Restoration of Forest Ecosystems on Disturbed Lands on the Northern Forest Distribution Border (North-East of European Russia)

Irina Likhanova and Inna Archegova
Institute of Biology Komi SC UrD RAS
Russia

1. Introduction

Since the second half of the 20th century, due to active growth of minerals extraction in the European North of Russia, the area of disturbed forest ecosystems is steadily enlarges. It is known that disturbance of forest ecosystems leads to environmental unsteadiness and biodiversity decrease. It is vital to mention, that forest destruction negatively affects the traditional way of life of local people who depend on forest resources.

Natural forest destruction is a global problem. According to Losev K.S. et al. (2005) it is noticed that intensive growth of cultivated areas in the world is generally connected with forest destruction. About 63% of land on the planet is developed, what leads to infringement of balance stability if elements biogens (biological circulation of substances) and infraction of biosphere steadiness – habitat of human beings. It is noticed that in present time it is essential “to stop the destruction of this the most important ecological resource and then start natural restoration of forest ecosystems” (p. 78). It is vital for northern conditions, where forest ecosystems are particularly vulnerable to technogenic impact. Taiga forest ecosystems are easily disturbed and slowly self-recover after technogenic impact. This phenomenon was thoroughly surveyed by V.V. Ponomareva (1970, 1980). She wrote that forests are adjusted to strongly expressed eluvial conditions, “...forests minimize leaching of biophil elements from soil by accumulation them not in soil but in their huge always-living phytomass; (forests – ed.) exist from the autonomous above-soil circulation of elements between living organisms and their dying remnants which concentrate on soil surface” (Ponomareva, Plotnikova, 1980; P. 188). Thus, soils under taiga forests are characterized with high moisture content and so biological circulation in such ecosystems is nearer to an autonomous and close type. Huge perennial phytomass of tree plants holds organic elements it has assimilated from the upper earth’s crust layer and partly gives them back with tree waste; then dead leaves and branches on soil surface are decomposed and provide for a new portion of nutrient for roots in soil litter. In this connection, forest soils have a very thin organic (productive) layer underlain by almost non-productive mineral layers with low absorbing capacity and containing practically none of plant nutrients. Technogenic interference easily destroys a thin organic soil layer and bares biologically inert mineral soil

horizons which are not appreciated for plants. Restoration of disturbed forests on poor and strongly moist substratum is kind of difficult. In consequence, formerly forested areas undergo quick erosion and so their restoration further slows down.

The above-said information evidences a necessity for man-induced maintenance of ecosystem restoration on disturbed lands. In this article the results of investigation method are presented in comparative way. Method that accelerates the process of taiga forest ecosystem restoration is compared to traditional ways of forest cultivation.

2. Objects and investigation methods

We have studied different restoration modes of forest ecosystems in the Usinsk region of the Komi Republic (Russia). This particular region is characterized by severe climatic conditions. Annual air temperature is -3.2°C . The coldest month is January with mean temperature -18.4°C . Snow cover holds 200 days and is 48 cm high. The period with mean daily temperatures over $+5^{\circ}\text{C}$ is 110 days. Mean air temperature in July, the warmest month, is $+13.8^{\circ}\text{C}$. Annual precipitation is 474 mm, among them 159 mm precipitate during vegetation period (June-August) (Scientific-applied reference book..., 1989).

Principal vegetation of the study region, which is located in far north taiga subzone, is forests that intermixed with large marshes; 10% of the area is covered by tundra vegetation (Yudin, 1954). Dominant are forest stands sparse spruce and spruce-birch forests with crown density of 0.3-0.5, height of trees 8-15 m, quality (bonitet) classes of tree stands V-Va. Forest composition mainly includes *Pinus sylvestris* and *Larix sibirica*. Most popular are long-moss forests, less represented are green-moss and sphagnum forest types.

The typical soil types of the region are boggy-podzolic, gley-podzolic, tundra-boggy, and boggy peaty soils (Podzolic soils..., 1981). Soil-forming rocks are moraine loams and sandy deposits formed in the glacier period. Sandy rocks are overlain by differently-moist soils as illuvial-humus-iron podzols, weakly peaty-podzolic-gley illuvial-humus soils; fine-textured loams are overlain by gley-podzolic soils and weakly peaty-podzolic-weakly gley soils.

The base of region's economy is oil-gas extracting and processing industries. Expansion of those industries leads to enlargement of the area of disturbed lands, including forest ecosystems. Sand pits are the most common technogenic objects within the Usinsk region. Sandy material excavated from pits is used for building roads, making bore sites and bore drills, etc. Restoration of vegetation cover in severe climatic conditions on sandy technogenic substrata, poor in nutrients, proceeds extremely slowly. Consequently, the restoration method of forest ecosystems on such lands is very much required for development.

Experimental plots were used in order to study the efficiency rate of forest ecosystems' restoration. Particularly, vegetation cover was studied using common geo-botanical methods (Field geo-botany, 1964), tree species that are planted on experimental plots were monitored by common study methods of forest cultures (Ogievskiy, Khirov, 1964). Soil type's description and soil samples' analysis (soil pH, organic C, exchangeable Ca, Mg, hydrolysable N, P_2O_5 , K_2O) were done by the general methods (Agrochemical methods..., 1975; Theory and practice of soil chemical investigation, 2006). Humus composition was evaluated by the Tyurin method in Ponomareva-and-Plotnikova modification (1975).

3. Results and its discussion

Investigations of new ways of forest ecosystem were done in a way of comparative analysis with traditional methods of forest recultivation.

Traditional restoration methods of forest ecosystems in the North The main methodological position of traditional restoration methods of disturbed forest ecosystems is the resource approach aiming at planting forest cultures of the principal forest-forming species as spruce and pine. In other words, the task of traditional technology is to create forest plantations and not to restore earlier destroyed forest ecosystem of previous quality (Losev et al., 2005). Coniferous cultures are planted at the age of 1-3 years and have open roots; no ground treatment is meant.

First forest cultures in the Usinsk region were planted in 1958 by the personnel of the Usinsk leskhoz. From 1991 to 2007 forest cultures were planted on area of 1020.8 ha. Among this figure, the share of *Pinus sylvestris* made 53.6%, that of *Picea obovata* 27.6%, and that of *Pinus sibirica* 1.5%. Willow young trees were also planted for ground fixing with portion of 17.3%. Most forest cultures (44.8%) were planted on pits.

We have observed the sites being reforested by common restoration methods. The sites are located on the most usual sample of technogenic disturbance, in our case on 8 b technogenic pit (N 66°16', E 57°16').

Sandy material on 8 b pit is characterized by low content of clay (sum of particles <0.01 is less than 6%) (Table 1). This is responsible for low absorption and moisture content values; ground can be easily water- or wind-eroded.

Sampling depth (cm)	Hygroscopic moisture, %	HCl ignition losses	Number of particles (%) with diameter of:						Sum of particles >0.01	Sum of particles <0.01
			1.0-0.25	0.25-0.05	0.05-0.01	0.01-0.005	0.005-0.001	<0.001		
0-20	0.39	0.00	11.35	81.88	0.66	1.47	0.30	4.34	93.89	6.11
20-40	0.43	0.37	11.94	80.59	2.11	0.04	0.64	4.67	94.64	5.36

Table 1. Texture composition of technogenic material on 8 b pit.

