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1. Introduction

Cystic fibrosis (CF) is an autosomal recessive genetic disease caused by mutations in a single gene, the *cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator* (CFTR) gene. This disease primarily involves epithelial cells of the respiratory system, intestine, pancreas, gall bladder, and sweat glands. Although several organs are affected, the main cause of CF mortality and morbidity is due to pulmonary complications associated with impaired clearance and obstruction by viscous mucus secretions, which makes the lung epithelial cells the principle target for CF treatment. A monogenic disease such as CF was *a priori* an ideal candidate for gene therapy, as treatment of the disease was thought to be feasible with the introduction of the normal alleles of the CFTR gene into the airway epithelial cells to code for the functional protein.

2. CFTR gene transfer to the airway epithelium

The lung can be divided anatomically and physiologically into two regions, (i) the airways, consisting of the trachea, bronchi and bronchioles which brings air to the peripheral lung and (ii) the alveoli where the exchange of gas takes place. The airway epithelium is normally covered by a thin layer of mucus and acts as a natural barrier against foreign particles, including pathogens. In CF individuals, the airways are filled with sputum consisting of inflammatory cells, cell debris, highly viscous mucus and DNA, causing obstruction of the airways, constituting the major barrier for gene transfer as it prevents the cellular uptake of the vectors by the airway epithelial cells (Griesenbach, Alton et al., 2009; Hida et al., 2011).

The main target tissue for CF gene therapy is believed to be the airway epithelium, which exhibits all ion transport functions of CFTR and is easily accessible. However, the nature of the cells which are the best target for CF gene therapy is still debatable. The transfer of genes to the airway results in gene expression primarily in lung epithelial cells, and the transgene is localised to the lung without much systemic distribution. The highest level of CFTR gene expression is found in the bronchial submucosal gland cells (Merten et al., 1996; Kammouni et al., 1999; Chow et al., 2000) and it was suggested that these glandular cells may be better reached by vasculature and systemic application of the vector rather than by the airways (Boucher, 1999; Kolb et al., 2006).
There are several ways of introducing therapeutic genes into human cells but the most efficient method of gene transfer into human cells is by the use of viral vectors. Viruses have evolved and developed natural strategies to enter, transfer their genetic material and reproduce in specific tissues of their hosts, making them highly adapted as vectors to transfer genes into their natural target cells. Since 1989, twenty-nine clinical trials for CF have been carried out using adenovirus or adeno-associated virus vectors and non-viral vectors. In these gene therapy protocols, the major site of vector administration was the respiratory airways such as the nasal and lung epithelium. Unfortunately, the somewhat disappointing results of these clinical trials showed that CF gene therapy was more difficult than originally anticipated. The viral and non-viral vectors used in these trials revealed their limitations and inefficacy in gene transfer to the human airway epithelium.

2.1 Adenovirus (Ad) vector

The adenovirus as a gene transfer vector has several advantages over other vectors: (i) its capacity to incorporate large transgenes; (ii) its ease for genetic manipulation (Hong et al., 2003; Magnusson et al., 2007; Magnusson et al., 2001); and (iii) its facility to be produced to high titres. The efficiency of Ad vectors in gene transfer has been demonstrated in numerous systems (Henning et al., 2002; Gaden et al., 2004; Toh et al., 2005) and the functional analysis of transgenes expressed by Ad can be tested in vitro in cell lines, ex vivo in tissues and in vivo in animal models. In vitro studies demonstrated that recombinant Ad vectors can express CFTR in cultured CF airway epithelial cells and correct the Cl- transport defect (Zabner et al., 1993). Following this, a number of in vivo studies in animals and in tracheal explants showed that Ad vectors can express CFTR as well as reporter genes in the airway epithelia (Rosenfeld et al., 1992; Harvey et al., 1999; Scaria et al., 1998).

