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1. Introduction

Good oral health and dentition is important for efficient mastication, speaking and cosmetically for smiling. If left untreated, dental pathologies can lead to pain and infection, reduced growth and development, speech disorders, and high treatment costs. Chronic infection around one or more teeth can result in damage to localized structures, such as the developing permanent teeth. Children who are medically compromised (such as being immunocompromised from a disease and/or therapy) are at increased risk of developing systemic complications from dental infections which can be fatal. Therefore, the author focuses on the role of the general pediatrician in promoting the importance of good oral health for all children and in particular those children receiving cancer therapy. Etiology, assessment, and prevention of dental caries and oral mucositis are presented, evidence based where available.

2. Dental caries development

Dental caries has historically been considered one of the most important global oral health burdens. It is still a major health problem in both developing and developed countries. 60-90% of school-aged children are affected by the disease (Petersen, 2003). At present, the distribution and severity of dental caries vary in different parts of the world and within the same region or country. In permanent dentition, when dental caries experience is expressed as Significant Caries Index (SiC), the determined values are relatively high both for America region (SiC = 4.8) and the European region (SiC = 5.3) whereas the experience is lower in most African countries (SiC = 3.4) (World Health Organization [WHO], 2011). In developing countries, the prevalence rates of dental caries and its experience are now tending to increase probably due to the increasing consumption of sugars and inadequate exposure to fluorides. Conversely, a decline in caries has been observed in most developed countries as a result of a number of public health measures, including effective use of fluorides, together with changing living conditions, lifestyles and improved self-care practices. However, it must be emphasized that dental caries as a disease of children has not been eradicated, but only controlled to a certain degree.

2.1 Etiology of dental caries

Dental caries is a process that may take place on any tooth surface in the oral cavity where dental plaque (biofilm) is allowed to develop over a period of time. Plaque formation is a
natural, physiological process. It is an example of a biofilm which means a community of microorganisms attached to a surface. The bacteria in the plaque are always metabolically active. Some of them are capable of fermenting a suitable dietary carbohydrate substrate (such as the sugars sucrose and glucose), to produce acid. The acid produced causes the plaque pH to fall to below 5 within 1-3 minutes. Repeated falls in pH may result in demineralization of the tooth surface in time. However, the acid is neutralized by saliva, so the pH increases and mineral may be regained. This is called remineralization. The cumulative results of de- and remineralization processes may be a loss of mineral and a carious lesion can be seen. Alternatively, the changes may be so slight that a carious lesion never becomes apparent (Kidd, 2005). The formation of the biofilm and its metabolic activity cannot be prevented, but dental caries formation can be controlled, its progression in enamel tissue can be arrested and even advanced carious lesions (in dentin) may become inactive.

2.2 Factors known to influence increased dental caries risk

Socio-economic factors or circumstances may influence increased caries risk. Factors such as social deprivation, unemployment, lower socio-economic status, low knowledge, low education of parents, and no regular dental check-ups are directly related to dental caries resulting in more cariogenic food consumption, less good oral hygiene, saliva problems (medications), and reduced fluoride support (WHO, 1994).

General health may also indicate increased caries risk. Some general diseases such as Sjögren’s Syndrome or their treatments (medicines) affect saliva secretion. Also, the conditions can result in more cariogenic food and in less good oral hygiene (WHO, 2003).

Medicines can interfere with dental caries in two ways by leading to voluminous plaque-formation (containing fermentable carbohydrates) and by leading to a change in saliva production and composition. Medicines classified as follows have been proposed to cause xerostomia (oral dryness): antispasmodic, antidepressant, antipsychotic, skeletal muscle relaxant, parkinsonism therapy, antiarrythmic, antihistamine, appetite depressant, anticonvulsant, anxiolytic, antihypertensive, and diuretic. Oral dryness is the third most common side-effect from using medicines (Moore, 2008).

Diet is one of the key factors for dental caries and will be discussed in detail on section 4.1.

3. Assessment of dental development and referral for dental problems

Accurate chronologies of primary (deciduous) teeth calcifications as well as permanent dentition in particular first permanent molars are of clinical significance to the pediatricians (Table 1 and 2). It is often necessary to explain to parents the time sequence of calcification in utero and during infancy. The common observation of tetracycline pigmentation, developmental enamel defects, and generalized hereditary anomalies can be explained if the calcification schedule is known.

3.1 Chronologic development and eruption of the teeth

Evidence of development of the human tooth can be observed as early as the sixth week of embryonic life. Its life starts with initiation (bud stage) and follows with proliferation (cap stage), histodifferentiation and morphodifferentiation (bell stage), apposition, and
### Upper Primary Teeth Development Chart

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Teeth Type</th>
<th>Eruption Time</th>
<th>Exfoliation Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central incisor</td>
<td>8 to 12 months</td>
<td>6 to 7 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral incisor</td>
<td>9 to 13 months</td>
<td>7 to 8 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canine (cuspid)</td>
<td>16 to 22 months</td>
<td>10 to 12 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First molar</td>
<td>13 to 19 months</td>
<td>9 to 11 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second molar</td>
<td>25 to 33 months</td>
<td>10 to 12 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Lower Primary Teeth Development Chart

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Teeth Type</th>
<th>Eruption Time</th>
<th>Exfoliation Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Second molar</td>
<td>23 to 31 months</td>
<td>10 to 12 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First molar</td>
<td>14 to 18 months</td>
<td>9 to 11 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canine (cuspid)</td>
<td>17 to 23 months</td>
<td>9 to 12 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral incisor</td>
<td>10 to 16 months</td>
<td>7 to 8 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central incisor</td>
<td>6 to 10 months</td>
<td>6 to 7 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Eruption and exfoliation timetable of primary human dentition. The first teeth begin to break through the gums at about 6 months of age. Usually, the first two teeth to erupt are the two bottom central incisors (the two bottom front teeth). Next, the top four front teeth emerge. After that, other teeth slowly begin to fill in, usually in pairs -- one each side of the upper or lower jaw -- until all 20 teeth (10 in the upper jaw and 10 in the lower jaw) have come in by the time the child is 2 ½ to 3 years old.

### Upper Permanent Teeth Development Chart

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Teeth Type</th>
<th>Eruption Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central incisor</td>
<td>7 to 8 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral incisor</td>
<td>8 to 9 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canine (cuspid)</td>
<td>11 to 12 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First premolar</td>
<td>10 to 11 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second premolar (second bicuspid)</td>
<td>10 to 12 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First molar</td>
<td>6 to 7 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second molar</td>
<td>12 to 13 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third molar (wisdom teeth)</td>
<td>17 to 21 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Lower Permanent Teeth Development Chart

