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1. Introduction

Agricultural crop, one of the biological entities, is sensitive to its environmental condition including various soil and crop inputs. Alteration in environmental condition causes reduction in crop productivity (such as crop yield and total biomass etc.). Ultra-modern technology such as, precision agriculture (PA) is capable to prevent crop damage and maintain crop productivity. PA is the technology of applying correct amount of crop input at the exact place and time of requirement. Application of PA technology has become increasingly prevalent among the farmers from developed countries as well as developing countries due to its capability for optimizing crop yield by facilitating sound crop status monitoring (Zhang and Taylor, 2001). Mostly, satellite images have been used as the primary source of information for analyzing crop status in precision agriculture. However, obtaining up-to-date aerial photography is very expensive, the quality is variable, and data processing is also intensive and complicated. Innovative new technologies to acquire timely and accurate crop information are required for the success of PA technology.

Assessment of leaf radiation has the potential to detect nitrogen (N) deficiency and is a promising tool for N management and monitoring. Moreover, over-fertilization may result in surface runoff and pollute subsurface water (Wood et al., 1993; Auernhammer et al., 1999; Daughtry et al., 2000; Zaman et al., 2006). Chlorophyll is an indirect indicator of nitrogen status and is used in optical reflectance-based variable-rate nitrogen application technology (Lee and Searcy, 2000; Jones et al., 2004; Alchanatis et al., 2005; Kim and Reid, 2006; Min et al., 2008). Biermacher et al. (2006) used sensor-based systems to determine crop nitrogen requirements and estimated that the variable-rate system had the potential to achieve a net profit of about $22 to $31 per ha. The ability to accurately estimate plant chlorophyll concentration can provide growers with valuable information to estimate crop yield potential and to make decisions regarding N management (Kahabka et al., 2004; Reyniers and Vrindts, 2006; Zaman and Schumann, 2006).

Spectroradiometry has been useful in the research environment for determining principal wavebands and spectral patterns that relate to nutrient stress (Noh et al., 2004; Tumbo et al. 2001). High spectral resolution and the ability to account for temporal changes are distinct...
advantages. Okamoto et al. (2007) used a hyperspectral line-scanning camera for weed detection. This system produced hyperspectral images from a Specim ImSpector V9 imaging spectrograph mounted on a tractor that was set to move slowly through the field. Principal spectral components could be extracted and analyzed using various discrimination schemes. However, on-the-go hyperspectral sensing is slow and impractical, since enough area must be covered per sweep for timely data acquisition over large field areas.

Biomass is an important trait in functional ecology and growth analysis. The typical methods for measuring biomass are destructive, laborious and time consuming. Thus, they do not allow the development of individual plants to be followed and require many individuals to be cultivated for repeated measurements. Non-destructive method may be an option to overcome these limitations. Crop residue estimation has been accomplished using RADARSAT images (Jensen et al., 1990; McNairn et al., 1998), using LANDSAT images (Thoma et al., 2004), and using images captured by radio-controlled model aircraft (Hunt et al., 2005).

Prediction of yield using remote sensing images has been practiced by many researchers (Fablo and Felix, 2001; Alvaro et al., 2007). Rice crop area has been estimated from Landsat images (Tennakoon et al., 1992) for wide-scale yield prediction. Canopy reflectance was estimated at panicle initiation stage using a portable spectroradiometer (LI-1800, LICOR) with a remote cosine receptor attached to a 1.5 m extension arm for smaller-scale yield prediction (Chang et al., 2005). Yield prediction has also been accomplished for corn (Chang et al., 2003; Kahabka et al., 2004), cotton (Thomasson et al., 2000) wheat (Doraiswamy et al., 2003), citrus (Zaman et al., 2006) and wild blueberry (Zaman et al., 2010). Tea leaf yield was estimated using vegetation indices such as normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) and triangular vegetation index (TVI) (Rama Rao et al., 2007).

Rice (O. sativa L.), which is the staple food of most Asian countries, accounts for more than 40% of caloric consumption worldwide (IRRI, 2006). Annual rice production was approximately 590 million tons and yield was 4.21 ton ha$^{-1}$ in Asia for 2006 (FAOSTAT, 2007). The profit from cultivating a rice crop is derived from the crop grain yield and total biomass produced. Predicting rice yield at or around the panicle initiation stage would provide valuable information for future planning and yield expectations.

