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1. Introduction

The process of changing sampling rate of a signal is called sampling rate conversion (SRC). Systems that employ multiple sampling rates in the processing of digital signals are called multirate digital signal processing systems. Multirate systems have different applications, such as efficient filtering, subband coding, audio and video signals, analog/digital conversion, software defined radio and communications, among others (Jovanovic Dolecek, 2002).

The reduction of a sampling rate is called decimation and consists of two stages: filtering and downsampling. If signal is not properly bandlimited the overlapping of the repeated replicas of the original spectrum occurs. This effect is called aliasing and may destroy the useful information of the decimated signal. That is why we need filtering to avoid this unwanted effect.

The most simple decimation filter is comb filter which does not require multipliers. One efficient implementation of this filter is called CIC (Cascaded-Integrator-Comb) filter proposed by Hogenauer (Hogenauer, 1981). Because of the popularity of this structure many authors also call the comb filter as CIC filter. In this chapter we will use term CIC filter. Due to its simplicity, the CIC filter is usually used in the first stage of decimation. However, the filter exhibits a high passband droop and a low attenuation in so called folding bands (bands around the zeros of CIC filter), which can be not acceptable in different applications. During last several years the improvement of the CIC filter characteristics attracted many researchers. Different methods have been proposed to improve the characteristics of the CIC filters, keeping its simplicity.

In this chapter we present different proposed methods to improve CIC magnitude characteristics illustrated with examples and MATLAB programs.

The rest of the chapter is organized in the following way. Next Section describes the CIC filter. Section 3 introduces the methods for the CIC passband improvement followed by the Section 4 which presents the methods for the CIC stopband improvement. The methods for both, the CIC passband and stopband improvements are described in Section 5.

2. CIC filter

CIC (Cascaded-Integrator-Comb) filter (Hogenauer, 1981) is widely used as the decimation filter due to its simplicity; it requires no multiplication or coefficient storage but rather only additions/subtractions. This filter consists of two main sections, cascaded integrators and combs, separated by a down-sampler, as shown in Fig. 1.
The transfer function of the resulting decimation filter, also known as a RRS (recursive running sum) or comb filter is given by

\[ H_{\text{comb}}(z) = \left( \frac{1}{M} \left( \frac{1 - z^{-M}}{1 - z^{-1}} \right) \right)^K, \] (1)

where \( M \) is the decimation factor, and \( K \) is the number of the stages. The transfer function in (1) will be also referred to as the comb filter. The integrator section works at the higher input data rate thereby resulting in higher chip area and higher power dissipation for this section. In order to resolve this problem the non-recursive structure of Eq. (1) can be used (Aboushady et al., 2001), (Gao at al., 2000),

\[ H(z) = \left[ \frac{1}{M} \right]^K \left[ 1 + z^{-1} + z^{-2} + ... + z^{-(M-1)} \right]^K. \] (2)

Implementing \( H(z) \) of Eq. (2) in a polyphase form, the filtering at the high input rate can be moved to the lower rate. In this chapter we do not discuss the CIC implementation issues.

### 2.1 Magnitude characteristic

The magnitude characteristic of the comb decimator must satisfy two requirements:

- To have a low droop in the frequency band defined by the passband frequency \( \omega_p \) in order to preserve the signal after decimation.
- To have a high attenuations in so called folding bands, i.e. the bands around of the zeros of the comb filter,

\[ \left[ \frac{M}{2\pi} \omega_p, \frac{M}{2\pi} \omega_p \right], \quad \text{for} \quad i = \begin{cases} 1, \ldots, M / 2 & \text{for } M \text{ even} \\ 1, \ldots, (M-1) / 2 & \text{for } M \text{ odd} \end{cases} \] (3)

We define the passband frequency as the frequency where the worst case of passband droop occurs, (Kwentus, Willson, 1997),

\[ \omega_p = \frac{\pi}{MR}, \] (4)

where \( R \) is the decimation stage that follows the CIC decimation stage, and that is usually much less than \( M \).

The magnitude response of the comb filter exhibits a linear-phase, lowpass characteristic which can be expressed as

\[ |H_{\text{comb}}(e^{j\omega})| = \left| \frac{1}{M} \sin(\omega M / 2) \right|^K. \] (5)
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Figure 2. a shows the magnitude characteristics in dB for $M=8$ and the values of $K=1$, 3, and 5.

