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1. Introduction

This paper is intended to direct the attention of researchers and designers of multi-legged walking robots to the problem of force sensing for such vehicles. The use of force information enables such systems to achieve new mechanical properties, increases the reliability and functional capabilities of walking robots and simplifies many control algorithms.

Interest in developing walking machines as vehicles with increased passability has picked up in the last sixty years. Walking machines have a number of principle advantages over wheeled and caterpillar machines:

- They can move over terrains with obstacles up to the size of a leg.
- The movement of their legs provides comfortable motion of the body with cargo and passengers over uneven terrain.
- Ground deformation under their support legs creates less of a mechanical load on the ground than a wheel or caterpillar machine’s continuous track (especially important for tundra, mountain and hill slopes, forests, etc.).
- They can work in a complexly-structured environment (sloped, confined work and operation, etc.) and move on consolidating ground and unknown terrain with varying load capacity.
- They can use one or more legs as an auxiliary manipulator.

The advantages of walking machines determine their range of potential applications (Song & Waldron, 1989; Okhotsimsky et al., 1992; Berns, 2006).

In order to achieve foot force distribution by any method, the vehicle legs have to be equipped with force sensors, as shown in Fig. 1.1, and force feedback should be introduced into the control system (Golubev et al., 1979; McGhee et al., 1980; Klein & Briggs, 1980; Devjanin et al., 1982).

Basic areas of research on motion control for walking robots where the use of force control is necessary can be specified as follows:

A. Local Regulation and Gait Cycle Correction of Legs

This section describes the organization of an adaptive step cycle for each leg to step over an unknown obstacle by means of touching movements (Gurfinkel et al., 1981; Devjanin et al., 1983; Steuer & Pfeiffer, 1998; Schmucker, 2002).
Most step cycle organization is based on trajectories of movement of the end of a leg and has rigidly programmed kinematics. Modifying a step cycle (support and a transfer phases) on the basis of a leg’s contact to an environment (tactile force sensor signals) significantly expands the possibilities to adapt a leg to the roughness of a support surface. An adaptive step cycle has been developed for the legs of a six-legged robot “Masha” (Devjanin et al., 1983).

As the leg touches the ground, the vertical movement is stopped and the trajectory of the foot is modified so that the time of the complete cycle remains constant.

B. Force Motion Control of Legs in Interaction with a Support Surface

One of the central problems of motion control is the distribution of force between legs and the organization of robot motion within margins of static stability. Support reactions need to be controlled during movements on rough terrain and to increase ground passability.

In terms of the foot forces acting on its legs, a multi-legged walking vehicle is a statically indeterminate mechanical system. For example, the horizontal components of support reactions cannot be determined in a support phase on three legs. If the number of supporting legs is greater than three, then the distribution of vertical force components cannot be determined.

Actively distributing foot force reactions makes it possible:

- to reduce loads on the vehicle structure and the energy consumption of leg drives,
- to increase vehicle passability on a surface with an insufficient load-bearing capability (e.g., sand),
- to generate locomotion over rough terrain and to overcome large obstacles (high ledges, deep holes, etc.),
- to provide control of the horizontal foot force components so that contact forces are within friction cones and
- to minimize the risk of foot slip.

The possibility of force distribution control in a walking vehicle has been in discussion for over twenty-five years. There are a number of approaches to the force distribution problem based on different criteria. At the same time, the problem of optimally distributing support reactions in real time presents significant difficulties. For instance, the movement of the six-legged vehicle consisting of a body and six legs each with two-elements is described by differential equations of the 48th order. Obviously, simplified models need to be developed. Various optimization algorithms for foot force distribution are known. These include:

- methods based on linear (Orin & Oh, 1981) and square-law programming (Okhot-simsky & Golubev, 1984; Klein & Kittivatcharapong, 1990; Cheng & Orin, 1990; Nagy et al., 1992; Marhef & Orin, 1998);
- methods employing additional equations (Kumar & Waldron, 1988),

The use of linear or square-law programming to optimize the distribution of support reactions is complicated in practice because of the necessity to calculate the support reactions in real time. Therefore, most publications only contain simulation results. **Pseudo-inverse methods** are widely used because they quickly solve foot force distribution in real-time. Most of these methods solve the equilibrium equations in terms of the foot forces, where the pseudo-inverse is used to minimize the sum of squares of the foot forces.
However, the exact condition of energy minimization is more complex (Okhotsimsky & Golubev, 1984). Pseudo-inverse methods have been presented in connection with the concept of multi-fingered gripping. The zero interaction force concept for testing the ASV Hexapod was described by (Waldron, 1986) A more complicated version of zero interaction force was presented by (Kumar & Waldron, 1988). The results of computer simulation of vertical foot force distribution for the robot OSU Hexapod while moving by wave gait cycle are presented in e.g. (Klein & Wahavisan, 1984; Schmucker, 2002).