2. Low altitude remote sensing (LARS) system

Site-specific management of inputs characteristic of PA promotes conservation of agricultural resources while maintaining crop viability. However, the application of satellite-based images still cannot fulfill the specific requirements of PA technology. Stafford (2000) observed that satellite images for application of PA are handicapped in terms of spectral and temporal resolution and can be affected by variable weather conditions. Lamb and Brown (2001) indicated that the low-resolution satellite images only beneficial for large-scale studies, are not appropriate for the small-scale farms prevalent in many areas of Asia, for example. Additionally, satellites providing higher-resolution images, e.g., QuickBird (DigitalGlobe, Longmont, Colo.) and ASTER (National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, D.C.), have long revisit times, making them of limited utility for any application that might require frequent images (nutrient stress monitoring, for example). In the past, researchers had used manned aerial vehicles (helicopters and aero planes) to acquire surface images (Table 1). Though a large area can be mapped within short time, cost involved in the aerial vehicles is very high and also requires sophisticated system, trained operators, and professionals. Therefore, an unmanned helicopter is used for aerial image acquisition.
Table 1. Comparative benefits of remote image acquisition platforms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Systems/Facilities</th>
<th>Equipment</th>
<th>Applications (size and structure)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GPS/INS</td>
<td>Large Areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aircraft</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Small areas (&lt; 2-4 km²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Laser</td>
<td>Route mapping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Camera</td>
<td>Complex buildings/structures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helicopter</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Digital</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terrestrial System (car, train)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Digital</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RC-Helicopter</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Digital</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LARS is a relatively new concept of remote image acquisition currently discussed by agriculturists implementing precision agriculture technology. As the name suggests, it is a system of acquiring images of the earth surface from a lower altitude as compared to the commercial remote sensing satellites. In this system, the images are acquired mostly below cloud cover and very near field features of interest. Low-altitude remote sensing using unmanned aerial vehicles can be an inexpensive and practical substitute for sophisticated satellite and general aviation aircraft, and it is immediately accessible as a tool for the farmer.

Various unmanned LARS systems have been developed and used in the remote image acquisition for PA applications. Some LARS platforms, kites (Aber et al., 2002), balloons (Amoroso and Arrowsmith, 2000; Seang and Mund, 2006), high-clearance tractors (Bausch and Delgado, 2005), and unmanned airplanes and helicopters (Sugiura et al., 2002; Fukagawa et al., 2003; Eisenbiss, 2004; Herwitz et al., 2004; Sugiura et al., 2004; Hunt et al., 2005; MacArthur et al., 2005, 2006; Xiang and Tian, 2006, 2007a, 2007b; Huang et al., 2008) have been successfully using for PA applications in different cropping systems.

These platforms were mounted with image acquisition devices and location measuring receivers, which can fly over agriculture farms and targeted areas for capturing images. As indicated by Sugiura et al. (2002) the major drawbacks of unmanned helicopters are limited payload capacity and precise control over working speed of the system. Thus, mounted systems operation has to be programmed properly to neutralize the effect of wind speed. The low payload capacity of the system was adjusted by selecting light weight mounting equipment and tools. Stombaugh et al. (2003) suggested replacing heavy weight professional digital cameras with light weight, low cost, commercial digital cameras. As the individual images acquired by the LARS system covers small area, geo-referenced images can be mosaic for mapping entire farmland and targeted areas. Global positioning system (GPS) was used in aerial platforms for obtaining aircraft location information (Hayward et al., 1998), for geo-referenced videobased remote sensing images (Thomoson et al., 2002) and in VRT system guidance (Fadel, 2004). Buick (2002) proposed the guidelines to select proper GPS receivers for specific applications.
Thomson and Sullivan (2006) observed that both agricultural aircraft and unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) may potentially more easily scheduled and accessible remote sensing platforms than the remote sensing satellites and general aviation aircraft customarily used in the U.S. However, use of agricultural aircraft is limited to those areas where aerial crop spraying is prevalent. Hunt et al. (2005) used a radio-controlled helicopter-mounted image acquisition system to estimate biomass and nitrogen status for corn, alfalfa, and soybean crops. Digital photographs have been used for site-specific weed control for grassland swards (Gebhardt et al., 2006; Beerwinkle, 2001), for tomato (Zhang et al., 2005) and for wild blueberry (Chang et al., 2011). Chen et al. (2003) using an high-elevation tractor system, indicated that multi-spectral images at 555, 660, and 680 nm wavelength band centers demonstrated good prediction ability for determining the nitrogen content of rice plants.