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Teeth Type</th>
<th>Eruption Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Third molar (wisdom tooth)</td>
<td>17 to 21 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second molar</td>
<td>11 to 13 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First molar</td>
<td>6 to 7 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second premolar (second bicuspid)</td>
<td>11 to 12 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First premolar (first bicuspid)</td>
<td>10 to 12 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canine (cuspid)</td>
<td>9 to 10 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral incisor</td>
<td>7 to 8 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central incisor</td>
<td>6 to 7 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Eruption and exfoliation timetable of permanent human dentition. Permanent teeth begin to come in around the age of 6. In some children, the first permanent molars are the first to emerge; in others, the incisors are the first to emerge.
Ameloblasts will form enamel whereas odontoblasts will form dentin tissue. Following completion of all these stages the tooth will erupt. Primary teeth will shed (exfoliate) to their permanent successors. Although many theories have been advanced (Marks Jr., 1996; Philbrick et al., 1998; Wise et al., 2002), the factors responsible for the eruption of the teeth are not fully understood. The factors that have been related to the eruption of teeth include elongation of the root, forces exerted by the vascular tissues around and beneath the root, growth of the alveolar bone, growth of dentin, growth and pull of the periodontal membrane, hormonal influences (pituitary growth hormone, thyroid hormone, and parathyroid hormone-related protein), presence of a viable dental follicle, pressure from the muscular action, and resorption of the alveolar crest. A review article by Wise et al (Wise et al., 2002) focused on the molecular signals that initiate tooth eruption. They stated that tooth eruption is a complex and tightly regulated process involving cells of the tooth organ and surrounding alveolus. Mononuclear cells (osteoclast precursors) must be engaged into the dental follicle prior to the onset of eruption. These cells will turn into osteoclasts which resorb alveolar bone, and create an eruption pathway for the tooth to exist its bony container. Interaction of osteoblasts, osteoclasts and dental follicle involve a complex interplay of regulatory genes. It should be remembered that the time of eruption of both primary and permanent teeth varies greatly and variations of 6 months on either side of the usual eruption date may be considered normal for a given child. Demirjian and Levesque (1980) investigated a large sample of 5437 radiographs from a homogenous French-Canadian population, their analysis showed the similarity in timing between sexes for the early stages of tooth development (crown formation). However, their data indicated the importance of sexual dimorphism during the period of root development which girls were more advanced than boys by an average of 0.35 year for four teeth. For the stages of root development the mean difference between the sexes for all teeth was 0.54 year. The largest difference was for the canine (0.90 year). A study by Proffit and Fraizer-Bowers (2009) reviews the mechanism and control of tooth eruption. Finally an extensive review by Almonaitiene et al. (2010) analyzed the factors influencing permanent teeth eruption. Readers who wish to obtain more information about the details of the tooth eruption process are referred to these review articles.

3.2 Teething and difficult eruption

In general, the eruption of primary teeth comes before by increased salivation, and the child would want to put the hands and fingers into the mouth. Some young children become daytime restlessness, an increase in the amount of finger sucking or rubbing of the gum, an increase in drooling and some loss of appetite during the time of eruption of the primary teeth. These observations may be the only indication that the teeth will soon erupt (Macknin et al., 2000; Feldens et al., 2010). However, in some children a pronounced change in the mucosa often with small hemorrhages could be expected (Tasanen, 1968). Many conditions including croup, diarrhea, fever, convulsions, and primary herpetic gingivostomatitis have been incorrectly attributed to eruption (Dally, 1996). Leung (1989) reported that serious mistakes could be made in the care of infants and toddlers if their symptoms were ascribed to teething without completion of a thorough diagnostic evaluation and resulted in the overlooking of significant systemic disturbances. Because of the tooth eruption is a normal physiologic process, the association with fever and systemic disturbances are not justified. Inflammation of the gingival tissues before complete eruption of the tooth crown may cause
a temporary painful condition which relieves within a few days. The eruption process may be hastened if the child is allowed to chew on a clean teething object. Using finger press technique upon where the tooth has been emerging will subside the probably fretful condition. The application of a nonirritating topical anesthetic may bring in temporary relief in the child has been experiencing extreme difficulty during the teeth eruption (Sood & Sood, 2010).

Primary teeth eruption facts for the pediatrics:

- A general rule of thumb is that for every 6 months of life, approximately 4 teeth will erupt.
- Girls generally precede boys in tooth eruption.
- Lower teeth usually erupt before upper teeth.
- Teeth in both jaws usually erupt in pairs -- one on the right and one on the left.
- Primary teeth are smaller in size and whiter in color than the permanent teeth that will follow.
- By the time a child is 2 to 3 years of age, all primary teeth should have erupted.
- Shortly after age 4, the jaw and facial bones of the child begin to grow, creating spaces between the primary teeth. This is a perfectly natural growth process that provides the necessary space for the larger permanent teeth to emerge.
- Between the ages of 6 and 12, a mixture of both primary teeth and permanent teeth reside in the mouth.

If baby teeth fall out after a couple of years, why is it important to care for them?

- They reserve space for their permanent counterparts.
- They give the face its normal appearance.
- They aid in the development of clear speech.
- They help attain good nutrition (missing or carious teeth make it difficult to chew causing children to reject foods).
- They help give a healthy start to the permanent teeth (caries and infection in baby teeth can cause dark spots on the permanent teeth developing beneath it).

3.2.1 Eruption hematoma (eruption cyst)

A bluish purple, elevated area of tissue called an eruption hematoma rarely develops a few weeks before the eruption of a primary or permanent tooth. It is actually a blood-filled cyst. It occurs most frequently in the primary second molar or the first permanent molar regions. The condition usually develops as a result of trauma to the soft tissue during mastication. The condition is self-limited and usually subsides in a few days following the break-through of the crown. Therefore, the treatment of the hematoma is rarely necessary. In order to expose the crown, surgical incision of the gingival tissue may rarely be needed (Tsiklakis & Patsakas, 1989).

3.2.2 Eruption sequestrum

The eruption sequestrum is seen occasionally at the time of eruption of the first permanent molar. It may develop from either osteogenic (Starkey & Shafer, 1963) or odontogenic tissue (Watkins, 1975; Pridds & Price, 1984). Regardless of its origin, the condition is usually of
little or no clinical significance. As the tooth erupts, the fragment will sequestrate. However, it can be removed if it causes local irritation.

3.2.3 Ectopic eruption

A variety of local factors such as arch length inadequacy may influence a tooth to erupt or try to erupt in an abnormal position (Gupta et al, 2011).

3.3 Natal and neonatal teeth (prematurely erupted primary teeth)

The normal eruption of the primary teeth typically begins at six months of age. Natal teeth (teeth present at birth) and neonatal teeth (teeth that erupt during the first 30 days) are usually benign conditions (Cunha et al., 2001). Whatever the conditions they are, both of them considered as early eruption of primary teeth. Spouge and Feasby believe that the terms *natal teeth* and *neonatal teeth* constitute a relatively artificial distinction. Therefore, they have suggested that the terms *mature* and *immature* are more in keeping with the varying prognoses associated with such teeth in clinical point of view (Spouge & Feasby, 1966). The incidence of natal teeth ranges from 1:2000 to 1:3500 live births (Seminario & Ivancakova, 2004). The exact etiology is unknown. Infection, febrile states, trauma, malnutrition, superficial position of the tooth germ, hormonal stimulation and maternal exposure to environmental toxins has been implicated as causative factors (Cunha et al., 2001). It has been previously reported that heavily exposures to polychlorinated biphenyls and dibenzofurans caused to born infants with natal teeth in Taiwan (Gladen et al., 1990). However, Alaluusa et al. (2002) did not find any association between milk levels of polychlorinated biphenyls and dibenzofurans, and the occurrence of natal teeth. Early eruption of primary teeth might occur as a hereditary transmission of an autosomal dominant gene. A positive familial history has been reported in 8-62 % of natal teeth cases (Zhu & King, 1995). Natal teeth are present in 2 % of infants with unilateral cleft lip and palate and 10 % of infants with bilateral cleft lip and palate (de Almeida & Gomide, 1996). Natal teeth have been reported in association with syndromes such as Ellis-van Creveld, Jadassohn-Lewandowsky, Hallerman-Streiff, craniofacial dysostosis, steacystoma multiplex, Sotos, Wiedemann-Rautenstrauch, Meckel-Gruber and Pierre Robin (Seminario & Ivancakova, 1992; Uzamis et al., 1999; Marakoglu et al., 2004).

