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1. Introduction

Abdominal angiostrongyliasis, a parasitic disease originally from the Americas, and eosinophilic meningoencephalitis, from Asia, are caused by two species of angiostrongilids nematodes, belonging to the family Metastrongylidae Leiper, 1908. In both cases, rats are the main definitive hosts and snails are the intermediate hosts (Acha & Szyfres, 2003).

The helminth *Angiostrongylus costaricensis* Morera & Céspedes, 1971 is endemic to the Americas and is responsible for a pathological abdominal syndrome, caused by the presence of the adult helminth in the mesenteric arteries. This is microscopically characterized by eosinophilic infiltration, vascular abnormality and a granulomatous reaction (Graeff-Teixeira et al., 1987). The disease was first reported in humans by Céspedes et al. (1967) and Morera (1967) in Costa Rica. The adult worm was subsequently described from specimens recovered during surgical procedures by Morera & Céspedes (1971). The parasite’s current distribution ranges from the southern United States to northern Argentina (Morera, 1988), with human cases having been reported in Costa Rica, Honduras, Colombia, Martinique, Dominican Republic, Puerto Rico, Nicaragua, Mexico, Venezuela, Guadalupe, El Salvador, Panama and Brazil (Kaminsky, 1995).

In turn, *Angiostrongylus cantonensis*, the agent that causes eosinophilic meningoencephalitis, was first described in Canton, China, by Chen (1935), and is now dispersed to various Pacific islands, Australia, Africa, and more recently, the Americas (Foronda et al., 2010). It is believed that the initial dissemination to islands in the Pacific resulted from the introduction of naturally infected rats in containers coming from Asia (Diaz, 2008). The growing flows of global trade and tourism, as well as the spread of habits and customs among countries, have enabled the dispersion of the definitive and intermediate hosts of *A. cantonensis* (Cross, 1987). Currently there are reports of human infection in the United States (New et al., 1995), Cuba (Aguiar et al., 1981), Jamaica (Slom & Johnson, 2003), Ecuador (Dorta-Contreras et al., 2010) and Brazil (Lima et al., 2009.) In particular the introduction of the *Achatina fulica* in Brazil (Thiengo et al., 2007) and *Pomacea canaliculata* (Lamarck, 1822) in China are examples of the importance of exotic snails in the spread of this helminthiasis (Lv et al., 2008).

This chapter focuses on the taxonomy, life cycle, endemic and exotic intermediate hosts, natural vertebrate hosts and geographical distribution of these *Angiostrongylus* species together with diagnosis, treatment and prophylaxis of the diseases borne by them.
2. Systematic and general morphology

The system for classifying the *Angiostrongylus* genus basically relies on the morphological characteristics of the rays of the copulatory bursa, host group specificity and/or place where the adult worms are located in the host.

Dougherty (1946) considered the following genera to be synonyms for the genus *Angiostrongylus* Kamensky, 1905: *Haemostongylus* Railliet and Henry, 1907; *Parastrongylus* Bayle, 1928; *Rodentocaulus* Shul’ts, Orlov and Kutas, 1933; *Pulmonema* Chen, 1935; and *Cardionema* Yamaguti, 1941. Drozdz (1970) separated species of the genus *Angiostrongylus* into two subgroups based on morphological characteristics of the caudal bursa and systematized them into two subgenera – *Angiostrongylus* and *Parastrongylus* – based on the morphology of the lateral rays of the caudal bursa. *Angiostrongylus* has a ventrolateral ray arising independently from the mediolateral and posterolateral rays, which emerge as a single trunk, and is a parasite of the right heart and pulmonary artery of carnivores. In contrast, the subgenus *Parastrongylus* parasitizes rodents and has as taxonomic characteristics the lateral rays arising in a common trunk and a cleft at the same level. A third subgroup, parasitizing insectivores, was classified as belonging to the genus *Stefanskostrongylus*, comprising species with lateral rays similar to the subgenus *Parastrongylus*, but without the gubernaculum. Anderson (1978) accepted such systematic criteria but did not mention biological aspects such as host specificity or site of infection. Furthermore, Chabaud (1972) proposed eight different genera based on the morphology of the bursal rays, types of hosts and infection site. Ubelaker (1986) reorganized the Angiostrongylidae into six genera, based on bursal morphology and on specific host groups. Nevertheless, the host-specificity criteria need to be studied further since infection has been reported of non-human primates and carnivores by *A. costaricensis* (Miller et al., 2006).