This method has been used to compute the programmed support reactions in (Gorinevsky & Shneider, 1990) and to experimentally demonstrate the distribution of vertical and horizontal foot force reactions for stiff and compliant grounds in real time (see section 4 for more detail). In (Gardner, 1992), non-linear optimization techniques are used to calculate force distribution that minimizes the maximum ratio of tangential to normal forces at the points of foot contact for the ASV Hexapod. Force distribution is investigated for three tasks (standing on flat terrain, crossing a crest and moving along crest). The force distribution is optimized in such a way that the sum of the squares of the force values is minimized. The pseudo-inverse method for distributing vertical foot force components in the machine MEGAT1 with fluid drive is described in (Lehtinen, 1994). The results of three pseudo-inverse formulations for real-time optimal foot force distribution (vertical reactions) and the simulation results for a hexapod machine with a tripod gait are presented in (Jiang et al., 2004). The authors developed a method for minimizing the risk of foot slip when there are more than three supporting legs.

C. Force control of robot body maneuvering for service operations

Most research work on the development and application of walking robots deals with their transportation capabilities. However, the use of such robots is not merely limited to the transportation of technological equipment. Another field of application for walking robots is their use as a multi-purpose chassis to perform civil engineering tasks like assembly, repair, emergency and rescue work, etc. The can be used to perform many tasks such as moving the robot in a narrow labyrinth or a pipeline or operation with process equipment, on the robot’s body. Operations such as assembly, handling, drilling, repair and machining can be carried out by controlling contact forces caused by interaction with objects. These tasks may be also treated as constrained motion problems.

D. Force control of locomotion in problems of climbing and overcoming large obstacles

One of walking robots’ basic advantages over wheeled and caterpillar machines is their ability to move over difficult terrain, to overcome larger obstacles by climbing over them and to move over “hummocks”, over stones, in a pipe, etc. (Song & Waldron, 1989; Galvez et al., 2001; Kaneko et al., 2002; Golubev & Korianov, 2003; Bretl et al., 2004).

E. Problems of oscillation damping and movement stability

When moving, the walking robot’s state may become unstable and nonattenuating oscillations may develop in the system. The most widespread approach to describing walking robots’ dynamics and control involves treating the motions of the legs and body separately (Okhotsimsky & Golubev, 1984; Gorinevsky & Shneider, 1987).
However, when the support reactions provide feedback, the control signals in each leg depend on the motion of the robot body and thus on the motions of the other legs. Other sources of in-system oscillations can be the coefficient of gains, force feedback time delay, force sensor stiffness and body mass (Gorinevsky & Shneider, 1987, 1997; Schneider et al., 2004). This brief review of the major tasks and problems of motion control, maneuvering, service and other operations reveals that force sensing is one of the central problems in engineering highly functional walking robots. Nevertheless and despite the wealth of literature, very few studies have been published on experimental implementation of force control in legged locomotion and other operation.

Unfortunately, many applied problems of multi-legged robot force sensing and force feedback control and problems of force distribution of support reactions in real-time in particular have been insufficiently investigated. At present, it is clear that vehicle legs should be equipped with force sensors and that force feedback should be introduced into the control circuit.

Fig. 1.1. Illustration of three walking machines.

(a) Three Component force sensor

(b) Six-legged robot “Katharina”

(c) Six-legged robot “Masha”

The results presented in this paper are based on the Fraunhofer Institute for Factory Operation and Automation’s (IFF) development of the six-legged walking robot “Katharina” (weight about 25 kg) with a hexagonal body (Fig. 1.1b), which was equipped with an additional manipulator device with two degrees of freedom (Schmucker et al., 1997). Some results were obtained earlier during the development of the “Katharina” prototype, “Masha”, a six-legged walking robot (weight about 22 kg) with a rectangular body (Fig. 1.1c) (Gurfinkel et al., 1982). Both vehicles have six legs each with three powered degrees of freedom and equipped with three-component force sensors mounted in the shanks (s. Fig. 1.1a). The manipulator has three-component force sensors as well.

In Section 3 of this paper, we present approaches to the development of force sensors for multi-legged machines. In Section 4, we describe the basic structure of the control system for the robot “Katharina”. In Section 5, we consider fundamental force control laws and adduce our own experimental analyses of force control distribution. In Section 6, we report the results of some experiments on locomotion over soft soil. In Section 7, we describe the service operations that have been performed using body displacement and force control.