3. Methods for the passband improvement

The motivation behind the compensation methods is to appropriately modify the original CIC characteristic in the desired passband such that the compensator filter has as low complexity as possible. Different methods have been proposed to compensate for the CIC passband droop. We classify the methods as the methods for the narrowband compensation ($R>2$), and the methods for the wideband compensation ($R=2$). Methods specified in (Fernandez-Vazquez & Jovanovic Dolecek, 2009, 2011), (Kim et al. 2006) employ optimization techniques, whereby the resulting compensation filters require multipliers. The method described in (Yeung & Chan, 2004) suggests the multiplierless design of a second order compensation filter where the filter coefficients are expressed as a sum of power of two (SOPOT) and are computed using the random search algorithm. The simple multiplierless compensator with only one parameter, which depends on the number of the stages $K$ of the CIC filter, is proposed in (Jovanovic Dolecek & Mitra, 2008). This filter provides a good compensation in a narrow passband. The wide-band compensators have been recently proposed in (Jovanovic Dolecek, 2009), and (Jovanovic Dolecek & Dolecek, 2010).

We define the following desirable CIC compensator properties:

- The proposed filter should work at a low sampling rate;
- Multiplierless design and a second order at low rate;
- Simple design i.e., that it is not necessary redesign the filter for new values of $M$ and $K$;
- That the compensation filter practically does not depends on the decimation factor $M$.

This is a very desirable characteristic because the compensator remains the same across different values of $M$, provided that the value of $K$ stays the same.
3.1 Narrowband CIC compensation

We describe here the compensation filter (Jovanovic Dolecek & Mitra, 2008) because this filter satisfies all the properties mentioned previously.

Consider a filter with the magnitude response

$$|G(e^{j\omega})| = 1 + 2^{-b} \sin^2(\omega M / 2),$$

where $b$ is a integer parameter.

Using the well known relation

$$\sin^2(\alpha) = (1 - \cos(2\alpha)) / 2,$$

the corresponding transfer function can be expressed as

$$G(z^M) = -2^{-(b+2)} \left[ 1 - (2^{b+2} + 2)z^{-M} + z^{-2M} \right].$$

Denoting

$$A = -2^{-(b+2)}; B = -(2^{b+2} + 2),$$

we arrive at

$$G(z^M) = A \left[ 1 - Bz^{-M} + z^{-2M} \right].$$

The compensator filter has the scaling factor $A$ and a single coefficient $B$ which requires only one adder. Additionally, the compensator can be implemented at a lower rate after the downsampling by $M$ by making use of the multirate identity (Jovanovic Dolecek, 2002), becoming a second order filter,

$$G(z) = A \left[ 1 - Bz^{-1} + z^{-2} \right].$$

In that way the filter does not depend on the decimation factor $M$ but only on the number of the stages $K$ which defines the parameter $b$ in (9). Table 1 shows typical values for $b$ at different values of $K$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter $K$</th>
<th>Parameter $b$, $R=8$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Typical parameters $b$ for different values of $K$.

The overall transfer function of the cascaded CIC and compensator is

$$H(z) = H_{comb}(z)G(z^M),$$

where $H_{comb}(z)$ and $G(z^M)$ are given in (1) and (10), respectively.
Example 1: We compensate the CIC filter with $M=16$ and $K=5$. From Table 1 we have $b=0$. The passband characteristics of the compensator, along with that of the compensated CIC and the CIC filters, are shown in Fig. 3.

3.2 Wideband CIC compensation
We turn now our attention to the wideband compensators satisfying the desirable characteristics previously mentioned. In (Jovanovic Dolecek, 2009) a novel decimation filter

$$G(z^M) = G_{c_1}^K (z^M), (13)$$

is proposed, where $K_1$ is the parameter that depends on the number of cascaded CIC filters $K$,

$$K_1 = \begin{cases} K & \text{for } 1 < K \leq 3 \\ K - 1 & \text{for } K > 3 \end{cases}, (14)$$

and

$$G_c(z^M) = -2^{-4} [z^{-M} - (2^4 + 2)z^{-2M} + z^{-3M}]. (15)$$

The coefficients of the filter (15) are obtained using the condition that the compensator magnitude characteristic has the value 1 for $\omega=0$ and minimizing the squared error in the passband. Finally, the coefficients thus obtained are rounded using the rounding constant $r=2^{-6}$.