The 8 "b" pit was partly planted with 3-year-old pine trees in 2001 without previous ground treatment.

On the second year after planting, the content of nitrogen, an important biogenic element, made 0.2 mg / 100 g a.d.s. which corresponded with low organic carbon content (Table 2). On the eighth year, no significant quantitative changes in composition of nutrients and absorbed bases were observed; content of organic carbon resisted low.

Seven years after planting the survival rate of plantings made 50%, tree height 53 cm, and crown diameter 43 cm. Above presented data is general. Pine plantings were underdeveloped because of poor concentration of nutrients in substratum and so were susceptible to the (snow) Schütte disease which stroke 60% of remaining pines. This disease additionally inhibits the growth of pines and often causes their death. Soil cover was underdeveloped with total projection cover under 1%. 7 plant pioneers were identified (*Festuca ovina*, *Chamaenerion angustifolium*, *Hieracium umbellatum*, *Equisetum arvense*, *Carex*

arctisibirica, *Rumex acetosella*), also in microdepressions mosses of the genus *Polytrichum* and *Ceratodon purpureus*, lichens of the *Stereocaulon* genus.

Year	Sampling depth, cm	pH _{water}	C _{org.} , %	N _{hygr.}	P ₂ O ₅	K ₂ O	Ca ²⁺	Mg ²⁺
				mg / 100 g a.d.s.			mM/100 g a.d.s.	
2002	0-10	5.9	0.1	0.2	8.4	2.5	0.3	0.2
	10-20	5.9	0.3	0.3	8.0	2.8	0.2	0.2
2008	0-10	6.0	0.3	0.1	9.4	2.3	0.3	0.2
	10-20	5.9	0.4	0.4	7.9	3.1	0.2	0.2

Table 2. Agrochemical indices of substratum planted with 3-year-old pine cultures.

Thus, unfavorable properties of ground material did not provide for the active self-restoring process.

The other common restoration method of disturbed area is planting willow. Willow cultures were planted on the above-mentioned 8 “b” pit under the leadership of the ecologist of the OSC “Northern Oil” V.I. Parfenyuk in 1991 without previous substratum treatment. The distance between plantings in a row was 25 cm and between rows 2 m. On the twelfth planting year (2002) only 20% of planted trees remained alive and were about 1 m high. Single herbaceous plants *Festuca ovina*, *Chamaenerion angustifolium*, *Hieracium umbellatum*, *Equisetum arvense* were observed between rows. Those plant species are typical of the initial stage of self-restoring succession (Table 3). Herbaceous layer projective cover made less than 1%.

Species	Availability, %			Projective cover, %			Height, cm
	2002	2006	2011	2002	2006	2011	
Herbaceous plants:							
<i>Carex arctisibirica</i> (Jurtz.) Czer.	-	7	5	-	<1	<1	15
<i>Chamaenerion angustifolium</i> (L.) Scop	16	29	20	<1	<1	<1	20-30
<i>Equisetum arvense</i> L.	48	56	50	1	<1	<1	10
<i>Festuca ovina</i> L.	25	53	60	<1	<1	<1	10-25
<i>Hieracium umbellatum</i> L.	24	29	20	<1	<1	<1	20-30
<i>Leucanthemum vulgare</i> Lam.	4	-	-	<1	-	-	10
<i>Rumex acetosella</i> L.	36	14	5	<1	<1	<1	15-20
<i>Solidago virgaurea</i> L.	-	17	10	-	<1	<1	10-25
<i>Tripleurospermum perforatum</i> (Merat.) M.Lainz	8	7	-	<1	<1	-	10
<i>Avenella flexuosa</i> L.	-	-	5	-	-	<1	25
Mosses:							
<i>Bryum</i> sp.	-	7	5	-	<1	<1	1
<i>Ceratodon purpureus</i> (Hedw.) Brid.	-	7	10	-	<1	1	1
<i>Polytrichum piliferum</i> Hedw.	-	7	60	-	<1	5	1
Lichens:							
<i>Stereocaulon paschale</i> (L.) Hoffm.	-	7	15	-	<1	<1	1-2
<i>Cladonia</i> sp.	-	-	7	-	-	<1	1-2

Note: «-» - not found.

Table 3. Species composition of soil cover at site planted with willow trees.

20 years after planting (2011), the health status of shrubby layer did not practically change; soil cover remained thin (Table 3). The surface of ground material was partly covered with algae film and protonema of mosses. Microdepressions hosted mosses of the *Polytrichum* genus and *Ceratodon purpureus*. Totally, 8 species of herbaceous plants and 3 mosses were identified at the area.

Chemical analysis of ground material samples on the twelfth willow planting year revealed a low content of biogenic elements and organic matter. On the sixteenth planting year the agrochemical parameters did not practically change (Table 4). As the herbaceous layer was very thin, sandy ground was susceptible to erosion. On the twelfth planting year we observed washed-out erosion hollow 2.5 m wide, 1 m deep, 5 m long. On the sixteenth planting year it increased in size with a depth of 1.5 m and a length over 10m.

Year	Sampling depth, cm	pH _{water}	C _{org.} , %	N _{hygr.}	P ₂ O ₅	K ₂ O	Ca ²⁺	Mg ²⁺
				mg / 100 g a.d.s.			mM/100 g a.d.s.	
2002	0-10	5.9	0.2	0.1	9.4	2.3	0.3	0.2
	10-20	5.9	0.2	0.4	7.8	3.1	0.2	0.2
2005	0-2*	5.9	1.2	1.7	9.7	0.7	1.4	0.2
2006	0-5	5.8	0.2	0.1	7.9	6.5	0.8	0.6

Note: * - crust of algae and protonema in a small depression.

Table 4. Agrochemical parameters of ground material planted with willow trees.

Consequently, there was almost no positive effect from willow planting for post-technogenic substratum restoration.

The above-sited data allow for the following conclusion. While using the traditional restoration methods of disturbed forested areas, soil and vegetation cover formation is slow, what hampers restoration of the forest ecosystem as whole. To speed up restoration there is a need to apply complex methods aiming at development plant biogeocenosis, i.e. maintenance plant matter biological cycle, ensuring conditions for intensive plant cover formation on ground surface and organic matter accumulation in substratum. So, restoration the technogenically disturbed forest ecosystem in the North cannot be efficient without development the basic system components, first of all plant community including not only tree layer but also ground plant cover.

4. Main principles of the “nature restoration” conception and the complex of methods aimed at accelerated restoration of forest ecosystems on technogenically disturbed lands

The “nature restoration” conception was developed at the Institute of Biology Komi SC UrD RAS under the leadership of Dr. I.B. Archegova. This conception means restoration of forest ecosystems including their initial structure and “functions” which, finally, ensures the integrity of the biosphere (Archegova, 1998). The “nature restoration” methodological conception operates forest as a system and ecosystem self-restoration as a succession process. From this point of view, ecosystem presents a system of the three main components as plant community, fauna-microbe complex that processes plant remnants and soil that is a productive (biogenic-accumulative) layer. These three components are integrated into ecosystem by means of organic (plant) matter biological cycle. In practice, the “nature restoration” system aims at restoration the ecosystem as a whole, not its single components,

tree layer in particular. The “nature restoration” methods should correspond with the regional climatic conditions, also taking into consideration regional economy, traditional regional nature management.