2. Survey of statically stable walking machines

In the history of scientific research there are many examples of designing robotic devices like mechanical toys and anthropoid robots. For example, in the 4th century in China was
built a mechanical crow. Design studies of Leonardo da Vinci and the development of mechanical dolls with human-like behaviour in the middle age may be regarded as groundwork for legged locomotion. For more information, see Thring, 1983; Todd, 1985; Marsh, 1985; Raibert, 1986; Song & Waldron, 1989; Vukobratovic et al., 1990; Okhotsimsky et al., 1992; Rosheim, 1994; Berns, 2006, and other.

One of first walking mechanisms appeared about 1870. The famous Russian mathematician Chebyshev has developed a four-legs plantigrade mechanism. His “foot-walking” machine is a combination of several four-link mechanisms and is the prototype of walking mechanisms constructed on the basis of the so-called trajectory synthesis, where the coordination of extremities movement “is fulfilled in a purely mechanical way” (Chebyshev, 1945).

In 1893 George Moore constructed the first biped machine, the so-called Stearn Man (s. Rosheim, 1994).

In the same year, Lewis A. Rygg filed a patent for the construction plans of his Mechanical Horse (Fig. 2.1), a four-legged machine with a steering concept similarly to a bike: the driver should move the machine through pedals (Rygg, 1893). However, this machine was never built. The same happened to the Schreitwagen of Freiherr von Bechtolsheim designed in 1913.

In the following years different walking machines have been constructed, which all were based on the simple mechanical hardware, where the locomotion was reached through a cyclic up and down movement of a mechanism with only one degree of freedom. Other examples are a mechanism constructed during the First World War (Shigley, 1961), a centipede and a leg driven tractor designed by Thring (Thring, 1983).

2.1 The first steps of development of multi-legged walking machines (from 50ies till 70ies)

The first mechanical constructions were based on a predetermined movement so that an adaptation to the ground was not possible. To obtain more flexibility several researches in fifties started to assign the motion control of the walking machine completely to a human operator by controlling the different degrees of freedom manually.

A lot of research concerning legged locomotion was done from Bekker and his colleagues at the University of Michigan and at the US Army Tank Automotive Center at Warren, Michigan. Bekker has studied land locomotion for many wheels and walking modes (Bekker, 1969).
One of the most advanced machines was the four legged machine *G.E Quadruped*, the General Electric Walking Truck of R. Mosher (Fig. 2.2). This machine was more than 3 meters high and 3 meter long, with a weight of more than 1.400 kg, and was controlled by operator’s hands and feets through a bi-lateral force reflecting hydraulic servo system (Mosher, 1968). Due to the rapid development in space exploration at the end of the 60ies, the NASA ordered new studies concerning the development of machines for planetary exploration. At this period, six- and eight-legged vehicles moving with tripod- and tetrapod-gait were constructed, like for example the eight-legged *Iron Mule Train* (Todd, 1985; Morrison, 1968). In 1960-1961, Shigley used a hydraulically driven pantographe mechanism to transfer the motion of a horizontal and a vertical actuator. The design had 16 legs, a block of four at each corner (Shigley, 1961). The 70ies are characterized by intensive theoretical research of locomotion of human and animals, mathematical modeling of walking devices on a complex surface, development of a big number of physical models. During this period, the most important results have been received in the research centers of the USA, the USSR and Japan.

In 1966, a four-legged machine named “*Phony Pony*” (Fig. 2.3) was built by Frank and McGhee at the University of Southern California (McGhee, 1976). Each leg had two degrees of freedom. Both joints were actuated by electric motors with gears. Using the method of finite-state control, two types of walking were investigated: the quadruped walk and the quadruped trot. It was only a walking in a straight line. This was the first legged vehicle which walked autonomously under full computer control.
Tomovic and McGhee suggested to use the method of finite-state control for a description of a four-legged vehicle movement. In terms of this approach McGhee has developed a four-legged device with pneumatic drives (Tomovic & R, 1966).

In 1968, Morrison constructed a number of six- and eight legged vehicles variants with execution of alternating tripod and tetrapod gaits (Morrison, 1968). Mossi and Peternelle built in 1969 at the University of Rome an electrical hexapod. Its legs were unusual in employing telescopic joints for the vertical motion; they were pivoted at the hip to provide the propulsion stroke (Mocci et al., 1973; Peternella & Salinari, 1973).

In 1977, McGhee and his group at Ohio State University developed the OSU-Hexapod, a six-legged insect-like machine (Fig. 2.4). This vehicle was equipped with force sensors, gyroscopes, proximity sensors and a camera system and was fully controlled by a PDP 11/70. Active force sensing has been used in the control system. The parameters of the machine are as follows: body length 1,3 m, body width 1,4 m, weight about 100 kg, velocity about 0,1 m/s (McGhee, 1977; McGhee & Iswandhi, 1979; Klein & Briggs, 1980).