The most commonly affected teeth are the lower primary central incisors (85 %), followed by the maxillary incisors (11 %), mandibular canines and molars (3 %), and maxillary canines and molars (1 %). Natal teeth usually occur in pairs (Zhu & King, 1995). However, an unusual case of an infant with fourteen natal teeth was reported by Masatomi et al. (1991). Natal teeth might resemble normal primary teeth in size and shape; however, the teeth are often smaller, conical and yellowish, and have hypoplastic enamel and dentin with poor or absent root development. Most prematurely erupted primary teeth are mobile because of limited root development. Some teeth may be supernumerary or mobile to the extent that there is danger of displacement of the tooth and possible aspiration. In such cases the removal (extraction) of the tooth is indicated. However, Zhu and King (1995) did not find any reported cases of aspirated natal or neonatal teeth in the literature. In some cases sharp incisal edge of the teeth may cause sublingual ulceration of the infant (Riga-Fede disease) or laceration of the mothers’ breasts. If the teeth with rough edges are not hypermobile or not supernumerary; smoothing the sharp incisal edges of teeth or the placement of round smooth composite resin over the incisal edges is indicated. However, if the tooth does not
interfere with breastfeeding or not hypermobile, no intervention is necessary. Consultation with a pediatric dentist is strongly recommended in order to evaluate the preferred treatment and for differential diagnosis. Eruption of teeth during the neonatal period causes fewer problems. These teeth can usually be maintained even tough root development is limited (Figure 1).

Fig. 1. Intraoral view showing a natal teeth and Riga-Fede disease (quoted from Padmanabhan et al. (2010) Neonatal sublingual traumatic ulceration - case report & review of the literature. Dent Traumatol 26, 6, Dec, 490-5.)

3.4 Epstein pearls, bohn nodules, and dental lamina cysts

Small, white or grayish white lesions on the alveolar mucosa of the newborn are identified as inclusion cysts. They are classified as the following three types according to their location in oral cavity: Epstein pearls are formed along the midpalatine raphe. Bohn nodules are formed along the buccal and lingual aspects of the dental ridges and on the palate away from the raphe. Dental lamina cysts are found on the crest of the maxillary and mandibular ridges. These lesions are usually multiple but do not increase in size. No treatment is indicated since the lesions will spontaneously be shed a few weeks after birth. These lesions may be incorrectly diagnosed as the natal teeth (Fromm, 1967; Cetinkaya et al., 2011).

3.5 Local and systemic factors that influence tooth eruption

Local factors which influence tooth eruption are ankylosed primary and permanent teeth, and ankylosis of primary molars with absence of permanent successors. Local factors generally cause delayed teeth eruption (Ertugrul et al., 2002). Referral to a pediatric dentist is recommended for appropriate treatment.

General factors associated with altered tooth eruption are Down syndrome (Trisomy 21 syndrome), cleidocranial dysplasia, congenital hypothyroidism (Cretinism), juvenile hypothyroidism, hypopituitarism, and achondroplastic dwarfism. Sporadic delayed eruption of teeth frequently occurs in children with Down syndrome. The first primary teeth may not appear until 2 years of age, and the dentition may not be complete until 5 years of age. The eruption generally follows an abnormal sequence and some of the primary teeth may be retained until 15 years of age (Jara et al., 1993; Ondarza et al., 1997). The prevalence and severity of early onset periodontal disease in children with Down syndrome are much
higher compared to normal healthy and other mentally disabled children. A high prevalence of necrotizing ulcerative gingivitis is also reported (Carlstedt et al., 1996; Cichon et al., 1998). These higher prevalence relating periodontal diseases cannot only be explained by poor oral hygiene alone and may be the result of impaired immune responses and deficient phagocytic systems (Morinushi et al., 1997). Dental caries susceptibility is usually low in those with Down syndrome (Davidovich et al., 2010). Cleidocranial dysplasia (osteodentin dysplasia) has dental significance. The patients exhibit mandibular prognathism. The maxilla tends to be short vertically but not in sagittal way. The development of the dentition is delayed. One of the distinguishing characteristics is the presence of supernumerary teeth (Richardson & Deussen, 1994). The pediatric dentist serves as the coordinator of overall oral health care and disease prevention during an extended treatment regimen that usually includes two surgical interventions and three stages of orthodontic surgery. Hypothyroidism whether it occurs at birth or juvenile stage, causes delayed primary and permanent teeth eruption, and delayed primary teeth exfoliation (Mganga & Chindia, 1990). Hypopituitarism also causes delayed teeth eruption. Primary teeth may not undergo resorption but instead retained throughout the life of the patient. The underlying permanent teeth will develop but do not erupt (Conley et al., 1990). Delayed teeth eruption has been linked to other disorders, such as fibromatosis gingivae, chondroectodermal dysplasia, Gardner syndrome.

4. Provision of a caries control measurements

4.1 Cariogenic diet (reduction in the intake of freely fermentable carbohydrates)

Diet refers to the habitual allowance of food and drink taken by any person from day to day. Thus, the diet may exert an effect on caries locally in the mouth by reacting with the enamel surface and by serving as a substrate for cariogenic microorganisms. The food per se does not cause dental caries. Diet operates via the bacteria and the result may be a low pH at which the tooth starts to dissolve. The role of diet for proper tooth formation is a separate issue.

The consumption of sugar in substantial amounts is a recent trend in many areas of the world. Evidence linking caries and sugar has been evaluated from communities with low sugar consumption, and the results from severe dietary restrictions in many countries since 1940s. Another piece of evidence linking diet and caries concerns the rare hereditary disease fructose intolerance, which is caused by an inborn error of metabolism. Ingestion of foods containing fructose or sucrose causes severe nausea because of lack a certain liver enzyme. Consequently children with this disease avoid to eat these foods. The caries experience of them is considerably low, indicating that a group of children who are not able to tolerate many sugary foods are unlikely to develop much caries. There have been a number of non-interventional studies and animal experiments to relate dietary habits to the high prevalence of dental caries. According to a WHO study group, very little caries occurs in children when the national consumption level of sugar is below 10 kg per caput per annum (i.e., about 30 g/day), but a steep increase may occur from 15 kg upwards (WHO, 2003). Interventional human studies also designed in Sweden and Finland. Dentists now base much of dietary advice on the results of these two interventional studies, indicating that the frequency of sugar intake should be reduced to confine sugar to meal times as much as possible. The goal is not to
exclude sugar from the diet but rather to make the patient eat sugar in a sensible way sugar discipline (reasonable amounts and mainly at meal times). This also introduces the concept that it may be possible to substitute sucrose by substances which will impart sweetness but are not cariogenic, which is covered in more detail in section 4.3. However, a comparable study on human subjects will probably never be repeated as it would now be regarded as unethical to alter diets experimentally in directions likely to increase caries. Sugars integrated into the cellular structure of food (e.g. in fruit) are called intrinsic sugars. Sugars present in a free form (e.g. table sugar) or added to food (sweets, biscuits, etc.) are called extrinsic sugars. These are more readily available for metabolism by the oral bacteria. Therefore, they are potentially more cariogenic. Milk contains lactose. It is not generally regarded as cariogenic. Cheese and yoghurts, without added sugars, may also considered safe for teeth (Ahola et al., 2002). Bread, peanuts (not for children under 5 years), and sugar-free drinks are some examples of foods and drinks with low potential for dental caries. Thus the most damaging sugars for dental health are non-milk extrinsic sugars (NMES) (Moynihan, 2002). Both frequency and amount of sugars are associated with dental caries. However, at the level of the individual patient, it is more practical to advise limiting frequency of intake. Since frequency and amount of sugar consumed are closely associated and repeated sugar intakes mean several acid attacks on the teeth giving demineralization, efforts to reduce frequency should be made. Additionally, selecting food products that only lead a slight and/or short pH drop (above critical pH at which the enamel starts to dissolve around pH 5.5) is another measurement to reduce the unwanted local effects of diet with respect to caries. Many common food products containing fermentable carbohydrates can lead to a pH of about 4 after their consumption.