Northern ecosystems poorly resist technogenic impacts and slowly self-restore because of not only severe climatic conditions together with the presence of permafrost rocks but also because of a thin productive organic-accumulative layer (soil) which hosts the majority of nutrition elements, plant roots, and active microbiota. Mineral layer becomes visual after organic-accumulative layer disturbance. Mineral layer is unfavorable for biota self-restoration and so hampers the process of nature self-restoration, first of all plant community restoration. Consequently, any organic layer technogenic disturbance always has total nature ecosystem destruction as an aftereffect. The absence of vegetation cover for a long period of time speeds up erosion processes that only aggravate self-restoration of plant-soil cover. This situation requires development an active and up-to-date approach to restoration of disturbed forest ecosystems.

Based on the “nature restoration” conception we have developed the two-stage system of rapid (managed) “nature restoration” practical methods (Fig. 1). At the first “intensive” stage, we form herbaceous ecosystem and corresponding biogenic-accumulative layer in a short period of time, namely in 3-5 years, using complex agrotechnical treatments as applying organic and mineral fertilizers and sowing local perennial herbs. In the other words, this way reduces the usually long (up to 30-40 years) initial self-restoration succession stage. At the second “assimilation” stage, no agrotechnical treatments are used. The previously formed herbaceous community is gradually self-replaced by a zonal type of plant community or generally by forest ecosystem.

Fig. 1. The scheme of complex ‘nature restoration’ methods.

According to this sketch in 1991 we initiated the experiment on the 8 “b” pit located near the above discussed plots being restored by traditional methods. Intensive “nature restoration” methods included soil surface fertilization with peat in a 15-cm layer and with mineral fertilizers ($N_{60}P_{60}K_{60}$), sowing the herbaceous mixture of *Alopecurus pratensis* and *Poa pratensis* in a dose of 20 kg seeds/ha with proportion of seeds 1:1. Initial sandy substratum

contained 0.2% organic carbon, 0.1 hydrolysable nitrogen, 6.7 phosphorus and 2.0 mg/100 g a.d.s. potassium oxides. Sawn grasses were annually given a complex mineral fertilizer in a rate of 30 kg each mineral element/ha.

Three years later the plot grew into an intermediate herbaceous community (TPC 100%) of meadow type with corresponding meadow-like soil constituted of typical soddy horizon and humus horizon. Later there was no any kind of treatment. It was the beginning of the second restoration stage thereby tree plants inhabited the plot with gradual replacement of herbaceous ecosystem to forest ecosystem.

After intensive agrotechnical treatments, already the first ten years saw formation of woody-shrubby layer with a crown density of 0.1 of *Betula pubescens*, *Larix sibirica*, *Salix* species 1.5-2.5 m high (Table 5). Ground cover (TPC 100%) 10 years after restoration start was considerably composed of sown *Alopecurus pratensis* (PC 44%) and less by *Poa pratensis*. At the same time, 19 new non-sown herbaceous species were observed. Among them, *Festuca ovina*, *Chamaenerion angustifolium*, *Erigeron acris*, and *Solidago virgaurea* had highest projective cover figures (Table 8). Ground cover was largely composed of synanthropic species (*Rumex acetosella*, *Chamaenerion angustifolium*, *Crepis tectorum*, *Tripleurospermum perforatum*, *Tussilago farfara*, *Equisetum arvense*), characteristic of initial restoration stages of disturbed lands. The plot was inhabited by mosses and single *Cladonia* and *Peltigera* lichens; 5 mosses were totally identified dominated by polytrichum mosses (16%) (Table 8). Consequently, the first ten years after the experiment start there is an active replacement of intermediate herbaceous ecosystem by forest ecosystem with formation of woody layer of quickly-growing tree species. Ground cover was identified for numerous non-sown vascular plant species and still numerous sown grasses and mosses.

Species	Quantity, inds./100 m ²		Height, m	
	2002	2011	2002	2011
Developing tree story:				
1st layer:				
<i>Betula pubescens</i> Ehrh.	2	13	2.5-3	4-6
<i>Larix sibirica</i> Ledeb.	9	15	1.3-1.5	4-5,5
<i>Salix caprea</i> L.	2	2	2.5	6
<i>Salix dasyclados</i> Wimm.	2	1	3	4
2nd layer:				
<i>Betula pubescens</i> Ehrh.	-	16	-	2-3
<i>Larix sibirica</i> Ledeb.	-	6	-	2-3
3rd layer:				
<i>Betula pubescens</i> Ehrh.	-	13	-	0.5-1.5
<i>Larix sibirica</i> Ledeb.	-	3	-	0.5-1.5
<i>Picea obovata</i> Ledeb.	-	1	-	0,5
Developing tree understory:				
<i>Betula nana</i> L.	1	1	0.7	1-1.5
<i>Ribes rubrum</i> L.	1	1	0.7	1
<i>Salix phylicifolia</i> L.	11	6	1.5	1-3
<i>Salix hastata</i> L.	1	-	0.9	-
<i>Salix lapponum</i> L.	1	-	1.3	-

Table 5. Species composition and structure of tree story and tree understory on the experimental plot.

