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Abstract

The brain is an organ that takes the central role in advanced information processing. There exist great many neurons in our brain, which build complicated neural networks. All information processing in the brain is accomplished by neural activity in the form of neural oscillations. In order to understand the mechanisms of information processing, it is necessary to clarify functions of neurons and neural networks. Although the current progress of experiment technology is remarkable, only experiments by themselves cannot uncover the behavior of only a single neuron. Computational neuroscience is a research field, which fills up the deficiency in experiments. By modeling the essential features of a neuron or a neural network, we can analyze their fundamental properties by computer simulation. In this chapter, one aspect of computational neuroscience is described. At the first, the cell membrane and a neuron can be modeled by using an RC circuit. Next, the Hodgkin-Huxley model is introduced, which has the function of generation of action potentials. Furthermore, many neurons show the subthreshold resonance phenomena, and the cell membrane is necessary to be modeled by an RLC circuit. Finally, some simulation results are shown, and properties of such neuronal behaviors are discussed.
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1. Introduction

Our brain is an extraordinary microsome and has been completely shrouded in mystery. However, its mystery has been just a little bit by bit solved owing to recent advances in experimental technologies and tremendous development of computers. Many people can simply say “brain,” but it is a general term for a collection of six main regions, that is, cerebrum, diencephalon, midbrain, cerebellum, pons, and medulla oblongata. The brain is an organ that
takes the central role in advanced information processing, such as visual, auditory, speech or language faculties, motion control, recognition, emotion, and so on. According to advances of experiment and computer technology, the research of brain science or neuroscience has been made not only in the fields of medicine, biology, biochemistry, pharmacology, and psychology but also in the field of engineering.

The present-day computers have outstanding processing capacity. For example, they can find the data that satisfy some requirements among huge quantities of data (database) or can calculate over five trillion figures of pi(π). Therefore, many people are inclined to think that our brain will be able to be replaced by computer in near future. Surely, computers excel at processing of digitized data and processing by following a standard algorithm. However, it can hardly execute processing, such as recognition of ambiguity figures (such as illusionism) or inference based on imperfect information, which our brain can instantaneously carry out. Reason for this comes from differences in ways of information processing of the computer and our brain. The current computers, called von Neumann computer, are grounded in sequential processing by using central processing units (CPUs) and memory storages, while on the other hand, our brain bases on parallel and distributed processing through neural networks whose components are neurons.

There exist tens of billions of neurons in our brain, which build neural networks in complicated arrangement. All information processing in the brain is accomplished by neural activity in the form of neural oscillations that cause cortical oscillations (delta, theta, alpha, beta, or gamma oscillation). In order to clarify the mechanisms of advanced information processing in the brain, such as learning and memory, it is necessary to understand functions and features of neurons and neural networks. Although the current progress in experiment technology and measuring system is remarkable, only experiments by themselves cannot uncover the behavior of only a single neuron, because even a single neuron has complex biophysical characteristics and never stops growth. Computational neuroscience is a research field which fills up such a deficiency in experiments. By modeling the essential features of a neuron or a neural network at multiple spatial-temporal scales, we can capture and analyze the fundamental properties of a neuron or a neural network by computer simulation. Moreover, we can even offer some suggestions to experimental study by taking into account the probable results obtained from the simulation.

2. Neuron model with a low-pass filter property

2.1. Electrical circuit model of the cell membrane

Neurons play a key role in almost all brain functions. Fundamental function of neurons is to generate action potentials when they received sufficient stimuli from the environment. Once action potentials are generated, they are transmitted to other neurons so as to communicate information from one neuron to another. There exist many types of neurons in the brain, such as pyramidal neurons in the hippocampus and neocortex (Figure 1(a)), motor neurons in motor cortex (Figure 1(b)), or Purkinje cells in the cerebellum (Figure 1(c)) [1]. Although their shapes
are different, they have basically the same structure. As shown in Figure 2(a), a neuron is composed of three parts, that is, the soma (cell body) where action potentials are generated, the dendrite that receives inputs from other neurons, and the axon along which action potentials are transmitted to axon terminals. One thing especially worth mentioning, the dendrite of a neuron has hundreds to thousands of spines, on which axon terminals of other neurons connect. This junction is called a synapse, through which information are transmitted from one neuron to another (Figure 2(b)). Actually, there exist two kinds of synapses, one of which is an electric synapse and the other is a chemical synapse [1]. The former is a junction where neurons are directly contacted each other and information are electrically conducted from one neuron to another. This junction is also called a gap junction. On the other hand, the latter one is a junction with a cleft, called a synaptic cleft, into which neurotransmitters are released from the axon terminal and they bind to receptors on the spine head. Electrical synapses are found at the sites that require the fastest possible response, such as nociceptive reflex, whereas chemical synapses are found in almost all neurons of the brain. Figure 2(b) shows an example of a chemical synapse. Both synapses have a very important role in signal processing between neurons.

Figure 1. Various types of neurons. (a) Pyramidal neuron (cortex), (b) motor neuron (spinal cord), and (c) Purkinje cell (cerebellum).

Figure 2. (a) Schematic neuron (Structure of neuron). (b) Synaptic connection at the synapse.
Surfaces of a neuron are covered with the cell membrane, which separates the interior of cell from the exterior environment. The cell membrane is composed of protein, lipid, and carbohydrate [1]. As shown in Figure 3(a), it is composed of two layers of phospholipid molecules, each of which has a hydrophilic head (circle) and hydrophobic tail (two waved lined), and both hydrophobic tails face each other inside the cell membrane. This structure is called lipid bilayer. Furthermore, the concentration of the extracellular ions, such as \( \text{Na}^+ \), \( \text{Cl}^- \), or \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \), is higher than the intracellular one. Contrarily, the concentration of intracellular ion, such as \( \text{K}^+ \), is higher than the extracellular one. In addition, many types of ion channels (protein) are penetrating the cell membrane. Those ion channels are normally closed. If neurochemical transmitters released from the presynaptic axon terminal bind to receptors of the corresponding ion channels on the spine head, those ion channels are activated and open. Subsequently, specific ion flow occurs according to their ionic gradients. At the resting state, those channels are closed and no ionic flows occur except for small leakage.