Raw starch (e.g. raw vegetables) is of low cariogenity. Cooked and highly refined (e.g crisps) can cause caries, and combinations of cooked starch and sucrose (e.g. cakes, biscuits, sugared breakfast cereals) can be highly cariogenic. Fruits contain sugar (fructose, sucrose, and glucose) but fresh fruits appear to have low cariogenity. However, the same cannot be said for fruit juice. The juicing process releases the sugars from the whole fruit, and these drinks are potentially cariogenic. Dried fruits are also cariogenic since they are sticky, tending to adhere to teeth, and the drying process releases some of the intrinsic sugars (Moynihan, 2002). Some children have particular risk to caries because of dietary factors. These children should at least sound warning bells in the pediatrician’s mind as well as dentist’s (Fejerskov & Kidd, 2003; Vadiakas, 2008):

- Infant and toddlers with prolonged breast-feeding on demand
- Infants and toddlers provided with a feeding bottle at bedtime, or bottle hung up in the cot for use during the night with a sugar-containing liquid
- Children with an increased frequency of eating because of a medical problem, e.g. gastrointestinal disease, eating disorders, uncontrolled diabetes
- Children with an increased carbohydrate intake due to a medical problem, e.g. Chron’s disease, chronic renal failure, other chronic illnesses, malnutrition, or failure to welfare
- Children who are on medications causing reduced salivary flow, irradiation to the region of salivary glands
- Children on long term and/or multiple medications: Are these sugar-based and/or do they cause dry mouth?
Measures to reduce caries risk and/or to stop ongoing caries activity in children are:

- Number of meals and snacks should be kept on a low level.
- Low sugar consumption is desirable from a cariological point of view.
- Sugars should be eliminated as fast as possible from the oral cavity. Foods needing active chewing lead to an increased salivation, which is desirable.

It is neither necessary nor practical to stop children eating sweets completely. On the contrary, children should be encouraged to eat a balanced meal before any sweets are given. Friends and relatives should be encouraged to bring gifts rather than sweets. The consumption of bed-time snack or drink (other than water) should be strongly discouraged, since salivary flow is virtually absent at night and plaque pH may remain low for many hours.

All dental professionals are encouraged to share current best practice oral health prevention strategies with their local community medical providers especially with pediatricians (Huston & Wood, 2009).

4.2 Clinical use of fluorides

There is substantial evidence that fluoride, through different applications and formulas, works to control caries development. The first observations of fluoride’s effects on dental caries were linked to fluoride naturally present in the drinking water, and then from controlled water fluoridation programs. Other systemic methods to deliver fluoride were later suggested, including dietary fluoride supplements such as salt and milk. These systemic methods are now being questioned due to the fact that many studies have indicated that fluoride’s action relies mainly on its post-eruptive effect from topical contact with the tooth structure. It is known that even the methods of delivering fluoride known as 'systemic' act mainly through a topical effect when they are in contact with the teeth. The effectiveness of water fluoridation in many geographic areas is lower than in previous eras due to the widespread use of other fluoride modalities. Nevertheless, this evidence should not be interpreted as an indication that systemic methods are no longer relevant ways to deliver fluoride on an individual basis or for collective health programs. Caution must be taken to avoid excess ingestion of fluoride when prescribing dietary fluoride supplements for children in order to minimize the risk of dental fluorosis, particularly if there are other relevant sources of fluoride intake - such as drinking water, salt or milk and/or dentifrice (Sampaio et al., 2011; Jimenez-Farfan et al., 2011). Safe and effective doses of fluoride can be achieved when combining topical and systemic methods. Before considering supplementing fluoride, recommendations on how to avoid excessive fluoride intake should be followed (Buzalaf & Levy, 2011).

4.2.1 Communal water fluoridation

Studies evaluating the effect of water fluoridation on dental caries show a reduction in both the primary and permanent dentitions of about 50%. Community water fluoridation is safe and cost-effective and therefore, should be introduced and maintained wherever socially acceptable and feasible. The optimum fluoride concentration will normally be within the range 0.5-1.0 mg/l (ppm). At this currently accepted optimal level, water fluoridation will
result in some mild enamel fluorosis (McDonagh et al., 2000). The technical operation of water-fluoridation systems should be monitored and recorded regularly to prevent toxic effects of the element.

### 4.2.2 Fluoride-containing toothpaste

Fluoride toothpaste is the most widely used method of applying fluoride to teeth so far. It is commonly used at home. It also has been using in community and school-based preventive programs. A recent systematic review concluded its beneficial use in preventing caries in children and adolescents, but only significantly for fluoride concentrations of 1000 ppm and above (Wong et al., 2011). Brushing teeth with fluoride containing toothpaste twice per day is recommended. The patients should brush before bed as the paste provides fluoride concentrations in saliva while the child is asleep. The effectiveness of fluoride toothpaste is concentration dependent. The relative caries preventive effects of fluoride toothpastes of different concentrations increase with higher fluoride concentration. The decision of what fluoride levels to use for children under 6 years should be balanced with the risk of fluorosis (Walsh et al., 2010). Studies have shown that use of fluoride toothpaste from an early age is associated with higher levels of very mild fluorosis. The very mild grades are not aesthetically compromising, the use of fluoride toothpastes should continue to be promoted in communities, where or not they are served with fluoridated water or salt (WHO, 1994). However, in a recent study, it was indicated that there was weak unreliable evidence that starting the use of fluoride toothpaste in children aged <12 months may be associated with an increased risk of fluorosis. The evidence if use begins between the age of 12 and 24 months is equivocal. If the risk of fluorosis is of concern, the fluoride level of toothpaste for young children (under 6 years of age) is recommended to be lower than 1000 parts per million (ppm). More evidence from studies with low risk of bias is needed (Rasines, 2010). The author agrees with some other author’s suggestion that children under 6 should use an adult-concentration paste (1000-1500 ppm fluoride), but a small pea-sized portion of it. The child should be encouraged to spit out excess paste and not swallow it. Children’s pastes (500 ppm fluoride or less) could be recommended for children at low risk of caries living in an area where the water contains fluoride (Kidd, 2005). Some conclusions made by the WHO expert committee in 1994 are everyone should be encouraged to brush Daily with a fluoride toothpaste, every effort must be made to develop affordable fluoridated toothpastes for general use in developing countries, fluoridated toothpaste tubes should carry advice that for children under the age of 6 years brushing should be supervised and only a very small amount (less than 5 mm) should be placed on the brush or the chewing-stick (WHO, 1994).