It has been used for a variety of experiments, like walking with different gaits on a flat surface, climbing up shallow stairs and walking over obstacles (Ozguner et al., 1984). These results in building optimal leg construction and the developed theory of walking layed the basis for the biggest walking machine project at that time. In 1982, Waldron and his group at Ohio State University started with realization of the Adaptive Suspension Vehicle (ASV) (Fig. 2.5). The aim of the project was an easily steerable, big and efficient machine that could be used at natural ground conditions (Song & Waldron, 1989).
The ASV is 5.2 m long and 3 m high. It reaches a stride length of 1.8 m and a maximum velocity of 3 m per second. However, the mobility of this machine was considerable. The ASV is able to surmount obstacles up to 1 m and to walk on slopes up to 37%.

The project of development of Ambler (Fig. 2.6) started in the late 80th aiming at planetary exploration (Bares et al., 1989; Simmons & Krotkov, 1991). The researchers intended to construct a machine which is fault tolerant to a break-down of mechanical devices, which possesses an autonomous energy supply and particularly, they wanted to have a machine that could work in a completely autonomous way. The operator of the machine should only prescribe more complicated tasks, but the machine should perform the tasks completely on its own.

Fig. 2.6. Walking machine Ambler.

In 1969, the largest off-road vehicle in the world, a coal-mining dragline called “Big Muskie” was build and applied in coal mining.

An eight-legged machine named ReCUS was constructed in 1979 and used for underwater measurements of ground profiles for the construction of harbours (Ishino et al., 1983). For similar applications, the six-legged walking machine Aquarobots was developed later (Akozono et al., 1989).

The series of six-legged machines Odex with their six legs arranged in a circle are similar to the Aquarobot. The first model of the Odex was presented in 1983, it was determined for maintainance work in nuclear power plants (Fig. 2.12). The robot was steered in a teleoperation modus by an operator who determined the tasks of the robot (Byrd & DeVries, 1990).

Fig. 2.7. Hexapod Odex.
During this period, a number of industrial and research companies in Japan were developing walking robots for application in various areas of the national economy. During the 80ies several four-legged machines have been developed which where controlled by a simple steering of the machines body, e.g., Titan 1-1V (Fig. 2.8) (Hirose, 1984), PV 11 (Hirose & Umetani, 1980), and Collie (Miura et al., 1985; Emura & Arakawa, 1991). The Titan series and the PV-11 are four-legged machines with an insect-like leg construction. Their automated steering devices make the machines easier to handle rather than a manual steering. However, they showed big disadvantages in terms of moving velocity and the energy efficiency. The robot Collie 1 had a mammal-like structure, but its moving possibilities have been that restricted, that a dynamically stable walking was possible only in one direction. The electromechanical four-legged machine PV-11 has been designed at the Tokyo Institute of Technology. Its weight was 10 kg. The legs are special pantograph mechanisms. A larger model (weight about 150 kg) with similar kinematics has been elaborated and tested (Hirose & Umetani, 1980; Hirose, 1984; Umetani et al., 1985). In 1985, the Laboratory of mechanical Engineering (Japan) designed an electromechanical walking robot MELWALK3. Its parameters are as follows: weight 35 kg, body length 0.5 m (Kaneko et al., 1988).

Fig. 2.8. TITAN-2.

Intensive researches have begun at the end of the 60ies in Russia in the field of the theory and development of walking robots of various applications (Artobolevsky & Umnov, 1969). There was published a series of papers about various periodic gaits and optimal gaits for a hexapod (Bessonov & Umnov, 1973). In 1972, the first Russian walking devices Ricksha with two legs has been developed and different gaits have been studied, as well as the interaction between legs (Devjanin et al., 1973; Schneider et al., 1974).

In 1974, a hexapod with legs arranged radially about a central vertical axis was made in the Aviation Instrument Institute in Saint-Petersburg. No information on its tests is available (Ignatyev et al., 1974).

In the early 70s, at the Institute of Applied mathematics (IPM) of the Russian Academy of Sciences in Moscow, a six-legged walking machine controlled on a base of a mathematical model of motion control was developed. The machine motion and the terrain were rendered on a display. Motion control algorithms were developed for a walking machine on rugged terrain in both automated and operator control modes. The problems of control were also considered for a dynamic model of a statically stable walking machine (Okhotsimski & Platonov, 1973, 1976). Two laboratory models of electromechanical walking machines and control systems (1977, 1979) were designed at the Institute of Applied Mathematics (IPM) in collaboration with the
St. Petersburg Mechanical Institute. The machines were tested in supervisory and automated modes.

