Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Chapter from the book Modern Arthroscopy
Downloaded from: http://www.intechopen.com/books/modern-arthroscopy

Interested in publishing with InTechOpen?
Contact us at book.department@intechopen.com
Extraarticular Arthroscopy of the Knee

Shinichi Maeno1, Daijo Hashimoto2, Toshiro Otani3, Ko Masumoto4, Itsuki Yuzawa5, Kengo Harato6 and Seiji Saito1

1Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Shioya Hospital of International University of Health and Welfare, Tochigi, 2Department of Surgery, Josai Hospital, Tochigi, 3Keio University Faculty of Nursing and Medical Care, Tokyo, 4Masumoto Clinic, Tokyo, 5Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Terada Hospital, Tokyo, 6Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Kawasaki Municipal Kawasaki Hospital Japan

1. Introduction

Indication of the intraarticular arthroscopy, which was originally developed in knee surgery, has been expanded dramatically in accordance with the development of the instruments, including electric coagulator or pressure and flow-control pump. It is widely used not only in the major joints such as knee, shoulder, and hip, but also in the small joints, in hand and foot. It is also applied to outside the joint cavities, such as in the bursae (Verdonk et al., 1988; Klein, 1996; Bradley & Dillingham, 1998; Ogilvie-Harris & Gilbart, 2000), bone marrow of femur or tibia (Roberts et al., 2000,2001; Kwak et al., 2009), and around the tendon sheath (van Dijk et al., 1997, 1998; Steenstra & van Dijk, 2006; Lui, 2007). We describe herein extra-articular arthroscopy using a lifting hanger.

2. Indication

Possible indications for the extraarticular procedures around the knee are; lateral release for painful bipartite patella, excessive lateral pressure syndrome, and recurrent dislocation of the patella (Maeno et al., 2008,2010), bursectomy for prepatellar bursa, and medial patellofemoral ligament (MPFL) reconstruction. It can be expanded to any other extraarticular works around the knee.

3. Instruments

A conventional arthroscopy setup is used. Either a hanger or a coil shaped lifter is needed. The hanger is shaped in a semi-circular, similar to those used in infantile gasless laparoscopic surgery (Takasago Medical Industry, Tokyo, Japan). The coil-shaped lifter is created from an endotracheal tube stylet (Muranaka Medical Instruments, Osaka, Japan). The tip end is bent manually to form a spiral shape, creating a coil about 5 cm in diameter, with about 3 loops (Fig 1).
4. Methods

Routine diagnostic intraarticular arthroscopy is performed from anterolateral (AL) portal. In cases of lateral release procedures, a 1 cm superolateral (SL) portal is made with care not to penetrate the joint. The subcutaneous space is then developed to establish the working space. The lifting hanger is applied. Through the superolateral portal, the ring-shaped end is inserted into the subcutaneous space, and rolled up until the end of the hanger buries under the skin (Fig.2).
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Fig. 2. Coil shaped lifter is inserted into the subcutaneous space

Once pulling the lifter, the working space is established. Care must be taken to avoid inserting the end of the hanger into the underlying muscle. Dry arthroscopy can be performed in the subcutaneous working space created by the lifter (Fig. 3, 4).

Lastly, the hanger is removed by turning it in the opposite direction.

Fig. 3. Subcutaneous space created by the lifter.
Fig. 4. Operational field during surgery. A) The hanger lifts up the skin, affording the operator a view from outside the joint. B) Likewise, coil shaped lifter is creating the space. Instruments (scissors) are introduced to the operative field. In these situations, the arthroscope is introduced from the AL portal and the hanger lifts the skin from the superolateral portal.

4.1 Lateral release
In the lateral release procedure, a subcutaneous cavity from the AL portal up to the SL portal is needed. In cases of bipartite patella, careful probing to examine instability of the fragment, the status of articular cartilage underneath the patella, and the extent of the affected area to be treated is important. Viewing the lesion from inside the joint, firstly we pierce the lesion with 23-gauge needles at the proximal and distal edges of the lesion, to ensure the extent of release. It often involves not only the lateral retinaculum but also vastus lateralis muscle. We try to release only the attachment of the vastus lateralis muscle and lateral retinaculum to the fragment.

Basically the arthroscope is in the AL viewing portal. Under a magnified arthroscopic view, careful release should be performed, including the vastus lateralis muscle and lateral retinaculum, with a No. 11 blade through the SL portal. The release should be between the needle markers with a knife and arthroscopic scissor cutters. A 1-cm portal is sufficient to introduce both the lifter and an arthroscope, or the lifter and instruments, as the portal is stretched by the lifter. Authors prefer to debride the released edge of the vastus lateralis
muscle with a 4.5-mm shaver to create a gap between the muscle and fragment, which decreases the risk of the muscle scarring back down to the fragment (Fig.5).
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**Fig. 5. SL portal view.** A 4.5 mm shaver is used in order to create a gap between muscle and fragment. VL, vastus lateralis muscle; P, patella.

It should ideally be done from outside the joint with care not to penetrate the joint, so that the joint capsule can be maintained intact to help minimize the postoperative leakage of the joint fluid.