### 4.2.3 Professionally applied fluoride (fluoride varnish in the pediatrician office)

It has been previously reported that fluoride varnish (a concentrated form of sodium fluoride) reduced caries in the primary dentition by 33% and in the permanent dentition by 46% when compared with placebo (Morinho et al., 2011). Therefore, their wider use is encouraged by WHO (1994). Visits to primary care physicians and pediatricians are the norm during children’s first few years of life in many countries. Surveys of pediatric primary care providers suggest that they are willing to provide preventive dental care for their pediatric patients (Pierce et al., 2002). Lewis et al found that 74 % of US pediatricians
expressed a willingness to apply fluoride varnish (Lewis et al., 2000). In promoting preventive dental health, pediatricians benefit all children and particularly the underserved. Therefore, pediatricians will require adequate training in oral health in medical school, residency, and in continuing education courses. It has been recently reported that *multiple applications of fluoride at the time of primary tooth emergence seem to be most beneficial to prevent dental caries formation.* Referrals to dentists for treatment of existing disease detected by physicians during regular visits limited the cumulative reductions in caries-related treatments, but also contributed to improved oral health (Pahel et al., 2011). Twice yearly application of fluoride varnish is indicated for the children over 6 years exposed to a greater cariogenic challenge or (in exceptional cases) when it is difficult to control caries in children under 6 years. Non-dental health care professionals should seek a professional advice from a pediatric dentist for appropriate application of the varnish.

### 4.2.4 Dietary fluoride supplements (tablets, drops, vitamins plus fluoride, lozenges)

The recent data on the value of fluorides administered during pregnancy fails to disclose any valid evidence to support such use even in non-fluoridated areas. *Fluoride ingestion by pregnant women does not benefit the teeth of their offspring, at least not the permanent teeth* (Sá Roriz Fonteles et al., 2005). In a recent panel by American Dental Association Council on Scientific Affairs, the following questions were addressed about the usage of fluoride supplements: When and for whom should the supplements be prescribed, and what should be the recommended dosage schedule for them?. The panel concluded that dietary fluoride supplements *should be prescribed only for children who are at high risk of developing caries and whose primary source of drinking water is deficient in fluoride* (Rozier et al., 2010). Supplements if indicated should be prescribed in accordance with the dosages recommended in Table 3 (AAPD, 2002). The natural (e.g. water) and cumulative (e.g. consumption amount of fluoride-rich foods, sources of drinking water) fluoride concentrations, should be determined and analyzed before fluoride prescription. These clinical recommendations should be integrated with the practitioner’s professional judgment and the patient’s needs and preferences. Providers should carefully monitor the patient’s adherence to the fluoride dosing schedule to maximize the potential therapeutic benefit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>LESS than 0.3 ppm F*</th>
<th>0.3-0.6 ppm F*</th>
<th>MORE than 0.6 ppm F*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Birth-6 mos</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 mos-3 yrs</td>
<td>0.25 mg</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 yrs-6 yrs</td>
<td>0.50 mg</td>
<td>0.25 mg</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 yrs up to at least 16 yrs</td>
<td>1.00 mg</td>
<td>0.50 mg</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Dietary fluoride supplementation schedule (*=drinking water fluoride concentration).

### 4.3 Sugar alcohols, casein phosphopeptides

Partial sugar substitution with polyols is an important dietary tool in the prevention of dental caries that should be used to enhance existing fluoride-based caries prevention
programmes. Clinical studies have shown that xylitol, a natural, physiologic sugar alcohol of the pentitol type, can be used as a safe and effective caries-limiting sweetener. Habitual use of xylitol-containing food and oral hygiene adjuvants has been shown to reduce the growth of dental plaque, to interfere with the growth of caries-associated bacteria, to decrease the incidence of dental caries, and to be associated with remineralization of caries lesions. Other sugar alcohols that have been successfully used as sugar substitutes include D-glucitol (sorbitol), which, however, owing to its hexitol nature, normally has no strong effect on the mass and adhesiveness of bacterial plaque and on the growth of mutans streptococci. A tetritol-type alditol, erythritol, has shown potential as a non-cariogenic sugar substitute. Combinations of xylitol and erythritol may reduce the incidence of caries more effectively than either alditol alone (Makinen, 2011). Traditional delivering vehicles such as chewing-gums, hard candies and mints can only provide contact of the sugar substitutes with tooth surface for a few minute or even seconds. Therefore, novel delivery vehicles are still needed for the effective delivery of sugar substitutes before they can be considered as therapeutically effective. A group of peptides, known as casein phosphor peptide (CPP), have been shown to stabilize calcium and phosphate preserving them in an amorphous or soluble form known as amorphous calcium phosphate (ACP). Calcium and phosphate are essential components of enamel and dentine and form highly insoluble complexes, but in the presence of CPP they remain soluble and biologically available. This CPP-ACP complex applied to teeth by means of chewing-gum, toothpaste, lozenges, mouth rinses, or sprays is able to adhere to the dental biofilm and enamel hydroxyapatite providing bioavailable calcium and phosphate ions. When placed on the surface of a tooth with early carious lesions, pastes with CPP-ACP complexes can prevent tooth remineralization and improve enamel remineralization and enhance fluoride activity. Therefore, use of CPP-ACP based compounds offers a potential for use in the prevention of dental caries (Llena et al., 2009). Recently, probiotics have been investigating for dental caries prevention and inhibition. In caries, there are increases in acidogenic and acid-tolerating species such as mutans streptococci and lactobacilli, although other bacteria with similar properties can also be found and bifidobacteria, non-mutans streptococci, Actinomyces spp., Propionibacterium spp., Veillonella spp. and Atopobium spp. have also been implicated as significant in the aetiology of this disease (Aas et al., 2008). Therefore, to be able to develop probiotic or prebiotic interventions for applications in dental health care and to understand their mechanisms of action and potential risks, it is essential to have a clear understanding of the oral microbiota and their functions in dental/oral health and disease. However, some products have reached the market, there remains a paucity of clinical evidence to support the effectiveness of probiotics to prevent or treat caries (Meurman & Stomatova, 2007).