![Cell membrane. (a) Cross section of a cell membrane lipid bilayer and (b) equivalent RC circuit model of cell membrane.](image)

Based on the above properties, the cell membrane has the following electrical properties:

a. It is lipid bilayer, that is, it is composed of two parallel plates. Thus, the cell membrane has characteristics similar to “capacitance,” \( C \).

b. The difference between intracellular and extracellular ion concentrations corresponds to “power source,” \( E_i \), \( i = \text{Na}^+, \text{K}^+, \text{Cl}^- \), or \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \).

c. The ionic flowability of opening ion channels is thought of as “resistance” \( R_i \), or “conductance” \( 1/R_i \).

d. The corresponding flows of \( \text{Na}^+, \text{K}^+, \text{Cl}^- \), or \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) through ion channels are “current,” \( I_{\text{Na}}, I_{\text{K}}, I_{\text{Cl}}, \) or \( I_{\text{Ca}} \).

With these points in mind, the cell membrane can be modeled by an equivalent RC circuit, which is shown in Figure 3(b). Once an RC circuit is obtained, we can obtain its dynamics by...
using Ohm’s law, Kirchhoff’s law, or other knowledge of electrical circuit theory. From Figure 3(b), the following equation is obtained:

\[ C \frac{dV}{dt} = -\frac{1}{R_L} (V - E_L) - \frac{1}{R_i} (V - E_i) + I_{inp}, \]  

(1)

where \( V \) is the membrane potential of the cell membrane, \( C \) is the membrane capacitance, \( R_L \) is the leakage resistance, \( E_L \) is the reversal potential, \( R_i \) is the flowability of ion \( i(i = Na^+, K^+, Cl^- \text{, or } Ca^{2+}) \), \( E_i \) is the corresponding ionic equilibrium potential, and \( I_{inp} \) is the specific input current given to the cell membrane. As a neuron is covered with the cell membrane, a synapse or a soma can be also expressed by using an RC circuit. Accordingly, we can study the synaptic properties or neuronal characteristics by using computer simulations.

2.2. Generation of action potentials (Hodgkin-Huxley model)

In this section, we give one model that can generate an action potential, which is the basic function of a neuron. When a dendritic spine receives stimuli from an axon terminal of other neuron, the membrane potential of a spine head changes depending on that stimulus. Those potential changes are transmitted to the soma (strictly speaking, the axon hillock in the neighborhood of the soma) through dendrites and integrated there. If the accumulated potential of the soma exceeds the threshold, an action potential is generated. Generated action potentials are transmitted to axon terminals along the axon. Based on this knowledge, McCulloch and Pitts expressed a neuron as a product-sum threshold element in 1943 [2]. Their model is a formal neuron model, called McCulloch-Pitts model, and is shown in Figure 4.

Figure 4. Formal neuron model (The McCulloch-Pitts model).

The McCulloch-Pitts model is expressed as follows:
\[ u(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i(t) \cdot x_i(t), \]  
\[ y(t) = \Phi(u(t)) = \begin{cases} 1 & : u(t) \geq \theta \\ 0 & : u(t) < \theta \end{cases}, \]  

where \( x_i(t) \) is an input from \( i \)th neuron, \( w_i(t) \) is a weight from a neuron \( i \), \( u(t) \) is a state (potential) of a neuron, \( y(t) \) is its output, and \( \theta \) is a threshold. In this model, if a state \( u(t) \) exceeds a threshold \( \theta \), output 1 is send to other neurons. Notice that, however, McCulloch-Pitts model does not consider a refractory period, during which neurons cannot or find it hard to generate the next action potential.

As the McCulloch-Pitts model was a very easy model for engineers to understand the mechanism of generation of action potentials, many engineers have applied this model to study basic neuronal behaviors. The most prominent example is the application to the perceptron, which was known as one of the powerful tools for some kinds of pattern recognition problems. Although there exist many variations of the McCulloch-Pitts model, one of them uses a sigmoid function instead of a step function expressed by Eq. (3). This kind of model is applied to the back propagation algorithm and recently the deep learning method, because a sigmoid function is a differentiable function. However, the practical neurons are not so simple as the McCulloch-Pitts model and the back propagation algorithm. Therefore, more profound considerations were necessary to describe complicated neuronal behaviors.

In 1952, Hodgkin and Huxley developed one mathematical model that explains the generation of an action potential (impulse or spike) based on physiological experiments for a squid giant axon [3]. As described in the previous section, the extracellular concentration of \( Na^+ \) is higher than the intracellular one, and the intracellular concentration \( K^+ \) is higher than the extracellular one, and the cell membrane has both \( Na^+ \) permeable channel (\( Na \) channel) and \( K^+ \) permeable channel (\( K \) channel). Hodgkin and Huxley found that both \( Na \) and \( K \) channels are voltage-dependently activated, that is, the activation and inactivation of these channels are affected by the membrane potential of the cell membrane. They also elucidated that action potentials are generated by increased or decreased activation and inactivation of \( Na \) channel and increased or decreased activation of \( K \) channel. Based on the results of physiological experiments for a squid giant axon, they showed that an action potential is generated whenever the cell membrane is depolarized over the threshold. They proposed a schematic electrical circuit model that can explain the mechanism for generation of action potentials, called the Hodgkin-Huxley model (HH model).
Figure 5. The Hodgkin-Huxley model. (a) Conductance-based electrical circuit of the Hodgkin-Huxley model and (b) simulation result.