Species	Abundance, %			Projective cover, %			Height, cm
	2002	2006	2011	2002	2006	2011	
Shrubs:							
<i>Arctostaphylos uva-ursi</i> (L.) Spreng.	5	7	5	<1	<1	<1	10
<i>Empetrum hermaphroditum</i> (Lange) Hagerup	5	7	10	<1	<1	<1	10
<i>Vaccinium uliginosum</i> L.	-	-	5	-	-	<1	10
<i>Vaccinium myrtillus</i> L.	-	-	5	-	-	<1	10
Herbaceous plants:							
<i>Agrostis tenuis</i> Sibth.	-	7	35	-	<1	5	20-50
<i>Avenella flexuosa</i> (L.) Drey.	-	-	70	-	-	25	20-50
<i>Alopecurus pratensis</i> L.	100	80	75	44	46	25	80
<i>Antennaria dioica</i> (L.) Gaertn.	-	-	5	-	-	<1	15
<i>Calamagrostis epigeios</i> (L.) Roth	10	7	-	<1	<1	-	60-80
<i>Calamagrostis lapponica</i> (Wahl.) Hartm.	-	-	15	-	-	1	50-60
<i>Carex brunnescens</i> (Pers.) Poir	5	-	5	<1	-	<1	25
<i>Chamaenerion angustifolium</i> (L.) Scop.	100	67	65	9	5	7	40-80
<i>Crepis tectorum</i> L.	5	7		<1	<1		20-25
<i>Deschampsia cespitosa</i> (L.) Beauv.	30	7	25	2	1	7	40-80
<i>Epilobium palustre</i> L.	10	7	-	<1	<1	-	20
<i>Equisetum arvense</i> L.	10	7	15	<1	<1	<1	20
<i>Equisetum sylvaticum</i> L.	5	-		<1	-	-	25
<i>Euphrasia frigida</i> Pugsl.	-	-	5	-	-	<1	15
<i>Erigeron acris</i> L.	70	40	40	4	<1	1	35-45
<i>Festuca ovina</i> L.	100	67	40	23	18	16	15-30
<i>Festuca rubra</i> L.	-	7	15	-	<1	1	40-45
<i>Hieracium umbellatum</i> L.	40	33	25	1	1	1	40
<i>Hieracium vulgatum</i> L.	10	20	10	<1	1	<1	40
<i>Omalotheca sylvatica</i> (L.) Sch.Bip.	60	33	70	2	1	2	10-30
<i>Phalaroides arundinacea</i> (L.) Rausch.	-	-	5	-	-	<1	50
<i>Poa pratensis</i> L.	30	20	30	1	4	5	60
<i>Rumex acetosella</i> L.	10	7	25	<1	<1	<1	20-25
<i>Solidago virgaurea</i> L.	80	60	80	3	3	10	10-45
<i>Taraxacum officinale</i> Wigg.	40	20	5	1	<1	<1	15-20
<i>Tripleurospermum perforatum</i> (Merat.) M.Lainz	5	7	-	<1	<1	-	15
<i>Tussilago farfara</i> L.	20	7	5	<1	<1	<1	10-15
<i>Trientalis europaea</i> L.	-	-	5	-	-	<1	5-10
<i>Lycopodium annotinum</i> L.	-	-	5	-	-	<1	10
<i>Lathyrus pratensis</i> L.	-	-	5	-	-	<1	30-40
<i>Orthilia secunda</i> (L.) House	-	-	5	-	-	<1	15
Mosses:							
<i>Brachythecium campestre</i> (Bruch) B. S. G.	-	-	20	-	-	5	3-5
<i>Brachythecium reflexum</i> (Starke) Schimp.	-	-	10	-	-	5	3-5
<i>Brachythecium salebrasum</i> (Wed et Mohr) Bryol.	-	-	20	-	-	5	3-5

Species	Abundance, %			Projective cover, %			Height, cm
	2002	2006	2011	2002	2006	2011	
<i>Brachythecium</i> sp.	20	20	-	1	15	-	3-5
<i>Ceratodon purpureus</i> (Hedw.) Brid.	20	20	5	<1	<1	<1	2-3
<i>Dicranum polysetum</i> Sw.	-	-	10	-	-	1	3-4
<i>Plagiothecium denticulatum</i> (Hedw.) B. S. G.	-	-	10	-	-	1	1-2
<i>Pleurozium schreberi</i> (Brid.) Mitt.	-	20	80	-	1	10	3-5
<i>Polytrichum commune</i> Hedw.	20	20	20	2	1	2	5-7
<i>Polytrichum juniperinum</i> Hedw.	60	40	90	11	11	30	5-7
<i>Polytrichum piliferum</i> Hedw.	30	33	15	5	5	3	3-5
<i>Sciurohypnum oedipodium</i> (Mitt.) Ignatov et Huttunen.	-	-	10	-	-	1	3
<i>Sciuro-hypnum starkei</i> (Brid.) Ignatov et Huttunen (<i>Brachythecium starkei</i> (Brid.) B.S.G.)	-	-	65	-	-	30	3-5
Lichens:							
<i>Cladonia anomaea</i> (Ach.) Ahti & P.James	-	-	4	-	-	<1	1-3
<i>Cladonia arbuscula</i> (Wallr.) Flot.	-	7	10	-	<1	<1	1-3
<i>Cladonia borealis</i> Stenroos	-	-	4	-	-	<1	1-2
<i>Cladonia botrytes</i> (Hag.) Willd.	-	-	4	-	-	<1	1
<i>Cladonia carneola</i> (Fr.) Fr.	-	7	-	-	<1	-	1-2
<i>Cladonia cervicornis</i> (Ach.) Flot. ssp. <i>verticillata</i> (Hoffm.)	-	-	4	-	-	<1	1-2
<i>Cladonia chlorophaea</i> (Florke ex Sommerf.) Spreng.	-	-	4	-	-	<1	1-2
<i>Cladonia cornuta</i> (L.) Hoffm.	-	7	20	-	<1	<1	1-4
<i>Cladonia crispata</i> (Ach.) Flot.	-	-	4	-	-	<1	1-2
<i>Cladonia deformis</i> (L.) Hoffm.	-	7	-	-	<1	<1	1-3
<i>Cladonia fimbriata</i> (L.) Fr.	-	7	10	-	<1	<1	1-3
<i>Cladonia gracilis</i> (L.) Willd.	-	7	20	-	<1	<1	1-3
<i>Cladonia phylophora</i> Hoffm.	-	-	20	-	-	<1	1-2
<i>Cladonia pleurota</i> (Floerke) Schaer.	-	-	4	-	-	<1	1-2
<i>Cladonia rangiferina</i> (L.) Web.	-	7	20	-	<1	<1	1-3
<i>Cladonia subulata</i> Weber.	-	-	4	-	-	<1	1-2
<i>Cladonia</i> sp.	10	7	-	<1	<1	-	1
<i>Peltigera didactyla</i> (With.) Laundon	-	-	10	-	-	<1	1-2
<i>Peltigera leucophlebia</i> (Nyl.) Gyeln.	-	-	4	-	-	<1	1-2
<i>Peltigera rufescens</i> (Weis.) Humb.	-	-	4	-	-	<1	1-2
<i>Peltigera</i> sp.	10	7	-	<1	-	<1	1-2

Table 6. Composition and structure of ground plant cover on the experimental plot.

The newly-formed 10-year-old soil had the following morphological structure. Loose layer of weakly-decomposed plant waste (dead grass) was penetrated with rare moss stems.

AOA1 0-8(12) cm	Well-decomposed plant waste with inclusions of mineral particles, moist, abundant roots.
A1 layer 8(12)-21 cm	Sandy, dark-grey (humus color), structureless, loose, moist, many roots. Transition to the next horizon is abrupt by color.
III-rd layer 21-29 cm	Sandy, light-yellowish with whitish and dark-ochre spots, loose, moist, rare roots.
IV-th layer 29-45 cm	Sandy, grey-yellowish, lighter than the previous horizon, loose, moist, without roots.

In the first ten years there is a soil profile formation with organic horizons with features of soddy layer, typical for meadow ecosystems. The upper biogenic-accumulative layers (AOA1, A1) had weakly-acid medium reaction and accumulated the maximum of nitrogen, humus, and exchangeable bases (Table 7).

