechopen.com

#### **InTech China**

Unit 405, Office Block, Hotel Equatorial Shanghai No.65, Yan An Road (West), Shanghai, 200040, China 中国上海市延安西路65号上海国际贵都大饭店办公楼405单元 Phone: +86-21-62489820 Fax: +86-21-62489821

© 2012 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License, which permits [unrestricted](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0) use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.