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1. Introduction

Atherosclerosis is one of the most prominent diseases that induce dysfunction of circulation, and it is a disease of large and medium size arteries. If cholesterol presenting at high concentration in a blood injures an intima, a white corpuscle, i.e., a monocyte, goes into the intima and mutates into a foam cell. Then, smooth muscle cells migrate from the media to the intima, and they grow proliferously there. Based on these phenomena, cholesterol and other lipid materials accumulate in the intima. Atherosclerosis has become a serious problem in the developed countries that are aging. Therefore, countermeasures to the atherosclerosis have become important. Although there are various medical treatments for the atherosclerosis, a stent placement has received much attention as a minimally invasive procedure for vascular stenotic lesion based on the coronary atherosclerosis, the arteriosclerosis obliterans, etc. A stent is a cylindrical tube-shaped medical device that can expand the stenotic lesion in a blood vessel continuously. When considering the expansion method of a stent, two types are available. One is a self-expanding type that can expand by itself when released from the sheath of a catheter. Another is a balloon-expandable type that must be expanded forcibly using a balloon catheter. Because the self-expanding stent continues to expand to the memorized diameter at the stenotic lesion, it has the long-term patency of a vascular wall. In the present study, the main target is the self-expanding type.

Recently, the severe problem of in-stent restenosis has arisen in a blood vessel with a stent placed and left in it. In-stent restenosis results from the neointimal thickening in the blood vessel based on the hyperplasia of smooth muscle cells. The hyperplasia of smooth muscle cells is caused by a mechanical stimulus from the stent to the vascular wall. The drug-eluting stent (DES) containing immunosuppressive agents is already in clinical use to resolve this problem (Morice et al., 2002). It can be said that the DES is more effective in preventing the development of restenosis than a bare metal stent (BMS). However, it can be said that the DES does not help to improve the life prognosis or to prevent myocardial infarction (Babapulle et al., 2004; Kastrati et al., 2007; Lagerqvist et al., 2007). It is also reported that the DES might cause deterioration in the life prognosis, although the BMS does not (Nordmann et al., 2006). Depletion of immunosuppressive agents has been pointed
out for longer use. When using a DES, such serious problem as side effects occurring by drugs must be considered as well. As described above, there have been many reports about the use of a DES to prevent in-stent restenosis. However, there have been few studies to prevent in-stent restenosis by designing and modifying a BMS itself. Most of studies have been undertaken to try improvement or optimization of the BMS. Shape, location, and mechanical properties of a stenotic lesion depend on each patient. Optimization, which derives one specified stent shape, is not always the best for the patient. It is thus necessary to design a stent shape suitable for each patient. Using a suitable stent can reduce the risk of in-stent restenosis. However, there has been no study that has tried to design a stent shape in response to each patient’s symptom.

For providing a bare metal stent with lower risk of in-stent restenosis, two objectives were set up. The first objective of our research is establishment of a method to design a stent for each patient’s symptom. The second objective is establishment of a method to select a suitable stent from commercially available stents based on their mechanical properties. In this chapter, we describe the design method and selection method of a stent suitable for patient’s symptom based on mechanical engineering.

2. Method to select stent suitable for clinical manifestation based on evaluation of stent rigidities

It is important to evaluate mechanical properties of a stent for selecting one suitable for patient’s condition. There have been many studies that have evaluated mechanical properties of a stent. (Duda et al., 2000) reported that the important properties of a stent include acceptable weight, stiffness in its radial direction, ease of insertion into the blood vessel, and radiation transmittance capability. They then proposed a method to evaluate stent and its performances. (Mori & Saito, 2005) performed a four-point bending test using a stainless steel stent to assess flexural rigidity for each different stent structure. (Carnelli et al., 2010) performed two mechanical tests on six kinds of carotid stents. They carried out a four-point bending test to assess flexibility of the stent. Their method of bending test was similar to that by (Mori & Saito, 2005). They also conducted a three-point compression test to measure the radial stiffness of the stent. Based on measurement results, they considered the relation between geometrical features of the stent and its mechanical properties. The results of these results provide a medical doctor the important information. If there exists a selection method of stent by using these evaluation results efficiently, the doctor can select a suitable stent easily in a large proportion of cases. However, there has been no study that has tried to propose the method to select a suitable stent by efficiently using evaluated mechanical properties. In this section, we introduce a method to select a stent suitable for patient’s symptom based on mechanical properties of the stent.

2.1 Target stents

Four kinds of commercially available stents, which are already in clinical use, are evaluated in addition to two types of SENDAI stents having different diameters. One of the evaluated stent is Protege® GPS™ (ev3 Endovascular, Inc., Plymouth, Minnesota, U.S.). The Protege® GPS™ has been developed for a bile duct. Zilver® (COOK MEDICAL Inc., Bloomington, Indiana, U.S.) and JOSTENT® SelfX (Abbott Vascular Devices, Redwood City, California, U.S.) are also biliary stents. Bard® Luminexx™ (C. R. Bard, Inc., Murray Hill, New Jersey, U.S.) has been developed as a vascular stent. All six kinds of stents are self-
expanding stents made of NiTi shape memory alloy, namely Nitinol. Name, diameter, and length of each target stent are summarized in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stent</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Length (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SENDAI</td>
<td>SD10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protege® GPS™</td>
<td>GPS</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zilver®</td>
<td>ZIL</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SENDAI</td>
<td>SD8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOSTENT® SelfX</td>
<td>JSX</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bard® Luminexx™</td>
<td>BLU</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Dimensions of target stents

2.2 Radial compression test and stent stiffness in radial direction

The stent stiffness in radial direction was measured by using the radial compression test machine designed by reference to the method proposed by (Duda et al., 2000). A stent is mounted on the polytetrafluoroethylene stage with slit and wrapped in a sheet. As illustrated in Fig. 1, one end of the sheet is fixed, and the other end is pulled by the linear actuator (ESMC-A2; ORIENTAL MOTOR Co., LTD., Tokyo, Japan). By applying tensile force to the sheet, the stent is compressed in its radial direction. This tensile force can be measured by using the load cell (LUR-A-200NSA1, load rated capacity: 200 N; KYOWA ELECTRONIC INSTRUMENTS CO., LTD., Tokyo, Japan). In addition, the reduction of the stent diameter is measured by using the LED displacement sensor (Z4WV; OMRON Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). The sheet to wrap the stent consists of a polyethylene film 50 μm thick and a polyethylene terephthalate (PET) film 12 μm thick. Test temperature is 34 °C ± 1 °C.