4.4 Counseling families on basic oral hygiene

The considerable potential of mothers should be a major focus of (oral) health professionals in developing oral health promotion programs for children and adolescents. Several maternal cognitive, behavioral, and psychosocial factors were associated with young children's brushing practices. Oral health-specific self-efficacy and knowledge measures are potentially modifiable cognitions and intervening on these factors could help foster healthy dental habits and increase children's brushing frequency early in life (Finlayson et al., 2007; Saied-Moallemi et al., 2008).
4.4.1 Feeding baby (Early Childhood Caries-ECC)

Early childhood caries (ECC) is defined as the presence of 1 or more decayed (noncavitated or cavitated lesions), missing (due to caries), or filled tooth surfaces in any primary tooth in a 71-month or younger child. In children younger than 3 years of age, any sign of tooth smooth surface caries is indicative of severe early childhood caries (S-ECC) (AAPD, 2003a). ECC has been found in general population but is more prevalent in low socioeconomic groups. It is 5 times more common than asthma, 7 times more common than hay fever, and 14 times more common than chronic bronchitis (Filstrup et al., 2003). The clinical appearance of the teeth in ECC is typical and follows a specific pattern: There is usually early and progressive carious lesions of the primary upper incisors in first years of age followed by the involvement of the upper and lower first primary molars, and the upper canines and sometimes lower canines (according to the sequence of eruption). The lower incisors are usually unaffected because of salivary flow from sub-lingual glands, and the contact of the tongue and lips at the time of feeding that covers the lower incisors. Therefore, milk and carbohydrates spread over all teeth except the lower incisors and prevent pudding or gathering of milk around these teeth (Tinanoff et al., 1998). The American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry (AAPD) has recognized the unique and virulent nature of ECC and accepted it as a serious public health problem. The presence of high levels of ECC, despite a reduction in permanent-dentition caries through fluoridation of water and use of fluoridated toothpastes, begs for a broader look at social and behavioral factors that correlate with this form of the disease. ECC not only affects teeth, but also may lead to more widespread health issues such as: chewing difficulty, malnutrition, gastrointestinal disorders, delayed or insufficient growth (especially in regard to the height and/or weight gain), poor speech articulation, low self-esteem and social ostracism. Additionally, repeated prescriptions of antibiotics, severe pain, sepsis and even death may also be observed. ECC is an infectious disease. Mutans Streptococci (streptococcus mutans and Streptococcus sobrinus species) are the most likely causes ECC and Lactobacilli participates in the development of the lesions and play role in lesion progression not its initiation (Parisotto et al., 2010). Bifidobacteria are asosociated with S-ECC. S. mutans and S. sobrinus are also associated with lesion recurrence. Diet also plays an important role in the acquisition and clinical expression of the disease. Children with S-ECC, had higher scores of cariogenic bacteria for between-meal juice, solid retentive foods, and eating frequency than caries-free children. S. mutans positive children with ECC consume more cariogenic foods compared to caries-free children (Palmer et al., 2010). Acquisition may occur via vertical (from mother to child) or horizontal transmission (transmission of microbes between members of a group) (Berkowitz, 2006). Further details on vertical transmission of microorganisms will be presented in the following section. Risk factors for ECC are cariogenic bacteria, inappropriate feeding practices, social variables (education, lack of fluoride, access to healthcare, lack of health insurance, Treatment of ECC is generally problematic and costly because the cooperation of babies is low. Additionally within the first year after dental caries treatments, 40% recurrence rate has been reported around restored teeth and occurrence of new decays is common (Graves et al., 2004). Primary pediatric care providers are more likely to have earlier contact with children. Therefore, pediatricians as well as primary care health professionals will be responsible for the prevention of ECC. The diagnosis of impaired dentition and related prevention and outcomes should be included in their curricula. Primary prevention of ECC begins in the prenatal and perinatal periods.
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(include pregnancy and first month of birth) and addresses the health of both mother and infant. Mother’s or caregiver’s teeth must be examined infants whose mothers have high levels of untreated dental caries are at greater risk of acquiring organisms. General approach which have been used to prevent ECC include training of mothers or caregivers to follow healthy dietary and feeding habits to prevent the development of ECC, early screening for signs of caries development (starting from about 7 to 8 month of age) to identify infants who are at risk developing ECC and assisting in providing information for parents about promoting oral health. Pediatricians can give the following recommendations for prevention of ECC to mothers and caregivers (AAPD, 2003b):

- Elimination of active dental caries lesions, gingival disease,
- Using fluoride and chlorhexidine (Toothpaste, mouthwash, gel, varnish),
- Twice daily tooth brushing of the dentate infant (around 7th month of age),
- Oral health evaluation of the infant by a pediatric dentist before the first birthday,
- Infants should not be put to sleep with a bottle and nocturnal breastfeeding should be avoided after the first primary tooth begins to erupt,
- Mothers should be encouraged to have infants drink from a cup as they approach their first birthday,
- Infants should be weaned from the bottle at 12 to 14 months of age,
- An attempt should be made to assess and decrease the mother’s/primary caregiver’s mutans streptococci level to decrease the transmission of cariogenic bacteria,
- Stop saliva-sharing activities, such as tasting food before feeding and sharing toothbrushes.

![Child’s teeth with ECC](image)

**Fig. 4. Child’s teeth with ECC. Observe the different phases of development (Quoted from Losso EM, Tavares MCR, da Silva JYB, Urban C (2009). Severe early childhood caries: an integral approach, *J Pediatr* 4, 295-300.**

### 4.4.2 Initial acquisition of Mutans Streptococci (MS) by infants from their mothers

MS, consisting mainly of the species Streptococcus mutans and Streptococcus sobrinus, are commonly cultured from the mouths of infants, with prevalence of infection ranging from around 30 % in 3 month old predentate children to over 80 % in 24 month old children with primary teeth. MS is usually transmitted to children through their mothers. Domejean et al. (2010) indicated that MS can colonize horizontal as well as vertical transmission does occur.
The risk of transmission increases with high maternal salivary levels of MS and frequent inoculation. Köhler & Andreen (2010) reported that children colonised by MS at an early stage developed more caries than those colonised at a later stage and early maternal caries prevention is an efficient method to prevent early colonization of MS in their children. Factors that affect the colonization of MS may be divided into bacterial virulence, host-related and environmental factors. Complex interactions among these factors determine the success and timing of MS colonization in the child. As clinical studies have shown that caries risk is correlated with age at which initial MS colonization occurred, strategies for the prevention of dental caries should include timely control of colonization of the cariogenic bacteria in the mouths of young children.

4.4.3 Mechanical and chemical home oral hygiene (reduction of dental plaque and microorganisms)

Dentistry, particularly dentistry for children has come a long way toward reaching a ratio of 90% prevention to 10% treatment in many developed countries. At the core of this preventive approach is home oral hygiene and plaque control. The traditional focus of oral hygiene has been and will go on to be the control of the two most prevalent oral diseases, caries and periodontal disease. Although plaque control is essential for oral hygiene, it is important to realize that no clear relationship exists between plaque control and the prevention of caries (unlike with periodontal disease). As discussed previously in the section 2.1, the complex etiology of caries centers on the following factors: tooth susceptibility, bacterial plaque, refined carbohydrates, and time. Many other variables such as oral sugar clearance and salivary flow and pH, add to the complexity of the caries process. This complex etiology helps to explain the difficulty in demonstrating a relationship between oral hygiene practices and caries prevention. Despite this ambiguity, plaque control remains an essential element for oral health. In the absence of oral hygiene dental plaque accumulates leading to shifts in bacterial populations away from those associated with health. Plaque control efforts should be directed toward two goals: (1) limiting the numbers of mutans streptococci in plaque for prevention of caries by mechanical elimination of supragingival plaque (toothbrushing) and limitation of dietary sucrose, and (2) maintaining the predominantly gram-positive flora associated with gingival health by mechanical removal of plaque from the subgingival area (flossing) on a regular basis. Brushing twice daily with fluoride toothpaste has been advocated by the profession for many years, and this behavior is a routine part of many people’s behavior. This daily brushing with fluoride toothpaste is believed to be the primary reason for the decline of caries observed in many populations since the 1970s. The behavior should not be taken for granted. Children should always be asked whether, and how often, they brush their teeth and what toothpaste they use. Most toothpastes contain fluoride, but not all, and it is important to check the fluoride concentration in toothpaste to administer proper dosage to the child. The use of chemotherapeutic agents, particularly chlorhexidine, can also play a role in maintenance of gingival health. The appropriateness and effectiveness of home oral hygiene procedures change throughout childhood. It is necessary to involve the parent at some level of the oral hygiene procedures. Age categories for specific home oral hygiene recommendations are prenatal period, infants (0-1 yrs), toddlers (1-3 yrs), preschoolers (3-6 yrs), school-aged children (6-12 yrs) and adolescents (12-19 yrs). The American academy of pediatric dentistry recommends that children have their first dental visit at approximately the time of eruption of the first tooth or, at the latest, by the age of 12 months (AAPD,
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Therefore, pediatricians and primary health care providers must refer children to pediatric dentists in order to get them their regular dental visits. Objectives to be accomplished at the first visit: Instruction of the parents in the use of oral hygiene procedures, infant dental examination and fluoride status review, dietary issues related to nursing and bottle caries.