Ten CF clinical trials involving Ad vectors were conducted during the period 1993-2001 (available in Clinical Trials website: http://www.wiley.com//legacy/wileychi/genmed/clinical/). The first Ad vector used in CF gene therapy trials involving CF patients was a serotype 2 (Ad2) vector, genetically modified in the E1 region to carry the CFTR cDNA, under the E1a promoter and had the same polyadenylation addition site as the E1b and pIX transcripts (Zabner et al., 1993). The results obtained from the early clinical trials with Ad vector administration in the nasal and pulmonary tissues showed that the Ad vectors were well-tolerated at low to intermediate doses in humans, and partially corrected the chloride transport (Zabner et al., 1993, Crystal, 1995; Welsh et al., 1995).

One major difficulty which was revealed from the clinical trials was the inefficient CFTR gene transfer to the airway epithelium of CF patients (Perricone et al., 2001). It is known today that several factors were responsible for the low efficiency of CFTR gene transfer (Crystal, 1995): (i) the nonspecific inflammatory reactions (Otake et al., 1998) and immune response to the Ad-CFTR vector (Gahery-Segard et al., 1998; Piedra et al., 1998); (ii) the airway epithelial cells lack high affinity receptors for Ad (Zabner et al., 1997), as these receptors have a basolateral localization, which makes them inaccessible to Ad-CFTR vectors (Walters et al., 1999); (iii) mechanical factors, like bronchial mucus (Arcasoy et al., 1997; Perricone et al., 2000; Hida et al., 2011), or local bacterial infections, can negatively influence the effective binding of Ad vectors to the surface of epithelial cells, and the subsequent delivery of the therapeutic gene; (iv) a combination of the above different
mechanisms, or/and intrinsic properties of differentiated airway epithelial cells (Gaden et al., 2002). Another hurdle encountered with Ad vectors was that gene transfer to the airway epithelium was transient and the use of recombinant adenovirus vectors would require repeated administration. The requirement for repeated vector administration is a major concern as this will generate neutralizing antibodies against the vector in gene therapy recipients which would subsequently reduce gene transfer efficacy.

2.2 Adeno-associated virus (AAV) vector

AAV gene transfer vectors have attracted much interest due to their good safety profile (no known pathology has been found to be associated with AAV in humans), broad tissue tropism and more importantly prolonged gene expression due to the integration of their DNA into the cellular genome. These vectors are thought to exhibit less inflammatory and immune reactions than the adenovirus. However, there are still technical problems concerning the small cloning capacity which could barely accommodate the CFTR gene (4.7 kb), and the difficulty in achieving high titers during AAV vector production.

Six CF gene therapy clinical trials using AAV vectors were carried out from 1999 – 2007 (Clinical Trials website: http://www.wiley.com/legacy/wileychi/genmed/clinical/). The first AAV-CFTR vector used showed physiological correction of chloride transport in nasal epithelial cells in gene therapy recipients, even in those with low CFTR mRNA expression (Wagner JA et al, 1999). The more recent clinical trials used the AAV vector, TgAAV-CFTR, developed by Targeted Genetics Corp, which carried the weak AAV long terminal repeat (LTR) promoter to drive CFTR gene expression (Griesenbach et al., 2009). The clinical data showed that repeated doses of aerosolised AAV-CFTR vector treatment did not result in significant therapeutic improvement (Moss et al., 2007). The reasons for these disappointing results could likely be that (i) AAV was inefficient in transducing airway epithelial cells via the apical membrane, (ii) the LTR promoter used to drive CFTR expression was too weak, or (iii) repeated administration of AAV to the lung resulted in the development of an anti-viral immune response (Griesenbach et al., 2009). In brief, the vector was well tolerated but there are still concerns about the toxicity and immunological responses related to the repeated administration of this vector. In addition, it was reported recently that insertional mutagenesis was observed in neonatal mice models treated with recombinant AAV vectors: the mice developed hepatocellular carcinoma which was associated with AAV vector integration (Dosante et al., 2007).