Fig. 1. Schematic view of the measuring method

(Duda et al., 2000) defined two kinds of forces for evaluating the scaffolding property of a self-expanding stent. One of the defined forces is chronic outward force, which is necessary to subtract 1 mm from a stent diameter. The other, namely radial resistive force, is needed to subtract 1 mm from a stent diameter. (Yoshino et al., 2008) defined the radial stiffness based on the radial pressure exerted on a stent for evaluating the scaffolding property. Based on these evaluation indicators, the stent stiffness in radial direction is defined as follows.

\[
K_{p,r} = \frac{2\pi F}{\Delta r_f s} \tag{1}
\]
Here, $F$ is the tensile force measured by using load cell, $l_s$ is the stent length, and $\Delta r_s$ is the radius reduction of the stent.

The stent stiffness in radial direction was obtained from the measurement result by using equation (1). Figure 2 shows the comparison of the stent stiffness with each stent. For stent diameter of 10 mm, Protege® GPS™ has the highest stent stiffness in radial direction. On the other hand, for the stent diameter of 8 mm, the stent stiffness of Bard® Luminexx™ is the highest. Note that there is a difference of the stent stiffness in each stent.

![Stent stiffness comparison](image)

**Fig. 2.** Stent stiffness in radial direction of each target stent

### 2.3 Bending test and flexural rigidity

The flexural rigidity was measured by using the designed four-point bending test machine.

As illustrated in Fig. 3, stent is bent by using two pin indenters and a pair of support pins. The load to bend a stent and deflection of the stent are measured by using the micro load capacity load cell (LTS-1KA, load rated capacity: 10 N; KYOWA ELECTRONIC INSTRUMENTS CO., LTD.) and contact displacement transducers (Head: AT-110, Amplifier: AT-210, measurement range: ±5 mm, measuring force: 0.28 N; Keyence Corporation, Osaka, Japan). Here, the interval between support pins $l_{sup}$ and that between pin indenters $l_{ind}$ are set for 40 mm and 12 mm, respectively. In addition, the diameter of these pins is 3 mm. Test temperature is 35 °C ± 1˚.

![Bending test schematic](image)

**Fig. 3.** Schematic view of the bending test
On the four-point bending test, shear force does not act on a stent between pin indenters. This enables us to apply a uniform bending moment to a stent. When considering the stent deformation as the problem of a simply supported beam, the differential equation of the deflection curve at the loading point is presented as follow.

\[
\delta = \frac{\left(l_{\text{sup}} + 2l_{\text{ind}}\right)\left(l_{\text{sup}} - l_{\text{ind}}\right)^2}{48EI}W
\]  

Here, \(W\) is bending load. The product of Young’s modulus \(E\) of the stent material and the moment of inertia of cross sectional area of the stent \(I\) exactly denotes the flexural rigidity \(K_b\) of the stent. Therefore, the flexural rigidity of the stent is derived from equation (2) as follow.

\[
K_b = \frac{\left(l_{\text{sup}} + 2l_{\text{ind}}\right)\left(l_{\text{sup}} - l_{\text{ind}}\right)^2}{48} \frac{W}{\delta}
\]  

The flexural rigidity was obtained from measurement results by using equation (3). Figure 4 shows the comparison of the flexural rigidity with each stent. For the stent diameter of 10 mm, Protege® GPS™ has the highest flexural rigidity. On the other hand, the flexural rigidity of Bard® Luminexx™ is the highest in the stents with 8 mm diameter.

Fig. 4. Flexural rigidity of each target stent

2.4 Method to select stent suitable for clinical manifestation

Figure 5 shows flow of the proposed method to select a suitable stent based on mechanical properties. As preparation for selecting a suitable stent, the map of stent rigidity is made. The selection method is described below according to the flow illustrated in Fig. 5.
Fig. 5. Flow of selecting stent suitable for clinical manifestation

**Step 1. Determination of stent stiffness in radial direction necessary to expand stenotic part:** First, the stent stiffness in radial direction necessary to expand stenotic part is determined based on information of the patient’s symptom. Requirements are the stent diameter $d_s$, the outer diameter $D_o$, the inner diameter $D_i$, and the least inner diameter $D_l$ of the stenotic part. The pressure strain elastic modulus $E_{p,vl}$ of the diseased blood vessel is also needed. Other important information is the percentage by which to improve the blood flow level, namely the target diameter $D_t$ after treatment. Given all the values listed above, the necessary radial stiffness can be obtained by the following equation (see in Section 6 for details).

$$K^*_p = 2E_{p,vl} \frac{D_t - D_l}{D_o(d_s - D_l)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Equation (4) is derived from the radial pressure necessary to expand the stenotic part in the blood vessel. In this chapter, the radial force necessary to expand the stenotic part is considered as a standard. Therefore, $K^*_p$ of equation (4) is converted into the necessary stent stiffness $K^*_{pf}$ using the circumferential length of the vascular inside wall after treatment as follow.

$$K^*_{pf} = 2\pi E_{p,vl} \frac{D_t(d_t - D_l)}{D_o(d_s - D_l)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)
With the symptom information assumed as shown in Table 2, the necessary stent stiffness $K_{pf}^*$ can be calculated using equation (5) as $K_{pf}^* = 0.87 \, \text{N/mm/mm} \,(d_s = 8 \, \text{mm})$, and $K_{pf}^* = 0.48 \, \text{N/mm/mm} \,(d_s = 10 \, \text{mm})$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Artery</th>
<th>Carotid artery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outer diameter, $D_o$ (mm)</td>
<td>6.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner diameter, $D_i$ (mm)</td>
<td>5.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The least inner diameter of stenotic part, $D_l$ (mm)</td>
<td>2.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of stenosis by ECTS method (%)</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure strain elastic modulus of diseased artery $E_{p,vl}$ (MPa)</td>
<td>0.145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target inner diameter after treatment, $D_t$ (mm)</td>
<td>5.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Information of symptom assumed for selecting of stent

**Step 2. Cross-checking of stent stiffness in radial direction with map of stent rigidity:** The calculated $K_{pf}^*$ values are plotted onto the map of stent rigidity, and indicated by broken lines presented in Fig. 6. It is difficult that the stent stiffness of a commercially available stent matches the calculated $K_{pf}^*$ value.