In this chapter we accept the classification of Dougherty (1946). To date, 18 species of *Angiostrongylus* have been reported around the world. Four species have been described infecting carnivores: *Angiostrongylus vasorum* Baillet, 1866; *Angiostrongylus raillieti* Travassos, 1927; *Angiostrongylus gubernaculatus* Dougherty, 1946; and *Angiostrongylus chabaudi* Biocca, 1957. In rodents, 14 species have been described: *Angiostrongylus taterone* Baylis, 1928; *A. cantonensis*; *Angiostrongylus sciuri* Merdevenci, 1964; *Angiostrongylus mackerrasae* Bhaibulaya, 1968; *Angiostrongylus sandarsae* Alicata, 1968; *Angiostrongylus petrovi* Tarjymanova and Tschertkova, 1969; *Angiostrongylus dujardini* Drozdz and Doby, 1970; *Angiostrongylus schmidti* Kinsella, 1971; *A. costaricensis*; *Angiostrongylus malaysiensis* Bhaibulay and Cross, 1971; *Angiostrongylus ryjikovi* Jushkov, 1971; *Angiostrongylus siamensis* Ohbayashi, Kamiya, and Bhaibulaya, 1979; *Angiostrongylus morerai* Robles, Navone, and Kinsella, 2008; and *Angiostrongylus lenzii* Souza et al., 2009.

*Angiostrongylus costaricensis* was described from three female specimens and one male specimen, recovered from a patient during surgery. Chabaud (1972) raised the species to the new genus *Moreriastrongylus*, but this proposal was not accepted by Anderson (1978) in revising the classification of nematodes. *A. costaricensis* (Figs. 1-3; 7) is a filiform nematode. The cephalic end is round and the esophagus is club-shaped (Fig. 1). The copulatory bursa is slightly asymmetric and well developed. The dorsal ray is short and bifurcates into arms terminating in sharp tips. On its ventral side, behind its bifurcation, there is a conspicuous papilla. The lateral rays emerge from a common trunk, widely separated from the ventral...
rays, and the mediolateral and the posterolateral rays are fused in their proximal half. The anterolateral ray is thicker and separates form the common trunk just after its emergence from the trunk. The externodorsal ray arises close the lateral trunk and is well separated from the dorsal ray. Its distal end is knoblike. The ventral rays are fused except at the tips and the ventrolateral ray is slightly longer than the ventrolateral one. A gubernaculum is present with two branches that come together just before they terminate in the cloaca (Fig. 7). Behind the cloacal opening, there are three papillae. The spicules are slender, striated and of equal size. The caudal extremity of the female is roughly conical, with a small projection at the tip (Fig. 3) (Morera, 1973).

*Angiostrongylus cantonensis* was initially described as *Pulmonema cantonensis* Chen, 1935, a new genus, from specimens recovered from the lungs of naturally infected rats (*Rattus norvegicus* and *Rattus rattus*) collected in Canton, China. The adult worms of *cantonensis* (Figs. 4-6; 8) are characterized by a filiform body in both sexes, tapering at the anterior end. Females are larger and more robust than males. The cephalic vesicle is absent, the oral aperture is simple, circular and surrounded by six papillae (two dorsal, two lateral and two ventral) and two lateral amphids. The esophagus is claviform and the excretory pore is posterior to the esophagus (Fig. 4). The nerve ring is anterior to the middle of the esophagus, the male caudal bursa is small and slightly asymmetric, the ventroventral rays are smaller than the ventrolateral ones, with a common origin, bifurcated at the proximal half and do not reach the bursal margins. The dorsal ray is thick, bifurcating into three branches, with digititoform externodorsal rays separated at the base. The right mediolateral ray is thinner than the left one, with the right mediolateral and posterolateral rays bifurcating at the middle of the trunk and the left mediolateral and laterolateral rays at the distal third. The lateral rays arise from a common trunk, with the ventrolateral ray being cleft-shaped and smaller than the other lateral rays (Fig. 5). The gubernaculum is conspicuous and curved. Uterine tubules spiral around the blood-filled intestine, easily seen through the transparent cuticle. The tail is long and rounded without cuticle expansion and papillae, and is slightly ventrally curved (Fig. 6) (Thiengo et al., 2010).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><em>Angiostrongylus costaricensis</em></th>
<th><em>Angiostrongylus cantonensis</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body length</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>32.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width</td>
<td>0.28-0.31</td>
<td>0.32-0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width at the base of esophagus</td>
<td>0.12-0.14</td>
<td>0.14-0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esophagus</td>
<td>0.18-0.23</td>
<td>0.23-0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nerve ring</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excretory pore</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spicules</td>
<td>0.32-0.33</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gubernaculum</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vuva-tail</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.24-0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anus-tail</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.06-0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eggs</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Measurements comparison of *Angiostrongylus costaricensis* from Costa Rica (Morera, 1973) and *Angiostrongylus cantonensis* from Brazil (Thiengo et al., 2010).
Fig. 1-3. *Angiostrongylus costaricensis*; 1. Anterior extremity, right lateral view, female. Scale bar: 50 µm. 2. Male, caudal bursa, ventral view. Scale bar: 100 µm. 3. Female, posterior extremity, lateral view. Scale bar: 100 µm.