### 4.2 Medial patellofemoral ligament reconstruction

While numbers of MPFL reconstructions are reported, this procedure allows doing under a minimum incision. Authors’ preferred method is as follows; a 1 cm incision at the superomedial corner of the patella is made. An oblique bone tunnel through the patella is drilled with a guide pin and overdrilling method. This tunnel should be obliquely routed from the superomedial corner of the patella to the anterior center of the patella, trying to recreate the original fan-shaped attachment of the MPFL (Steensen et al., 2004). The lifting hanger is introduced into the prepatellar space, to be able to perform extraarticular dry arthroscopy. The harvested semitendinosus tendon is introduced to this space through the bone tunnel, pulled using a passing pin (Fig.6).
Fig. 6. Watching the drilled hole on anterior surface of the patella through the scope, absorbable sutures (No. 2 Vicryl®) connected to semitendinosus tendon is introduced by pulling through the passing pin.
The suture is caught using a grasper punch from the superomedial portal, and the tendon is drawn out to the superomedial portal, along with the existing end of the tendon (Fig.7).

Using a tendon passer, both tendon ends are lead to the femoral fixation site, which is just distal to the adductor tubercle and posterosuperior to the medial epicondyle (Nomura, 2003).

An interference screw is used to fix the ligament.

4.3 Bursectomy
Among numbers of bursae existed around the knee, the most problematic bursitis would happen in the prepatellar bursa. The incision should be at the superior and the inferior end of the bursa, with care not to cut the infrapatellar branch of the saphenous nerve. Authors prefer using dye solution prior to resection to help determine the extent of the bursal tissue, as it does not always look like typical bursa. Under dry arthroscopy in the bursa created by the lifter, bursectomy can be performed using a shavor or an electric coagulator. Putting a drainage tube should also be considered depending on the cases.
5. Case presentation

A 10-year-old boy (football player) suffered bilateral anterior knee pain and consulted a nearby clinic in 2005, but only underwent observational studies. Radiography showed a normal patellar shape on the left, but the lateral edge of the patella seemed deficient on the right. Pain worsened to the point where he could no longer continue playing football. Bilateral bipartite patellae was diagnosed at 12 years old in 2007, and he was introduced to our hospital in October 2007.

Radiography in our hospital revealed bilateral bipartite patellae (Saupe classification, type II) (Saupe, 1943), and showed marked tenderness on the anterolateral aspect of the knees, at the site of dissociated bony fragments (Fig. 8).

Fig. 8. Plain radiography in Case 1. Left figures show the right knee, right figures show the left knee.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showed signal hyperintensity at the dissociated fragment and fibrous connected site on T2-weighted fat-suppression images.

Lateral retinacular release accompanied by the release of vastus lateralis muscle insertion from the bony fragment were performed on both knees arthroscopically under both intra- and extra-articular views using the hanger lifting procedure in November 2007, at 13 years old. At first, conventional arthroscopy was performed to check the cartilage status. The
surface of the cartilage looked almost intact on dissociated sites. We determined the range to release from the shape of the patella from inside the joint, using pierce-marks of 23-G needles for marking. The subcutaneous space was then widely developed. A semi-circular hanger was then applied through the SL access portal by placing the ring-shaped end of the hanger, with the other end of the hanger retracted by hand. Watching the patella and lateral retinaculum from outside the joint, lateral release was able to be performed. The width of release could be checked from both inside and outside the joint (Fig. 9).

Fig. 9. Arthroscopic view from inside and outside the joint. A, C) Right knee. B, D) Left knee. A) View from inside the right knee joint, using the AL portal. Black arrow indicates the bipartite patella. No marked cartilage damage was evident. B) View from inside the left knee joint, using the AL portal. C) View from outside the right knee joint, using the SL portal. Lateral release of bipartite patella is successfully completed. The lateral femoral condyle can be seen from outside the joint. D) Lateral release performed under a view from outside the joint. A shaver is introduced from the SL portal. The camera is from the AL portal. The lifting hanger is also seen (white arrow). P, patella. *Bipartite patellae.
The patient began range-of-motion exercises from postoperative day 1, and was able to walk from postoperative day 2. Symptom resolved within 1 month, and radiography showed bone union by 2 months postoperatively in the left knee, and by 4 months in the right knee. Even the inclined bony fragment of the right knee was corrected during the course (Fig. 10).

Fig. 10. Radiography of Case 1 along with time course. A, C, E) Right knee. B, D, F) Left knee. A, B) Radiography at the time of initial complaint of pain in both knees at 11 years old. The shape of the right patella seemed normal (A), but the lateral edge of the left knee seemed deficient (B). C, D) Preoperative radiography at 12 years old. Both knees displayed bipartite patella (Saupe classification, type II). E) Right knee at 4 months postoperatively. F) Left knee at 2 months postoperatively. Bone union seemed complete.

6. Discussion

Hanger lifting procedures have been developed for abdominal operations during laparoscopic surgery, to avoid the risks inherent in conventional pneumoperitoneum (Hashimoto et al., 1993, 1995, Nagai et al., 1995). The hanger we used was designed for
abdominal operations in children (Yokomori et al., 1998), but is also suitable for knee surgery because the diameter is close to that of the patella. Instead of lifting the abdominal wall, we lifted the anterior skin of the knee to achieve extra-articular arthroscopy. The most characteristic feature of this method is the provision of an extra-articular view, which seems effective for these procedures. Views can be obtained from both inside and outside the joint arthroscopically without water. Further, with regard to vastus lateralis release, the benefit of this technique is the ability to keep the joint capsule intact, which is not possible with a conventional intra-articular arthroscopic approach. In terms of MPFL reconstruction, the creation of patellar bone tunnel and tendon passage can be made under arthroscopic view. Both intra- and extra-articular arthroscopic views seem indispensable for precise performance of those methods. The only substantial complication is interstitial edema and subcutaneous adhesion due to developing subcutaneous space. Thus, early mobilization with compression dressing seems necessary.
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