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1. Introduction

Pregnancy rates achieved by intercourse in normal human couples are 20-25% per month, 75% by six months, and 90% by one year [1]. However, 15% of couples of unknown fertility status are unable to conceive a baby after one year of intercourse without contraception. For 30% of these couples, their infertility can be attributed to a male factor alone; in an additional 20%, failure to conceive is explained by the presence of both male and female factors [2,3,4]. Among couples known to be infertile, a male factor is involved in 50% of the cases. The most common causes of male infertility include abnormal sperm production or function, impaired delivery of sperm, and overexposure to certain gonadotoxins in the environment. The pathogenesis of male infertility can be attributed to a disorder of germ-cell proliferation and differentiation or to somatic cell dysfunction [5].

The induction of spermatogenesis depends on the complementary actions of FSH and testosterone. FSH establishes the requisite Sertoli cell population. In the prepubertal primate, FSH alone can induce proliferation of Sertoli cells and spermatogonia, but this does not result in qualitatively and quantitatively normal spermatogenesis unless testosterone is simultaneously present [6] [7]. Testosterone affects the functional completion of meiosis and post-meiotic sperm differentiation and maturation. LH stimulates Leydig cells to produce testosterone. Although FSH appears to play a more dominant role in the maintenance of primate spermatogenesis than in its initiation, normal spermatogenesis is best maintained by the combined effects of FSH and LH [6].

The most severe form of male infertility is nonobstructive azoospermia, which is typically characterized by small-volume testes and elevated FSH. Patients with this disorder cannot
produce biological children. Although microdissection testicular sperm extraction (micro-TESE) is used to treat patients with nonobstructive azoospermia [8], this technique does not have a good success rate. Therefore, new approaches are needed to develop treatments for male infertility.

Stem cells have the potential to differentiate into a variety of functional cell types in the body, and their discovery has given rise to the fields of regenerative medicine and cloning. Stem cells are regulated by the particular microenvironment in which they reside; these microenvironments are referred to as niches. Male germline stem cells can continuously produce sperm throughout adulthood, and investigators have sought to develop methods using stem cells to improve or restore fertility.

Embryonic stem cells (ESCs) have the potential to differentiate into nearly every cell type in the body. As the cells differentiate, they lose the ability to develop into different tissues. In contrast, specific tissues (gastrointestinal, integumentary, spermatogenic, and hematopoietic systems) maintain their regenerative capacity in vivo, and in fact, stem cells have been functionally identified in a wide range of adult tissues. These adult stem cells are believed to hold great promise for tissue generation in clinical settings. Here, we provide a summary of the therapeutic potential of stem cells for the rejuvenation of fertility in infertile males. Our hope is that future research will provide a range of options for the preservation of male fertility or the reversal of infertility.

2. Differentiation and characterization of human primordial germ cells

Human primordial germ cells (PGCs) can be isolated from tissues and their identity confirmed by observing their migratory activity in vitro [9]. Cultured human PGCs become human embryonic germ cells (hEGCs) in vitro, in the presence of feeder cells, leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF), and basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) [10]. hEGCs express alkaline phosphatase (AP), OCT4, SOX2, NANOG, stage specific embryonic antigen (SSEA)-3, SSEA-4, TRA-1-60, and TRA-1-81, which are pluripotent stem cell markers. In vivo, human PGCs do not express FGF4, SOX2 [11] [12], TRA-1-60, or TRA-1-81 [13] [14], which are expressed by hESCs or hEGCs in vitro. The molecular signature of human PGCs in vivo can be characterized as C-KIT+, SOX2+, TRA1-60+, TRA1-81+, and FGF4+, in contrast with human pluripotent stem cell lines in vitro. (This information is summarized in Table 1.) However, the full complement of genes that are expressed specifically in human PGCs and their functions remain unclear.