Fig. 7. Light microscopy of *Angiostrongylus costaricensis*. Scale bar: 100 µm.
3. Life cycle of main species of *Angiostrongylus* infecting man

Species of *Angiostrongylus* cause a nematode infection in domestic dogs and wild mammals (Anderson, 1978). Some species can accidentally infect humans, causing characteristic clinical symptoms of the disease: *A. cantonensis* and *A. costaricensis*, which respectively cause eosinophilic meningoencephalitis and abdominal disease in humans (Graeff-Teixeira et al., 1991a; Wang et al., 2008). The biological cycle of these helminths requires an intermediate host, usually a snail, and a definitive host, most often a wild rodent. Humans participate in the biological cycle as accidental hosts, since the cycle does not complete itself in people.

Infection by *A. costaricensis* happens when the definitive host ingests the snail, which can be infected with third stage larvae (L₃), or food contaminated with snail mucus. The larvae migrate to the ileocecal region, penetrating the intestinal wall and entering the lymphatic vessels, where they molt twice before migrating to the mesenteric arteries, where they reach sexual maturity. The females release eggs, which are carried by the bloodstream, causing embolisms in the arterioles and capillaries of the intestinal wall. The eggs hatch when they reach the first larval stage (L₁), penetrating the intestinal lumen, where they are released in the feces. To continue the cycle, the L₁ larvae must be ingested or actively penetrate the tissue of the intermediate host (Thiengo, 1996). After 19 days of the initial infection, the larvae will have molted twice (passing through the L₂ and L₃ stages, the latter of which is the infective stage for the definitive host). The wild rodents become infected by ingesting parasitized snails, mainly the species *Vaginulus (Sarasinula) plebeius* in Costa Rica (Morera, 1970) or *Phyllocaulis variegatus* (Semper, 1885) in Brazil (Graeff-Teixeira et al., 1989). The main rodent hosts are *Sigmodon hispidus* (Morera et al., 1970) and *Oligoryzomys nigripes* (Graeff-Teixeira et al., 1990) in these two countries, respectively. The worms reach sexual maturity in the mesenteric arterioles of the rodent *S. hispidus*, where they lay their eggs. The L₁ larvae are found in the feces 24 days after experimental infection (Morera, 1973; Motta & Lenzi, 1995).

Humans become infected by eating raw infected snails or food contaminated by snail mucoid secretions containing L₃ larvae. Although the helminth reaches sexual maturity and releases eggs that stimulate a granulomatous reaction in the infected person intestinal wall due to their degeneration, it does not produce L₁ larvae, thus interrupting the biological cycle.
The nematode *A. cantonensis* is commonly known as the lungworm because its niche in the adult phase is in the pulmonary arteries of the definitive host, in general the rodents *R. rattus* and *R. norvegicus*. In experimental infection of *R. norvegicus*, the female worm lays eggs inside the pulmonary arterioles, where they develop into the first-stage larvae (L₁), which then move to the interior of the alveoli. The larvae then migrate to the pharynx and are swallowed, pass through the gastrointestinal tract and are eliminated in the feces (Bhaibulaya, 1975; Yousif & Ibrahim, 1978). Land or freshwater snails are the principal intermediate hosts. They can become infected by ingestion of or penetration by L₁ larvae. The helminths then molt two times and become infective L₃ larvae, generally within 21 days after infection. Rats become infected by ingesting the intermediate hosts infected by L₃ larvae. These larvae then penetrate the intestinal wall and enter the bloodstream a few hours after being ingested. They reach the pulmonary circulation from the heart and are dispersed to various other organs by the arterial circulation. Many reach the brain and molt again, becoming L₄ larvae. The fifth molting into L₅ occurs in the subarachnoid space, from where after developing they migrate to the pulmonary arteries where they are found as of 25 days after infection. The worms then reach sexual maturity at around 35 days and the L₁ larvae can be found in the rodent’s feces as of 42 days after the exposure to the previous generation of L₁ larvae (Weinstein et al., 1963; Bhaibulaya, 1975).