![Fig. 3. Magnitude responses of CIC, Compensator and cascaded CIC-compensator.](image-url)
The total number of additions depends on $K$, as given by

$$N_{\text{add}} = \begin{cases} 
3K & \text{for } K \leq 3 \\
3K - 3 & \text{for } K > 3
\end{cases}$$

(16)

This filter can be moved to a lower rate becoming

$$G(z) = -2^{-4}[z^{-1} - (2^4 + 2)z^{-2} + z^{-3}].$$

(17)

The overall transfer function of the compensated CIC filter, obtained from (1) and (13)-(15) is as follows

$$H(z) = H_{\text{comb}}(z)G(z^M) = H_{\text{comb}}(z)G_{\text{c}}^K(z^M).$$

(18)

Note that the filter (17) does not depend on the decimation factor $M$. Additionally, the filter (17) has a very interesting property i.e. it does not depend on $K$ and its structure remains the same for all values of $K$ and $M$. However, the number of the cascaded compensators $K_1$ depends on the parameter $K$, as indicated in (14). The method is illustrated in the following example.

**Example 2:** In this example we compensate the CIC filter with $M=20$ and $K=5$. From (14) it follows that $K_1=4$. The magnitude responses of the compensated CIC, along with the responses of the compensator and CIC filters, are shown in Fig.4. From (16) the total number of adders in compensator $3K-3$, equal 12.
Example 3: In this example we apply the compensator from (Jovanovic Dolecek, 2009) to the CIC filter with $M=25$ and $K=2$; in this case $K_1=2$. The required number of adders for the decimator is $3K=6$. Figure 5 shows the corresponding magnitude responses.

We will refer here the method from (Jovanovic Dolecek, 2009) as the Compensation method 1. Another simple wideband multiplierless compensator has been proposed in (Jovanovic Dolecek & Dolecek, 2010). The goal put in it, was that the resulting passband deviation be less than 0.4 dB, and to decrease the number of adders comparing with the Compensation method 1.

To this end the following filter has been proposed,

$$H_c(z^M) = b z^{-M} + a z^{-2M} + b z^{-3M}, \quad (19)$$

with the corresponding magnitude response

$$|H_c(\omega)| = |2b \cos(M \omega) + a|. \quad (20)$$

The coefficients $a$ and $b$, obtained in (Jovanovic Dolecek & Dolecek, 2010), are as follows

$$b = 0.5 \left[1 - \frac{\alpha M^K \sin^K(\pi / 4M)}{\sin^K(\pi / 4)} \right]. \quad (21)$$

$$a = 1 - \left[1 - \frac{\alpha M^K \sin^K(\pi / 4M)}{\sin^K(\pi / 4)} \right]. \quad (22)$$

![Fig. 5. Wideband CIC compensation using the Compensation method 1.](www.intechopen.com)
The initial value of the parameter $\gamma$ is 1 and the value is adjusted in order to satisfy
\[
\max\{1 - H_c(\omega)H(\omega)\} = d_p \leq \delta_p
\]
$\omega \in [0, \omega_p]$.\label{eq:23}

Let us indicate how the coefficients $a$ and $b$ depend on $M$ for a given $K$. To this end, considering that for a small value of $\varphi$, $\sin(\varphi) \sim \varphi$ and knowing that $M \approx 1$, we have
\[
b \approx 0.5 \left[1 - \frac{a \pi^k}{4^k \sin^k(\pi / 4)}\right], \label{eq:24}
\]
\[
a \approx 1 - \left[1 - \frac{a \pi^k}{4^k \sin^k(\pi / 4)}\right]. \label{eq:25}
\]

From (20), (24) and (25) it follows the desirable characteristic, that the compensator does not depend on the decimation factor $M$ but only on the parameter $K$, is satisfied. Next, the coefficients (24) and (25) are rounded to the nearest integer, using the rounding constant $r=2^{-5}$, resulting in
\[
H_p(z^M) = S[Bz^{-M} + Az^{-2M} + Bz^{-3M}], \label{eq:26}
\]
where $S$ is the scaling factor and $A$ and $B$ are integers, which can be implemented using only adders and shifts. Consequently the decimator (26) is also multiplierless.