2.3 Non-viral vectors

Nine CF gene therapy clinical trials have been carried out using non-viral or synthetic vectors from 1995-2004 (Clinical Trials website: http://www.wiley.com/legacy/wileychi/genmed/clinical/). There are three main non-viral vector systems: cationic liposomes, DNA-polymer conjugates and naked DNA. Non-viral vectors have their limitations such as (i) low efficiency in gene transfer as compared to viral vectors, and (ii) loss of efficacy with repeated administrations. However, the major advantage of these vectors is that they are less immunogenic compared to Ad and AAV vectors. Their inefficacy is mainly due to intracellular barriers such as endosomal sequestration and cytoplasmic degradation, where Ca$^{2+}$-sensitive cytosolic nucleases restrict the half-life of DNA to 50-90
mins (Pollard et al., 2001). The nuclear membrane of non-dividing, airway epithelial cells constitutes another intracellular barrier as the nuclear entry of exogenous DNA occurs only in cells that are actively dividing (Ferrari et al., 2002).

To date, only cationic liposome-based systems have been tested in CF clinical trials. The first cationic liposome vector used was DC-Chol \((3\beta\{N-\{N',N'\text{-dimethylaminoethane}\text{carbamoyl}\}\}\text{cholesterol})\) mixed with DOPE (dioleoylphosphatidyl ethanolamine), complexed to CFTR plasmid DNA, and administered to patients via the nose. Cationic liposomes facilitate gene transfer by their interaction with DNA via their positively charged side chains and enhancing fusion with the host cell membrane via the hydrophobic lipid portion. The results obtained were encouraging as partial restoration of CFTR function was observed. However, the transfection efficiency and the duration of expression would need to be increased for therapeutic benefit (Caplen et al., 1995). Improvements to non-viral vector gene transfer efficiency to the lung have been proposed by using DNA condensed to molecular conjugates carrying a 17 amino acid peptide ligand which targets the serpin-enzyme complex receptor expressed on the apical surface of airway epithelial cells (Ziady et al., 2002).

Recently, three non-viral gene transfer agents: (i) cationic liposome (GL67A), (ii) compacted DNA nanoparticle with polyethylene glycol-substituted lysine 30-mer (NP) and, (iii) 25kDa-branched polyethyleneimine (PEI) were evaluated \textit{in vivo} in a sheep lung model. The efficacy profile of these agents to deliver a plasmid carrying the CFTR cDNA to the ovine airway epithelium by aerosol administration was compared. The results showed that GL67A was overall the best gene transfer agent for aerosol delivery to the sheep lung, and was selected for clinical trials in CF patients (McLachlan et al., 2011). In an ongoing clinical trial by the UK CF Gene Therapy Consortium and funded by the CF Trust, CF patients were given a single dose of a plasmid carrying the CFTR cDNA, complexed to the cationic lipid GL67A. This initial single-dose clinical trial will assess the safety and duration of CFTR expression in patients. Another clinical trial is planned for to determine whether repeated non-viral CFTR gene transfer (12 doses over 12 months) will improve CF lung disease (Sinn et al., 2011).

3. Tracking the CFTR in cells using GFP-CFTR fusion protein

The green fluorescent protein (GFP) is a 27-kDa protein from the jellyfish \textit{Aequorea victoria}, discovered by Shimomura and co-workers in the 1960’s and was shown to emit bright green fluorescence under UV light (Shimomura et al., 1962). It took another 30 years before this protein was cloned and its functionality demonstrated in different organisms (Prasher et al., 1994; Chalfie et al. 1994; Inouye and Tsuji 1994; Tsien, 1998). The GFP is widely used today as a biological marker in cell biology and gene transfer technology. The GFP can be detected in living cells without selection or staining, and be genetically fused to other proteins to produce fluorescent chimeras and generally does not alter the function or cellular localization of the fusion protein (Gerdes and Kaether, 1996; Lippincott-Schwartz and Smith, 1997). It is used as a reporter protein for studying complex biological processes such as organelle dynamics and protein trafficking. In gene transfer experiments, the GFP serves an \textit{in vivo} marker, allowing for the determination of gene transfer efficiency and for selection of cells positive for the transgene. Other applications of GFP in gene therapy involve the use of GFP-tagged
therapeutic proteins to determine the site, level and duration of expression, or for the correlation between gene transfer efficiency and therapeutic outcome (Wahlfors et al., 2001).