Figure 5(a) shows the HH model and its dynamics is given as follows:

\[ I_{\text{inp}} = C \frac{dV}{dt} + I_L + I_{Na} + I_K, \quad (4) \]

where \( V \) is the membrane potential of the cell, \( C \) is the capacitance, \( I_L \) is the leak current, \( I_{Na} \) and \( I_K \) are currents through \( Na \) channel and \( K \) channel, respectively, and \( I_{\text{inp}} \) is the input current. They proposed the empirical formulae, which appropriately indicate activation and inactivation properties of \( Na \) channel and activation properties of \( K \) channel, that is, the change of ionic permeability of \( Na^+ \) and \( K^+ \). Currents \( I_L, I_{Na}, \) and \( I_K \) are given as follows [3]:

\[ I_L = g_L \cdot (V - E_L), \quad (5) \]

\[ I_{Na} = g_{Na} \cdot m(V, t)^3 \cdot h(V, t) \cdot (V - E_{Na}), \quad (6) \]

\[ I_K = g_K \cdot n(V, t)^4 \cdot (V - E_K), \quad (7) \]

where \( g_L \) is the leakage conductance, \( g_{Na} \) and \( g_K \) are the amplitude of \( Na \) channel conductance and \( K \) channel conductance, respectively, \( E_L \) is the resting potential, and \( E_{Na} \) and \( E_K \) are equilibrium potentials of \( Na \) channel and \( K \) channel. \( m(V, t) \) and \( h(V, t) \) are activation and
inactivation variables of Na channel, and \( n(V,t) \) is an activation variable of K channel. They gave the following empirical formula:

\[
\frac{dx(V,t)}{dt} = \alpha_x(V) \cdot (1 - x(V,t)) - \beta_x(V) \cdot x(V,t), \quad (x = m, h, n) \tag{8}
\]

\[
\alpha_m(V) = \frac{0.1 (25 - V)}{\exp\left(\frac{25 - V}{10}\right) - 1}, \quad \beta_m(V) = 4 \exp\left(-\frac{V}{18}\right), \tag{9}
\]

\[
\alpha_h(V) = 0.07 \exp\left(-\frac{V}{20}\right), \quad \beta_h(V) = \frac{1}{\exp\left(-\frac{30 - V}{10}\right) + 1}, \tag{10}
\]

\[
\alpha_n(V) = \frac{0.01 (10 - V)}{\exp\left(-\frac{10 - V}{10}\right) - 1}, \quad \beta_n(V) = 0.125 \exp\left(-\frac{V}{80}\right). \tag{11}
\]

**Figure 5(b)** shows one example of computer simulation results for the HH model. When a continuous DC input is given to the HH model, action potentials can be generated at certain interval, that is, with a refractory period. Regardless of the strength of inputs, action potentials have the same shape and size. All differential equations were solved by the fourth-order Runge-Kutta method by using C++. Parameters used here were as \( C = 1\mu F/cm^2 \), \( g_L = 0.3 \text{ mS/cm}^2 \), \( g = 0.3 \text{ mS/cm}^2 \), \( g_K = 0.3 \text{ mS/cm}^2 \), \( E_{Na} = 115 \text{ mV} \), \( E_K = -12 \text{ mV} \), \( I_{inp} = 10 \text{ mA/cm}^2 \), and the resting potential = 0 mV.

### 3. Neuron model with a band-pass filter property

#### 3.1. Subthreshold resonance phenomenon

As described in Section 2.2, neurons can generate action potentials depending on the strength of DC input stimuli. As shown in **Figure 6(a)**, for small DC inputs (dark blue, light blue, dashed red lines), action potentials are not generated by reason that membrane potentials do not exceed the threshold. However, if a larger input (red line) is given to a neuron, the membrane potential can exceed the threshold and as a result, an action potential is generated. On the contrary, when AC inputs are given to a neuron, outputs of a neuron are unlike the cases of DC inputs, apart from whether the membrane potential exceeds the threshold or not. We consider three AC inputs (blue, red, and green in **Figure 6(b)**), whose amplitudes are equal but their frequencies are different \( f_1 < f_2 < f_3 \). By using an AC input with frequency \( f_1 \) (blue), the
membrane potential (blue line) is assumed to be obtained under the threshold level, that is, in a subthreshold level. If the input frequency increases from $f_1$ to $f_2$ (red), the membrane potential (red line) is still in a subthreshold level, but its amplitude becomes larger than that of frequency $f_1$ (blue line). However, if the input frequency further increases to $f_3$ (green), the amplitude of the membrane potential (green line) reduces and becomes smaller than that of frequency $f_2$ (red line). Instead of AC inputs with a single frequency, let an AC input whose frequency increases with time be given to this neuron. This kind of AC is called a chirp current. Then, its membrane potential has the shape with an expanded center section as shown in Figure 6(c), that is, the membrane potential takes the maximum at a specific frequency, however, remains at a subthreshold level. As its FFT shows, this neuron has a band-pass property, that is, frequency selectivity. These kinds of oscillatory phenomena in a subthreshold level are called the subthreshold resonance phenomena.

Figure 6. Subthreshold resonance phenomena. (a) DC inputs with different amplitudes, (b) AC inputs with different frequencies, and (c) a chirp current input whose frequency increases as time increases.