This chapter is intended to focus on the effectiveness of low-altitude remote sensing (LARS) images obtained by a multispectral imaging platform mounted in a radio-controlled unmanned helicopter to estimate rice crop parameters as a function of varying nutrient availability. Non-destructive image analysis technique is used to estimate rice yield and total biomass. It also examines the effectiveness of near-real time estimation of protein content from nutrient availability with rice leaf. Consistent with the fact that most multispectral cameras small enough to be used in unmanned aerial vehicles utilize pre-defined wavebands for feature detection, applicability of the widely used NDVI incorporating these wavebands is evaluated.

### 2.1 System components

A radio-controlled model helicopter (X-Cell Fury 91, Miniature Aircraft, Orlando, USA) is equipped with a Tetracam agricultural digital camera (ADC) (Tetracam, Inc., Chatsworth, Cal.), (Table 2). It is also equipped with various sensors, such as: C-100 Magnetic compass (to obtain platform orientation angle from North), Inertial Measurement Unit (to obtain roll and pitch orientation angles), Barometric sensor (to measure pressure variation for altitude measurement), COM-1288 GPS receiver (to provide position information: latitude and longitude), digital camera (to acquire multispectral (G-R-NIR) images) etc., monitored by a PC-104 based CPU-1232 microprocessor. A PC-104 compatible Power Supply Unit (ACS-5150), being powered from an external 12Vdc battery, is used to supply the necessary power to all the sensors including microprocessor (Figures 1 and 2).

The camera is a wideband multispectral camera utilizing a CMOS CCD (charge-coupled device) with a Bayer filter mask for multispectral imaging (Table 2). The unmanned helicopter weighed about 6 kg with a payload capacity of 5 kg. The radio console is capable of controlling the unmanned helicopter within a 1 km radius. The system uses a battery-initiated glow fuel (250 mL) engine, supporting 15 min of flight at length. A spectroradiometer with wavelength range of 350 to 2350 nm (Spectra Co-op, Inc., Tokyo, Japan) can be used to estimate reflectance at ground level in the red (at 660 nm) and NIR bands (at 800 nm). Bandwidth at each center is 2.5 nm.

A control program, developed in “C” programming language, was used for the DOS operating system based microprocessor, to coordinate, the simultaneous clicking of digital camera and obtaining the readings from the sensors, and to store the information as a file in the storing device. The program enabled the system to acquire image and sensor reading at minimum time interval of 12 seconds. The images and corresponding sensor readings as digital number (0-255) were supplied to the image processing algorithm.
Fig. 1. LARS system operation: (a) R/C helicopter mounted with image acquisition system, (b) acquiring image in rice crop, and (c) major components.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Image size (resolution)</td>
<td>$1280 \times 1024$ (1.3 Mpixel)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pixel size</td>
<td>6.01 micron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground pixel resolution</td>
<td>0.000707 m/pixel (estimated)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectral bands</td>
<td>3 (green, red, and NIR); band centers and bandwidths are fundamentally equivalent to Landsat bands TM2, TM3, and TM4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lens type</td>
<td>C-mounted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lens</td>
<td>8.5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triggering</td>
<td>Manual/cable switch triggering</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Specification of the Tetracam ADC Green-Red-NIR sensors
As individual images, of digital camera covers very small ground area, is mosaic with the algorithm to develop a single map of the study area. HIPSC software converts the digital numbers into relevant sensor readings and used them to carry out image processing operations, such as: image rotation, image mosaic and reflectance index (NDVI, Green NDVI etc.) estimation. The software can develop site-specific zone maps based on variation in reflectance index values and also provide ground control points (GCPs) for mosaic image geo-registration using commercial software.

2.2 Field preparation and data acquisition

The experimental site is located in Pathumthani Province, Thailand (14° 12’ N, 100° 37’ E) for the study. The site may be located anywhere in the world, but, the soil properties have to be measured accurately. The soil of the experimental site belonged to the clay textural class with average bulk density of 1.38 g cm\(^{-3}\) and pH of 4.2. Three replicates were made, and the treatment plots, each of size 10 m \(\times\) 10 m, randomly distributed within each replicate. To estimate the nitrogen application rate, the total nitrogen present in the soil was tested using standard methods (Kjeldahl apparatus). At the experimental site, the concentration of pre-existing nitrogen was classified as low (<0.18%) level for all the plots, as per the local Agricultural Extension Service guidelines.