Humans become infected by eating raw or undercooked snails and slugs or through paratenic hosts (crabs, freshwater shrimps). In humans, the young larvae reach the brain, where they die rather than migrating further and terminating their development. This causes eosinophilic meningoencephalitis, which has neurological symptoms. Normally the infection is regenerative and does not kill the victim, but the parasitism can be serious enough to kill when there is massive exposure to infective L₃ larvae (Lima et al., 2009) (Fig. 9).

![Fig. 9. Life cycle of Angiostrongylus cantonensis.](www.intechopen.com)
4. Endemic and exotic mollusks as intermediate hosts

The life cycle of *A. cantonensis* (Fig. 9) involves different species of terrestrial and freshwater gastropods as intermediate hosts. Mollusks become infected either by ingestion of L₁ present in the rat feces or by penetration of these larvae through the body wall or respiratory pores. In the mollusk tissues the L₁ molts twice (L₂ and L₃) and the period necessary for the development is around 15 days. Details of the life cycle may be seen in Cheng & Alicata, 1965, Chao et al. 1987 and Graeff-Teixeira et al. 2009.

Because the parasite displays broad nonspecificity for intermediate hosts, various species of terrestrial and freshwater mollusk species had been found naturally infected, such as: *Achatina fulica* Bowdich, 1822, *Bradybaena similaris* (Férrussac, 1821), *Subulina octona* (Bruguière, 1792), *Pomacea canaliculata* (Lamarck, 1822), *Pomacea lineata* (Spix in Wagner, 1827); *Deroceras laeve* (Muller, 1774), *Pila* spp. (Wallace and Rosen, 1969; Malek and Cheng, 1974; Caldeira et al., 2007; Thiengo et al., 2010).

It is noteworthy in the epidemiology of the transmission of *A. cantonensis* the occurrence of paratenic hosts (or carrier hosts) such as land crabs, freshwater prawns, frogs and planarians. Those are passive hosts where the parasite does not undergo any development. However, they play an important role as they improve parasite opportunities (in time and space) to get the definitive host.

In China where *P. canaliculata* and *A. fulica* are widespread in the south of the country, the number of cases of eosinophilic meningoencephalitis has been increasing, and the transmission is linked to both species (Lv et al., 2008, 2009). In the last years, various outbreaks have been reported and the transmission in most of the cases was directly related to the consumption of *P. canaliculata*, considered currently the main cause of the spread of angiostrongyliaisis in China (Lv et al. 2011).

The first cases of eosinophilic meningitis recorded to South America were to Brazil in 2007 and in 2008 and *A. fulica* was considered the vector for three out of the four reported cases. One of the cases reported to Pernambuco, Northeastern region, was attributed to the ingestion of undercooked *P. lineata* specimens (Caldeira et al., 2007; Lima et al., 2009; Thiengo et al., 2010). In fact, specimens of *A. fulica* have been found infected with *A. cantonensis* larvae from two of the main Brazilian regions, South and Southeast, in the last five years (Maldonado et al., 2010). Hence, the emergence of eosinophilic meningitis is a matter of concern in Brazil as it is currently experiencing the explosive phase of the invasion of *A. fulica*, recorded in 24 out the 26 states and the Federal District (Thiengo et al., 2007, Zanol et al., 2010).

The life cycle of *A. costaricensis* is quite similar to that of *A. cantonensis*, although paratenic hosts do not occur.