Subthreshold resonance oscillations have been found in many excitatory and/or inhibitory neurons in the whole brain. Mauro et al. first reported a subthreshold resonance oscillation in squid giant axon [4]. Koch discussed these resonance oscillations in relation to the cable theory [5]. Since then, these resonance phenomena have been observed in many neurons in various regions of the brain, such as trigeminal root ganglion [6], inferior olive [7], and thalamus [8, 9]. These subthreshold resonance phenomena have been also reported in cortical neurons [10–14], and in the 2000s, also in hippocampal neurons in CA1 [15, 16]. Although it is suspected that frequency selectivity of neurons should play an important role in behavioral or perceptual functions in animals, their practical roles have still been unclear. Recently, Narayanan and Johnston [17] reported that subthreshold resonance oscillations in hippocampal CA1 neurons are closely related to the long-term synaptic plasticity, which is currently considered as one of possible foundations of learning and memory [18, 19]. So, it is very interesting and attractive to study those resonance oscillatory features, in order to clarify the mechanisms of higher information processing functions in the brain, such as learning, short-term memory, or working memory.
As already described, the cell membrane is usually modeled by an RC circuit. However, if a chirp current is given to an RC circuit, a membrane potential shows only a property of low-pass filter shown in Figure 7(a). On electrical circuit theory, resonance circuit must contain inductive elements, that is, inductance $L$. Indeed, if a chirp current is given to an RLC circuit, a membrane potential shows a band-pass property shown in Figure 7(b). Having many neurons, the subthreshold resonance phenomena indicate that those neurons must have some kind of inductive factor. So exactly, what is a distinguishing major role of such inductive characteristics in the cell membrane? By advances of experimental technique, it has been reported that many kinds of voltage-dependent ion channels have an important role in subthreshold phenomena. Such ion channels involved in the subthreshold resonance phenomena are different from neuron to neuron that belongs to brain regions. Among them, slow non-inactivating $K^+$ channel ($K_{rs}$ channels) [10], hyperpolarization-activated cationic channel ($h$ channel) [12], and persistent $Na^+$ channels ($NaP$ channel) [13] are well known. In addition to these channels, voltage-dependent $Ca^{2+}$ channels in neurons and/or dendritic spines [8] are also concerned in the subthreshold resonance oscillation.

![Figure 7. Calculated membrane potential for a chirp current and its magnitude of FFT. (a) RC circuit and (b) RLC circuit.](image)

3.2. Inductive property of voltage-dependent ion channels

A hyperpolarization-activated cation channel ($h$ channel) and a persistent sodium channel ($NaP$ channel) are known to mediate the subthreshold resonance oscillation observed in entorhinal cortical neurons [12, 14]. In this section, we show how such voltage-dependent ion channels have inductive properties. We consider a compartment neuron model with $h$ channel and $NaP$ channel as shown in Figure 8. Its dynamics are expressed by the following conductance-based equations [13]:

$$C \frac{dV}{dt} = -I_L - I_h - I_{NaP} - I_{inp}, \quad (12)$$
where $V$ is the membrane potential, $I_L$ is the leak current, $I_h$ and $I_{NaP}$ are the currents through $h$ channel and $NaP$ channel, respectively, and $I_{inp}$ is the input current. The leak current $I_L$ is given by

$$I_L = g_L \cdot (V - E_L). \tag{13}$$

where $g_L$ is the leak conductance and $E_L$ is the resting potential. Currents $I_h$ and $I_{NaP}$ are given as follows:

$$I_h = g_h(V) \cdot (V - E_h) = g_h \cdot \{0.65 m_{hf}(V) + 0.35 m_{hs}(V)\} \cdot (V - E_h). \tag{14}$$

$$I_{NaP} = g_{NaP}(V) \cdot (V - E_N) = g_{NaP} \cdot m_{NaP}(V) \cdot (V - E_N). \tag{15}$$

where $g_h(V)$ and $g_{NaP}(V)$ are, respectively, the $h$ channel conductance and the $NaP$ channel conductance, $g_h$ and $g_{NaP}$ are, respectively, the maximum amplitude of $g_h(V)$ and $g_{NaP}(V)$, and $E_h$ and $E_N$ are the equilibrium potentials for $K^+$ through $h$ channel and $Na^+$ for $NaP$ channel, respectively. $m_{hf}(V)$ and $m_{hs}(V)$ are, respectively, the fast activation and slow activation variables of $h$ channel, and $m_{NaP}(V)$ is an activation variable of $NaP$ channel. They satisfy the following equations:

$$\frac{dm_x}{dt} = \frac{1}{\tau_x} \cdot (m_{x\infty} - m_x), \quad (x = hf, hs, NaP) \tag{16}$$

$$m_{hf} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp \left( \frac{V + 79.2}{9.78} \right)}, \quad \tau_{hf} = 1 + \frac{0.51}{\exp \left( \frac{V - 1.7}{10} \right) + \exp \left( -\frac{V + 340}{52} \right)}. \tag{17}$$
3.2.1. Equivalent admittance (impedance) of h channel

Let \( V^* \) be the equilibrium potential, \( I^*_h \) be the \( h \)-current at \( V^* \). From Eq. (14), \( I^*_h \) satisfies the following relation:

\[
I^*_h = \frac{1}{1 + \exp \left( \frac{V + 71.3}{7.9} \right)} \cdot 0.65 \ m_{if}(V^*) + 0.35 \ m_{hs}(V^*) \cdot (V^* - E_h).
\]  

(20)

When the membrane potential \( V(t) \) changes from \( V^* \) to \( V^* + \delta V(t) \), where \( \delta V(t) \) is a small variation of the membrane potential from \( V^* \), the current \( I_h(t) \) also changes from \( I^*_h \) to \( I^*_h + \delta I_h(t) \), where \( \delta I_h(t) \) is a small variation of \( h \) current caused by \( \delta V(t) \). \( I^*_h + \delta I_h(t) \) satisfies the following relation:

\[
I^*_h + \delta I_h(t) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp \left( \frac{V + 38}{6.5} \right)} \cdot 0.65 \ m_{if}(V^* + \delta V(t)) + 0.35 \ m_{hs}(V^* + \delta V(t)) \cdot (V^* + \delta V(t) - E_h).
\]  