For the underlined experiment, the plots were well-watered using flood irrigation and carefully maintained for pest control to ensure uniform yield potential. The rice seeds were broadcasted (on 14 Dec. 2006) in accordance with local practices under irrigated farming conditions. Nitrogen fertilizer was applied at five rates: 0%, 25%, 50%, 75%, and 100% of recommended values, representing 0, 33, 66, 99, and 132 kg ha\(^{-1}\), respectively. Plots with different nitrogen rates were maintained to promote a wide range of rice yield so the effectiveness of LARS images could be evaluated for varying nutrient availability. This follows a similar technique by Chen et al. (2003), who used four N rates (0, 45, 90, and 135 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) in field experiments with a Tainung 67 rice crop for multispectral image analysis. An early rice variety, Supanburi-1 (95 day period), was used in the study, as this is one of the most popular variety in central Thailand. Urea (46-0-0) was applied as the source of nitrogen for the study. Different nitrogen rates along with recommended phosphorous fertilizer were applied 30 days after sowing rice. Images were obtained twice with the LARS system just before panicle initiation stage (45 and 65 days after planting, Figure 1b).
The altitude has to be selected considering the camera’s field of view to acquire a single image for each treatment plot. Images with effective dimensions of 18 m × 14 m were collected from a 20 m flying height, covering a single plot. For field application the height can be varied as per the suitability of the researcher, to cover wider area in each image. Flight altitude was recorded with a height sensor (MPXAZ4115A barometric sensor, Freescale Semiconductor, Austin, Tex.) mounted on the LARS system. Images are obtained at five different heights, and the images obtained closest to the 20 m height were selected for analysis. Five ground-based reflectance readings were obtained for the rice canopy and BaSO₄ standard white reference board using the Spectroradiometer in each of the experimental plots. The ground-based readings were obtained immediately after the LARS system-based image acquisition. The plot-wise ground-based reflectance value is calculated as the mean of the five readings.

2.3 Image pre-processing

Multispectral images acquired by the Tetracam ADC camera (.dcm format) were converted into .tiff format for analysis. The .tiff format reduces the storage space and also effectively retains the image quality for image processing. Images were uploaded to Pixelwrench software (Tetracam, Inc., Chatsworth, Cal.), which contains programs for deriving one of several vegetation indices (.hdr format) from raw image data. An NDVI image was produced for each test plot, and the average NDVI index was estimated using the custom-developed program in the C programming language from images acquired by the LARS-mounted sensors (Figure 3). Ground-based reflectance data were collected to estimate mean NDVI of the experimental plots (NDVISPECTRO). NDVISPECTRO was estimated using the software provided by the Spectroradiometer manufacturer. Linear regression models can be developed in SAS (ver. 9.1, SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, N.C.) or any standard software.

![Fig. 3. Stages of image processing: (a) raw image with plot boundaries (as taken by the image acquisition system), (b) plot-scale image of the rice crop, and (c) NDVI image](image)

3. Validation of LARS setup

The normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) is the mostly adopted reflectance index for agricultural cropping and vegetation studies (Rouse et al., 1973) given as:

$$NDVI = \frac{NIR - R}{NIR + R}$$

Where, NIR: Radiance value for Near-infrared band; R: Radiance value for Red band.
The Green Normalized Differential Vegetation Index (GNDVI) to establish the suitability of reflectance index for rice cropping with variable nitrogen rates (Gitelson et al., 1996) was also used. GNDVI, based on the greenness level, represented by the chlorophyll content determining the radiance level of the leaf surface, was very significant for the rice crop monitoring. The GNDVI was estimated as follows,

$$GNDVI = \frac{NIR - G}{NIR + G}$$

(2)

Where, \(NIR\): Radiance value for Near-infrared band; \(G\): Radiance value for Green band.

The NDVI index was also calculated from ground level Spectrophotometer radiance values using the Eq. 1 for establishing suitability of LARS system. Around five readings were taken from each plot in order to estimate the average NDVI for each treatment plots. The SPAD 502 meter readings of leaf greenness can be converted into Chlorophyll content by the following equation for rice cropping (Markwell et al., 1995).