**Step 3. Determination of adequate range of stent stiffness in radial direction:** As described above, there exist few stents that have the stent stiffness value equal to the calculated $K_{pf}^*$ value. Therefore, the necessary stent stiffness $K_{pf}^*$ is widened to the extent adequate to expand the stenotic part in the blood vessel. The doctor should normally determine this adequate range of the stent stiffness. In this case, it is determined that the range of ±10 % for the necessary stent stiffness $K_{pf}^*$ is adequate. The shaded areas shown in Fig. 6 are the setup adequate ranges.

![Fig. 6. Selection of suitable stent using map of stent rigidity](www.intechopen.com)
Step 4. Selection of stent with the lowest flexural rigidity in adequate range: If the stent, which is in the adequate range, is selected, it can expand the stenotic part in the blood vessel sufficiently. The stent sometimes has too high flexural rigidity for the lesion because of selection only in terms of the stent stiffness in radial direction. When selecting a stent, its flexural rigidity should be considered. But we have no basis of the flexural rigidity for selecting a stent. Therefore, it is decided to select the stent that has the lower flexural rigidity than any other stent being in the adequate range. For the assumed symptom, SENDAI (SD8, \(d_s = 8\) mm) and Zilver® (ZIL, \(d_s = 10\) mm) are most suitable.

In this section, we introduced the method to select a stent suitable for the patient’s symptom based on mechanical properties of the stent. It is considered that the selection method can help doctors greatly in clinical sites. Commercially available stents are targeted for this selection method. There are limitations to selecting a suitable stent using this method. Therefore, a novel stent has to be designed for providing the stent more suitable for the patient’s symptom. The method to design more suitable stent will be described in the following sections.

3. Design support system for self-expanding stents

A design support system for a self-expanding stent using CAD and CAE is introduced in this section. This support system can improve the efficiency of the suitable stent design.

3.1 Design variables of SENDAI stent

Figure 7 shows a two-dimensional diagram of a SENDAI stent. Each wire section is constructed from 12 loosely curved S-shaped wires. The strut section of the stent connects them using three bridge wires. On the two-dimensional shape of the SENDAI stent in Fig. 7, the design variables that might affect the mechanical properties of the stent are set. Here, \(l_w\) and \(l_b\) are the length of the wire and the bridge wire along the axial direction, \(\theta_w\) and \(\theta_b\) are the angle of the wire and the bridge wire to the axial direction, \(t_w\) and \(t_b\) are line element width of the wire and the bridge wire, and \(r_i\) and \(r_o\) are the inner radius and the outer radius of the wire end part. Every wire is structured in an arc shape. When the design variables \(l_w\), \(\theta_w\) and others are given, the arc shape is determined and wire section is constructed through laying out these arc shapes continuously. Furthermore, the number of wires \(n_w\), the number of bridge wires \(n_b\), and the thickness of the tube material \(t_s\) are also design variables.

Fig. 7. Two-dimensional diagram of SENDAI stent (a) and main design variables for SENDAI stent (b)
3.2 Framework of design support system

Figure 8 shows the design support system for a self-expanding stent. The left-hand side of the figure shows the production process of the SENDAI stent. It has three production stages: a ‘manufacture’ stage, during which the NC data are created based on the two-dimensional diagram to manufacture the initial stent shape while the initial stent is manufactured by using laser processing; an ‘expansion’ stage, during which the initial stent is forcibly expanded in the radial direction by inserting a tapered rod into the stent as it is given shape-memory treatment; and an ‘evaluation’ stage, during which the performance of the expanded stent is tested. The right-hand side of Fig. 8 shows the flow of the shape design for self-expanding stents being proposed. A three-dimensional model of the initial stent manufactured by using laser processing is created from the two-dimensional shape by using 3D CAD. Then, by dividing into finite elements, the finite element model representing the initial stent is created based on the 3D CAD model, and the expanded stent shape is predicted by applying an expansion analysis using the finite element method for large deformation. Based on this prediction, a rigidity analysis is conducted using a non-linear finite element method. The mechanical properties of the stent are evaluated from the results. This process corresponds to the actual production process of the ‘manufacture,’ ‘expansion,’ and ‘evaluation’ stages.

Fig. 8. Design support system for self-expanding stent. The left-hand side shows the production process of the SENDAI stent. The right-hand side shows the flow of the proposed design support system.
This support system has design method of a self-expanding stent suitable for the patient’s symptom based on mechanical properties of a stent. This method is available to introduce into the existing design support system described above, and has two stages which are the design and modification methods. In the first stage, a stent shape with mechanical properties suitable for the patient’s symptom is determined and designed. In the second stage, to modify the stent shape in consideration of the risk of in-stent restenosis realizes designing the stent shape more suitable for the patient’s symptom. The risk of in-stent restenosis is evaluated based on a mechanical stimulus to a vascular wall by insertion of a stent. These two stages of the design method will hereinafter be described in more detail (see in Section 6).

After the two-stage design method, the design support system ends with the generation of the NC data of the designed stent necessary for moving onto the actual production process. For change in a stent shape, a subsystem for generating the two-dimensional shape of the initial stent was introduced, and this is available for changing the two-dimensional shape flexibly. It can also be used to generate a two-dimensional diagram of the stent in the first place.

4. Mechanical properties of stent

It is important to obtain sensitivities of mechanical properties of a stent to design variables when designing the stent suitable for patient’s symptom. In this section, important mechanical properties, namely, radial stiffness, flexural rigidity, and shear rigidity, are evaluated and the maps of their sensitivities are made. The expanded stent shape is used for evaluation of mechanical properties. The expanded shape is predicted from the expansion analysis (see in Yoshino & Inoue, 2010) for details.

