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1. Introduction

Acute pancreatitis is inflammation of the pancreas that occurs suddenly and usually resolves in a few days with treatment. Acute pancreatitis can be a life-threatening illness with severe complications. The most common cause of acute pancreatitis is the presence of small gallstones that cause inflammation of the pancreas as they pass through the common bile duct. Chronic heavy alcohol use is also a common cause. Acute pancreatitis can occur within hours or as long as 2 days after consuming alcohol. Other causes of acute pancreatitis include abdominal trauma, medications, infections, tumors, and genetic abnormalities of the pancreas.

Pancreatic trauma is uncommon, accounting for only 0.2-6% of all injuries resulting from abdominal trauma, and is associated with a high mortality rate of 13.8-31% (Leppäniemi et al., 1988). The high mortality rate is due to the frequent occurrence of associated abdominal injuries. In addition, blunt abdominal trauma is considered to be the cause of one fifth of all cases of traumatic pancreatitis and it may result in contusion, parenchymal fracture, or ductal disruption (Portis et al., 1994). These injuries to the pancreas are typically caused by compression of the organ against the vertebral column, mostly in traffic related-accidents. Blunt trauma to the epigastrium is caused by steering wheels, handlebars, seatbelts, or directly. Other mechanisms of injury include sporting accidents, such as direct hits from a ball or a blunt blow.

Therapeutic decisions for pancreatic trauma are based on the injury site and status of the pancreatic ductal system. When pancreatic ductal disruption exists or when duodenal injury cannot be ruled out, surgical exploration is usually required; however, surgery carries considerable morbidity and mortality risks. In this chapter, we discuss the management of pancreatic trauma and acute pancreatitis, including therapeutic endoscopy and surgical exploration.

2. Anatomic and physiology

The pancreas grows rapidly during a child’s first five years of life with a slower growth rate up to the age of 18 years of age (Spiegel et al., 1997). It is a large complex gland that lies...
outside the walls of the alimentary tract parallel to the stomach at the level of the first and second lumbar vertebrae. It is surrounded anteriorly by the upper abdominal intraperitoneal organs and posteriorly by the thick paraspinal muscles. The lobules of the pancreas drain into the main pancreatic duct of Wirsungs which traverses the length of the gland and joins the common bile duct, emptying into the duodenum through the ampulla of Vater. The minor duct of Santorini usually branches off from the main pancreatic duct and also empties into the duodenum. The gland is not encapsulated; therefore, tears in pancreatic tissues permit pancreatic digestive enzymes to invade the peripancreatic tissue and leak into the peritoneal cavity.

3. Pathogenesis

The mechanism of blunt pancreatic trauma usually involves anterior compressive forces applied to the pancreas, which lies over the vertebral column. The pancreas is relatively fixed so that during blunt impact, the pancreas is not displaced and absorbs the full amount of force applied (Rawls, 2001).

4. Classification

Classically, according to Lucas’s classification of pancreatic injury, injuries without MPD disruption are designated Class I, while Class II or III injuries involve MPD disruption (Lucas, 1977). The Organ Injury Scaling Committee of the American Association for the Surgery of Trauma has proposed a pancreatic organ injury scale that is widely used and is based on the extent of parenchymal damage as well as the presence or absence of pancreatic duct injury (Moore et al., 1990). Minor contusions or superficial lacerations of the pancreas without duct involvement are classified as grade I injury. Grade II injuries are major contusions or lacerations without duct disruption. Distal transection of the pancreas or major parenchymal injuries with duct injuries is described as grade III. Grade IV injuries are proximal transections or any proximal parenchymal injuries involving the ampulla. Grade V injuries describe massive destructions of the pancreas head (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Injury Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Minor contusion or superficial laceration without duct injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Major contusion or laceration without duct injury or tissue loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Distal transection or parenchymal injury with duct injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Proximal transection or parenchymal injury involving ampulla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Massive disruption of pancreas head</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Pancreatic injury severity scale (Moore et al., 1990)
5. Diagnosis

5.1 Laboratory data

It is generally reported that laboratory findings are relatively insensitive and non-specific in diagnosing pancreatic injury (Arkowitz et al., 1997; Bradley et al., 1998; Jobst et al., 1999). Serum amylase evaluation can suggest pancreatic injury; however, amylase levels have failed to predict or correlate with the degree of injury or disclose potential ductal disruption, especially when obtained in the early post-trauma period (Simon et al., 1994).