The first six-legged machine was equipped with a laser scanning range finder and is connected with a two-computer system. The walker could move around isolated obstacles which were detected remotely by a scanning distance-measuring system, and could climb over obstacles (see Fig. 2.9).

The parameters of the machine are the follows: body length 0.6 m, body width 0.25 m, weight 56 kg, length of leg 0.4 m, velocity 0.2 m/s (Okhotsimski & Platonov, 1976; Okhotsimski et al., 1978).

A second mechanical model of this robot was developed at the Institute VNIITRANSMASH (St. Petersburg). The parameters of the machine are the follows: body length 0.6 m and body width 0.25 m, weight 40 kg, length of leg 0.4 m (Efimov et al., 1982).

The joint researches resulted in the development of the full-scale six-legged walking rover controlled by the human-operator (Fig. 2.10) at VNIITRASMSH Institute (St. Petersburg) in 1985. Its weight is 450 kg, the machine is equipped with a generator, storage batteries, a control system, and an armchair with joystick for the operator (Kemurdjian, 1995).

In 1978, the laboratory prototype of an electro-mechanical walking machine at the Institute of Machine Engineering, Moscow, was able to move at a fixed gait with a given step length and clearance. Its simple control system was based on a PLC-like device. The legs are constructed in an orthogonal kinematics scheme with two degrees of freedom (Umnov, 1981).
At the end of the 60ies and at the beginning of the 70ies in Moscow there was constructed the six-legged insect-like walking robot “Masha” (Fig. 2.11).

Robot Masha has six legs, with three powered degrees of freedom each. The control system was based first on a general-purpose analog computer and later on an onboard special-purpose computer. The machine was equipped with a triangular range finder, a vertical gyro and force sensors in each leg which measured the three components of the support reaction and ground contact sensors.

The legs are powered by electric drives with gears and are equipped with joint angle potentiometer sensors.

The triangular optical range finder mounted on the front of the body determined the coordinates of obstacles. A scanning was performed by rotating mirrors. Active force sensing has been used at the control system. The control problems of vehicles moving on deformable grounds were analysed and studied experimentally.

The machine was tested in supervisory (a human operator) and automated (with a range finder) control modes. The locomotion, including by-passing and climbing over obstacles (including the stair-type ones) was controlled automatically. The main geometric and mass parameters of the machine are as follows: the body length 0.7 m and width 0.21 m correspondingly, length of leg 0.28 m, mass is 22 kg, maximal speed 0.25 m/s (Gurfinkel et al., 1981; Gurfinkel et al., 1982; Devjanin et al., 1983).

Present research of multi-legged robots

The 90ies are characterized by a rapid development of theory of multi-legged walking machines, control systems based on classical and neural networks theory, particularly in the USA, Europe and in Japan. Walking machines are equipped with various sensing systems. Furthermore, AI systems were widely applied to the analysis of an environment and motion of robots on a complex surface.

In 1993, the NASA financed the Deadalus-project, which had a similar objective like the Ambler-project (Roston, 1994).

The Dante-project is one of the few big projects of the 90ies. Under the leadership of Prof. Wittaker two eight-legged robots (Dante 1 and Dante 2) for the locomotion on very rough terrain on earth or any other planet have been developed at CMU (Field Robotics Center, www.intechopen.com).
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Dante 2 had the task to climb in the crater of the Mound Spurr Vulcano (Alaska, USA) to take measurements of the consistency of gas near the crater bottom.

Fig. 2.12. Dante 2; CMU, USA.

A quadruped machine, intended for the investigation of different gaits of mammal like machines was constructed by Inagaki and Kobayashi (Inagaki & Kobayashi, 1993). Prof. Bekey and his group at University of Southern California, LA developed the quadruped Menu 2 (Sukhatme & Bekey, 1995).

In the 80-90ies, a big variety of two, -four- and six-legged walking machines was developed, mostly as research robots for basic investigation of locomotion and behavior, for the construction of walking machines, control systems, and for use in service operations. Features of the developed machines can be found in numerous publications, e.g., in transactions works of annual conferences CLAWAR.

The work of Prof. Cruse and his research group on the walking behavior of the stick insect as well as on the role of neural synapses in control of movements inspired several projects to transfer the results of those studies to walking machines (Cruse et al., 1998).

The six-legged walking machine of the Technical University of Munich (TUM), for example, is a result of this idea (Pfeiffer et al., 1994). The machine is designed and steered similar to a stick insect (Pfeiffer et al., 1995). The control system was realized as a neural structure.