Fig. 9. Sensitivities of the mechanical properties of the SENDAI stent 6 mm diameter to the design variables: (a) radial stiffness, (b) flexural rigidity, and (c) shear rigidity
We must know the relationship between the mechanical properties of a stent and the design variables in order to design a stent with specific properties. The mechanical properties of the SENDAI stent, such as radial stiffness, flexural rigidity, and shear rigidity, were evaluated, and their sensitivities to the design variables were also defined, as shown in Fig. 9 (Yoshino & Inoue, 2010). The wire length along the axial direction and the wire width were selected as design variables. Isolines on the maps of mechanical properties are very important for proposing designs. The isoline is plotted onto the maps based on the required mechanical property, and design variables of the proposed design are determined from the isoline. In addition, we assumed the mechanical properties of the stent material as illustrated in Fig. 10: Young’s modulus of 28 GPa, and Poisson’s ratio of 0.3.

![Stress-strain relationship for the stent material](image)

Fig. 10. Assumed stress-strain relationship for the stent material

5. Estimation of force on vascular wall caused by insertion of self-expanding stent

The forces on a blood vessel are classified into two categories: internal pressure caused by the expansion of the stent and the force resulting from the straightening of the blood vessel, which is a phenomenon whereby a curved blood vessel is straightened by the stent. Straightening of blood vessels often occurs in cases involving the use of a closed-cell stent. As a result, a problem occurs in that the straightening easily encourages kinking of the blood vessel at the flexural area distant from the stented lesion (Tamakawa et al., 2008).

In this section, focusing on a method by which to improve the force distribution on the vascular wall according to the symptoms of the patient, we introduce a method to compute the distribution of the contact force between the stent and the blood vessel under the assumption that the stent is inserted into a straight blood vessel. In the method, the stent and the blood vessel are simplified as axisymmetrical models. Then a method for calculating the distribution of the straightening force on the vascular wall is introduced.

5.1 Computation of contact force distribution on vascular wall caused by expansion of stents

The expansion of a stent in a blood vessel induces pressure on the contact surfaces of the stent and the blood vessel. Analysis of pressure or contact force based on the complicated
shape of stent is a difficult and time-consuming task. Therefore, a simplified calculation method using the axisymmetrical models is presented as shown in Fig. 11. The stent is modeled by a number of rings, indicated by broken lines in Fig. 11. The wire section is represented by 11 rings. The blood vessel is similarly modeled by rings, where the ring intervals are the same as those of the stent. In addition, it is assumed that the blood vessel is much longer than the stent. It is also assumed that these rings deform axisymmetrically due to the uniformly distributed radial force.

![Diagram](image)

**Fig. 11. Model used to compute the contact force between the stent and vascular wall.** The stent and blood vessel are simplified to axisymmetrical models.

Next, let us consider ring \( i \) \((i = 1, 2, ..., n)\) in contact along the surfaces of stent and vascular wall. When a unit radial force is applied to ring \( i \) of model \( m \) \((m = s, v, \text{ which correspond to the stent and the blood vessel, respectively})\), the radial displacement at ring \( j \), denoted as \( r_{ji}^{(m)} \), is calculated using the finite element method. The influence matrix \([C^{(m)}]\) is defined in terms of as \( r_{ji}^{(m)} \) as follows:

\[
[C^{(m)}] = \begin{bmatrix} \{ r_{1i}^{(m)} \}, \{ r_{2i}^{(m)} \}, ..., \{ r_{ni}^{(m)} \} \end{bmatrix}
\]

(6)

where

\[
\{ r_{i}^{(m)} \} = \begin{bmatrix} r_{i1}^{(m)} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} r_{i2}^{(m)} \end{bmatrix}, ..., \begin{bmatrix} r_{in}^{(m)} \end{bmatrix}
\]

(7)
The radial displacement \( \{ r(m) \} = (r_1(m), r_2(m), ..., r_n(m))^T \) due to unknown contact force \( \{ P(m) \} = (P_1(m), P_2(m), ..., P_n(m))^T \) is given as follows:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
C^{(m)}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
P(m)
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
r(m)
\end{bmatrix}
\] (8)

Therefore, the following expressions, namely, the equilibrium equation and the condition of contact, are obtained:

\[
\begin{align*}
P^{i(\nu)} + P^{r(\nu)} &= 0 \\
P^{i(\nu)} + r^{r(\nu)} &= P^{r(\nu)} + r^{r(\nu)}
\end{align*}
\] (9) (contact)

\[
\begin{align*}
P^{i(\nu)} &= 0 \\
P^{i(\nu)} + r^{r(\nu)} &> P^{r(\nu)} + r^{r(\nu)}
\end{align*}
\] (non-contact) (10)

where \( R^{(s)}_i \) and \( R^{(v)}_i \) denote the initial radii of the stent and the blood vessel, respectively, at \( i \).

Then, by replacing \( \{ P^{(\nu)} \} \) and \( -\{ P^{(s)} \} \) with \( \{ P \} \), the equation of contact force is obtained as follows:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
C^{(\nu)} + C^{(s)}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
P
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
P^{(s)} - P^{(\nu)}
\end{bmatrix}
\] (11)

Equation (11) is solved for \( \{ P \} \) to obtain the distribution of the contact force between the stent and the blood vessel wall. Since the rigidity of the strut section of the stent is considerably much lower than that of the wire section, \( r^{(s)}_j \) is negligible, except for the case in which \( i \) and \( j \) are located in the wire section of the stent. Therefore, in the present study, the influence matrix \( [C^{(s)}] \) is composed by diagonally placing the partial matrix for the wire section, and the contact force on the strut section of the stent is not evaluated. The Gaussian elimination method is used to solve the simultaneous equations.