### 3.1 Relationship between reflectance indices and variable N-treatments

The graph of NDVI\(_{SPECTRO}\) and NDVI\(_{LARS}\) plotted for the different N-treatments showed positive response with increased recommended nitrogen rates. The NDVI index, taken 45 days after sowing, showed weak relationship with nitrogen treatment rates, attaining coefficient of determination \((r^2)\) of 0.60. As, the fertilizer application, just two weeks before date of testing, response time may not be enough to influence plant leaf radiance level to greater extent (Figure 4). However, the relationship was stronger \((r^2 \approx 0.85)\) with higher NDVI values, ranging from 0.70 to 0.90, for second set of Spectrophotometer reading taken at booting stage (for 65 days old plants). NDVI\(_{LARS}\), estimated from LARS images, were very low, after 45 days of sowing, ranging from 0.2 to 0.6, due to the lower radiance value of soil, exposed in gaps between the plants’ leaves. The radiance level of the crop leaves, covering the whole plot area with least exposed area at booting stage, attained their original values (with NDVI between 0.85 to 1.0). NDVI\(_{LARS}\) at booting stage showed strong relationship with \(r^2\) of 0.73 for different N-treatment rates (Figure 4b). The greenness index (GNDVI) plotted against variable nitrogen rates showed, lower correlation with \(r^2\) of 0.66 and 0.7, for the images taken at 45 days and 65 days respectively, with slightly strong relationship for the later. The lower range of GNDVI\(_{LARS}\) index had values ranging from 0.5 to 0.6 at booting stage, maintained positive response with higher nitrogen rates (Figure 4c).

### 3.2 Suitability of reflectance indices determined from LARS images

Cross comparison analysis was carried out to testify the applicability of LARS images through indices such as NDVI\(_{LARS}\) and GNDVI\(_{LARS}\) with the Spectrophotometer reading index such as NDVI\(_{SPECTRO}\) by plotting graphs between them (Figure 5). The NDVI\(_{LARS}\) was proportional to that of NDVI\(_{SPECTRO}\) with \(r^2\) of 0.72 and 0.79 for 45 days and 65 days old rice crop, respectively. The NDVI\(_{LARS}\) ranges from 0.85 to 1.0 showing sound crop coverage throughout the plot at booting stage of crop. The lower range NDVI\(_{LARS}\) value (0.2~ 0.5) for 45 days crop made the reading unsuitable to represent the crop in crop modeling and predictions. The higher \(r^2\) value (≈ 0.7) for indices estimated from LARS images (NDVI\(_{LARS}\), GNDVI\(_{LARS}\)) with index from ground Spectrophotometer reading (NDVI\(_{SPECTRO}\)) showed the suitability of the proposed system for crop status studies.
Fig. 4. Variation of vegetation index with N-treatment rates; a) NDVI\textsubscript{SPECTRO}; b) NDVI\textsubscript{LARS}; c) GNDVI\textsubscript{LARS}
3.3 Discussions

For the experiment, the recommended amount of fertilizer was applied to 40 days old crop and the first set images and groundtruthing were taken at 45 days. The leaf coverage was low with a major share of exposed soil resulting in lower correlation of green indices (NDVI and GNDVI) values. The coefficient of determination ($r^2$) was improved visibly for 65 days old crop with denser crop leaving little exposed soil. As observed, 65 days old crop, LARS and ground measurements, was better suited, hence selected for crop status monitoring studies. Variation in green indices (NDVI and GNDVI) showed symmetry with the variation of nitrogen level for different treatments.
4. Estimation of crop parameters

4.1 Estimation of total biomass

Biomass is a plant attribute that is time consuming and difficult to measure or estimate, but easy to interpret. Biomass is regarded as an important indicator of ecological and management processes in the vegetation. Biomass estimation facilitates accurate management decisions regarding chemical and fertilizer applications, estimation of yield, and post harvest handling of stover (Pordesimo et al., 2004). Quantifying spatial variation in pasture and crop biomass can help to direct management practices and improve farm productivity, through accurate and informed movements of grazing rotations, crop and pasture nutrient management and also yield prediction (Trotter et al., 2008). Measurement of plant biomass by harvesting is destructive, expensive and time consuming (Reese et al., 1980). de Matthaeis et al. (1995) used AIRSAR data collected over the agricultural fields to monitor biomass variation. They found that the L-band is more effective for crops with low plant density, while C-band is better for high plant density crops.