In the 90ies, research and development of multi-legged walking machines were intensively performed in European countries. Some examples of the most known walking machines are shown in Fig. 2.13. Their description and characteristics can be found in the catalogue of walking machines (Berns, 2006) and, e.g., in literature (Pfeiffer et al., 1994; Schmucker et al., 1996; Berns et al., 1998; Roßman & Pfeiffer, 1998; De Santos et al., 2003; Halme et al., 1994; Kirschner & Spenneberg, 2001; Arikawa & Hirose, 1996; Hirose et al., 1991; Galvez et al., 2001).

When the speed of walking machines increases, it is more advantageous from energy point of view to pass from statically stable to dynamic modes of motion, as animals do. This transition to dynamic modes makes it possible to increase relative duration of the leg transfer phase, to decrease the frequency of leg oscillations with respect to the body, and to reduce power consumption on the leg motion relative to the body.
(a) Robot *Katharina* (25 kg) with hexagonal body. IFF Magdeburg.

(b) *TUM Walking Machine* (23 kg) with rectangular body. TU, Munich.

(c) *Lauron* (12 kg) with rectangular body. FZI, Karlsruhe.

(d) Four-legged walking machine *SILO4* (67 kg). CSIC, Madrid.

(e) Eight-legged robot *Skorpion* (9.5 kg). Inst. for Autonomous Intelligent Systems (AiS), Sankt Augustin.

(f) Six-legged robot *Silex* (15 kg). Free University, Brussels.

(g) *TUM Pipe Crawling* Robot (20 kg) with 8 legs. (h) Four-legged robot *TITAN-6* (160 kg). Tokyo Inst. Technology, Japan.

(i) Walking Machine Fa. Plustech Oy. Finnland

Fig. 2.13. Multi-legged walking machines developed in Europe.
This determines the importance of research in mechanics and motion control and in design of legged vehicles with dynamically stable locomotion. A considerable part of the studies dealing with dynamic stable motion of legged vehicles was purely theoretical or was done by methods of mathematical modeling and simulation.

One of the first who constructed walking machines exclusively for investigation of dynamic walking behavior was Reibert. He first started with experiments with one-legged machines in 1983. His 2D-and 3D-Hopping Machines are very robust to external disturbances (Raibert, 1986).

As an example, the research company Robotics Boston Dynamics developed a dynamically stable four-legged walking machine BigDog, with a size of a greater dog which can bear a cargo in weight up to 55 kg (Fig. 2.14), (http://www.bostondynamics.com).

3. Force sensors

Forces and torques are measured by deformation or displacement in an elastic mechanical element of a sensor. Various physical principles are employed to convert these quantities into sensor output (Baumann, 1976). The theory of force sensors, design specification, their parameters and engineering methods of calculation are presented for example in (Green & Zerna, 1968; Bray et al., 1990; Gorinevsky et al., 1997). The majority of force sensors established in robotics use strain gauge transducers.

3.1 Fundamentals of force sensors design

At present, only a relatively small number of multi-legged walking robots have foot force sensors and force control systems. Possible reasons might be the great variety of designs of walking vehicles, their different leg and foot structures and differing loads on supporting legs that do not allow the use of commercial force sensors in many cases.

In many cases, gimbals connect the feet to the shin and thus force sensors measure only three components of the support reaction. There are also force sensors that measure five and six components of the support reaction. These are necessary for example for robot climbing of (Galvez et al., 2001).

The basic types of force sensors and their elastic elements are the bending element (Fig. 3.1a), double bending beam element (Fig. 3.1b), parallelogram elastic element (Fig. 3.1c), Maltese cross
element with elastic beam support (Fig. 3.1d) and their modifications. Some typical force sensors with one, three and six components were designed for application in legged robots for various carrying capacities. More detailed information on force sensor designs and parameters can be found in (Gorinevsky et al., 1986, 1997). The basic characteristics: sensitivity, stiffness eigenfrequency, decoupling, linearity, and hysteresis were used to evaluate and compare the designs developed for force sensors. Strain gauge transducers were used to measure deformations of elastic elements (Fig. 3.1). Many multi-component force sensors are composed of one or two-component modular elements. Such modules should only be sensitive to the component desired from among the multiple components of force and torque acting on it. The modular elements are usually designed to be mechanically decoupled, i.e. to have a selective mechanical response to the measured components.

3.2 Design computations for multi-component sensors

3.2.1 Six-component sensors with bending elastic elements

Elastic elements consisting of bending and torsion deformations are typically used to measure moderate loads (less than 1000 N).

We consider the main parameters of the sensors: their sensitivity and stiffness Sensitivity. The vector of average strains in strain gauge bridges is linearly dependent on the components of the attached load provided that bending or torsion strain is measured. We can then write

$$\lambda = \frac{F}{Eh^2}$$

where \(\lambda\) is the nondimensional sensitivity matrix of the sensor, \(L\) the characteristic beam length, \(h\) the beam cross-sectional dimension (thickness) and \(\zeta = L/D\) the nondimensional ratio of the characteristic beam length to the end-effector size.