5.2 Calculation of distribution of straightening force on vascular wall

Straightening of the blood vessel occurs when a straight stent is inserted into a curved vessel. This insertion causes a straightening force to act on the vascular wall. The straightening effect should be evaluated while considering the interaction process of the expansion of the stent with the curved blood vessel. However, solving this problem is extremely complicated. Therefore, the straightening of the blood vessel is assumed to be independent from the expansion of the vessels by the stent, and it is simplified as shown in Fig. 12. The stent is approximated by a beam with the flexural rigidity obtained in the previous section, and the stent is modeled as a laminated beam by combining with a curved beam, which is used a model of the blood vessel.

The beam models are divided into \( n \) intervals. When a unit force is applied to point \( i \) of model \( m \) (\( m = s, v \), which correspond to the stent and the vessel, respectively), the deflection at point \( j \) is denoted as \( d^{(m)}_{ij} \). The \( n \)-order influence matrix \( [D^{(m)}] \) is defined in terms of \( d^{(m)}_{ij} \) as follows:
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
D^{(m)}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
d_1^{(m)} \\
d_2^{(m)} \\
\vdots \\
d_n^{(m)}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(12)

where

\[
\{d_i^{(m)}\} = \{d_{i1}^{(m)}, d_{i2}^{(m)}, \ldots, d_{in}^{(m)}\}^T
\]

(13)

The force \(\{F^{(m)}\}\) distributed along the beam is related to the deflection \(\{d^{(m)}\}\) so as to satisfy the following equation:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
D^{(m)}
\end{bmatrix} \{F^{(m)}\} = \{\delta^{(m)}\}
\]

(14)

The equilibrium equation and contact condition are given by the following equations:

\[
F_i^{(s)} + F_i^{(v)} = 0
\]

(15)

\[
\delta_i^{(s)} = \delta_i^{(i)} + \delta_i^{(v)}
\]

(16)

where \(\delta_i^{(0)}\) denotes the initial deflection of the blood vessel at point \(i\), and \(\delta_i^{(s)}\) and \(\delta_i^{(v)}\) are the deflections of the stent and the blood vessel, respectively. Replacing \(-\{F^{(v)}\}\) and \(\{F^{(s)}\}\) with \(\{F\}\), the equation of straightening force is obtained as follows:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
D^{(v)} + D^{(s)}
\end{bmatrix} \{F\} = \{\delta^{(i)}\}
\]

(17)

5.3 Limitations of these calculation methods

The methods will be useful for improving stent shape in order to reduce the peak force acting on the vascular wall. Although these methods are useful to calculate a contact force and a straightening force on a vascular wall, there are the following limitations:

1. The isotropic material property was assumed for the artery.
2. The cross-sectional distortions and thickness changes of the stent and blood vessel were ignored.
3. The friction on the contact surface between the stent and blood vessel was ignored.
4. It was considere that the straightening of the blood vessel and the expansion of the vessels by the stent were independent each other.
5. The stent and blood vessel were simplified by using axisymmetrical models in computation of the contact force and using the laminated beam in calculation of the straightening force, respectively.

6. Design method of stent suitable for diverse clinical manifestation

The stent must have the radial stiffness sufficient to expand the stenotic part in the blood vessel outward. Simultaneously, it must be sufficiently flexible to conform to the vascular wall. Neither the symptom nor the blood vessel shape is always in the same state. Therefore,
it is more important to design stents suited to each unique symptom of every patient. (Colombo et al., 2002) made evaluations of stent ‘deliverability,’ ‘scaffolding,’ ‘accurate positioning,’ and so on for the average lesion of the coronary artery. They proposed a guideline for use in determining a suitable stent. This guideline was based on clinical trials. Therefore, it is governed by the doctor’s sense. One more noteworthy point is that the best-suited stent cannot be actually available for every specific symptom because a stent must be chosen only from among commercially available stents.

In this section, we describe a method for designing a stent that has good mechanical properties to suit diverse clinical manifestation. Figure 13 shows the flow of designing a stent suitable for diverse clinical manifestation. The first step is to determine the radial stiffness of the stent necessary to expand the stenotic part in the blood vessel based on symptom information. Next, based on the determined radial stiffness and the sensitivities of mechanical properties of the stent defined in Section 4, the design variables of a suitable stent are determined. In the second step, the force on the vascular wall by insertion of the designed stent is first evaluated by using the methods described in Section 5. This force is associated with the risk of in-stent restenosis. Next, based on the evaluation result, the designed stent is modified to be more suitable for the symptom. After modification, the force on the vascular wall is evaluated again. The effect of shape modification is confirmed by comparing the forces on the vascular wall before and after modification. Finally, the modified stent shape is proposed as better suited stent shape. The detail of this proposed design method will be described in following sections.
Fig. 13. Flow of designing stent suitable for diverse clinical manifestation. Designing a stent suitable for diverse clinical manifestation consists of two steps. In the first step, it is possible to design a stent necessary to expand the stenotic part of a blood vessel. In the second step, the designed stent is modified to suit the patient's symptom better.

6.1 Design method of stent having suitable mechanical properties to expand stenotic artery

6.1.1 Mechanical properties of artery model

When considering expanding the stenotic part in the blood vessel as presented in Fig. 14, it is important to know the vascular mechanical properties. Arteries in a living body are always pressurized inside. Simultaneously, they are tensed with strain produced along its axial direction by several tens of percent. In this sense, it can be regarded as a thick cylinder being in a multiaxial stress state. It is necessary to assume such a multiaxial stress test to measure mechanical properties of the blood vessel. This means that it is extremely difficult to obtain mechanical properties of the blood vessel because the mechanical properties are associated with various levels and types of blood vessels.