3.1 Construction and in vitro applications of GFP-CFTR

The CFTR protein is a 1,480 residue glycosylated molecule with 12 transmembrane domains and 3 intracytoplasmic domains (Figure 1). The protein is highly glycosylated at two asparagine residues on the extracellular loop 4, in both the immature and mature-glycosylated forms (Sheppard and Welsh, 1999). The immature CFTR has a high content of oligosaccharides of the mannose type, and exists in the endoplasmic reticulum as a precursor before its transit to the trans-Golgi network. During the transit, the CFTR is processed into its mature form with the addition of complex carbohydrate chains containing polylactosaminoglycan sequences (O’Riordan et al., 2000). A functional CFTR requires the protein to be fully glycosylated, and its function as a chloride channel in epithelial cells is dependent on its cellular trafficking and transport to the apical membrane.

The first direct visualization of the CFTR protein within cells was made possible by the genetic fusion of the green fluorescent protein (GFP) to the N-terminus of the CFTR protein. The choice of adding the GFP-tag at the N-terminus (Figure 1) was such that it would have minimal interference with the membrane-targeting signal thought to be encoded in the C-terminus of the protein (Milewski et al., 2001; Moyer et al., 1998). Functional and cell trafficking studies of the CFTR protein and its mutants were made possible with the expression of the GFP-fused protein in different cell lines, using expression plasmids (Moyer et al., 1999; Loffing-Cueni et al., 2001; Haggie, Stanton, and Verkman, 2002). The GFP-CFTR fusion construct displayed functionality in terms of apical membrane localisation in Madin-Darby Canine Kidney (MDCK) cells. Short circuit current measurements showed that the protein mediated cAMP-activated transepithelial chloride transport across monolayers of stably transfected MDCK cells (Moyer et al., 1998). Studies of the dynamics of CFTR protein responses to bacterial infections, the manner by which the CFTR protein responds to, interacts with, and mediates translocation of P. aeruginosa and serovar S. typhi from the cell surface into the cell were also done using a GFP-CFTR fused protein (Gerçeker et al., 2000).

Fig. 1. Schematic representation of the GFP-CFTR fusion protein and the topology of the different domains. The GFP is located on the N-terminus of the CFTR protein. GFP, green fluorescent protein; TM, transmembrane domain; NBD1, nucleotide binding domain 1; NBD2, nucleotide binding domain 2; R, regulatory domain; NH2, protein aminoterminus; COOH, protein carboxyterminus.
3.2 Ex vivo applications of GFP-CFTR

The GFP-CFTR fusion constructs have also been inserted into viral vectors such as Adenovirus (Vais, 2004; Granio et al., 2007; Granio et al., 2010) and Sendai virus (Ban et al., 2007) to facilitate the detection and direct tracking of the protein after gene transfer. When the Ad vectors, Ad5-GFP-CFTR and Ad5-GFP-CFTRΔF508, were used to transduce reconstituted airway epithelium from ΔF508 CF patients, the biologically active GFP-CFTR and the mutant GFP-CFTRΔF508 proteins could be directly tracked in the epithelial cells by confocal fluorescence microscopy due to their GFP-tag (Granio et al., 2007; see Figure 2, A and B). The GFP-CFTR protein (green) was observed to be located on the apical membrane of the reconstituted airway epithelium, at the same plane as the ZO-1 protein (red) which is the marker for tight junctions at the apical membrane. The nuclei of the cells were stained blue with DAPI (Figure 2, A and B). In epithelial cells infected with the Ad5 expressing the GFP-CFTRΔF508, the fluorescence was observed in the central and basal areas of the cytoplasm and none expressed at the apical surface (Figures 2, B and D). This was the first report showing the direct localization of an exogenous GFP-tagged CFTR protein on reconstituted human epithelial cells after Ad-mediated gene transfer (Granio et al., 2007).
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Fig. 2. Cellular localisation of the GFP-CFTR and GFP-CFTRΔF508 protein in ex vivo reconstituted human airway epithelium after gene transfer with Ad5-GFP-CFTR (A) and Ad5-GFP-CFTRΔF508 (B). (A), (B): reconstructed images of sagittal sections of transduced epithelia generated from the z-stack images obtained in confocal fluorescence microscopy. (C), (D): schematic representation of the images shown in (A) and (B), respectively.