Year	Horizon, sampling depth, cm	pH _{water}	C, %	N _{hydr}	P ₂ O ₅	K ₂ O	Ca ²⁺	Mg ²⁺
				mg/100 g a.d.s.			mM/100 g a.d.s.	
11th year (2002)	A0A1 0-8(12)	5.4	5.0	2.9	8.5	7.9	7.1	1.1
	A1 8(12)-21	5.7	3.3	1.6	10.1	4.3	5.9	0.8
	III 21-29	5.7	1.6	0.8	9.9	3.8	1.7	0.5
	IV 29-45	5.6	0.2	0.8	10.0	2.5	1.2	0.4
20th year (2011)	In group of trees							
	A0 0-5	5.4	6.7	11.5	10.0	29.0	17.7	3.6
	A1 5-15	5.2	4.9	9.0	9.9	9.0	10.0	1.6
	A0 _{buried} * 15-21	5.0	7.2*	5.9	8.3	8.9	14.8	2.1
	BC 21-35	5.3	0.2	7.8	14.0	8.6	2.3	0.6
	Open area							
	A0 0-2(4)	5.3	5.7	9.5	13.3	36.0	10.6	2.2
	A1 2(4)-13,5(14)	4.9	5.4	5.9	11.1	11.4	10.1	1.6
	A0 _{buried} 13,5(14)-21	4.9	14.8*	5.5	5.3	14.9	17.5	2.2
	BC 21-24	4.8	0.3	2.4	12.8	5.5	2.5	0.6
	BC/ 24-35	5.1	0.2	1.1	13.2	3.8	2.4	0.6

Note: * - buried organic residues (peat).

Table 7. Agrochemical indices of newly-formed soil on the experimental plot.

The forest community was structurally formed on the 20th year after restoration start. The first tree story consisted of *Betula pubescens* and *Larix sibirica* with few tree-like willow species (*Salix dasyclados*, *Salix caprea*); tree height was 4-6 m, stem diameter 4-6(9) cm (Table 5). Crown density increased to 0.4. Since 2002, tree re-growth (with a height of less than 50 cm) was clearly dominated by *Betula pubescens*, 40 individuals per 100 m², for contrast only 5 individuals of *Larix sibirica*. *Betula pubescens* was also a dominant species in the second and third tree stories which were formed on the 20th restoration year. The plant waste accumulation dynamics of woody plants evidenced the active development of tree layer (Tables 8, 9). Thus, the community on its 20th restoration year was at the stage of quickly-growing woody plants, typical of self-restoration succession in the taiga zone (Shennikov,

1964). Low-height young growth of *Picea obovata* and *Pinus sylvestris* appeared in amount of 1-3 individuals / 100 m².

Plant waste sampling period	Plant waste weight
June 2002 – September 2002	8.5±1.7
October 2002 – May 2003	27.5±2.0
Year total:	36.1
June 2007 – September 2007	15.0±6.5
October 2007 – May 2008	52.2±8.6
Year total:	67.2
June 2008 – September 2008	13.6±5.4
October 2008 – May 2009	53.05±10.2
Year total:	66.65
June 2009 – September 2009	11.9±2.8
October 2009 – May 2010	73.3±15.3
Year total:	85.2
June 2010 – September 2010	14.7±3.2
October 2010 – May 2011	106.01±31.2
Year total:	110.71

Table 8. Plant waste weight by years on the experiment plot (air-dried weight, g/m²).

	October 2008 – May 2009		June 2009 – September 2009		October 2009 – May 2010		June 2010 – September 2010		October 2010 – May 2011	
Fraction	Weight g/m ²	Share %	Weight g/m ²	Share %	Weight g/m ²	Share %	Weight g/m ²	Share, %	Weight g/m ²	Share, %
Branches	0.45	1	0.498	4	0.604	1	0.756	5	1.96	2
<i>Betula pubescens</i> leaves	30.68	58	2.263	19	35.88	49	1.028	7	68.59	65
Herbs	2.17	4	0.169	1	3.664	5	0.412	3	2.80	3
<i>Salix</i> leaves	2.48	5	4.421	37	1.972	3	0.780	5	2.95	3
Bark	0.43	1			0.14	0	0.132	1	0.37	0
<i>Larix sibirica</i> needles	8.46	16	1.046	9	21.352	29	9.792	67	20.50	19
Dust of rotten wood	8.38	16	3.54	30	9.736	13	1.848	13	8.72	8
Inflorescences	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.11	0

Note: «-» - not found.

Table 9. Plant waste fraction composition on the experiment plot (air-dried weight, g/m²).

At the end of the second decade of experimental years the TPC of herbaceous-dwarfshrub layer comprised 85%. This retreat in TPC was related to woody plants' shadowing. Forest

dwarfshrubs increased in species number, among them *Arctostaphylos uva-ursi*, *Empetrum hermaphroditum*, *Vaccinium uliginosum*, *Vaccinium myrtillus* (Table 6). Among 24 herbaceous species found on the experimental plot, the forest species *Avenella flexuosa* and *Solidago virgaurea* had essential PC, 25 and 10%, correspondingly. The sown meadow grass *Alopecurus pratensis* significantly reduced its PC (25%). Mosses counted 11 species on the 19th experimental year. The highest shares in PC belonged to *Sciuro-hypnum starkei* (30%), *Polytrichum juniperinum* (30%) and the common forest species *Pleurozium schreberi* (8%). Lichens were highly diverse with 17 species, mainly from the *Cladonia* genus. Thus, the end of the second experimental decade saw formation the forest community where sown plants of the first “intensive” restoration stage were normally replaced by forest species together with mosses and lichens.

On the 20th experiment year, soil pits on open area and in group of trees were excavated.

Soil pit №1 was dug in a group of trees (*Betula pubescens*, *Larix sibirica*). Ground cover (TPC 75%) was found for the herbs (*Avenella flexuosa*, *Solidago virgaurea*, *Alopecurus pratensis*, *Chamaenerion angustifolium*, *Orthilia secunda*) and the mosses (*Sciuro-hypnum starkei*, *Pleurozium schreberi*). Moss cover was well developed (PC 60%) with practically full-formed mossy litter.

A0 0-5 cm	Loose layer of mossy litter, upper part contains weakly-decomposed and lower part stronger decomposed plant remnants with inclusions of sand, abundant roots.
A1 5-21 cm	Sandy, grey-black, loose, inclusions of weakly- to well-decomposed plant remnants from outside peat (brought at the 1st restoration stage), many roots, transitional boundary is abrupt by color.
BC 21-35 cm	Sand, grey-yellowish, with whitish spots, structureless, few roots, moist.

Soil pit №2 was made on open area. Herbaceous cover (TPC 100%) was dominated by *Alopecurus pratensis*, *Solidago virgaurea*, *Omalotheca sylvatica*. There was a 2-cm-thick layer of dead grass on surface. Dead grass was the development base for the *Brachythecium* mosses and *Sciuro-hypnum starkei*.

A0 0-2(4) cm	Loose layer of weakly-mean-decomposed plant remnants, dark-grey, sand inclusions in lower part, abundant roots.
A1 2(4)-21 cm	Sandy, dark-grey to black, structureless, moist, abundant roots, inclusions of decomposed peat remnants brought at the 1st restoration stage, many rain worms, transitional boundary is abrupt by color.
BC 21-36 cm	Sandy, grey-yellowish, with whitish spots, moist, upper part with single roots.

Agrochemical parameters of the studied soils (Table 7) provide evidence that the biogenic-accumulative layers (litter and humus horizons) have been formed on the 20th restoration year. Those horizons were marked through high content of organic carbon, nitrogen, exchangeable bases, and other biogenic elements. Humus of the biogenic-accumulative layer was dominated by humic substances (Table 10).