4.1.1 Calculations

The rice biomass (threshed rice plant without the grain) of three sampled areas, 4 m$^2$ each, were collected and weighted. The moisture content (w.b.) of the threshed rice plant was estimated using standard method. The dry weight of the threshed rice plant was estimated and converted into the total biomass weight per ha i.e. (ton/ha).

$$\text{Total biomass (ton/ha)} = (100 - \text{M.C.}) \times \frac{\text{BiomassWt}}{12 \times 1000}$$

Where,

- Total biomass: Weight of rice plant (without rice grain) in ton/ha
- BiomassWt: Weight of threshed rice plant (without rice grain)
- M.C.: Moisture content of weighed rice plant (w.b.)

Total oven-dried (Abdullah et al., 1992) biomass was ranged from 3.58 to 7.36 ton ha$^{-1}$ for the different treatments (Table 4). Total dry biomass weight between the treatments showed significant differences at the 0.10 level but no significant difference between replicates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N Rate Treatment</th>
<th>Replicate</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 kg ha$^{-1}$</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>4.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 kg ha$^{-1}$</td>
<td>5.51</td>
<td>5.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66 kg ha$^{-1}$</td>
<td>5.57</td>
<td>5.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99 kg ha$^{-1}$</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>7.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132 kg ha$^{-1}$</td>
<td>5.57</td>
<td>6.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Total biomass (ton ha$^{-1}$) of the experimental plots

Linear calibrations curves were developed in SAS 9.1 to estimate the biomass from NDVI index values calculated from LARS images. From these results, $\text{NDVI}_{\text{LARS}}$ could explain 76% of the variation in biomass weight ($r^2 = 0.760$, RMSE = 0.598 ton ha$^{-1}$, Figure 6).
4.2 Estimation of rice yield

The rice crop was harvested from three sample areas of 4 m$^2$ from each plot, 102 days after sowing for this experiment. The moisture content (% w.b.) at the time of weighing was estimated using a field moisture meter (Kett PM600, Ohta-Ku, Tokyo, Japan). The yield of each plot (100 m$^2$ area) was estimated as the average of three sampled areas and converted to a ton-per-hectare area using the following equation. Rice yield was estimated at 14% moisture content (MC) for each treatment (Field crop report, 1998).

$$\text{Yield (ton ha}^{-1}) = \frac{(100 - \text{MC}) \times \text{RW} \times 10000}{86 \times A \times 1000}$$

Where,

MC = moisture content (% wet basis)

RW = weight of rice (kg)

A = harvested area (m$^2$)

Rice yield, ranged from as low as 1.88 ton ha$^{-1}$ (0 kg ha$^{-1}$ N) to 3.68 ton ha$^{-1}$ (132 kg ha$^{-1}$ N) based on a 14% MC, illustrates the effectiveness of the fertilizer treatment rates on rice yield (Table 4). The crop yield variation was also tested for statistical significance (Johnson and Bhattacharyya, 2001). Yield data between the treatments showed significant differences at the 0.10 and 0.05 levels, whereas differences were not significant among the replicates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N Rate Treatment</th>
<th>Replicate</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 kg ha$^{-1}$</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>1.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 kg ha$^{-1}$</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>2.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66 kg ha$^{-1}$</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99 kg ha$^{-1}$</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>3.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132 kg ha$^{-1}$</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>3.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Rice yield (ton ha$^{-1}$) of the experimental plots
The regression model, developed for rice yield with NDVI index value in SAS 9.1, indicated a good fit ($r^2 = 0.728$, RMSE = 0.458 ton ha$^{-1}$, Figure 7). Variation among the replicates might be due to initial nutrient levels present in the soil from randomly selected plots.

$$y = 22.753x - 18.342$$

$R^2 = 0.7283$

RMSE = 0.4581

Fig. 7. Estimation of rice yield with NDVI$_{LARS}$ values.

**4.3 Estimation of protein content**

Protein content is one of the major food nutrients to determine quality of the food-grain. It could be measured as the total available nitrogen content in the food stuff (Kennedy, 1995). The rice was powdered and sieved before testing for total nitrogen with standard method. The linear model of total nitrogen against NDVI$_{LARS}$ (with $r^2 = 0.591$, Figure 8) showed positive relationships, and would be useful to the farmers, as they can get idea of quality of rice grain well in advance, at booting stage (from the image taken during booting stage).