Serum lipase is often based clinically in the setting of acute pancreatitis, but after blunt trauma, elevated serum lipase levels may be nonspecific and a poor indication of injury (Buechter et al., 1990). Because of their low sensitivity and specificity for pancreatic trauma, serum amylase and lipase have limited diagnostic value, but elevated levels may provide a clue to a severe injury requiring further investigation.

5.2 Ultrasound

An ultrasound examination will usually be performed to enable the diagnosis of free abdominal fluid or gross damage to the liver or spleen. The pancreas is not easily identified and examined to its full extent; therefore, pancreatic injuries, parenchymal or ductal, will frequently be missed. However, routine abdominal ultrasound examination in the emergency room will establish the diagnosis of an intra-abdominal injury and therefore establish the need for an urgent explorative laparotomy. To disclose main pancreatic duct injury in blunt and penetration pancreatic trauma, intraoperative ultrasonography has proven to be helpful (Hikida et al., 2004).

5.3 Computed tomography

When initially evaluating for injury, CT scanning is a simple, noninvasive means of evaluating the pancreas. New-generation helical CT scanners quickly enable an overview of abdominal injuries in severely traumatic patients. CT was reported to have 90% sensitivity in detecting pancreatic disruption (Teh et al., 2007). Furthermore, CT allows additional assessment of the severity and extent of pancreatic tissue damage and concomitant injuries (Bigattini et al. 1999).

5.4 Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography

Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) is another non-invasive diagnostic tool that allows the evaluation of pancreatic injuries with high sensitivity and specificity. Particularly in stable patients with suspected pancreatic injury, MRCP enables the non-invasive detection or exclusion of pancreatic duct trauma and pancreatic specific complications. It may therefore provide information that can be used to guide management decisions in the further course of pancreatic trauma patients; however, its purely diagnostic nature and its inability to provide real-time visualization of ductal findings and extravasation are two of its disadvantages (Fulcher et al., 2000). Recently, secretin-stimulated MRCP was also reported to be a safe, non-invasive test that can provide additional useful information about duct integrity and facilitate management (Gillams AR et al., 2006).
5.5 Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography

Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) was documented to be a useful diagnostic tool, displaying sensitivity and specificity of 100% for pancreatic duct injury (Gougeon et al., 1976; Doctor et al., 1995). ERCP was also reported to be the definitive test for pancreatic duct injury, particularly, to demonstrate clearly the site of duct disruption and the grade of duct injury, whether the branch or main duct and partial or complete disruption of the main pancreatic duct MPD (Kim et al., 2001).

Recently, ERCP has been shown not only to provide sufficient information for conclusive diagnosis but also to be an effective and safe non-operative treatment tool (Bendahan et al., 1995; Huckfeldt et al., 1996; Kim et al., 2001; Cay et al., 2005; Houben et al., 2007). In certain cases of leakages of the pancreatic duct, transpapillary stent insertion might seal the injury and stabilize it in a way that eventually leads to resolution of the leakage.

6. Therapy

Isolated pancreatic trauma is rare and usually results from direct trauma to the epigastrium, for example from the handle bars in bicycle accidents or in sports, typically in children or adolescents. Most patients with pancreatic lesions will present with multiple injuries, some of them hemodynamically unstable, and concomitant abdominal injuries; therefore, unstable patients may require initial damage control and correct assessment of the extent of pancreatic injury. On the other hand, in stable patients, ERCP plays an important role in the diagnosis, but also in the treatment of pancreatic duct injuries. Reports on the transpapillary stenting of duct lesions are very encouraging and justify the extensive use of ERCP (Canty et al., 2001).