Horizon, depth, cm	C _{org.} total, %	Humic acids				Fulvic acids					Non- soluble residue	C _{HA} / C _{FA}
		1	2	3	Σ	1a	1	2	3	Σ		
A1 3-13	4.2	20.94	11.76	20.7	53.4	3.18	14.7	6.24	9.88	34.0	12.6	1.57
A1 13-23	2.4	10.98	14.22	14.4	39.6	4.88	12.6	6.5	6.1	30.08	30.32	1.32
23-28	0.2	9.52	1.91	4.76	16.19	28.6	9.5	17.17	7.14	62.41	21.4	0.26
28-45	0.1	7.7	0.76	4.61	13.07	15.38	2.32	22.29	1.51	41.5	45.43	0.31

Table 10. Fraction-group humus composition of organic-accumulative layer of the newly-formed soil on the 18th experiment year (% of total content).

Consequently, the biological cycle of organic (plant) matter started at the “intensive” stage resulted in forest ecosystem formed to the 20th year as the integrity of two components, plant community (or biotic complex) and soil. Organic (plant) matter biological cycle restoration initiated active soil restoration visualized by formation of the biogenic-organic-accumulation layer. This layer’s structure depends on plant community type. It determines the significance of soil as a system structure, capable of holding and accumulating plant nutrition elements and ensuring stable conditions for self-restoration of ecosystem. These properties are formed during the transformation processes of plant waste called humus formation, the main soil formation process (Ponomareva, Plotnikova, 1980).

Restoration of nature medium components is a complete process that functionally unifies biota with its habitat. Soil can be formed when technogenic substratum reaches some “critical” mass of plant material to start the biological cycle, including humus accumulation.

In the North, the process of self-restoring succession is a long-term process. To speed up (manage) the self-restoration process on post-technogenic bare areas, is to apply a complex of agrotechnical methods, i.e. fertilization, sowing perennial grasses, that is called an “intensive” restoration period. Accumulation of organic matter (plant remnants of perennial herbs etc.) in substratum, its transformation (humus formation) with help of zoo-microbe complex, accumulation and consequent assimilation of biogenic elements by plants provide favorable conditions for the next stage, forest ecosystem development.

The conducted study has evidenced the efficiency of agromethods (“intensive” stage) for speeding up the restoration process of forest ecosystem. It was demonstrated that the first experimental decade was already indicated by the most advanced restoration succession stage, i.e. formation the herbaceous community and its transition to forest community of quickly-growing woody species under whose canopy conifers started growth. Transformation of herbaceous community and corresponding soil type during self-restoring succession in taiga zone into quickly-growing woody species stand is a normal process (Shennikov, 1964).

Acceleration in forest ecosystem formation becomes more prominent when comparing the study plot with the near self-restoring plot. On its 28th restoration year the TPC figure remained under 1 % without woody plants and with active erosion signs.

5. Optimization the “nature restoration” methods

As said above, the preliminary “intensive” stage ensures favorable substratum conditions for acceleration of woody layer self-restoration, replacement of herbaceous ecosystem by forest ecosystem. However, restoration of conifers proceeds slowly and under the canopy of quickly-growing deciduous (birch, asp) species. To further accelerate restoration of forest ecosystem on the second restoration stage, complex of methods was developed. These methods are to optimize restoration of conifers in woody layer of forest ecosystems in north taiga zone and consist in planting conifers simultaneously with agrotechnical treatments on the first (“intensive”) restoration stage.

Another experiment on the territory of 8b sand-pit was started, where *Pinus sylvestris* two-year-old trees, traditionally used for restoration purposes, with open root system were planted with a planting density of 5000 individuals/ha. Herb mixture composition being sown on “intensive” stage included *Poa pratensis*, *Festuca rubra*, *Festuca pratensis*, *Bromopsis inermis*, *Phleum pratense* in proportion 1:1:1:1:1. Annual additional fertilization with complex mineral fertilizer was done during 4 years. By our data, only 30-40% of pine plantings remained alive on the second year and resisted few for the whole study period. The two-year-old *Pinus sylvestris* plantings did not develop well on the “intensive” restoration stage with a height of 12-17 cm at the fifth year. Herb stand was already 90 cm high at that period of time with 80-90% TPC. So, the study has identified two-year-old *Pinus sylvestris* plantings with open root system not a promising material to be used on the “intensive” restoration stage of the “nature restoration” experiment. Herbaceous layer developed quicker than *Pinus sylvestris* plantings. Low growth rates did not allow the plantings to overgrow herb layer in a short period of time which was particularly responsible for their future underdevelopment.

Absolutely other results were obtained on usage the high-growth material, *Pinus sylvestris* wildlings about 50 cm high with a ground clot 30x30 cm. Planting density was 2500 individuals/ha. The same herb species as sown in the trial with two-year-old plantings were used. Additional fertilizing with complex mineral fertilizer (N45P45K45) was done every spring for 4 years.

By the observation results, the planted wildlings remained alive by almost 100% 5 years afterwards (Table 11). High surviving rate of the plants was related to their sufficient height, planting with ground clot, and caring for 5 years.

Year(s) after planting	Survival rate, %	Height, cm	Stem diameter, cm	Crown diameter, cm
1	100	59.1±2.4	1.1±0.1	32.9±1.4
2	100	60.9±2.8	1.5±0.2	37.3±1.6
3	100	68.8±3.1	1.7±0.1	46.5±2.1
4	96	79.5±3.1	1.8±0.1	48.7±3.1
5	96	100.3±4.3	2.1±0.2	55.4±4.5

Table 11. Biometric parameters of *Pinus sylvestris* plants in optimization experiment (autumn observations).

Beginning from the third planting year, *Pinus sylvestris* steadily increased in height and was over 20 cm high on the fifth year (Fig. 2).

On the fifth year the mean height of planted trees was about 1 m, consequently, the trees showed high survival rate and well development.

Herbaceous cover on the experimental plot actively developed. On the third year TPC of herb layer was 30% and already 70-75% to the fourth-fifth year (Table 12). Herb layer practically lost such sown herbs as *Festuca pratensis*, soil moisture-dependent, and *Trifolium pratense*. The rhizome grasses *Bromopsis inermis*, *Poa pratensis* and the rhizome loose-bunch *Festuca rubra* remained. The latter species as least dependent of soil richness and moisture had the highest projective cover among sown herbs. New non-sown herbs appeared and were prevailed by *Festuca ovina* that normally grows in lichen pine forests. There were species typical of anthropogenically disturbed areas as *Chamaenerion angustifolium*, *Equisetum arvense*. The species *Solidago virgaurea*, *Deschampsia cespitosa*, *Avenella flexuosa*, usual for forest and meadow were fixed but were few in number. Forest sub-shrubs (*Empetrum hermaphroditum*, *Vaccinium vitis-idaea*) transported there within ground clot were identified. Moss cover started formation and included pioneer species (Table 12). The majority of newly-appeared species were single in number. The vivid species composition on the study plots characterized the plant community as young and unstable.

Fig. 2. Increment dynamics of *Pinus sylvestris* wildlings in optimization experiment.