$$y = 0.1593x - 0.0277$$

$R^2 = 0.5919$

Fig. 8. Estimation of protein content with NDVI$_{LARS}$ values.
4.4 Discussions
The NDVI value, calculated from the LARS images collected for 65 days old crop, was suitable to estimate the total biomass ($r^2 = 0.760$) and rice crop yield ($r^2 = 0.728$). The protein content estimated through NDVI value was marginally suitable, capable to provide overall rice crop quality before-hand. The LARS system is suitable for real and near-real time crop parameter estimation, monitoring and evaluations. The NIR sensors can be substituted with any professional camera or cheap digital camera to optimize cost involved. The overall efficiency of the LARS system will be dependent on the sensors mounted on the helicopter. A skilled labor can easily handle the whole system with least supervision. The LARS not only replaces the satellite based image processing system but also ground level spectrophotometer, chlorophyll content measuring equipments. With little time, the system will be ready for taking images, for instance, just after rainfall.

5. Field application of LARS systems
Sugiura et al. (2007) mounted a thermal band camera on unmanned helicopter platform to estimate soil water status in paddy fields and correlation was obtained between the thermal image temperature and soil moisture content. The coefficient of determination ($r^2$) for moisture content and temperature model at 10.00 a.m. and 3.00 p.m. were 0.69 and 0.64 respectively (Figure 9).

![Fig. 9. Soil moisture content estimation with LARS images (Sugiura et al., 2007)](image)

The $r^2$ between moisture content and difference in temperature was 0.42. The development was intended assisting in proper irrigation scheduling and monitoring water stressed situations for rain-fed cropping. Ishii et al. (2005) developed a system that can generate a map regarding crop status obtained by mounting an imaging sensor on an unmanned helicopter. They achieved an accuracy of 38 cm using RTK GPS receiver and GDS unit. The maps are accurate enough to be used for variable rate nutrients and pesticides application for the farmland.

Lenthe et al. (2007) used unmanned helicopter based IR thermography imaging system as a tool for monitoring the microclimatic conditions promoting incidence and severity of diseases within wheat fields with a high spatial resolution. Zhou et al. (2009) used R44 helicopter for aerial electrostatic spraying system. The results of the studies showed that electrostatic spraying with helicopters could produce uniform and fine droplets with better droplet adhesion and distribution, higher depositing efficiency, lower environmental
contamination; lower pesticide application rate and aerial spray can improve efficiency for prevention and cure pests in agriculture and forestry.

6. Conclusions

A radio-controlled helicopter-based LARS system can be used to acquire multispectral images over a rice canopy to estimate rice yield. The study indicated that the LARS platform could substitute for satellite-based and costly airborne remote sensing system. Images are obtained successfully by the multispectral camera mounted on the radio-controlled helicopter at a height of 20 m over rice plots. Rice yield and total biomass were found to be significantly different at the 0.05 and 0.1 significance levels, respectively, under different N treatment regimes. The relationship between \( \text{NDVI}_{\text{LARS}} \) and \( \text{NDVI}_{\text{SPECTRO}} \) (\( r^2 = 0.897 \), \( \text{RMSE} = 0.012 \)) shows the applicability of LARS sensor-based images for estimating NDVI values, which varied over the five levels of applied N. A linear regression model shows a good fit (\( r^2 = 0.728 \), \( \text{RMSE} = 0.458 \text{ ton ha}^{-1} \)) for estimating total biomass for rice using LARS image-based NDVI values. A linear model (\( r^2 = 0.760 \), \( \text{RMSE} = 0.598 \text{ ton ha}^{-1} \)) indicates that rice yield could also be predicted with NDVI values derived from LARS images. The protein content can be positively estimated well in advance to actual crop harvesting. The regression model procedure outlined herein can be followed for larger rice fields by recording crop input rates and acquiring LARS images.

7. References


The accurate measurement of ecosystem biomass is of great importance in scientific, resource management and energy sectors. In particular, biomass is a direct measurement of carbon storage within an ecosystem and of great importance for carbon cycle science and carbon emission mitigation. Remote Sensing is the most accurate tool for global biomass measurements because of the ability to measure large areas. Current biomass estimates are derived primarily from ground-based samples, as compiled and reported in inventories and ecosystem samples. By using remote sensing technologies, we are able to scale up the sample values and supply wall to wall mapping of biomass. Three separate remote sensing technologies are available today to measure ecosystem biomass: passive optical, radar, and lidar. There are many measurement methodologies that range from the application driven to the most technologically cutting-edge. The goal of this book is to address the newest developments in biomass measurements, sensor development, field measurements and modeling. The chapters in this book are separated into five main sections.
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