To continue the cycle, the L₁ larvae must be ingested or actively penetrate the tissue of the intermediate host (Thiengo, 1996). After 19 days of the initial infection, the larvae will have molted twice (passing through the L₂ and L₃ stages, the latter of which is the infective stage for the definitive host). The wild rodents become infected by ingesting parasitized snails, mainly the species *Vaginulus (Sarasinula) plebeius* in Costa Rica (Morera, 1970) or *Phyllocaulis variegatus* (Semper, 1885) in Brazil (Graeff-Teixeira et al., 1989; Motta & Lenzi, 1995).
5. Parasitism by *Angiostrongylus costaricensis* and *Angiostrongylus cantonensis* in naturally infected rat populations

The nematode *A. costaricensis* has little specificity for its definitive host. The main hosts involved in its life cycle in nature are rodents of the Cricetidae family, although rodents of the Heteromyidae and Muridae families have also been found to be infected (Table 2). The rodent *S. hispidus* has been indicated as the principal natural host due to its abundance, parasite prevalence rates in Panama and aspects of its ecology (Rodríguez et al., 2000).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Definitive Host</th>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sigmodon hispidus</td>
<td>Cricetidae</td>
<td>Costa Rica; Panamá; United States</td>
<td>Morera, 1970; Tesh et al., 1973; Ubelaker &amp; Hall, 1979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rattus rattus</td>
<td>Muridae</td>
<td>Costa Rica; Panamá; Puerto Rico; Guadoulupe</td>
<td>Morera, 1970; Tesh et al., 1973; Juminer et al., 1993; Andersen et al., 1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rattus norvegicus</td>
<td>Muridae</td>
<td>Dominican Republic; Puerto Rico</td>
<td>Juminer et al., 1993; Vargas et al., 1992; Andersen et al., 1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liomys adpersus</td>
<td>Heteromyidae</td>
<td>Panamá</td>
<td>Tesh et al., 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zygodontomys microtinus</td>
<td>Cricetidae</td>
<td>Panamá</td>
<td>Tesh et al., 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oryzomys fulvescens</td>
<td>Cricetidae</td>
<td>Panamá</td>
<td>Tesh et al., 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oryzomys caliginosus</td>
<td>Cricetidae</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Malek, 1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligoryzomys nigripes</td>
<td>(=Oryzomys eliurus)</td>
<td>Cricetidae</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sooretamys angouya</td>
<td>(=Oryzomys ratticeps)</td>
<td>Echimyudae</td>
<td>Venezuela</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proechimys sp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Didelphimorphia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Didelphis virginiana</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carnivora</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasua narica bullata</td>
<td>Procyinidae</td>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
<td>Morera, 1970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procyon lotor</td>
<td>Procyinidae</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Miller et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hylobates syndactylus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aotus nancymae</td>
<td>Aotidae</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Miller et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saginus mystax</td>
<td>Cebidae</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Sly et al., 1982</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Vertebrate hosts infected with *Angiostrongylus costaricensis* in the Americas.

Other mammals have also been found naturally infected, such as the coati *Nasua narica bullata* in Costa Rica, in which parasitism by *A. costaricensis* was confirmed after experimental infection of *S. hispidus* from isolation of L1 larvae obtained from the host’s feces, and in specimens of the marmoset *Saguinus mystax* imported from Peru after histopathological examination, which demonstrated the presence of the adult worm in the lamina propria of the host’s mesenteric artery.
Recently, *A. costaricensis* was reported parasitizing siamangs (*Hylobates syndactylyus*), night monkeys (*Aotus nancynae*), raccoons (*Procyon lotor*) and opossums (*Didelphis virginiana*) in a zoo in the United States (Miller et al., 2006).

In Brazil, only two species of cricetids rodents, *O. nigripes* and *Sooretamys angouya*, are involved in transmission of *A. costaricensis*, in the Southern region of the country (Graeff-Teixeira et al., 1990). Although no evidence has been found of the participation of wild rodents in the Southeastern region (Graeff-Teixeira et al., 2010), there are various reports of abdominal angiostrongyliasis in the Midwestern and Southeastern regions (Pena et al., 1995; Magalhães et al., 1982).