In this study, the pressure strain elastic modulus propounded by (Peterson et al., 1960), which is easy to manipulate, is used. The pressure strain elastic modulus $E_{p,v}$ of a blood vessel can be expressed by the following equation
Design and Evaluation of Self-Expanding Stents
Suitable for Diverse Clinical Manifestation Based on Mechanical Engineering

where \( D_o \) is the blood vessel’s outer diameter, and \( \Delta D_o \) and \( \Delta p \) are the increase in the blood vessel diameter and the increase in the internal pressure respectively. The pressure strain elastic modulus has been used widely in clinical studies. Therefore, many reports on that subject are available. Table 3 presents value of the pressure strain elastic modulus for each type of human blood vessel, extracted from references (Hayashi et al., 1980; Hayashi, 2005; Stratouly et al., 1987; Gow & Hadfield, 1979), with modification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Artery</th>
<th>( E_{p,v} )</th>
<th>Reporter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arteria pulmonalis</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>Greenfield and Griggs (1963)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascending aorta</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>Patel et al. (1964)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basilar artery</td>
<td>0.186 (( \beta=14 ))</td>
<td>Hayashi et al. (1980)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common carotid artery</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>Arndt et al. (1969)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common iliac artery</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>Stratouly et al. (1987)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary artery</td>
<td>0.602</td>
<td>Gow and Hadfield (1979)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femoral artery</td>
<td>0.433</td>
<td>Patel et al. (1964)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thoracic aorta</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>Luchsinger et al. (1962)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Pressure strain elastic modulus for each type of human artery

6.1.2 Determination of radial stiffness necessary to expand stenotic part in artery

To determine the radial stiffness of the stent necessary to expand the stenotic part in the blood vessel, the requirements are, in addition to the pressure strain elastic modulus \( E_{p,v} \) of the blood vessel, shown as follows. The outer and inner diameters, \( D_o \) and \( D_i \) of the blood vessel in the normal state, the least diameter \( D_l \) produced by the stenotic part, and the length of the stenotic part \( L_l \) are required. The pressure strain elastic modulus of the plaque \( E_{pp} \) is required. Also required is the inner diameter after the treatment is made, \( D_t \), which is an indicator to use as the target setting for the percentage by which to improve the blood flow level there. Given all the values listed above, the calculations for the necessary radial stiffness are made as follows.
By knowing that the increase in the blood vessel diameter is obtainable from circumferential strain of a cylinder, the blood vessel with stenosis can be modeled by simply using springs connected in parallel, as presented in Fig. 15. Thus, the internal pressure in blood vessel \( p^* \), which is necessary to expand the stenotic part, can be obtained by

\[
p^* = \left( E_{p,v} + E_{p,p} \right) \frac{D_t - D_l}{D_o} = E_{p,pl} \frac{D_t - D_l}{D_o}
\]  

(19)

Fig. 15. Simplified modeling of expansion of stenosis in artery by insertion of stent

When measuring the pressure strain elastic modulus of the plaque \( E_{p,p} \) is difficult, it is possible to replace the sum of the elastic moduli, \( E_{p,v} + E_{p,p} \) by the pressure strain elastic modulus of the diseased blood vessel \( E_{p,pl} \) which can be easily measured.

As a matter of fact, the diameter of the stent, which is inserted into the stenotic part, is greater than the target vascular diameter after treatment. In conclusion, from the stent diameter \( d_s \) and the target vascular diameter \( D_t \) obtained after treatment, the radius reduction \( \Delta r \) in stent after inserting can be calculated by means of the following equation:

\[
\Delta r = \frac{d_s - D_t}{2}
\]  

(20)

By substituting equations (19) and (20) into \( K_p = p/\Delta r \), which was defined by (Yoshino et al., 2008) to obtain the radial stiffness, the radial stiffness \( K_p^* \) necessary to expand the stenotic part can be obtained from

\[
K_p^* = 2 \left( E_{p,v} + E_{p,p} \right) \frac{D_t - D_l}{D_o (d_s - D_t)} = 2 E_{p,pl} \frac{D_t - D_l}{D_o (d_s - D_t)}
\]  

(21)

The obtained \( K_p^* \) is the least required stiffness to expand the stenotic part in the blood vessel. Therefore, when designing a stent, the chosen stiffness should be greater than this \( K_p^* \) value.

Now consider the case where a stent with a diameter of 6 mm is inserted into a coronary artery. The symptoms shown in Table 4 are examples based on references (Gow & Hadfield, 1979; Le Floch et al., 2009). Based on this data, the radial stiffness \( K_p^* \) necessary to expand the stenotic part in the blood vessel is calculated by equation (21) to be \( K_p^* = 366.7 \text{ MPa/m} \).
The required radial stiffness $K_p^*$ is plotted as a broken line on the radial stiffness map shown in Fig. 16. From this line, it is possible to determine the design variables for a stent with sufficient radial stiffness to expand the stenotic part of the blood vessel. Based on these, the designer can proceed to work with some design propositions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coronary artery</th>
<th>Parameter $s$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outer diameter, $D_o$ (mm)</td>
<td>4.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner diameter, $D_i$ (mm)</td>
<td>4.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Least diameter of lesion, $D_l$ (mm)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of lesion, $L_l$ (mm)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total flexion angle (deg) (Flexion angle (deg))</td>
<td>90 (45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of stenosis by ECST method (%)</td>
<td>38.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure strain elastic modulus of artery, $E_{p,v}$ (MPa)</td>
<td>0.602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure strain elastic modulus of diseased artery, $E_{p,v}$ (MPa)</td>
<td>0.628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner diameter after treatment, $D_t$ (mm)</td>
<td>4.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Assumed patient symptom information

Fig. 16. Proposed designs having the radial stiffness necessary to expand the stenotic part of a blood vessel. By assuming that a stent is inserted into a coronary artery, a value of 0.602 MPa was used for the pressure strain elastic modulus $E_{p,v}$ of the normal part of the coronary artery, and a value of 0.628 MPa for the pressure strain elastic modulus $E_{p,v}$ of the diseased artery. For the coronary artery model, $D_o = 4.90$ mm, $D_i = 4.06$ mm, and $D_l = 2.5$ mm were assumed. The corresponding part of the map is magnified and displayed.

6.1.3 Range of selectable flexural rigidity and the dilemma of selecting the design

After inserting an originally straight stent into a curved blood vessel and leaving it there, the stent generally conforms to the blood vessel shape. Nevertheless, because the flexural
rigidity of the stent is greater than that of the blood vessel, the blood vessel tends to become straighter. This phenomenon of straightening of the blood vessel was previously described in detail, and a method to calculate the force resulting in the straightening of the blood vessel was described in previous section. It is apparent that this force depends on the flexural rigidity of the stent, and that greater flexural rigidity results in a larger force. A force too large can damage the vascular wall. Consequently, it is important to choose the most appropriate flexural rigidity of the stent.