Fig. 3.1. Basic designs of elastic elements used in force sensors (Gorinevsky et al., 1997).

Stiffness. The external force \(F\) and torque \(M\) cause small linear displacement vectors \(\delta\) and angular displacement vectors \(\varphi\) in the elastic element of the sensor, which are linearly
dependent on $\overline{F}$ and $\overline{M}$. Let $\xi = [\delta^T, \varphi^T L]^T$ be a six-dimensional vector of generalized coordinates. Then we can write $\xi = \frac{L}{E A} K^{-1} \left[ \frac{\overline{F}}{\overline{M}/L} \right]$ where $K^{-1}$ is the nondimensional compliance matrix.

*Maltese cross* elastic elements (s. Fig. 3.1d) consist of four radial beams of the length $l$, which connect a rigid hub 2 of the radius $r$ with an outer flange 3. The outer edges of the beams rest upon the flange via membrane joints 4, which restrain the beam ends from rotation and transversal displacement. Thus we can denote $L = r + l, \alpha = r/l$.

### 3.2.2 Compressive-tensile and shear elastic module

This elastic module is generally employed to measure comparatively large loads (exceeding 500 N). The design of the sensor is shown in Fig. 3.1c. Two round flanges 1 are linked by four ($n1, \ldots, 4$) elastic struts uniformly positioned along the circumference. The length of each strut is $l$; the cross-sectional area is $s$ and the distance $2L$ between the diametrically opposite struts is far greater than the strut dimensions.

### 3.3 Examples of force sensor designs

#### 3.3.1 Sensors with bending deformation

To measure the longitudinal component of the support reaction not exceeding 500 N, we developed a compact parallelogram elastic module in which, to reduce the cross sizes, force measuring elastic plates are located in the plane parallel to the action of the longitudinal force. Fig. 3.2 shows the circuit of such a module. External (1) and internal (2) flanges are attached to the top of the elastic plates (3, 4); the bottom ends of the plates are connected with a rigid non-deformable crosspiece (5) in which a hole (6) is made on the symmetric axis of the module. A force-transfer element (7) passes through this hole. The element is connected to an internal flange. Strain gauges (8, 9) are mounted along an axial line on the outside of the plates and measure elastic bending deformations.

The module is mechanically insensitive to torques because the strains caused by lateral components of a supporting reaction in the plates have identical signs. Fig. 3.3a and Fig. 3.3b shows the three-component force sensor developed at the Fraunhofer IFF and integrated in legs of the walking robot “Katharina” with a load carrying capacity of about 24 kg.

It consists of a bending beam with a quadratic cross section for measuring lateral components of the support reaction and the module described above for measuring the longitudinal component. The holes are used to form elastic beam plates.

![Fig. 3.2. An axial module.](www.intechopen.com)
The holes concentrate stress on plates where strain gauges are attached. The module is made of an integral piece of metal, is simple to manufacture and has a small coupling.

Fig. 3.3. Design of three-component force sensor.
Together with the amplifier, this sensor is mounted in the shank of a leg and the bottom end of the sensor is attached to a gimbal connected to the foot (see Fig. 3.3b). It is designed to be loaded up to 500 N, interference between channels not exceeding 1%. Fig. 3.4 and Fig. 3.5 show the technical solution of the three-component force sensor mounted on a manipulator.

Fig. 3.4. Three-component force sensor composed of parallelogram element.

Fig. 3.5. Three-component force sensor mounted between the arm manipulator and a tool.
3.3.2 Sensor with a compressive-tensile and shear elastic element

Elastic elements of this type are generally employed to measure comparatively large loads (exceeding 500 N).

![Three-component force sensor for high loading.](image)

Fig. 3.6. Three-component force sensor for high loading.

Fig. 3.6 shows the three-component force sensor for the large four-legged walking machine “ALDURO” with a load-carrying capacity of about 700 kg. The sensor is made of a heavy-walled pipe (aluminum alloy D16T) in which four elastic beams have been milled. Strain deformations are measured by eight strain gauge rosettes mounted on lateral surfaces of beams and by four strain gauges mounted on the outsides of each beam. One flange of the sensor is attached to a leg shin, another to the articulated foot joint. Manufactured from an aluminum alloy, the sensor is mounted in the foot of a leg and designed for loads up to 3000 N. The beams have a length of $l = 20 \text{mm}$, $r = 30 \text{mm}$, a diameter of $90 \text{mm}$ and a height of $50 \text{mm}$. The cross-sectional area of the elastic struts measures $126 \text{mm}^2$.