Species	Number of years after experimental start			
	2	3	4	5
Sown herbs:				
<i>Bromopsis inermis</i> (Leyss.) Holub	2	6	10	7
<i>Festuca pratensis</i> Huds.	1	3	<1	2
<i>Festuca rubra</i> L.	2	3	7	26
<i>Phleum pratense</i> L.	4	7	5	5
<i>Poa pratensis</i> L.	2	5	8	6
<i>Trifolium pratense</i> L.	1	1	<1	1
Invasive species:				
<i>Avenella flexuosa</i> (L.) Drey.	-	-	-	1
<i>Agrostis tenuis</i> Sibth.	<1	<1	-	-
<i>Carex arctisibirica</i> (Jurtz.) Czer.	-	<1	<1	<1
<i>Chamaenerion angustifolium</i> (L.) Scop	3	2	4	1
<i>Chenopodium album</i> L.	-	<1	-	-
<i>Crepis tectorum</i> L.	-	-	1	-
<i>Dactylis glomerata</i> L.	-	-	<1	1
<i>Deschampsia cespitosa</i> (L.) Beauv.	-	-	<1	<1
<i>Empetrum hermaphroditum</i> (Lange) Hagerup	<1	<1	<1	<1
<i>Equisetum arvense</i> L.	3	2	1	<1
<i>Festuca ovina</i> L.	10	16	41	20
<i>Hieracium umbellatum</i> L.	1	3	<1	2
<i>Solidago virgaurea</i> L.	<1	<1	<1	<1
<i>Tripleurospermum perforatum</i> (Merat.) M.Lainz	<1	<1	-	-
<i>Vaccinium uliginosum</i> L.	-	<1	-	-
<i>Vaccinium vitis-idaea</i> L.	<1	<1	<1	<1
Mosses				
<i>Ceratodon purpureus</i> (Hedw.) Brid	<1	<1	<1	5
<i>Polytrichum juniperinum</i> Hedw.	<1	<1	1	<1
<i>Polytrichum piliferum</i> Hedw.	<1	<1	1	1
Moss protonema	-	21	32	30
Total projective cover	30	48	75	70
Number of herb species	18	18	17	17
Number of moss species	3	3	3	3

Table 12. Development characterization of herbaceous cover in the optimization experiment (projective cover by years, %).

The changes in ground vegetation cover provoked changes in substratum. Substratum surface was identified for a loose layer of dead plant remnants (litter). On the fourth-fifth restoration year it became underlain by a weakly-compact soddy layer up to 3(5) cm thick. Slow dead plant material decomposition in the North causes slow organic carbon accumulation in substratum (Table 13, Fig. 3). This fact was proven by other scientists (Abakumov, 2008). There is an existed positive trend in content of biogenic elements (Figs. 4,5,6) related to the already started organic matter biological cycle.

Plot, №	Sampling depth, cm	pH _{water}	C, %	N _{hydr.}	P ₂ O ₅	K ₂ O	Ca ²⁺	Mg ²⁺
				Mg/100g a.d.s.			mM/100g a.d.s.	
initial substratum								
control	0-10	5.7	0.1	0.2	6.6	2.1	0.6	0
	20-30	5.7	0.1	0.4	8.1	2.2	0.5	0.1
trial	0-10	6.5	0.2	0.3	5.7	2.9	0.9	0.0
on the second year after planting								
control	0-5	5.8	0.2	0.3	6.3	3.8	1.1	0.5
	5-10	5.7	0.2	0.3	6.5	3.2	0.9	0.3
trial	0-5	6.1	0.3	1.3	5.6	3.0	1.1	0.1
	5-10	6.1	0.2	0.3	3.4	1.8	1.0	0.4
on the fourth year after planting								
control	0-5	5.8	0.1	0.4	10.5	4.7	0.6	0.1
	5-15	5.9	0.1	0.3	9.5	2.7	0.8	0.1
trial	0-3	6.1	0.3	1.0	16.2	7.4	0.8	0.1
	3-15	6.0	0.1	0.2	5.7	3.9	1.3	0.2
	15-30	6.4	0.1	0.5	5.6	2.5	1.3	0.4
on the fifth year after planting								
control	0-5	5.3	0.1	0.4	7.2	5.1	0.4	0.3
	5-10	5.2	0.1	0.3	7.9	5.4	0.3	0.2
	10-20	5.2	0.2	0.4	6.9	5.5	0.3	0.2
	20-30	5.2	0.1	0.3	7.0	5.3	0.3	0.2
trial	0-5	5.1	0.2	1.5	11.1	13.4	0.7	0.2
	5-10	5.5	0.1	0.7	5.0	4.7	0.9	0.3
	10-15	6.0	0.1	1.0	6.5	4.1	0.9	0.3
	15-30	6.0	0.1	0.7	5.0	3.4	0.7	0.3
on the sixth year after planting								
control	0-5	5.2	0.1	0.4	7.2	2.2	0.6	0.2
	5-10	5.2	0.1	0.2	7.9	2.9	0.8	0.2
	10-20	5.2	0.1	0.2	8.8	3.2	0.8	0.3
	20-30	5.3	0.1	0.3	9	2.9	0.8	0.4
trial	Aд 0-2	5.2	0.2	2.2	32.1	10.2	0.6	0.3
	AдA ₁ 2-5	5.4	0.1	2.5	13.8	12.3	0.4	0.1
	A// 5-10	5.4	0.2	0.7	9.5	10.1	0.6	0.2
	AB 10-20	5.6	0.2	1.9	12.1		0.8	0.2
	B 20-30	6.1	0.1	0.3	9.1	2.9	1.3	0.3

Table 13. Changes in substratum agrochemical parameters in the optimization experiment.

Fig. 3. Organic carbon content dynamics (%) by years in upper substrata layer in the background (A) and experimental (B) plots.

Fig. 4. Hydrolizable nitrogen content dynamics (mg/100 g a.d.s.) in upper substrata layer in the background (A) and experimental (B) plots.

Fig. 5. Phosphorus oxide content dynamics (mg/100 g a.d.s.) in upper substrata layer in the background (A) and experimental (B) plots.

Fig. 6. Potassium oxide content dynamics (mg/100 g a.d.s.) in upper substrata layer in the background (A) and experimental (B) plots.

By the obtained experiment results, usage of intensive agromethods combined with high-quality planting material, big-size *Pinus sylvestris* plantings with ground clot, ensures the high survival rate and active growth of the planted conifers together with herbaceous layer formation. This is important because it causes simultaneous transformation of technogenic substratum and formation of soil as a forest ecosystem component. Soil development is behind plant community development; soil formation in morphological and chemical senses can be only after accumulation of some "critical" plant mass and its transformation products (humus) in substratum.

The popular opinion about the necessity of herb cover destruction while planting of trees in order to improve their growth (competition for nutrients) appeared to be questionable, especially on usage of big-size plantings.

This experiment has shown possibility of accelerated formation of forest ecosystem already on the first ("intensive") restoration stage. Further observations will allow for more recommendations on the optimized experiment.

6. Conclusion

The usage of two-stage "nature restoration" approach ensures active self-restoration of forest ecosystem in far-north taiga (on forest distribution border). It is vital to mention that "nature restoration" conception and its application in practice widen the traditional sense of the term "recultivation" not only by geographical point but also by understanding the functional interdependence between ecosystem components linked together by organic matter biological cycle.