6.1 Case of endoscopic treatment

A 17-year-old man was brought to the emergency department of our hospital with severe upper abdominal pain following a blow received in a rugby game. Emergency computed tomography (CT) revealed severe pancreatic neck injury. Forty-eight hours later, follow-up enhanced CT revealed that the pancreas was clearly lacerated and that the amount of peri-

![Enhanced CT revealed an obvious laceration of the pancreas neck. (white arrow)](image-url)
pancreatic fluid was increasing (Fig. 1); furthermore, serum amylase and elastase levels were elevated. ERP (endoscopic retrograde pancreatography) revealed that contrast medium in the main pancreatic duct (MPD) had leaked into the parenchyma, indicating MPD injury (Fig. 2). To prevent the traumatic pancreatitis from worsening, a stent was inserted endoscopically at a site distal to the injured portion of the MPD (Fig. 3). Thereafter, the patient’s complaint was markedly reduced, and his serum amylase levels returned to normal. In addition, the apparent pancreatic edema and peripheral fluid were decreased on CT (Okamoto et al., 2010).

Fig. 2. Endoscopic pancreatography (ERP) revealed leakage of contrast medium. (white arrow)

Fig. 3. Enhanced CT revealed the inserted pancreatic stent and disappearance of pancreas peripheral fluid. (white arrow)
## 6.2 Endoscopic pancreatic duct stent treatment