4. Control system structure

In developing the architecture for the control system, we used data from human and animal biomechanics and the control/organization of their motions. The contributions of N. A. Bernstein, 1967 and his followers (Gurfinkel & Fomin, 1973; Shik & Orlovsky, 1976) connecting a multilevel organization of control in the locomotion system, a central program of movements and interlevel interaction are essential for engineering a walking robot’s control system.

The control system of the robot “Katharina” (Fig. 4.1) consists of upper and lower levels and is based on the idea of the synergy of the quasi-regular gait.

The upper level of the control system is supervisory and prescribes such motion parameters as components of linear and angular velocities of the body, gait pattern, track, width, clearance and some cycle parameters of locomotion. Algorithms of the lower level are based on the assumption that the vehicle moves slowly enough that its motion can be described kinematically. The lower level is made up of a main controller and six leg controllers. The local controllers are connected to the main controller that is connected to a PC by a serial link. All controllers are located inside the robot’s body. The main controller contains five basic units: master step cycle generator, step cycle modification unit, coordinate transformation unit, sensor signal evaluation and six leg transformation units.
The master step cycle generator. The tip of each leg moves relative to the vehicle’s body along a closed trajectory called a “step cycle”. The unit contains one generator that creates prototypes of plane step cycles for each leg in some auxiliary Cartesian coordinates. The step cycles are interrelated to preserve given relationships between step cycle phases and a sequence of states of the legs.

The step cycle modification unit. This unit has several functions. A global modification subunit modifies global geometric parameters (vertical and horizontal) of step cycles (Devjanin et al., 1983; Schmucker et al., 2002).

An individual modification subunit activates changes for each step cycle individually. A step cycle consists of a support phase and a transfer phase. The transfer phase consist of three subphases: intrinsic transfer phase, lifting phase of a leg from a surface and lowering phase of a leg to a surface. A transition from the transfer phase to the support phase takes place either after the lowering phase finishes or when signals are received from force sensors indicating the moment when the leg encounters an obstacle.

The coordinate transformation unit geometrically links prototype trajectories with the body scheme, scales them and shifts trajectories linearly and angularly in the transfer phase.

Sensor Signal Evaluation. This unit operates with force and angle signals and calculates the algorithms of ground detection, force distribution between the legs locomoting over hard and soft surfaces and obstacle crossing. This unit handles internal and external sensor data collectively.

Six leg transformation units. These units have the six cycle generators as input and produce the programmed trajectories for each leg in Cartesian coordinates of axes $\overrightarrow{O_x}\overrightarrow{X_1}\overrightarrow{X_2}\overrightarrow{X_3}$ the origins of which coincide with a leg’s point of attachment.

The leg controllers contain the local leg transformation unit, the sensor transformation unit and the leg control system.

The local leg transformation unit transforms Cartesian coordinates of programmed vectors $\overrightarrow{X_p}$ into the programmed vectors of joint angles $\overrightarrow{\alpha_p}$ The sensor transformation unit transforms the force vector $\overrightarrow{F_s}$ measured by force sensor in the shanks into vector $\overrightarrow{F_x}$ in axes $\overrightarrow{X}$ and the vector of measured angles $\overrightarrow{\alpha}$ into the vector $\overrightarrow{\alpha}$ in Cartesian coordinates. The leg control unit consists of the three-dimensional position servo control system for each leg.
The control system algorithms and their technical realization for the robots “Masha” and “Katharina” are described in (Schmucker et al., 1996; Schmucker, 2002). Each leg of “Katharina” has its own controller based on a microcontroller INTEL 87C196KR which only controls the respective leg. The controller includes the CPU, FLASH-memory and RAM, a PWM output unit (PWM-U), analog input unit (AIU) and a synchronous serial communication unit (SSCU) on the chip. All microcontroller units are connected by a synchronous serial bus.

5. References


This book covers many aspects of the exciting research in mobile robotics. It deals with different aspects of the control problem, especially also under uncertainty and faults. Mechanical design issues are discussed along with new sensor and actuator concepts. Games like soccer are a good example which comprise many of the aforementioned challenges in a single comprehensive and in the same time entertaining framework. Thus, the book comprises contributions dealing with aspects of the Robotcup competition. The reader will get a feel how the problems cover virtually all engineering disciplines ranging from theoretical research to very application specific work. In addition interesting problems for physics and mathematics arises out of such research. We hope this book will be an inspiring source of knowledge and ideas, stimulating further research in this exciting field. The promises and possible benefits of such efforts are manifold, they range from new transportation systems, intelligent cars to flexible assistants in factories and construction sites, over service robot which assist and support us in daily live, all the way to the possibility for efficient help for impaired and advances in prosthetics.
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