By plotting the proposed designs obtained from the required radial stiffness $K^*_p$ on a flexural rigidity map, the broken line shown in Fig. 17(a) is obtained. For one radial stiffness value, multiple flexural rigidity values can be selected as long as they are within the range given in the figure. None of the limiting values for the flexural rigidity, which might prevent damage on the vascular wall or neointimal thickening, are yet quantitatively available. Therefore, the flexural rigidity should be made smaller to decrease the force acting on the vascular wall. In other words, the designer should select the smallest flexural rigidity from the range of selectable values shown in Fig. 17(a).

Figure 17(b) shows the stent shape designed in consideration of the rules described above. The designed stent is referred to as SDCO and has a wire length $l^*_w$ of 2.01 mm, and a wire width $t^*_w$ of 0.16 mm. In addition, the length of the designed stent is determined so that it occupies the blood vessel from the stenotic part to the normal part at each end in consideration of actual clinical use. The length of the SDCO is 22.0 mm (the stent consists of 8 wire sections and 7 strut sections). The flexural rigidity of the SDCO is $K^*_b = 25.1 \times 10^{-6}$ Nm$^2$. Similarly, the shear rigidity of the SDCO, based on the map of the rigidity, is $K^*_s = 1.33$ N.

Fig. 17. Selection of the proposed design from the viewpoint of flexural rigidity. (a) The selectable range of flexural rigidities is indicated by the broken line. The corresponding part of the map is magnified and displayed as in Fig. 16. (b) A stent 6 mm in diameter is designed to suit the assumed symptom of the coronary artery.

### 6.2 Evaluation of the risk of in-stent restenosis based on the mechanical stimulus

Figure 18 shows the distributions of the contact force and the straightening force when the SDCO is inserted into the coronary artery. These distributions were calculated by the previously described method, which was proposed by (Yoshino et al., 2011).
First, let us focus attention on the force on the vascular wall. Although the contact force is large at the stenotic part, the generation of this large force is unavoidable for expansion of the stenotic part. The contact force is also concentrated at both ends of the stent. The straightening force on the vascular wall is concentrated at the end of the stenotic part in addition to both ends of the stent.

It has been reported that the hyperplasia of smooth muscle cells and the neointimal proliferation occur at the stented part of an artery (Grewe et al., 2000; Clark et al., 2006). It is assumed that the hyperplasia and proliferation are caused by the mechanical stimulus acting on the vascular wall due to insertion of the stent. (Schweiger et al., 2006) reported that
in-stent restenosis occurred primarily at both ends of the stent during the period one to three months after stenting. In the study of (Lal et al., 2007), the majority of patients in the target patient population showed in-stent restenosis at the stent ends. (Yazdani and Berry, 2009) cultured a stented native porcine carotid artery under physiologic pulsatile flow and pressure conditions for a week. They confirmed that the proliferation of smooth muscle cells occurred significantly at both ends of the stent. By summarizing these reports and evaluation results of the forces on the vascular wall, it is concluded that the force concentration provokes neointimal thickening due to the hyperplasia of smooth muscle cells, i.e., in-stent restenosis.

Next, the expansion of the vascular wall is examined. The normal part of the artery is expanded to become much larger than the target diameter. This excessive expansion causes expansion of the entire stented part. As a result, stagnation and vortices of blood flow are induced, further increasing the potential for stent thrombosis.

The designed stent has mechanical properties sufficient to expand the stenotic part of the artery, but is not suitable for the normal part of the artery. Thus, it requires modification.

### 6.3 Effective method to modify the stent shape in consideration of the risk of in-stent restenosis

#### 6.3.1 Design objective for the shape modification

In the previous section, it was shown that although a stent suitable for the assumed symptoms could be designed using the proposed design method, further modifications were still required. Two kinds of design objectives are set up for shape modification.

*Objective for the mechanical stimulus*: as shown in Fig. 18, the contact force on the normal part of the artery is concentrated at both ends of the stent. This force concentration provokes neointimal thickening from the hyperplasia of smooth muscle cells. Therefore, the contact force must be reduced at both ends of the stent. However, this force should be larger than a certain limit so that stent functions on the lesion.

*Objective for the blood flow*: as stated above, the normal part of the artery is expanded to become much larger than the target diameter. This expansion state of the artery causes stagnation and vortices of blood flow. As a result, stent thrombosis may be induced. It is very important to reduce stagnation and vortex creation to decrease the risk of stent thrombosis. Therefore, the vascular wall should be expanded flatly by the insertion of the stent. The flat expansion of the artery can prevent the generation of stagnation and vortices in the stented artery.

#### 6.3.2 Modification method of the stent shape to suit the clinical manifestation

The stent designed in the previous section has a uniform radial stiffness $K_p^*$ along its axial direction. The radial stiffness $K_p^*$ causes excessive expansion at the normal part of the artery because $K_p^*$ was calculated for expansion of the stenotic part, which is generally stiffer than the normal part. The objective for the mechanical stimulus can be attained by decreasing the radial stiffness to a value corresponding to that of a normal artery. At the same time, the
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objective for blood flow must be attained. Therefore, the stent should have a radial stiffness $K_p^{**}$ to expand the vascular wall at normal part only for the stent thickness $t$. This can achieve the flat expansion of the artery. By changing the stent radial stiffness at the normal part from $K_p^{*}$ to $K_p^{**}$, the contact force can also decrease. In this study, it was decided to adopt the radial stiffness $K_p^{**}$ as a compromise between the two design objectives.

The methods using the influence matrix described in Section 5 are used for the shape modification. Figure 19 shows the concept for modification of the stent shape. The distributed contact force $P_i^*$ on the stenotic part can be calculated based on the radial stiffness $K_p^*$, as follows:

$$P_i^* = \pi D_i K_p^* \Delta r_l$$

where $D_i$ is the inner diameter of the blood vessel after treatment, $\Delta r_l$ is the increase in the vascular radius of the target, $l_w^*$ is the wire length of the stent designed based on the radial stiffness $K_p^*$. Also, $n_{CP}$ represents the number of calculation points on the wire section. For simplicity, it was assumed that a uniform contact force was exerted on the vascular wall.