Endoscopic transpapillary stent insertion by literature review is summarized in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Trauma</th>
<th>Initial S-Amy (L/L)</th>
<th>CT findings</th>
<th>Time to ERCP</th>
<th>ERCP findings</th>
<th>Disrupted portion</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/22 M</td>
<td>Stabbed injury</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>CT findings</td>
<td>3d</td>
<td>Duct disruption</td>
<td>Pseudocyst</td>
<td>Recovered</td>
<td>Bandehlan J., et al., 1995</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/23 F</td>
<td>Car accident</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>Irregular order of the pancreas tail</td>
<td>3-4d</td>
<td>Extravasation of the contrast from MPD</td>
<td>Neck (stomach)</td>
<td>Recovered</td>
<td>Huckfeldt R., et al., 1996</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/49 M</td>
<td>Traffic accident</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>Disruption of the distal pancreas</td>
<td>1d</td>
<td>Extravasation of the contrast from MPD</td>
<td>Tail</td>
<td>Recovered, mild MPD stricture</td>
<td>Castil T.G., et al., 2001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/40 F</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>742</td>
<td>Body swelling</td>
<td>1d</td>
<td>Intrapancreatic leakage from MPD</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>Recovered, pseudocyst</td>
<td>Kim H.S., et al., 2000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/30 M</td>
<td>Car accident</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>Pancreas disruption and pseudocyst</td>
<td>5d</td>
<td>Extravasation of the contrast from MPD</td>
<td>Pseudocyst</td>
<td>Recovered</td>
<td>Hashimoto A., et al., 2003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/37 M</td>
<td>Stepping wheel</td>
<td>2487</td>
<td>Hematomaover pancreatic head</td>
<td>23d</td>
<td>Stricture at the head with contraction of the head</td>
<td>Head</td>
<td>Recovered, stent migration</td>
<td>Lin B.C., et al., 2006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/35 M</td>
<td>Stepping wheel</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>19d</td>
<td>Stricture at the head with contraction of the head</td>
<td>Head</td>
<td>Recovered, MPD stricture</td>
<td>Lin B.C., et al., 2006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/38 M</td>
<td>Stepping wheel</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>Pancreatic neck laceration</td>
<td>8d</td>
<td>Contrast extravasation at the body and tail</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>Recovered, MPD stricture</td>
<td>Lin B.C., et al., 2006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/21 F</td>
<td>Stepping wheel</td>
<td>2270</td>
<td>Pancreatic body laceration</td>
<td>1d</td>
<td>Contrast extravasation at the body with retroperitoneal abscess</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>Recovered, Death</td>
<td>Lin B.C., et al., 2006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13/40 M</td>
<td>Stepping wheel</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>Pancreatic body laceration</td>
<td>0h</td>
<td>Contrast extravasation at the body</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>Recovered, MPD stricture</td>
<td>Lin B.C., et al., 2006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14/33 M</td>
<td>Stepping wheel</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>Pancreatic head laceration</td>
<td>18h</td>
<td>Contrast extravasation at the head</td>
<td>Head</td>
<td>Recovered, mild MPD stricture</td>
<td>Lin B.C., et al., 2006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16/11 M</td>
<td>Hip laceration</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>4d</td>
<td>Transection of MPD</td>
<td>Neck</td>
<td>Recovered</td>
<td>Houben H., et al., 2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17/10 F</td>
<td>Hind limb</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>2d</td>
<td>Incomplete disruption</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>Recovered</td>
<td>Houben H., et al., 2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18/11 M</td>
<td>Hind limb</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>2d</td>
<td>Transection of MPD</td>
<td>Neck</td>
<td>Recovered</td>
<td>Houben H., et al., 2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19/11 F</td>
<td>Fall from seesaw</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>2d</td>
<td>Transection of MPD</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>Recovered</td>
<td>Houben H., et al., 2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20/7 M</td>
<td>Hind limb</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>56d</td>
<td>Transection of MPD</td>
<td>Neck</td>
<td>Recovered</td>
<td>Houben H., et al., 2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21/14 M</td>
<td>Hind limb</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>7d</td>
<td>Transection of MPD</td>
<td>Neck</td>
<td>Recovered</td>
<td>Houben H., et al., 2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22/12 M</td>
<td>Trauma fall</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>3d</td>
<td>Transection of MPD</td>
<td>Neck</td>
<td>Recovered</td>
<td>Houben H., et al., 2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23/9 M</td>
<td>Trauma fall</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>4d</td>
<td>Transection of MPD</td>
<td>Neck</td>
<td>Recovered</td>
<td>Houben H., et al., 2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24/30 M</td>
<td>Gun shot</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>Pancreatic injury involving the head, neck</td>
<td>1d</td>
<td>Leakage of the contrast</td>
<td>Head</td>
<td>Recovered</td>
<td>Ristop M., et al., 2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25/31 F</td>
<td>Bicycle accident</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>Pancreatic oedema</td>
<td>0d</td>
<td>Disrupted uncinate branch</td>
<td>Uncinate process</td>
<td>Recovered</td>
<td>Rogers S.J., et al., 2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26/40 M</td>
<td>Car accident</td>
<td>L172</td>
<td>Pancreatic oedema</td>
<td>0d</td>
<td>Disrupted MPD</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>Recovered</td>
<td>Rogers S.J., et al., 2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27/47 F</td>
<td>Car accident</td>
<td>L480</td>
<td>Pancreatic oedema</td>
<td>15d</td>
<td>Disrupted uncinate branch</td>
<td>Uncinate process</td>
<td>Recovered</td>
<td>Rogers S.J., et al., 2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28/41 F</td>
<td>Car accident</td>
<td>L205</td>
<td>Distal pancreatic tear</td>
<td>10d</td>
<td>Disrupted uncinate branch</td>
<td>Uncinate process</td>
<td>Recovered</td>
<td>Rogers S.J., et al., 2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29/41 F</td>
<td>Sting fall</td>
<td>L444</td>
<td>Pancreatic oedema, possible laceration</td>
<td>2d</td>
<td>Transection of MPD</td>
<td>Tail</td>
<td>Recovered</td>
<td>Rogers S.J., et al., 2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/54 M</td>
<td>Gun shot</td>
<td>L583</td>
<td>IVC laceration, retroperitoneal fluid</td>
<td>1d</td>
<td>Extravasation MPD</td>
<td>Head</td>
<td>Recovered</td>
<td>Rogers S.J., et al., 2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/17 M</td>
<td>Sport</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>Pancreas disruption and pseudocyst</td>
<td>2d</td>
<td>Leakage from MPD</td>
<td>Neck</td>
<td>Recovered</td>
<td>Okamoto H., et al., 2010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.d.: not determined, h: hour, d: day, m: month, L: lipase