Mouthrinsing for the prevention of dental caries in children and adolescents was established as a mass prophylactic method in the 1960s and has shown average efficacy of caries reduction between 20-50%. Commonly, weekly or twice monthly rinsing procedures using neutral 0.2% NaF solutions have been used in schools or institutions in areas with low fluoride concentrations in the drinking water. Today, when dental caries has declined substantially in the western countries, and relatively few individuals are suffering from caries, the efficiency of large scale mouthrinsing is questioned and more individual approaches of caries prevention strategies are needed. Therefore in high risk patients, daily mouthrinses using 0.05% NaF can be recommended combined with other selective preventive measures such as sugar restriction, improved oral hygiene, antibacterial treatments, and so forth. Mouthrinsing solutions have therefore been combined with antiplaque agents like chlorhexidine and other agents which can improve the caries preventive effect in high caries risk patients. Other agents than sodium fluoride have been used, such as stannous and amine fluoride with proven clinical effects. However, although a series of new formulas of mouthrinses containing fluoride combined with different antiplaque agents have shown promising antibacterial and antiplaque efficacy, their long-term clinical effects are sparsely documented. Acute and chronic side effects from established and recommended mouthrinsing routines are extremely rare but ethanol containing products should not be recommended to children for long-term use. (FDI Commision, 2002).

5. Clinical assessment and management of the oral environment in a child patient receiving cancer treatment

5.1 The impact of cancer therapies on the oral cavity

The likelihood is high that aggressive cancer treatment will have toxic effects on normal cells as well as cancer cells. The gastrointestinal tract, including the mouth, is particularly prone to damage. This is true whether the treatment is radiation or chemotherapy. Most patients being treated for head and neck cancer will experience some oral complications, and while most of these are manageable, complications can sometimes become severe enough that treatment must be completely stopped. In addition, surgical solutions to tumor removal may lead to oral and nutritional problems as well. The most common oral problems occurring after radiation and chemotherapy are mucositis (an inflammation of the mucous membranes in the mouth), infection, pain, and bleeding. Other possible complications might include dehydration and malnutrition, commonly brought on by difficulties in swallowing (dysphagia). Radiation therapy to the head and neck may injure the glands that produce saliva (xerostomia), or damage the muscles and joints of the jaw and neck (trismus). These treatments may also cause hypovascularization (reduction in blood vessels and blood supply) of the bones of the maxilla or mandible (the bones of the mouth). In addition, treatments may affect other forms of dental disease (caries, or soft tissue complications), or even cause bone death (osteonecrosis). By identifying patients at risk for oral complications, health care providers are able to start preventive measures before cancer therapy begins.
reducing the occurrence of problems brought about by different treatment modalities. The most important risk factors leading to problems are oral or dental disease that already exists, and poor oral care during cancer therapy. Other risk factors include the type of cancer, the chemotherapy type and schedule used, the area irradiated and how much radiation is given, how low blood counts are decreased and for how long, the patient's age, and the general condition of the patient's health pre-treatment. Pre-existing oral conditions may increase the risk of infection or other problems. Problems such as caries, calculus and tartar on the teeth, broken (fractured) teeth, the condition and quality of existing dental repairs such as crowns or fillings, periodontal disease, and appliances such as removable fixtures, or orthodontic brackets can make therapy more difficult later on. Bacteria and fungi can live in the mouth, and may develop into an infection when the immune system is not working well, or when white blood cell counts are low. Both of these factors can be caused by the treatment methods used. Where the gums (gingiva) or other soft tissues are irritated, tissues can thin and waste away, causing sores in the mouth. These complications can result in a significant reduction in the quality of life for the patient.

5.2 Oral mucositis

5.2.1 What is oral mucositis?

Mucositis is a common toxicity associated with both chemotherapy, and head and neck radiation used for the treatment of cancer (Scully et al., 2003, 2004). It is characterized by ulceration in the oro-oesophageal and gastrointestinal mucosae that results in pain, dysphagia, diarrhoea and dysfunction depending on the tissue affected (Sonis & Fey, 2002). Oral mucositis results in severe discomfort and impairs patients' ability to eat, swallow and talk. Concomitant therapy-induced myelosuppression places patients at significant risk of bacteraemia and sepsis from oral microorganisms resulting in increased days of fever, antibiotic use and hospitalization (Donnelly et al., 1995). Historically, mucositis has been associated with particular high-risk groups such as patients being irradiated for cancers of the head and neck, individuals receiving conditioning regimens for stem cell transplant that include total body irradiation or high dose melphalan and patients receiving specific induction protocols for acute leukaemia. Mucositis has been consistently reported to occur in at least 75% of treated patients in these groups. Radiation-induced mucositis occurs in almost all patients who are treated for cancers of the mouth, oropharynx and nasopharynx, and in approximately two-thirds of those treated for cancers of the hypopharynx or larynx. Mucositis risk and severity are determined by the treatment dose, radiation field size and fractionation schedules prescribed for individual patients. Hyperfractionated schedules and combination of radiation with chemotherapy increase the prevalence, severity and duration of mucositis. In patients receiving cancer chemotherapy, the frequency and severity of mucositis is mainly determined by the type(s) and dose of cancer chemotherapeutic agents used. Five-fluorouracil (5-FU), cisplatin, etoposide and melphalan are particularly stomatotoxic (Chi et al., 1995; Pico et al., 1998) and mucositis is common with doxorubicin, vinblastine, taxanes and methotrexate, but uncommon with asparaginase and carmustine (Symonds, 1998). Finally, mucositis is seen in 75–99% of patients receiving conditioning regimens for haemopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) particularly in those that combine total body irradiation (TBI) and chemotherapy (Blijlevens et al, 2000). Mucositis is the most common symptom and distressing complication of HSCT (Bellm et al., 2000), and some 30–50% of patients with HSCT complain that mucositis is their most significant toxicity. The only independent risk factor identified for mucositis is the conditioning
regimen: high-dose melphalan (HDM) regimens exceed busulphan, busulphan-cyclophosphamide, cyclophosphamide–TBI, cyclophosphamide–carmustine (BCNU) and cyclophosphamide–etoposide–carmustine (Wardley et al., 2000).