The availability of appropriate cell receptors at the apical surface of airway epithelial cells is a crucial factor for the efficient uptake of viral vectors. A majority of viral vectors such as Adenovirus, AAV, Measles virus and pseudotyped retroviruses can only infect airway epithelial cells via the basal membrane (Kremer et al., 2007; Sinn et al., 2002; Teramoto et al., 1998; Zabner et al., 1997). Airway epithelial cells are not easily transduced by Ad5-based vectors as the Coxsackie-Adenovirus Receptor (CAR), a high affinity receptor for Ad5 and many other Ad serotypes vectors are mainly localised in the tight junctions and not at the apical surface (Walters et al., 1999), and thus not accessible to Ad vectors. One strategy of overcoming this physical barrier was to design an Ad vector which will
recognise a receptor expressed on the apical surface of airway epithelium. The Ad serotype 35 (Ad35) or a chimeric Ad5F35 vector (a serotype 5 capsid carrying serotype 35 fibers), which both recognise CD46 as receptor, a molecule found on the apical surface of human airway epithelium (Gaggar, Shayakhmetov, and Lieber, 2003; Sinn et al., 2002; Corjon et al., 2011) would be capable of directly infecting the airway epithelia from the apical membrane.

The demonstration was recently made with a chimeric Ad5F35 vector expressing GFP-CFTR. This chimeric vector transduced efficiently well-differentiated human airway epithelium via the apical membrane and showed stable expression of the GFP-CFTR protein. Measurements of transepithelial ion transport showed the correction of the chloride channel function at relatively low vector doses in \( \Delta F508 \) CF airway epithelial cells (Granio et al., 2010). This is a successful example of a viral vector which was genetically modified to target a receptor on the apical surface of the airway epithelial cells for efficient gene transduction. In a separate study using an \textit{in vivo} mice model, the Ad5F35 vector was found to preferentially target the lungs of CD46-transgenic mice after systemic administration of the vector (Greig et al., 2009). The chimeric Ad5F35 vector therefore shows promise as an efficient lung targeted gene transfer vector for CF.

3.3 \textit{In vivo} applications of GFP-CFTR

A study was conducted to determine whether a GFP-CFTR fusion protein was functional as a transgene when expressed \textit{in vivo}, in colonic and airway epithelial cells of CF mice, and had the capacity to correct the CF defect. To assess the \textit{in vivo} function of the GFP-CFTR, bitransgenic mice \texttt{cftr} \texttt{~Ss1D}/\texttt{~551D} \texttt{K18-GFP-CFTR} \texttt{+/-} were obtained by breeding \texttt{K18-GFP-CFTR} mice to \texttt{cftr} \texttt{c551D/c551D} CF mice. The analysis of transcripts, protein and electrolyte transport in the colon and airways indicated that the K18-GFP-CFTR was expressed and partially restored the ion transport in the G551D CF mice model. Thus, it appeared that \textit{in vivo}, the GFP-CFTR fusion protein was capable of supporting the complex interactions required to regulate epithelial chloride transport (Oceandy et al., 2003).

4. Development of new vectors for CFTR transfer

4.1 Human parainfluenza virus

The human parainfluenza virus type 3 (PIV3) can infect the human airway epithelium and specifically targets ciliated epithelial cells (Zhang et al., 2005). \textit{In vitro} studies using PIV3-based vectors for CFTR gene transfer to CF epithelial cells resulted in the complete reversal of the CF phenotype, with the transepithelial ion transport, airway surface liquid volume regulation and mucus transport, restored to levels observed in non-CF epithelial cells (Zhang et al., 2009). \textit{In vivo} administration of a PIV3 vector carrying a transgene coding for the rhesus \( \alpha \)-fetoprotein (rhAFP) to the nasal epithelium of the rhesus macaque (\textit{Macaca mulatta}) showed expression and secretion of the rhAFP in the mucosal and serosal compartments. The transgene expression was transient and paralleled vector persistence, suggesting that as PIV3 was cleared, rhAFP expression was lost (Zhang et al., 2010). The specificity of the PIV3 vectors for the airways make them particularly interesting as gene transfer vectors for CF therapy.
4.2 Respiratory syncytial virus

The respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) can infect the lungs of CF patients, despite the physical barriers of the respiratory tract, such as the sticky and mucus-rich environment of the CF lung. In addition, this virus has a natural tropism for the luminal ciliated cells of the airways (Zhang et al., 2002). It was suggested that since RSV has the capacity for reinfections, repeated administrations of an RSV-based vector would be possible. Recently, it was demonstrated that a RSV vector carrying the CFTR gene can infect both non-CF and CF airway epithelium, and in particular the ciliated cells. In CF cells, the CFTR was expressed at the apical surface and showed correction of chloride channel activity which was equivalent in level observed in normal human airway epithelial cultures. Further studies in animal models are needed to determine the immune response to this vector, as well as its persistence in single and repeated administration (Kwilas et al., 2010).

4.3. Integrative vectors

The major goal of gene therapy is to have the delivered transgene safely and stably maintained in replicating cells. One approach to achieve genetic stability is via integration of the transgene into the host cell genome, using integrating vectors such as retrovirus and AAV vectors. The main dangers of integrative vectors are their uncontrolled or random integration which can cause (i) transgene silencing if the insertion occurs in condensed heterochromatin, or (ii) insertional mutagenesis if the integration event occurs near growth-promoting genes leading to oncogenesis. The latter was encountered with lentivirus and AAV vectors, in animal models as well as in human clinical trials (Donsante et al., 2007; Hacein-Bey-Abina S, 2003).

Just as for Ad vectors, lentiviral gene transfer to the human airway epithelium is inefficient due to the lack of receptors. The strategy of “pseudotyping” or substitution of the lentivirus envelope with the envelope protein of another virus, such as Ebola virus (Kobinger et al., 2003), baculovirus (Sinn et al., 2008) or Sendai virus (Mitomo et al., 2010) have demonstrated increase in gene transfer efficiency to the airway epithelium. Before the application of lentiviral vectors for pulmonary gene transfer, preclinical studies in large animal models will need to be carried out to carefully assess their efficacy and safety.

4.4 Episomal vectors

Extrachromosomal or episomal vectors are gene transfer agents which has the capacity of persisting in the nucleus of transduced cells without integrating into the host genome. Due to their nonintegrative nature, there is theoretically no risk of the physical disruption of the cell genome. In addition, episomal vectors can persist in multiple copies per cell, resulting in high expression of the therapeutic gene (Lufino, Edser, and Wade-Martins, 2009). Many of the episomal systems which has been developed are based on sequences derived from viruses such as the Epstein-Barr and Polyoma viruses, which have certain phases of their viral life cycle maintained episomally. The two major requirements of episomal vectors are the presence of a viral origin of replication and the expression of a virally encoded protein which is necessary for vector replication and its repartition into the daughter cells upon cell division.
4.4.1 Polyoma-derived episomal vectors

The first stable episomal plasmid vector described in the literature contained sequences derived from the BK virus which belongs to the polyomaviruses family. This episomal vector which carried most of the BK viral genome could persist at a stable copy number of 20–120 copies/cell, depending on the cell line used, and showed low percentage of integration events (Milanesi et al., 1984). Its replication depended on the presence of the BK-derived origin of replication and a transactivating factor, a viral protein called large T antigen, which is responsible for binding to the viral origin of replication and mediating the vector replication. Replicating vectors based on Simian Vacuolating virus 40 (SV40) were among the first viral-based episomal systems to be developed. SV40 is a nonenveloped DNA virus with a double-stranded genome belonging to the family of polyomaviruses (Vera and Fortes, 2004). The SV40-derived vectors are composed of a cis-acting elements, essentially the SV40 origin of replication, and the sequence encoding for the SV40 T antigen.