(21)

Let \( m_{if}(V + \delta V) \) approximate by \( m_{if}(V) + \delta m_{if} \) and \( m_{hs}(V + \delta V) \) by \( m_{hs}(V) + \delta m_{hs} \) for a small variation \( \delta V \). Then, Eq. (21) can be expressed by the following equation:

\[
I^*_h + \delta I_h(t) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp \left( \frac{V + 38}{6.5} \right)} \cdot (0.65 \ m_{if}(V^*) + 0.35 \ m_{hs}(V^*)) \cdot (V^* - E_h) + \frac{1}{1 + \exp \left( \frac{V + 38}{6.5} \right)} \cdot (0.65 \ m_{if}(V) + 0.35 \ m_{hs}(V)) \cdot \delta V(t) + \frac{1}{1 + \exp \left( \frac{V + 38}{6.5} \right)} \cdot (0.65 \ m_{if}(V^*) + 0.35 \ m_{hs}(V^*)) \cdot \delta V(t).
\]  

(22)

By subtracting Eq. (20) from Eq. (22) and dropping the higher-order variation terms than the second, which appeared on the right-hand side of Eq. (22), the following equation is obtained:

\[
\delta I_h \approx \frac{1}{1 + \exp \left( \frac{V + 38}{6.5} \right)} \cdot (0.65 \ m_{if} + 0.35 \ m_{hs}) \cdot (V^* - E_h) + \frac{1}{1 + \exp \left( \frac{V + 38}{6.5} \right)} \cdot (0.65 \ m_{if} + 0.35 \ m_{hs}) \cdot \delta V.
\]  

(23)
As an activation variable \( m_{hf}(V) \) satisfies Eq. (16), \( m_{hf}(V^*) \) and \( m_{hf}(V^* + \delta V) \) must satisfy the following equations:

\[
\frac{d}{dt} m_{hf}(V^*) = -\frac{1}{\tau_{hf}(V^*)} \cdot \{m_{hf,\infty}(V^*) - m_{hf}\},
\]

(24)

\[
\frac{d}{dt} m_{hf}(V^* + \delta V) = -\frac{1}{\tau_{hf}(V^* + \delta V)} \cdot \{m_{hf,\infty}(V^* + \delta V) - m_{hf}(V^* + \delta V)\},
\]

(25)

where left terms of Eqs. (24) and (25), \( \frac{dm}{dt} \) and \( \frac{dm(V^* + \delta V)}{dt} \), represent the quantity \( \frac{dm}{dt} \) evaluated at \( V^* \) and \( V^* + \delta V \), respectively. By approximating also \( \tau(V^* + \delta V) \) by \( \tau(V^*) + \delta \tau_{hf} \), where \( \delta \tau \) is a small variation caused by \( \delta V \), Eq. (25) is written as follows:

\[
\frac{d}{dt} m_{hf}(V^*) + \delta m_{hf} \approx \frac{1}{\tau_{hf}(V^*) + \delta \tau_{hf}} \cdot \{m_{hf,\infty}(V^*) + \delta m_{hf,\infty} - (m_{hf}(V^*) + \delta m_{hf})\}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\tau_{hf}(V^*)} \left[1 - \frac{\delta \tau_{hf}}{\tau_{hf}(V^*)} + \ldots\right] \cdot \{m_{hf,\infty}(V^*) + \delta m_{hf,\infty} - (m_{hf}(V^*) + \delta m_{hf})\}.
\]

(26)

By dropping the higher-order variation terms than the second and subtracting Eq. (24) from Eq. (26), the following equation is obtained:

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \delta m_{hf} \approx \frac{1}{\tau_{hf}(V^*)} \cdot \{\delta m_{hf,\infty} - \delta m_{hf}\}.
\]

(27)

Furthermore, as a small variation \( \delta m_{\infty} \) may be approximately expressed by \( \frac{dm_{\infty}(V^*)}{dV} \cdot \delta V \), Eq. (27) becomes as follows:

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \delta m_{hf} \approx \frac{1}{\tau_{hf}(V^*)} \cdot \left\{ \frac{dm_{hf,\infty}(V^*)}{dV} \cdot \delta V - \delta m_{hf} \right\}.
\]

(28)

Using the differential operator \( p \) instead of time derivative \( (d/dt) \), Eq. (28) can be written as follows:
\[
\left( p + \frac{1}{\tau_{hf}(V^*)} \right) \cdot \delta m_{hf} = \frac{1}{\tau_{hf}(V^*)} \cdot \left( \frac{dm_{hf}(V^*)}{dV} \right) \cdot \delta V.
\] (29)

From this relation, a small variation \( \delta m_{hf} \) is definitely expressed by \( \delta V \) as follows:

\[
\delta m_{hf} = \frac{1}{\tau_{hf}(V^*)} \cdot \frac{dm_{hf}(V^*)}{dV} \cdot \delta V.
\] (30)

Notice that \( dm_{hf}(V^*)/dV \) in the numerator of the right-hand side can be directly calculated from Eq. (17), that is, it is given by

\[
\frac{dm_{hf}(V^*)}{dV} = \frac{1}{9.78} \cdot \frac{\exp \left( \frac{V^* + 79.2}{9.78} \right)}{\left[ 1 + \exp \left( \frac{V^* + 79.2}{9.78} \right) \right]^2}.
\] (31)