### 5.2.2 Clinical diagnosis

Diagnosis of mucositis is clinical and based on the use of known stomatotoxic therapy, and the appearance, timing and location of oral lesions. Chemotherapy-induced mucositis occurs on the movable mucosae, rarely affecting the dorsum of the tongue, the hard palate or the gingiva. Radiation-induced mucositis also affects the movable mucosae and may involve the hard palate, albeit rarely. Infections and graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) are the most common differential diagnoses. Viral infections differ clinically from mucositis in that they are typically croppy, localized and involve keratinized mucosa of the hard palate, gingival and dorsal tongue and their onset often coincides with fever. Culture or exfoliative cytology at the time of lesion presentation is prudent. Graft-vs-host disease is limited to patients who have received allogeneic HSCT and develops following haematologic recovery (beyond 21 days after transplant) and typically results in dramatic oral lesions that are often lichenoid in character, sometimes also with xerostomia (Woo et al., 1997). Neutropenia, induced by chemotherapy, may be associated with necrotizing gingivitis.

The early clinical sign of mucositis is erythema presenting about 4–5 days following chemotherapy infusion or at cumulative doses of head and neck radiation of about 10 Gy. Patients also often complain of burning and intolerance of spicy foods at this stage. Seven to 10 days after chemotherapy or at cumulative radiation doses of 30 Gy, ulcers develop, resulting in marked discomfort, often requiring opioid intervention and in many cases causing patients to alter their diet. In the case of chemotherapy-induced mucositis, lesions are seen mostly on the movable mucosae of the buccal mucosa and lateral and ventral surfaces of the tongue. The hard palate and gingiva appear not susceptible to chemotherapy-induced mucositis. In contrast, radiation-induced mucositis may involve any radiation-exposed area, including the hard palate, albeit rarely. Chemotherapy-induced mucositis lasts approximately 1 week and generally heals spontaneously by 21 days after infusion. Radiation-induced mucositis stays at a peak for at least 2 weeks following the completion of radiotherapy (typically 60–70 Gy). As a result, it is not uncommon for patients receiving radiotherapy for cancers of the mouth and contiguous areas, to have severe ulcerative oral mucositis persisting for 5–7 weeks. Chronic mucositis following radiation therapy does occur, but rarely. A large number of mucositis scoring systems have been devised (summarized by Sonis et al, 2004) but most lack standardization or validation. The two most commonly used scoring tools to describe toxicity are the WHO and the National Cancer Institute (NCI) common terminology criteria for adverse events (Table 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Clinical features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Soreness/erythema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Erythema, ulcers but able to eat solids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ulcers but requires liquid diet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Oral alimentation not possible</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. WHO Mucositis scale (WHO, 1979).
5.2.3 Prevention and treatment options

There are a number of strategies adopted by oncologists to minimize the adverse effects of cancer therapy such as dose reduction, and other preventive treatment options. For example, leucovorin has been used for years to minimize the mucositis resulting from use of 5-FU (Lalla and Peterson, 2005). These are the province of oncologists and are not discussed further here. There has been a range of interventions developed for prophylaxis of oral mucositis but a more rational approach may be warranted. Indeed, there are very few randomized controlled double-blind trials (RCTs) assessing most of the interventions. A recent Cochrane review (Worthington, 2011) concluded that cryotherapy (ice chips) and Keratinocyte Growth Factor (Palifermin®) have shown some evidence of benefit in the prevention of mucositis. There is weaker less reliable evidence of a benefit associated with aloe vera, amifostine, intravenous glutamine supplementation, granulocyte-colony stimulating factor, honey, laser, polymixin/colistin/aminoglycoside (PTA) lozenges and sucralfate. There is no evidence that chlorhexidine is more effective that placebo and this intervention should not be used in the prevention of mucositis.

The outcomes from studies testing GM-CSF, benzydamine hydrochloride or amifostine are mixed. (Mascarin et al, 1999; Tejedor et al, 2000). Benzydamine HCl has been shown in single centre studies and in a multicentre double blind randomized placebo controlled trial in radiation therapy to reduce the intensity and duration of mucosal damage as well as to delay the need to use systemic pain-relievers including opioids (Epstein et al., 1989, 2001). Benzydamine was not effective however, in patients receiving accelerated radiotherapy doses of more than 220 cGy. A preliminary study indicated that the severity of oral mucositis, both objective and subjective, in HSCT patients may be reduced by 0.1% topical tretinoin cream which has anti-inflammatory activity, administered daily from the beginning of the HSCT conditioning until marrow engraftment (Cohen et al., 1997). Local antimicrobials containing amphotericin, polymyxin and tobramycin may have some activity (Bondi et al., 1997). Small single centre trials show that the incidence, severity and duration of radiochemotherapy-induced mucositis can be significantly reduced by oral rinsing with povidone iodine performed in addition to the standard prophylaxis scheme (Adamietz et al. 1998). Mixed results have been seen with oral glutamine, which is involved in protein and nucleic acid synthesis: one group showed a decrease in the severity and duration of oropharyngeal mucositis in autologous HSCT patients but not in allogeneic HSCT patients. It is possibly because of interaction with methotrexate (Anderson et al., 1998a,b). While similar results were shown in a trial of intravenous glutamine in HSCT (MacBurney et al., 1994), and from an uptake-enhanced glutamine suspension used orally (Peterson, 2006), others have found no benefit (Schloerb & Skikne, 1999). Mucositis invariably requires systemic analgesics, adjunctive medications, physical therapy and psychologic therapy in addition to oral care. A recent Cochrane review (Worthington, 2004) concluded that there was no evidence that patient controlled analgesia (PCA) is better than continuous infusion method for controlling pain, but less opiate was used per hour, and duration of pain was shorter, for PCA. Only weak and unreliable evidence that allopurinol mouthwash, vitamin E, immunoglobulin or human placental extract improve or eradicate mucositis.

Pain from established mucositis can be reduced by systemic analgesics with non-steroidal agents and other non-opioids used first, combined with opioids such as morphine and hydromorphone when pain is severe. In the in-patient setting, PCA provides the most effective pain control with lower total doses of opioid. Topical analgesics may combat pain and dysphagia when used prior to meals. Capsaicin may also provide analgesia (Berger et
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al., 1995). Cubukcu & Sevinir (2007) used debridement technique to promote healing of established mucositis and to alleviate symptom clusters in a group of children who were on induction chemotherapy. They concluded that debridement promoted resolution and decreased the severity of oral mucositis significantly. Thus, the subjects had less oral discomfort, pain, and nutritional difficulties. In general, mucositis should be treated conservatively to avoid further tissue irritation and damaging the remaining cells from which the epithelium will regenerate. Plaque control and oral hygiene should be maintained with careful tooth brushing (Borowski et al, 1994). The potential benefit of prophylactic rinses with chlorhexidine may be to control plaque levels, gingivitis, reduce caries risk and oropharyngeal candidosis, rather than any direct effect upon oral mucositis. The patient should be advised to take a soft bland diet, avoiding irritants such as tobacco, alcohol or spices. Nutrition should be maintained.

6. Conclusion

Tooth caries (decay) remains a substantial problem in young children and is made worse by existing barriers that prevent them from obtaining dental care. Because most children are exposed to medical care but not dental care at an early age, pediatricians have the opportunity to play an important role in helping children and their families gain access to dental care. Instructional efforts to increase pediatricians’ dental knowledge or opinions of the importance of oral diseases are unlikely to be effective in increasing dental referral unless they include methods to increase confidence in providers’ ability to identify and appropriately refer children with disease. Pediatricians can provide oral health promotion and disease prevention activities, thereby eliminating or delaying dental disease and the need for treatment at a very young age.
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