4.4.2 Epstein-Barr-derived episomal vector

The major progress toward the development of an efficient episomal gene transfer vector came from plasmids based on the Epstein-Barr virus (EBV), a member of the family of herpesviruses. The EBV is capable of life-long persistence as an extrachromosomal, circular multicopy plasmid carried by B-lymphocytes in a latent state (Lindahl et al., 1976). The origin of replication (oriP, origin of plasmid replication) of EBV requires the trans-acting factor EBV Nuclear Antigen-1 (EBNA-1) for replication (Rawlins et al., 1985; Yates, Warren, and Sugden, 1985). The EBNA-1 binds to metaphase chromosomes and interphase chromatin, and this interaction facilitates the partition of oriP plasmids into daughter cells during mitosis (Ito et al., 2002). Plasmid constructs containing EBV episomal elements have been tested in pre-clinical animal models for treatment of diseases such as hemophilia and diabetes. The delivery of the EBV-based episomes were made by injections to the target tissues. Although the efficacy of transduction was less efficient in vivo compared to viral vectors, long term expression of the therapeutic gene was obtained (Mei et al., 2006; Yoo et al., 2006).

4.4.3 Adeno-EBV hybrid episomal vector

A hybrid Adenovirus-EBV (Ad-EBV) episomal vector has a major interest as it exploits the advantages of both vectors, combining the efficiency of gene transfer of the Ad vector with the episomal replicative nature of the EBV vector. Helper-dependent adenovirus (HD-Ad) vectors which are deleted of all viral coding regions, also known as gutless Ad, (Kochanek et al., 1996; Parks et al., 1996), are also interesting vectors as they are less immunogenic. The use of HD-Ad vectors for the development of episomal Ad-EBV vector brings further advantage to these vectors for their use in gene therapy.

Circular replicating Ad-EBV vectors can be obtained by co-infecting an adenovirus carrying EBNA-1 and oriP elements with a loxP site at both ends, with a second adenovirus encoding Cre recombinase, whose expression will result in the circularisation of the first virus (Dorigo et al., 2004; Gallaher et al., 2009). Another strategy described for obtaining circular Ad episomes which does not rely on the expression of a viral protein such as EBNA-1, was based on the human origin of replication derived from the lamin B2 locus with the site-
specific FLPe recombinase and Frt recognition sites. This vector system produces circular episomes free of viral coding or bacterial DNA sequences (Kreppel and Kochanek, 2004). A more recent study described the development of an HD-Ad-EBV vector in which Cre recombinase is transiently expressed from a hepatocyte-specific promoter such that the vector generation and transgene expression are tissue specific. The results obtained using this strategy were highly promising as long-term persistence of the circularized vector DNA and stable transgene expression in hepatocytes was observed in immunocompetent mice (Gil et al., 2010).

5. Conclusions

Profitable lessons have been drawn from the past two decades of CF gene therapy trials using different transfer vectors. The numerous difficulties and problems encountered have helped in the improvement and design of future gene transfer vectors. New viral vectors such as RSV and PIV which specifically targets ciliated lung epithelial cells have been developed for pulmonary gene transfer. Significant improvement have been made for high-density Ad episomal vectors to achieve efficiency and specificity of transduction, coupled to long-term vector persistence and stable transgene expression. In parallel, the GFP has served as a very useful in vivo marker for the evaluation of gene transfer vectors. The visualization of CFTR protein in situ by means of the GFP fluorescent tag has contributed towards a better comprehension of CFTR multiple functions such as its cellular trafficking and the dynamics of its interactions with intracellular as well as extracellular partners.
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7. References


Living healthy is all one wants, but the genetics behind creation of every human is different. As a curse or human agony, some are born with congenital defects in their menu of the genome. Just one has to live with that! The complexity of cystic fibrosis condition, which is rather a slow-killer, affects various organ systems of the human body complicating further with secondary infections. That's what makes the disease so puzzling for which scientists around the world are trying to understand better and to find a cure. Though they narrowed down to a single target gene, the tentacles of the disease reach many unknown corners of the human body. Decades of scientific research in the field of chronic illnesses like this one surely increased the level of life expectancy. This book is the compilation of interesting chapters contributed by eminent interdisciplinary scientists around the world trying to make the life of cystic fibrosis patients better.
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