In the Americas in general, the presence of *R. rattus* and *R. norvegicus* infected by *A. cantonensis* confirms the endemism of this zoonosis in Cuba, the United States, Jamaica, Puerto Rico, Dominican Republic, Haiti and Brazil (Table 3). The infection rate of these rodents is highly variable (Wang et al., 2008) and does not suggest specificity among the murids. Some findings of infected rodents in urban areas are associated with epidemiological investigations after the occurrence of cases of eosinophilic meningoencephalitis, such as in Cuba, Jamaica and Brazil (Aguiar et al., 1981; Lindo et al., 2002; Simões et al., 2011).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Definitive Host</th>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rodentia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rattus rattus</td>
<td>Muridae</td>
<td>Jamaica; Haiti</td>
<td>Lindo et al., 2002; Raccurt et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rattus norvegicus</td>
<td>Muridae</td>
<td>Cuba; United States; Jamaica; Haiti; Brazil</td>
<td>Aguiar et al., 1981; Campbell &amp; Little, 1988; Lindo et al., 2002; Raccurt et al., 2003; Simões et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neotoma floridanus</td>
<td>Cricetidae</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Kim et al., 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Didelphimorphia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Didelphis virginiana</td>
<td>Didelphidae</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Kim et al., 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varecia variegata rubra</td>
<td>Lemuridae</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Kim et al., 2002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Vertebrate hosts infected with *Angiostrongylus cantonensis* in the Americas.

Kim et al. (2002) reported *A. cantonensis* infection in a lemur (*Varecia variegata rubra*), in a wood rat (*Neotoma floridanus*) and in 4 opossums (*Didelphis virginiana*) in Louisiana, United States.

How *A. cantonensis* arrived and became established in the Americas is not well established, but Diaz (2008) attributed the spread of *A. cantonensis* to the American continents to the introduction of *R. norvegicus* by containers carried by ships. In Brazil, two arrival routes of this parasite have been postulated: in parasitized rats during the country’s colonial period, when there was frequent contact with Africa and Asia (Maldonado et al., 2010) and/or by recent invasion of the African snail *A. fulica*, some two decades ago (Thiengo et al., 2007).

6. Geographic distribution of angiostrongyliasis in the Americas

The first report of abdominal angiostrongyliasis was in 1952 in children in Costa Rica (Céspedes et al., 1967; Morera, 1967). Nearly 20 years later, in the same country, the parasite
was reported naturally infecting *S. hispidus* and *R. rattus* (Cépedes & Morera, 1971). Since the description of this parasite, various cases have been reported in both South and North America, in countries including Honduras (Sierra & Morera, 1972), Venezuela (Zambrano, 1973), Mexico (Zavala et al., 1974), El Salvador (Sauerbrey, 1977), Brazil (Ziliotto et al., 1975), Ecuador (Lasso, 1985), Nicaragua (Duarte et al., 1991) and Guatemala (Kramer et al., 1998).

In the United States (Ubelaker & Hall, 1979; Hulbert et al., 1992), Colombia (Malek, 1981), Panama (Tesh et al., 1973) and Caribbean islands (Juminer et al., 1993; Jeandel et al., 1998), reports of the presence of the parasite in the definitive host preceded the finding of cases of abdominal angiostrongyliasis. It is thus possible that the distribution of this zoonosis in the Americas and the world at large is more ample than currently known.

Angiostrongyliasis caused by *A. cantonensis* was originally reported in Asia. It has been postulated that this zoonosis spread to the Americas in the twentieth century (Pascual et al., 1981), where there have been reports of sporadic outbreaks. The first report of eosinophilic meningoencephalitis occurred in Cuba (Aguiar et al., 1981), followed by United States (News et al., 1995), Jamaica (Barrow et al., 1996), Brazil (Lima et al., 2009) and more recently Ecuador (Dorta-Contreras et al., 2011). After the reports of parasitism in humans, the naturally infected definitive host was identified, except in Ecuador. In contrast, in Puerto Rico, Dominican Republic and Haiti, only the parasite infecting snails and/or rats has been reported (Andersen et al., 1986; Vargas et al., 1992; Raccurt et al., 2003), with no cases of diseased reported so far.

### 7. Diagnostic methods for detection of abdominal angiostrongyliasis and eosinophilic meningoencephalitis

Abdominal angiostrongyliasis is caused by the presence of *A. costaricensis* worms in the mesenteric arteries of the ileocecal plexus, where they cause a predominantly eosinophilic granulomatous reaction in the mesentery, intestinal wall and lymph nodes. Although it is not generally a serious disease and frequently clears up spontaneously, its evolution to occlusion or perforation of the intestine can lead to death (Palomino et al., 2008). The main clinical signs include acute eosinophilic abdominal pain and occasionally fever (Morera, 1995). Palpation of the tumoral mass in the lower right abdominal quadrant, vomiting and anorexia suggest parasitism, but definitive diagnosis is only confirmed by observation of the worms inside the arteries after histological examination of biopsy material (Graeff-Teixeira et al, 1991). Laboratory diagnosis includes the serological latex agglutination test (Morera & Amador, 1998), enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) Graeff-Teixeira et al., (1997) and indirect immunofluorescence assay (Abrahms-Sandi et al., 2011). Nevertheless, the choice of the antigen and specificity of the tests need improvement.