3. Spermatogonial stem cells

Spermatogenesis is a complex and tightly regulated process in which a small pool of germ-line stem cells ultimately gives rise to spermatozoa [15]. These stem cells, called spermatogonial stem cells (SSCs) are found in the basal compartment of the seminiferous epithelium, where they adhere to the basement membrane. SSC self-renewal ensures the maintenance of
the stem cell pool, while their differentiation generates a large number of germ cells. Therefore, a balance between SSC self-renewal and differentiation in the adult testis is essential to maintain normal spermatogenesis and fertility throughout life. SSCs need to reside in a unique environment, or niche, that provides the factors necessary for their survival and potency. In mice, Sertoli cells in the testis are a crucial component of the spermatogonial stem cell niche. They produce glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF), a distant member of the TGFβ family, which controls SSC self-renewal [16]. Several groups have reported that adding GDNF to freshly isolated germ cells in culture results in the proliferation of SSCs [17,18]. Other factors within the niche influence the fate of SSCs. One example is colony-stimulating factor 1 (CSF1), which is produced by Leydig cells and some peritubular myoid cells [19], and plays a role in SSC self-renewal (Figure 1).

The existence of SSCs was postulated almost 40 years ago on the basis of morphological studies [20] [21] [22] and observations of toxin-induced spermatogenic damage. The early studies of Clermont [23] [24] on human spermatogenesis revealed two types of spermatogonia, the A<sub>dark</sub> and A<sub>pale</sub> spermatogonia, which were differentiated by the staining pattern of their nucleus. Both cell types are generally considered stem cells [24,25]. A<sub>dark</sub> spermatogonia function as reverse stem cells that rarely divide, but can be triggered to self-renew in the case of injury or disease, while A<sub>pale</sub> spermatogonia are self-renewing stem cells [23,24,25,26]; they also divide into B spermatogonia, which further divide into spermatocytes [24].

In the last decade, molecular markers that can be used to identify and characterize human SSCs have been sought. A recent study reported that the expression of surface marker G protein coupled receptor 125 (GPR125) can be used in the isolation, characterization, and culture of putative human SSCs [27]. GPR125-positive spermatogonia are very rare, possibly limited to A<sub>dark</sub> spermatogonia or a sub-population of A<sub>pale</sub> spermatogonia. Human SSCs are also positive

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>hESC/hiPSC</th>
<th>hEGC</th>
<th>PGCs (early)</th>
<th>PGCs (late)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>OCT4</strong></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NANOG</strong></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SOX2</strong></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SSEA1</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SSEA3</strong></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SSEA4</strong></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TRA1-60</strong></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TRA1-81</strong></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VASA</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C-KIT</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1.** Markers of human pluripotent stem cells and germ cells.
for some markers identified in mouse SSCs and other undifferentiated spermatogonia, including GFRA1, UCHL1 (PGP9.5), ZBTB16 (PLZF), and THY1 (CD90) [27,28]. We also have obtained evidence that THY1 is a potential surface marker for human SSCs [29].

Brinster and colleagues proved the existence of mouse SSCs by using unique approaches [30, 31]. These investigators transplanted cells obtained as testicular homogenates expressing the LacZ gene into the seminiferous tubules of otherwise sterile mice with a Sertoli-cell-only pathology. After three months, the transplanted spermatogonial stem cells had engrafted and colonized the seminiferous tubules. Spermatogenesis was restored.

The clinical implications of this work are enormous. The findings suggest that the isolation, enrichment, and cryopreservation of spermatogonial stem cells prior to chemotherapy or radiation therapy, with later autologous transplantation, may offer the potential for the subsequent restoration of fertility. The development of this technique will be especially important for survivors of childhood cancer. Adult patients can also bank sperm for cryopre-
servation. However, most couples would prefer a naturally conceived child. Work has progressed in many laboratories to partially enrich the spermatogonial stem cells of species ranging from mice to primates. Today, many urologists bank a testicular biopsy from patients about to undergo chemotherapy, with the expectation that technology will advance rapidly over the next 10 years and allow transplantation in the future.