Exactly in the same way, a small variation \( \delta m_{hs} \) is expressed by \( \delta V \) as follows:

\[
\delta m_{hs} = \frac{1}{\tau_{hs}(V^*)} \cdot \frac{dm_{hs}(V^*)}{dV} \cdot \delta V.
\] (32)

By substituting Eqs. (30) and (32) into Eq. (23), \( \delta I_h \) is finally expressed by \( \delta V(t) \) as follows:

\[
\frac{\delta I_h}{\delta V} \approx \bar{g}_h \cdot (0.65 \ m_{hf} + 0.35 \ m_{hs}) \\
+ \bar{g}_h \cdot \left[ \frac{1}{\tau_{hf}(V^*)} \cdot \frac{dm_{hf}(V^*)}{dV} + \frac{1}{\tau_{hs}(V^*)} \cdot \frac{dm_{hs}(V^*)}{dV} \right] \cdot (V^* - E_h).
\] (33)

As \( \delta I(t)/\delta V(t) \) represents admittance, Eq. (33) shows an equivalent admittance of \( h \) channel for a small variation \( \delta V \) and its admittance can be expressed by parallel coupling circuits of one conductance and two admittances. That is, the first term of Eq. (33) represents a conduc-
tance of \( h \) channel, which is expressed by the inverse of a pure resistance \( R_{h} \); the second term is an admittance of a fast activation variable \( Y_{hf} \) which can be expressed by the inverse of series coupling of an inductance \( L_{hf} \) and a resistance \( R_{hf} \); and the third term is an admittance element of a slow activation variable \( Y_{hs} \) which is also expressed by the inverse of series coupling of an inductance \( L_{hs} \) and a resistance \( R_{hs} \). \( \text{Figure 9} \) shows an equivalent RLC circuit of \( h \) channel, where \( R_{h}, R_{hf}, R_{hs}, \) and \( L_{hs} \) are given as follows:

\[
R_{h} = \frac{1}{g_{h} \cdot \{0.65 m_{hf}^{*} + 0.35 m_{hs}^{*}\}},
\]

\[
R_{hf} = \frac{1}{0.65 \frac{d m_{hf}^{*}(V^{*})}{d V} \cdot (V^{*} - E_{h})}, \quad L_{hf} = \tau_{hf}^{*} \cdot R_{hf}, \tag{35}
\]

\[
R_{hs} = \frac{1}{0.35 \frac{d m_{hs}^{*}(V^{*})}{d V} \cdot (V^{*} - E_{h})}, \quad L_{hs} = \tau_{hs}^{*} \cdot R_{hs}. \tag{36}
\]

\[\text{Figure 9.} \] An equivalent RLC circuit for \( h \) channel.

### 3.2.2. Equivalent admittance (impedance) of NaP channel

As in the case of with \( h \) channel, let \( V^{*} \) be the equilibrium potential and \( I^{*} \) be the \( \text{NaP} \) current at \( V^{*} \). From Eq. (15), \( I^{*} \) satisfies the following relation:

\[
I_{\text{NaP}}^{*} = g_{\text{NaP}} \cdot m_{\text{NaP}}(V^{*}) \cdot (V^{*} - E_{N}). \tag{37}
\]
When the membrane potential \( V(t) \) changes from \( V^* \) to \( V^* + \delta V(t) \), the current \( I_{NaP}(t) \) also changes from \( I_{NaP}^* \) to \( I_{NaP}^* + \delta I_{NaP}(t) \), where \( \delta I_{NaP}(t) \) is a small variation of NaP current caused by \( \delta V(t) \). Then, \( I_{NaP}^* + \delta I_{NaP}(t) \) satisfies the following relation:

\[
I_{NaP}^* + \delta I_{NaP}(t) = g_{NaP} \cdot m_{NaP}^*(V^* + \delta V(t)) \cdot (V^* + \delta V(t) - E_N). \tag{38}
\]

Let \( m_{NaP}(V^* + \delta V) \) approximate by \( m_{NaP}(V^*) + \delta m_{NaP} \) for a small variation \( \delta V \). Then, Eq. (38) can be expressed by the following equation:

\[
I_{NaP}^* + \delta I_{NaP}(t) = g_{NaP} \cdot m_{NaP}(V^*) \cdot (V^* - E_N) + g_{NaP} \cdot m_{NaP}^* \cdot (V^* - E_N) + g_{NaP} \cdot \delta m_{NaP} \cdot \delta V(t) \tag{39}
\]

By subtracting Eq. (37) from Eq. (39) and dropping the higher-order variation terms than the second, which appeared on the right-hand side of Eq. (39), the following equation is obtained:

\[
\delta I_{NaP} \approx g_{NaP} \cdot \delta m_{NaP} \cdot (V^* - E_N) + g_{NaP} \cdot m_{NaP}^* \cdot \delta V. \tag{40}
\]

By following the same procedure from Eq. (24) to Eq. (26) except that \( \tau_{NaP} \) is constant (0.15 ms), a small variation \( \delta m_{NaP} \) can be expressed as follows:

\[
\frac{d\delta m_{NaP}}{dt} \approx \frac{1}{0.15} \cdot \left\{ \delta m_{NaP}^* - \gamma m_{NaP} \right\}. \tag{41}
\]

By approximating a small variation \( \delta m_{NaP} \) by \( \left[ \frac{dm_{NaP}}{dV} \right] \cdot \delta V \), Eq. (41) becomes

\[
\frac{d\delta m_{NaP}}{dt} \approx \frac{1}{0.15} \left\{ \frac{dm_{NaP}}{dV} \cdot (V^*) \cdot \delta V - \delta m_{NaP} \right\}. \tag{42}
\]

By using the differential operator \( p \), a small variation \( \delta m_{NaP} \) can be expressed by \( \delta V \) as follows:

\[
\delta m_{NaP} = \frac{1}{0.15} \cdot \frac{dm_{NaP}}{dV} \cdot (V^*) \cdot \delta V. \tag{43}
\]