As described in Section 5, the radial displacement of the vascular wall \( \{ r(v) \} = (r_1(v), r_2(v), ..., r_n(v))^T \) due to the unknown contact force \( \{ P \} = (P_1, P_2, ..., P_n)^T \) is given as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}^{(v)} \end{bmatrix} \{ P \} = \{ r(v) \}$$

(23)

where $\mathbf{C}^{(v)}$ is the influence matrix of the blood vessel, defined by the radial displacement of the vascular wall due to the unit radial force. The calculated contact force $P_i^*$ is substituted.
into equation (23), and the influence matrix \([C^{(v)}]\) is downsized to the matrix \([C_{\text{normal}}^{(v)}]\) of the normal blood vessel.

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
C_{\text{normal}}^{(v)} \\
C_{\text{stenosis}}^{(v)}
\end{bmatrix}
\{P^{**}\}
=
\begin{bmatrix}
\{r_{\text{normal}}^{(v)}\} + \\
\{r_{\text{stenosis}}^{(v)}\}
\end{bmatrix}
\{P^{*}\}
\tag{24}
\]

\([C_{\text{stenosis}}^{(v)}]\) is the influence matrix of the stenotic part, and \(\{r_{\text{normal}}^{(v)}\}\) is the radial displacement of the normal part of the blood vessel. Equation (24) is solved for \(\{P^{**}\}\) to obtain the distributed force \(P_i^{**}\) that can expand the normal part to the stent thickness \(t\).

Here, it is assumed that the wire length after modification is \(l_w^{**}\) and the calculation points from \(k\) to \(l\) are included in the modified wire section. The required radial stiffness \(K_p^{**}\) is defined as follows:

\[
K_p^{**} = \frac{1}{\pi D l_w^{**}} \frac{\sum_{i=k}^{l} P_i^{**}}{t}
\tag{25}
\]

The \(K_p^{**}\) value calculated by equation (25) is plotted on the radial stiffness map. As a result, the wire width \(t_w^{**}\) after modification is determined from the \(l_w^{**}\) value and the curve of \(K_p^{**}\) on the map. Therefore, the designed stent has to be modified to the shape of \(l_w^{**}\) and \(t_w^{**}\) at the normal part of the blood vessel. Considering that an increase in the stent length is undesirable, the designer should keep the wire length \(l_w^{**}\) equal to \(l_w^{**}\) after the modification. However, it is possible that the wire width \(t_w^{**}\) after the modification cannot be obtained because of the mismatch between the assumed \(l_w^{**}\) value and the curve of \(K_p^{**}\).

In this case, the designer can increase the wire length \(l_w^{**}\), perform the same procedures, and determine the \(l_w^{**}\) and \(t_w^{**}\) values.

The required radial stiffness \(K_{pl}^{**}\) at both ends of the SDCO is 50.3 MPa/m. The radial stiffness \(K_{p2}^{**}\) at the normal part of the artery except for both stent ends is also calculated as 155.2 MPa/m. From the dot-dashed curves of \(K_{pl}^{**}\) and \(K_{p2}^{**}\) shown in Fig. 20(a), it is determined that \(l_w^{**}\) is 2.52 mm, \(t_w^{**}\) is 0.087 mm, \(l_w^{**}\) is 2.01 mm, and \(t_w^{**}\) is 0.094 mm. Figure 20(b) shows the modified shape of the SDCO.

The flexural rigidity \(K_{sl}^{**}\) at both ends of the SDCO is 5.75×10^-6 Nm^2, and the shear rigidity \(K_{s1}^{**}\) is 0.20 N. At the normal part of the artery except for both stent ends, the flexural rigidity \(K_{s2}^{**}\) of the SDCO is 6.00×10^-6 Nm^2, and the shear rigidity \(K_{s2}^{**}\) is 0.42 N.

### 6.3.3 Confirmation of the effect of the shape modification

Figure 21 shows a comparison of the force on the vascular wall by insertion of the stent before and after the modifications. After the modifications of the SDCO, an approximately 80 % reduction in the concentrated contact force was attained (Fig. 21(a)). Furthermore, the concentrated straightening force at the stent ends after modification was reduced to approximately 35 % of that before modification of the SDCO (Fig. 21(b)).
On the other hand, it is recognized that straightening force increases at the stenotic-healthy tissue interface (the axial location is 5 mm in Fig. 21(b)). The concentration of straightening force also occurs at the axial location of 8 mm. After modification of the stent shape, the flexural and shear rigidities of the stent vary with the axial location. The bending state of the stent changes at the changing point of the rigidities, which corresponds to the turn of the stent shape. Therefore, straightening force increases due to changing of the stent bending state based on rigidities changing. Although the risk of the vessel rupture slightly increases at the stenotic-healthy tissue interface, it is achieved that the straightening force is significantly reduced at both ends of the stent, where the hyperplasia of smooth muscle cells is frequently reported.

Fig. 20. The SDCO stent 6 mm in diameter is modified to suit the assumed symptom of the coronary artery. (a) Design variables after modification are determined by using the map of the radial stiffness. (b) The modified SDCO has a nonuniform shape along its axial direction.
The modified stent can expand the vascular wall in a more flat manner. Therefore, modification of the designed stent by using the proposed method can relax the force concentration at both ends of the stent by attaining flat expansion of the vascular wall.

7. Conclusion

This chapter described evaluating mechanical properties of a stent and designing/selecting a stent suitable for diverse clinical manifestation by efficiently using the evaluated results. By rounding up the results described above, it can be confirmed that two objectives, which are establishments of designing/selecting a stent suitable for diverse clinical manifestation, were achieved. The stent, which is designed by using the method described in this chapter, has nonuniform shapes along its axial direction. This shape is radically new compared to the conventional stent shape that is uniform along its axial direction. Thus, the stent shape designed by using the method is considered to be a new-generation type.
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