4. Pluripotency of human testis–derived ESC–like cells

Previous studies have demonstrated that neonatal and adult germline stem cells (GSCs) can be self-reprogrammed into ESC-like cells, called germline-derived pluripotent stem cells [32,33,34,35]. In addition, Conrad et al. [36] reported that pluripotent cells can be derived from human testis, which those authors called human adult GSCs (haGSCs). Other research groups subsequently claimed that ESC-like cells could be obtained from cultures of human testicular cells [37,38,39]. Conrad and colleagues compared the global gene expression profile of hESCs and haGSCs, and concluded that the populations presented a similar gene expression profile, and thus, that the haGSCs were pluripotent. However, Ko et al. claimed that the gene expression profile of haGSCs differed substantially from the pluripotent profile of hESCs, determined by a number of laboratories [40]. For example, the haGSCs did not express NANOG, and had low OCT4 and SOX2 levels, but showed high levels of the fibroblast markers SNA12 and ACTA2 [40]. Ko and colleagues therefore suggested that the haGSCs originated from fibroblast cells, rather than from pluripotent tissue. They concluded that haGSCs were very similar to a human testicular fibroblast cell line (hTFCs) [40]. Conrad and colleagues argued that microarray data sets cannot be compared unless they are processed in parallel in the same experiment, suggesting that the similarity between haGSCs and hTFCs was inconclusive. However, studies on microarray results generated by different laboratories [41,42,43] have shown that findings from microarray analyses are comparable across multiple laboratories [44], particularly when a common platform and set of procedures are used. These findings justify the utility of microarray repositories, such as the GEO database [45], not only as data warehouses but also as resources for comparative and combinatory analyses of microarray data from different laboratories. In conclusion, the global gene expression analysis of haGSCs demonstrated that these cells resembled fibroblast hTFCs more than pluripotent hESCs.

5. Induced pluripotent stem (iPS) cells

The year 2006 saw the first description of mouse induced pluripotent stem cells (miPSCs), which were generated by the retrovirus-mediated transduction of four transcription factors (OCT3/4, SOX2, KLF4, and C-MYC) into mouse fibroblasts [46]. Human somatic cells can be reprogrammed to become human iPSCs via the introduction of a small set of genes, either those encoding OCT3/4, SOX2 and KLF4, with or without the addition of C-MYC, or an alternate combination of OCT3/4, SOX2, LIN28, and NANOG [47,48,49,50,51,52,53,54,55]. Human iPSCs (hiPSCs) have remarkable similarity to hESCs in terms of their morphology, in
vitro characteristics, proliferation rate, gene expression, and ability to differentiate into mesoderm, endoderm, and ectoderm, both in vitro and in vivo, in teratoma assays \[56,57\].

In our laboratory, we induced iPS cells from adult human testicular tissue by introducing four transcription factors, OCT4, SOX2, KLF4, and C-MYC, using lentiviral vectors \[58\]. We also generated ES-like cells from 293FT cells by using OCT4, SOX2, NANOG, and LIN28 \[59\]. Finally, we generated iPS cells derived from the human testicular tissue of individuals with Klinefelter syndrome (KS, also called 47, XXY) \[60\].

6. Germline differentiation from ESCs and iPSCs in humans

Recent studies indicate that mouse \[61,62,63,64,65\] and human \[66,67\] \[50,68,69,70,71\] ESCs can differentiate in vitro into oocyte- or sperm-like cells. In particular, Clark et al. first reported the spontaneous differentiation of germ cells in embryoid bodies derived from human ESCs \[66\]. Male germine cells express specific RNA and protein markers, such as VASA. In 2009, Park et al. demonstrated that PGC-like cells can be differentiated from human iPSCs \[50\]. Subsequent reports on male germline differentiation from stem cells have used one of three approaches: (1) specific culture conditions, (2) manipulation of gene expression, and (3) purification of germ cells.