By substituting Eq. (43) into Eq. (40), \( \delta I_{NaP} \) is finally expressed by \( \delta V(t) \) as follows:
Eq. (44) shows an equivalent admittance of NaP channel for a small variation $\delta V$, and it can be expressed by parallel coupling circuits of one conductance and one admittance. That is, the first term of Eq. (44) represents a conductance of NaP channel, which is expressed by the inverse of a pure resistance $R_{NaP}$, and the second term is an admittance of an activation variable $Y_{NaP}$, which is expressed by the inverse of series coupling of an inductance $L_P$ and a resistance $R_P$, that is, $Y_{NaP} = 1/(R_P + p \cdot L_P)$. Figure 10 shows an equivalent RLC circuit of NaP channel, where $R_{NaP}$, $R_P$, and $L_P$ are given as follows:

\[
R_{NaP} \approx \frac{1}{g_{NaP} \cdot m_{NaP}(V^*)}, \quad R_P = \frac{1}{0.15 \cdot g_{NaP} \cdot \frac{d m_{NaP}(V^*)}{d V} \cdot (V^* - E_N)}, \quad L_P = 0.15 \cdot R_P.
\]  

Figure 10. An equivalent RLC circuit for NaP channel.

Figure 11. An equivalent RLC circuit for a compartment model with h channel and NaP channel.
3.2.3. Equivalent RLC circuit of a neuron with h channel and NaP channel

By combining the results of Sections 3.2.1 and 3.2.2, an equivalent RLC circuit for a neuron model with h channel and NaP channel is obtained. Figure 11 shows its equivalent RLC circuit. In this section, we show some simulation results for a compartment neuron model (Figure 8) and its equivalent RLC circuit (Figure 11). A chirp current given to both a compartment neuron model and its equivalent RLC circuit is described as follows:

\[ I_{inp} = A_{inp} \sin(\omega(t) \cdot t) + i_d, \quad \omega(t) = 2\pi f \frac{t}{T}, \quad (47) \]

where the angular frequency \( \omega(t) \) increases from 0 to \( 2\pi f \) over the period \([0, T]\). \( i_d \) is a DC bias current, which is set to zero in this subsection. The following parameter values were used in simulations; \( C = 1.5 \text{ µF/cm}^2 \), \( \tilde{g}_L = 0.15 \text{ mS/cm}^2 \), \( E_L = -65 \text{ mV} \), \( \tilde{g}_{NaP} = 0.5 \text{ mS/cm}^2 \), \( \tilde{g}_h = 1.5 \text{ mS/cm}^2 \), \( E_N = 55 \text{ mV} \), \( E_K = -90 \text{ mV} \), \( E_h = -20 \text{ mV} \).

Figure 12. Membrane potential and the magnitude and the phase of its FFT. Simulation result for (a) a compartment model (Figure 8) and (b) its equivalent RLC circuit (Figure 11).

Figure 12(a) shows one simulation result for a compartment model with h channel and NaP channel. The membrane potential \( V \) and the amplitude and the phase of its FFT are shown. As the magnitude of FFT shows, this neuron model has a band-pass property. Figure 12(b) shows the simulation result for its equivalent RLC circuit. Comparing Figure 12(a) and (b), the
membrane potentials and their FFTs are exactly similar. This fact indicates that the derived
RLC circuit represents almost the same properties of a compartment neuron model within a
small variation of the membrane potential. In other words, voltage-dependent $h$ channel and
NaP channel may surely have inductive properties and contribute to the subthreshold
resonance phenomena.

3.3. Properties of voltage responses to oscillatory current inputs

A neuron can generate action potentials whenever its membrane potential exceeds the
threshold except during a refractory period. If the membrane potential of a neuron stays in a
subthreshold level, a neuron cannot generate action potentials. However, as described in the
previous section, many neurons in the brain have the subthreshold resonance properties. This
fact indicates that a neuron may be able to generate an action potential when AC inputs whose
frequencies are close to the resonance frequency of a neuron are given, because the resulting
membrane potential for that input has the potential to exceed the threshold by the effects of
the subthreshold resonance property. Actually, this fact has been observed in neurons of the
brain. For example, Hutcheon et al. studied subthreshold voltage responses to AC inputs in
neurons from the sensorimotor cortex of rats [11]. Figure 13 shows one of their results. Cases
1–3 show effects of the input amplitude: (Case 1) if a chirp current with a small amplitude is
given to a neuron, the membrane potential does not exceed the threshold and no action
potentials are generated; (Case 2) if its input amplitude increases a little bit, the membrane
potential becomes larger but it still stays in a subthreshold level and no action potentials are
generated; and (Case 3) if its amplitude increases more, the membrane potential exceeds for
AC inputs with frequencies close to a resonance frequency of a neuron. As a result, action
potentials are generated around that frequency. Cases 4 and 5 show effects of a DC bias input:
(Case 4) if a DC-bias in the input current increases a little bit from 0μA/cm$^2$, the membrane
potential cannot exceed the threshold and no action potentials are generated and (Case 5) if
the value of a DC bias is raised more, the membrane potential can exceed the threshold and
action potentials are generated.

Figure 13. Experimental results: Subthreshold voltage responses for a chirp current input with different amplitudes
and DC bias currents observed in sensory cortex of rats [11].
We consider here a compartment neuron model with $h$ channel and $NaP$ channel described in the previous section, into which the HH model is incorporated in order to generate action potentials. Figure 14 shows its integrated neuron model, and the following equation is obtained:

$$
C \frac{dV}{dt} = -I_L - I_h - I_{NaP} - I_{Na} - I_K + I_{inp}.
$$

(48)

Figure 14. A compartment model with $h$ channel and $NaP$ channel into which the Hodgkin-Huxley model (the HH-model) is incorporated.