Presumptive diagnosis of angiostrongyliasis caused by *A. cantonensis* is mainly based on the clinical signs presented by the patients, which include eosinophilic meningitis, eosinophilic encephalitis and ocular angiostrongyliasis. The symptoms in general are not very specific and can include headache, vomiting, fever, history of paresthesia and neck stiffness (Sawanyawisuth & Sawanyawisuth, 2008). Results of laboratory analysis of the blood and cerebrospinal fluid showing readings of eosinophils above 10%, including serological tests, despite their low sensitivity (Eamsobhana & Yong, 2009), along with diagnosis by cerebral imaging, help to confirm the infection (Kampittaya et al., 2000). The information reported by
the patient, such as ingestion of the intermediate host snail or raw or undercooked paratenic hosts, is important to substantiate the diagnosis of eosinophilic meningoencephalitis caused by *A. cantonensis*. Confirmation of the parasitism by observation of the worm in the cerebrospinal fluid is not common (Yii, 1976; Punyagupta et al., 1975). More recently, infection has been confirmed by the presence of the helminth’s DNA in the cerebrospinal fluid by real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) (Lima et al., 2009). The inflammatory process of the subarachnoid space and meninges is accompanied by intense eosinophilia and associated with an elevation in the number of eosinophils in the peripheral blood and cerebrospinal fluid (Tseng, et al., 2011).

8. Treatment of the infections and prophylaxis

The use of anthelmintics such as diethylcarbamazine, thiabendazole and levamisole to treat abdominal angiostrongyliasis is not recommended, because it can induce erratic migration of the worms and/or worsening of the lesions due to the inflammatory response to the death of the helminths at the infection sites (Morera & Bontempo, 1985). Therefore, any time possible, the treatment of choice is surgery (Cépedes et al., 1967).

The main procedure to treat eosinophilic meningoencephalitis is based on reduction of the symptoms by the use of analgesics and/or corticoids and careful removal of the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) at frequent intervals (Slom et al., 2003). The combined use of albendazole and prednisolone for two weeks has been shown to be safe and effective (Chotmongkol et al., 2004). Generally, infections caused by *A. cantonensis* are slight or self-limiting and the prognosis is good. In a few weeks most of the symptoms disappear and rarely leave prolonged effects.

Prophylaxis for angiostrongyliasis requires some precautions: (a) consume snails or animals that can be intermediate or paratenic hosts only after adequate cooking; (b) do not consume raw vegetables that have not been hygienized by soaking in a sodium hypochlorite solution; (c) control the populations of snail vectors and synanthropic rats near houses and in planted fields; and (d) provide information to people on the ways *A. costaricensis* and *A. cantonensis* are transmitted as well as the measures to follow to minimize the risk of infection by the parasite.

9. Conclusion

Under public health point of view, the spread of *A. costaricensis* and *A. cantonensis* in the New World and the presence of rats and snails in the peridomestic area poses substantial risk for future outbreaks. Therefore, reinforce the need to awareness the population about the risk of contracting angiostrongyliasis and healthcare providers should consider these parasites in the American continent to detect in time and adequate medical response. Moreover, surveillance and control of intermediate and definitive host as well as health education should be done to avoid human infections.

As for eosinophilic meningitis the epidemiology of its transmission has got importance for travel medicine currently. The increasingly widespread travel of people worldwide has led to the detection of many imported cases of this zoonosis and noteworthy for the differential diagnosis of neurological disease in travel medicine (Graeff-Teixeira et al., 2009).
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Zoonotic diseases are mainly caused by bacterial, viral or parasitic agents although "unconventional agents" such as prions could also be involved in causing zoonotic diseases. Many of the zoonotic diseases are a public health concern but also affect the production of food of animal origin thus they could cause problems in international trade of animal-origin goods. A major factor contributing to the emergence of new zoonotic pathogens in human populations is increased contact between humans and animals. This book provides an insight on zoonosis and both authors and the editor hope that the work compiled in it would help to raise awareness and interest in this field. It should also help researchers, clinicians and other readers in their research and clinical usage.
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