Culture conditions supporting differentiation into germline cells. Bucay et al. observed that as hESCs differentiate into putative germline cells, they also produce Sertoli-like support cells \[69\]. In addition, co-cultures of hESCs and hiPSCs with human fetal gonadal stromal cells \[50\], mouse Sertoli cells \[72\], or mouse embryonic fibroblasts \[67\] resulted in the increased efficiency of germ cell-like differentiation. Co-culture systems are used to mimic a suitable microenvironment for the growing germ cells. For the differentiation of germline-like cells from hESCs and hiPSCs, cytokines and other cell-signaling molecules are often added to the cultures. For example, BMP4 and other BMPs are added to promote PGC-like differentiation from hESCs and hiPSCs \[73,74,75\]. In addition, retinoic acid has been used to stimulate meiosis \[75\] \[76\]. Panula and colleagues reported the differentiation of fetal- and adult-derived iPSCs into germ cells, and showed that ~5% of human iPSCs differentiated into PGCs following induction with BMPs \[77\].

Manipulation of gene expression. By manipulating gene expression, researchers can regulate the cell lineage decisions of differentiating pluripotent stem cells. Overexpression of DAZL and VASA promotes PGC formation in differentiating human ESCs and iPSCs \[78\]. In addition, Kee and colleagues (2009) reported that hESCs differentiate into germline cells that initiate meiosis and progress to form haploid germ cells. These authors indicated that the overexpression of members of the DAZ gene family, DAZ, DAZL, and BOULE, promoted the progression of PGCs to meiosis and the production of haploid cells, a process that is unique to germ cell development \[71\].

Purification of germline cells. The isolation and purification of germline cells from stem cell cultures (ESCs and iPSCs) can be performed efficiently when specific antibodies for germ cell
surface markers are available. To purify PGC-like cells from differentiating human ESCs and iPSCs, cell sorting with specific antibodies for SSEA1 [68], SSEA1 and C-KIT [50] [79], or CXCR4 [69] has been effective. In particular, Eguizabal et al. (2011) published a straightforward protocol for germline cell purification that requires only three steps. First, human iPSCs and hESCs are allowed to differentiate for 3 weeks in a monolayer, in the absence of growth cytokines. Second, the cells are cultured for 3 weeks in the presence of retinoic acid. Finally, after these 6 weeks of differentiation, the cells are sorted for a specific combination of surface markers (CD49f++, CD9+, CD90-, and SSEA4-), and the isolated fraction is cultured in the presence of LIF, bFGF, Forskolin, and CYP26 inhibitor for 4 more weeks [76].

7. Germline differentiation from porcine iPSCs, non–human iPSCs

Despite their undoubted promise as sources of cells for tissue transplants, many roadblocks remain against using human ESCs clinically. Particularly troubling is the lack of tests for the efficacy of such therapies and the safety of transferring these cells in animals whose anatomy and physiology resemble those of humans better than mouse models do [80] [81] [82] [83] [84]. The pig is a potentially useful model in this regard, because of its similarities to humans in organ size, immunology, and whole animal physiology [85] [86] [87]. It was reported that porcine somatic cells can be reprogrammed to form piPSCs [88]. However, no reports on germline development from piPSCs have been published to date.

8. Conclusions

Research on stem cells has shown remarkable progress over the past 5 years. In particular, the development of human iPSCs has opened new avenues into the generation of an in vitro disease model of male infertility. However, improvements are still needed before stem cells can be used clinically. For the treatment and diagnosis of male infertility, future advances may enable spermatids to be differentiated from germline stem cells or iPS cells. In addition, by examining patient-specific iPSCs that are defective in their ability to generate germ cells and comparing their differentiation capacity with that of normal human ESCs and iPSCs, researchers can hope to uncover the nature of male infertility and to design new methods to reverse it.
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