Taking into consideration the serious ecological situation not only in the North, very significant is to revise our opinion on recultivation. Common sense of recultivation is returning lands into repeated agricultural usage. But nowadays there is the need to revise this term. In this view, "nature restoration" conception has a deep sense with its system approach aiming at accelerating restoration of nature ecosystems on disturbed areas exerting important biosphere functions. The system of "nature restoration" can be widely used, including tropical forests, however with some corrections in respect to particular climatic conditions. It is important to mention that oil-polluted lands' restoration at "intensive" stage requires usage of special purifying preparations followed by agrotechnical methods. In view of progressive development of economics, intensive "nature exploitation" should be accompanied by full-scale accelerated (managed) restoration of zonal ecosystems on disturbed areas, proportional to disturbance extent. Imbalance in "human-nature" system produces ecological critical situations (Ecological principles..., 2010).

In relation to the above-said, in newly-published work of K.S. Losev (2010) it says that only some part of natural ecosystems on Earth can be replaced by artificial ecosystems (agrarian or technogenic) without hampering the biological regulation mechanism, responsible for biosphere balance. He calls territories under such artificial ecosystems as ecological (economic) biosphere parts. The importance of natural ecosystems as a biosphere stability factor is now underestimated. The aftereffects cause a row of nature medium changes visualized in climate change, progressive environmental pollution, soil poorness, poor human's health, etc. There is a need of changing the understanding and treat the nature with more responsibility for conservation the environment and wild world in its initial

diversity. One simple rule should be followed – whatever we took from nature (disturbed) we are to recover by means of additional work and financial expenses. This is the closest link between ecology and economics.

This work was financially supported by RAS Presidium Program № 23 “Biological diversity” within the topic “Biodiversity formation rules of plant communities in restoring and transforming ecosystems in different types of technogenic objects in the North-East of European Russia”, № 09-II-4-1028.

7. References

- [1] Abakumov E.V. Accumulation and transformation of organic matter on different-aged waste piles of sand pit // *Soil Science J.*, 2008. №8. p.955-963
- [2] Agrochemical methods of soil study. M.: USSR Science Academy Publishing House, 1960. p.556
- [3] Archegova I.B. Nature restoration efficient system – the base of promising nature management in the Far North, Syktyvkar. 1998. 12 p. (Scientific reports / Komi SC UrD RAS; Iss. 412).
- [4] Ecological principles of nature management and nature restoration in the North. Co-authorship. Editor-in-chief I.B. Archegova. Syktyvkar. 2009. p.176
- [5] Field geobotany. M.-L.: *Nauka*, 1964. p.532
- [6] Guidance on humus content and composition estimation in (mineral and peat) soils. Leningrad, 1975. p.106
- [7] Larin V.B., Pautov Yu.A., Pruchkin V.D. Stable development strategy of forest region in the North // *Finno-Ugric World: Nature Health Status and Regional Strategy of Environment Protection: Proc. Int. Conf.* Syktyvkar, 2000. p.93-98
- [8] Losev K.S. Myths and mistakes in ecology. M.: *Nauchnyi mir*, 2010. p.224
- [9] Losev K.S., Mnatsakanyan R.A., Dronin N.M. Consumption of renewed resources: ecological and social-economic consequences (global and regional aspects). M.: GEOS, 2005, p.158
- [10] Ogievskiy V.V., Khirov A.A. Observation and investigation of forest cultures. M.: *Lesnaya promyshlennost'*, 1964. p.48
- [11] Parfenyuk V.I. The method of oil-polluted soil recultivation. Patent №20009626 (patented in the State Register of Inventions February 19, 1991).
- [12] Podzolic soils of the central and eastern parts of the European USSR. – L., 1981. p. – 200
- [13] Ponomareva V.V. Forest as elluvial-resistant vegetation type // *Botanical Journal*, Band 55, № 11. 1970. p.1585-1595.
- [14] Ponomareva V.V., Plotnikova T.A. Humus and soil formation (study methods and results). L.: *Nauka*. 1980. p.222
- [15] Scientific-applied reference book on the USSR climate. Series 3, Perennial data. P. 1-6. Iss. 1. Archangelskaya and Vologodskaya regions, Komi ASSR. L.: *Hidrometeoizdat*, 1989, book 1. p.483
- [16] Semenkova I.G., Sokolova E.C. Forest phytopathology. M.: *Ecology*, 1992. p.352
- [17] Shennikov A.P. Introduction to geobotany. – L.: Leningrad Uni. Publishing House, p.447
- [18] Theory and practice of soil chemical analysis / Ed. by Vorobyeva L.A. M.: GEOS, 2006. p.400
- [19] Yudin Yu.P. Geobotanical zoning // *Productive forces of the Komi ASSR*, M.-L. 1954, Band. 3, P. 1. p.323-359

Forest Ecosystems - More than Just Trees

Edited by Dr Juan A. Blanco

ISBN 978-953-51-0202-1

Hard cover, 464 pages

Publisher InTech

Published online 07, March, 2012

Published in print edition March, 2012

The common idea for many people is that forests are just a collection of trees. However, they are much more than that. They are a complex, functional system of interacting and often interdependent biological, physical, and chemical components, the biological part of which has evolved to perpetuate itself. This complexity produces combinations of climate, soils, trees and plant species unique to each site, resulting in hundreds of different forest types around the world. Logically, trees are an important component for the research in forest ecosystems, but the wide variety of other life forms and abiotic components in most forests means that other elements, such as wildlife or soil nutrients, should also be the focal point in ecological studies and management plans to be carried out in forest ecosystems. In this book, the readers can find the latest research related to forest ecosystems but with a different twist. The research described here is not just on trees and is focused on the other components, structures and functions that are usually overshadowed by the focus on trees, but are equally important to maintain the diversity, function and services provided by forests. The first section of this book explores the structure and biodiversity of forest ecosystems, whereas the second section reviews the research done on ecosystem structure and functioning. The third and last section explores the issues related to forest management as an ecosystem-level activity, all of them from the perspective of the other parts of a forest.

How to reference

In order to correctly reference this scholarly work, feel free to copy and paste the following:

Irina Likhanova and Inna Archegova (2012). Restoration of Forest Ecosystems on Disturbed Lands on the Northern Forest Distribution Border (North-East of European Russia), *Forest Ecosystems - More than Just Trees*, Dr Juan A. Blanco (Ed.), ISBN: 978-953-51-0202-1, InTech, Available from: <http://www.intechopen.com/books/forest-ecosystems-more-than-just-trees/restoration-of-forest-ecosystems-on-disturbed-lands-on-the-northern-forest-distribution-border-north>

INTech
open science | open minds

InTech Europe

University Campus STeP Ri
Slavka Krautzeka 83/A
51000 Rijeka, Croatia
Phone: +385 (51) 770 447

InTech China

Unit 405, Office Block, Hotel Equatorial Shanghai
No.65, Yan An Road (West), Shanghai, 200040, China
中国上海市延安西路65号上海国际贵都大饭店办公楼405单元
Phone: +86-21-62489820

Fax: +385 (51) 686 166
www.intechopen.com

Fax: +86-21-62489821

© 2012 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.