Table 2. Summary of reported pancreatic duct stent treatment cases

This summary indicates that endoscopic transpapillary stent insertion is an effective technique for managing certain pancreatic traumatic injuries. A significant improvement in outcome was found for patients with stent therapy. Pancreatic stents are known to be associated with minor damage to the duct including ductal irregularity, stenosis, and side branch ectasia (Kozarek et al., 1991). These changes can occur even if the stent is patent, and they can persist or resolve after stent removal (Huckfeldt et al., 1996). A long-term study of a small group showed that 4 of 6 cases were complicated by ductal stricture, although stent therapy could avoid surgery in the acute trauma stage (Lin et al., 2006). Endo- and exocrine function were not examined. Further accumulated experiences are needed to clarify the significance of stent therapy.

### 6.2.1 Case of surgical treatment

A 34-year-old man was transferred to our hospital 18 hours after blunt abdominal trauma caused by impact against an automobile steering wheel. Emergent CT showed laceration of the pancreatic head and surrounding hematoma. Emergent laparotomy was carried out. Intraoperative pancreatography revealed injury of the proximal main pancreatic duct.
Because stenosis of the main pancreatic duct was predicted as a complication, cholecystectomy, external drainage of the common bile duct, and external abdominal drainage were carried out. He was discharged with a pancreatic fistula 4 months postoperatively. Three months later, pancreatic juice output through the drainage tube decreased, and patient developed acute pancreatitis. CT revealed dilatation of the main pancreatic duct and atrophy of the pancreas distal to the site of injury (Fig. 4). A second operation was planned to perform to preserve pancreatic function after the patient's general condition improved. ERP demonstrated ductal stricture of the main pancreatic duct in the head of the pancreas and upstream dilatation of the pancreatic duct. A diagnosis of chronic obstructive pancreatitis was made, and in order to preserve the function of the distal pancreas, longitudinal pancreaticojunostomy with Roux-en-Y anastomosis was performed 10 months after the initial operation. After the second operation, the patient's pancreatic function and pancreatic atrophy improved (Matsuda et al., 1999).

Fig. 4. Enhanced CT revealed a laceration of pancreatic head (left, white arrow) and a hematoma near pancreas head (right, white arrow).

6.2.2 Surgical treatment

Isolated pancreatic injuries are rare and most patients will present with multiple injuries, some of them hemodynamically unstable, and concomitant abdominal injuries; therefore, unstable patients may require initial damage control and correct assessment of the extent of pancreatic injury. This usually allows delayed definitive treatment of complex injuries, especially of the head of the pancreas. With regard to treatment, external drainage alone has been proposed for grade I and II injuries, while surgical intervention, including distal pancreatectomy or pancreaticojunostomy, is usually performed for grade III, IV, and V injuries.

6.3 Nonoperative management

Conservative management of pancreatic trauma in the absence of a ductal injury (grade I and II) is widely accepted and practiced as the majority are contusions that usually resolve spontaneously after conservative treatment (Rescorla et al., 1995; Keller et al., 1997; Meier et al., 2001; Canty et al., 2001). Nonoperative management of a pancreatic injury consists of bowel arrest, total parental nutrition, and serial imaging with either CT scans or ultrasound to follow injury resolution.
Octreotide, a synthetic somatostatin analogue that inhibits pancreatic secretions has been shown in adults to reduce the incidence of postsurgical pancreatitis after pancreatic surgery. The benefits of octreotide in pancreatic trauma are controversial, and in particular its role in pediatric trauma is still undefined (Amirara et al., 1994; Nwariaku et al., 1995; Mulligan et al., 1995; Cavallini et al., 2001), however, there are few reported cases of octreotide administration being effective for traumatic pancreatic injury (Morali et al., 1991; Shan et al., 2002). Further accumulated evidence is required to prove this effect.