Eq. (48) is an extension form of Eq. (12), into which two currents $I_N$ and $I_K$ of the HH model are added. Detail dynamics of $I_L$, $I_h$ and $I_{NaP}$ are given by Eqs. (13)–(15) in Section 3.2. Dynamics of $I_{Na}$ and $I_K$ are also given by Eqs. (6) and (7) in Section 2.2. In addition to parameter values shown in the previous section, the following values were used in simulations: $\bar{g}_{Na}=52$ mS/cm$^2$, $\bar{g}_{K}=52$ mS/cm$^2$, $E_N=55$ mV, and $E_K=−90$ mV.

Figure 15(a) shows the membrane potential for a chirp current input with $A_{inp}=2.5 \mu$A/cm$^2$ and $i_d=0 \mu$A/cm$^2$. For this input, the membrane potential cannot exceed the threshold, and no action potentials are generated. However, if the amplitude of AC input ($A_{inp}$) increases, the situation changes. Figure 15(b) shows the membrane potentials for a chirp current input whose amplitude increases to $3.3 \mu$A/cm$^2$. In this case, the membrane potential exceeds the threshold for the input frequency close to the resonance frequency of this neuron model. As shown in Figure 12, it is 13 Hz in this neuron model. On the other hand, Figure 15(c) shows the membrane potential for a chirp input with $A_{inp}=2.5 \mu$A/cm$^2$ and increased $i_d=1 \mu$A/cm$^2$. Almost the same response as Figure 12(b) is obtained. However, resulting membrane potentials in Figures 13 and 15 are not completely identical, because neurons used in experiments by Hutcheon et al. and a neuron model used in these simulations are different. However, simulation results follow a similar tendency as experimental results by Hutcheon et al.[11].

By computer simulations, effects of the amplitude of AC input, its frequency, and a DC-bias current on the membrane potential were studied [20]. Some results are shown here. Figure 16 shows the membrane potentials for the AC inputs with a single frequency, setting $A_{inp}=3.3 \mu$A/cm$^2$. Figure 16(a) shows the results for 2 Hz input frequency. In this case, no action potentials are generated, because the membrane potential cannot exceed the threshold at all.
Figure 15. Simulated membrane potential for a chirp current input with different amplitude and DC bias current. (a) Response for $A_{inp} = 2.5 \, \mu A/cm^2$ and $i_d = 0 \, \mu A/cm^2$. (b) Response for $A_{inp} = 3.3 \, \mu A/cm^2$ and $i_d = 0 \, \mu A/cm^2$. (c) Response for $A_{inp} = 2.5 \, \mu A/cm^2$ and $i_d = 1 \, \mu A/cm^2$.

Figure 16. Simulated membrane potential for an AC input with a single frequency ($A_{inp}$ is fixed to 2.5 $\mu A/cm^2$). (a) Response for $i_d = 0 \, \mu A/cm^2$ and $f = 2$ Hz. (b) Response for $i_d = 0 \, \mu A/cm^2$ and $f = 13$ Hz. (c) Response for $i_d = 0 \, \mu A/cm^2$ and $f = 30$ Hz. (d) Response for $i_d = 1 \, \mu A/cm^2$ and $f = 13$ Hz. (e) Response for $i_d = 1 \, \mu A/cm^2$ and $f = 30$ Hz.
Figure 16(b) shows that action potentials are generated, because the input frequency is 13 Hz, which is close to the resonance frequency of this neuron model. Thus, the maximum amplitude of membrane potentials exceeds the threshold by the effect of the subthreshold resonance property. In the case of Figure 16(c), for 30 Hz input frequency, no action potentials are generated, because the membrane potential is less than the threshold. On the other hand, if a DC bias current input increases to 1μA/cm², as Figure 16(d) shows, more action potentials are generated for 13 Hz input frequency input than the case of no DC bias input. This indicates the effect of a DC bias current on generation of action potentials. Comparing Figure 16(b) and (d), it is clarified that the more spikes are observed than the case of no DC bias current input. For the case of \( i_d = 1 \, \mu A/ cm^2 \), a neuron model can also generate an action potential for 30Hz input frequency, as shown in Figure 16(e). As no action potential is generated for the case of \( i_d = 0 \, \mu A/ cm^2 \), this is also caused by the effect of a DC bias current input.

4. Conclusion

Until now, it has been mainly proposed that the cell membrane and neurons are modeled by an RC circuit. However, from the fact that many neurons in various regions of the brain have band pass properties, a neuron should be modeled by an RLC circuit. In this chapter, an equivalent RLC circuit was developed for a neuron model with \( h \) and NaP channels, and it was clarified that the subthreshold resonance property of this neuron model comes from inductive properties of \( h \) and NaP channels, especially, \( h \) channel. Furthermore, by incorporating the Hodgkin-Huxley dynamics into this neuron model, we showed the relation between the subthreshold resonance oscillation and the generation of action potentials. By computer simulations, it was shown that the amplitude of an AC input and a DC bias current input strongly play a role in the generation of action potentials, coupled with AC input frequencies.

It is presumed that the subthreshold resonance phenomena may relate closely to various practical neuron activities and behaviors in our brain, such as the sensitivity to external noises in sensory system. So, it is very important and interesting to study firing patterns of a neuron or properties of burst oscillations by using computer simulations, in order to clarify the mechanisms of higher information processing in the brain.

Needless to say, mutual collaboration of experimental research and modeling research is of large significance, in order to create more sophisticated models based on the most recent findings from experiments, and in order to develop new experimental methods to verify the facts suggested from simulation results.
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