7. Treatment algorithm

We proposed an early treatment algorithm for endoscopic and surgical therapy, based on the presence or absence of a major pancreatic duct lesion.

Fig. 4. Proposed early treatment algorithm for endoscopic and/or surgical therapy.
7.1 Proximal duct injury

Incomplete disruption of the MPD or complete disruption of the MPD without duct obstruction is the best candidate for the pancreatic duct stent therapy. Transduetal pancreatic stent allows internal drainage of the pancreatic secretion and re-establishment of duct continuity (Bendahan et al., 1995; Huckfeldt et al., 1996; Cantly et al., 2001; Kim et al., 2001; Lin et al., 2006; Houben et al., 2007; Rogers et al., 2009).

Complete disruption of the MPD with duct obstruction increases the difficulty of stent placement beyond the fracture site. Disruption or complex injuries of the pancreatic head involving the ampulla, or devitalizing injuries of the pancreas head and duodenum usually are non-reconstructable injuries. In stable patients, pancreaticoduodenectomy is the best definite treatment for grade IV injuries. In unstable patients, exploration and placing of external drainage may be the best choice for damage control. Definitive treatment of the lesion can be achieved later, after the patient has been stabilized.

7.2 Distal duct injury

Distal pancreatic injury with duct involvement include major or stab wounds in the body or tail of the pancreas with an obvious duct injury or transection of more than half the width of the pancreas. If the clinical condition of the patient allows it, these grade III injuries are best treated by distal pancreatectomy even in emergency situations. In some cases, such as complete transection of the pancreatic body from the head, a distal pancreaticojejunostomy and closure of the proximal end of the pancreas rupture as in the Letton & Wilson procedure, may even become necessary if an organ-preserving approach is attempted (Letton et al., 1959). It has been documented that 19 patients were treated by distal pancreatectomy with splenectomy, 8 by pancreatectomy with preserving the spleen, and 2 by placing a pancreatic duct stent in a series of 32 grade III patients (Lin et al., 2004). Major distal duct injuries have been managed mainly by surgical exploration.

8. Complications and outcome

The complication rate of any pancreatic injury is not only associated with concomitant injuries, but also with the severity of the pancreatic injury. As is widely accepted, the grade of pancreatic injury is an independent predictor of both pancreas-associated morbidity and mortality. Complications following pancreatic trauma include fistula, pancreatic abscess, pseudocyst formation, and sepsis. The American Association for the Surgery Trauma Organ Injury Score has been shown to predict the development of complications and mortality after pancreatic injury (Kao et al., 2003).

Pancreatic abscesses have been treated interventionally by percutaneous drainage, but are frequently infected by multiple resistant bacteria, leading to sepsis. The treatment of pancreatic pseudocysts is interventional drainage and sealing, endoscopic gastrocystostomy, and operative enteric drainage.

9. Conclusion

Endoscopic transpapillary pancreatic duct stent is effective and safe management for pancreatic duct disruption, especially for proximal duct injury in selected patients. It may
avoid emergent surgery in the acute trauma stage; however, long-term ductal stricture should be carefully investigated during long-term follow-up.

10. References


Pancreatitis may be acute or chronic. Although they can be caused by similar aetiologies, they tend to follow
distinct natural histories. Around 80% of acute pancreatitis (AP) diagnoses occur as secondary to gallstone
disease and alcohol misuse. This disease is commonly associated with the sudden onset of upper abdominal
that is usually severe enough to warrant the patient seeking urgent medical attention. Overall, 10 to 25% of AP
episodes are classified as severe, leading to an associated mortality rate of 7 to 30%. Treatment is
conservative and consists of general medical support performed by experienced teams, sometimes in ICUs.
Although most cases of acute pancreatitis are uncomplicated and resolve spontaneously, the presence of
complications has significant prognostic importance. Necrosis, hemorrhage, and infection convey rates of up to
25%, 50%, and 80% mortality, respectively. Other complications such as pseudocyst formation,
pseudoaneurysm formation, or venous thrombosis increase morbidity and mortality to a lesser degree. The
presence of pancreatic infection must be avoided.
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