We also note that the compensator can be moved to a lower rate using the multirate identity, (Jovanovic Dolecek, 2002), thereby becoming a second order filter,
\[
H_p(z^M) = S[Bz^{-1} + Az^{-2} + Bz^{-3}]. \label{eq:27}
\]

Table 2 shows the values for $S$, $A$ and $B$ for different values of $K$. The total number of additions and the corresponding passband deviations are also shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$K$</th>
<th>$S$</th>
<th>$B$</th>
<th>$A$</th>
<th>$d_p$[dB]</th>
<th>Number of additions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2^{-4}</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>$2^4 + 2^1$</td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2^{-3}</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>$2^3 + 2^1$</td>
<td>0.234</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2^{-4}</td>
<td>-2-2^0</td>
<td>$2^4 + 2^2 + 2^1$</td>
<td>0.297</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2^{-2}</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>$2^2 + 2^1$</td>
<td>0.342</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2^{-4}</td>
<td>-2-2^0</td>
<td>$2^4 + 2^3 + 2^1$</td>
<td>0.377</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. The design parameters.

We make the following observations:
- The maximum number of adders is 5.
- The passband deviation is less than $\delta_p=0.4\text{dB}$.
- The smallest deviation is obtained for $K = 1$, ($d_p=0.142\text{dB}$), while the largest is for $K = 5$, ($d_p=0.377\text{dB}$).

The method is illustrated in the following examples.

**Example 4:** We compensate the CIC filter with $M=32$ and $K=4$. The values of $B$, $A$, and $S$, from Table 2, are -1, $2^2 + 2^1$, and $2^{-2}$, respectively. The magnitude responses are illustrated in Fig.6.
Example 5: We compare the methods (Jovanovic Dolecek, 2009) and (Jovanovic Dolecek & Dolecek, 2010) for \( M=16 \) and \( K=4 \) and 5. The result is shown in Fig. 7. For \( K=4 \) the methods (Jovanovic Dolecek, 2009) and (Jovanovic Dolecek & Dolecek, 2010) require 9 and 3 adders, respectively. For \( K=5 \) the method (Jovanovic Dolecek & Dolecek, 2010) requires 5 adders whereas the method (Jovanovic Dolecek, 2009) requires 12 adders.

![Fig. 6. Wideband CIC compensation using the method (Jovanovic Dolecek & Dolecek, 2010).](image)

4. Methods for the stopband improvement

Presti, (Presti, 2000), introduced the CIC zero rotation and proposed the Rotated Sinc (RS) filter to increase the attenuations and widths in the folding bands. By applying a clockwise rotation of \( \beta \) radians to any zero of CIC filter, we obtain the following transfer function

\[
H_u(z) = \frac{1}{M} \frac{1 - z^{-M}e^{i\beta M}}{1 - z^{-1}e^{i\beta}}.
\]  (28)

An expression equivalent to (28) is obtained by applying the opposite rotation

\[
H_d(z) = \frac{1}{M} \frac{1 - z^{-M}e^{-i\beta M}}{1 - z^{-1}e^{-i\beta}}.
\]  (29)

These two filters have complex coefficients, but they can be cascaded, thus obtaining a filter \( H_r(z) \) with real coefficients

\[
H_r(z) = H_u(z)H_d(z) = \frac{1}{M^2} \frac{1 - 2\cos(\beta M)z^{-M} + z^{-2M}}{1 - 2\cos(\beta)z^{-1} + z^{-2}}.
\]  (30)
Fig. 7. Comparisons of compensators.
The cascade of CIC filter and the filter (30) is referred by Presti as RS filter, $H_R(z)$,

$$H_R(z) = H_{comb}(z)H_r(z). \quad (31)$$

The magnitude response of this filter is given as

$$|H_R(e^{j\omega})| = \left| \frac{1}{M^3} \frac{\sin(\omega M / 2)}{\sin(\omega / 2)} \right|^K \left| \frac{\sin((\omega + \beta)M / 2)}{\sin((\omega + \beta) / 2)} \right|^K \left| \frac{\sin((\omega - \beta)M / 2)}{\sin((\omega - \beta) / 2)} \right|^K. \quad (32)$$

**Example 6:** Using the method Presti, we design the RS filter for $M=16$, $K=1$, and $\beta=0.0184$. The magnitude response is shown in Fig. 8.

![Magnitude response of RS filter](image)

**Fig. 8. Illustration of RS filter. (Presti, 2000).**

Note that the folding band widths are wider and the attenuations are increased in comparison with the CIC filter. However, the passband droop is increased and additionally RS filter needs two multipliers, one working at high input rate. (See (30)).

In (Jovanovic Dolecek & Mitra, 2004) the modification of the Presti method has been proposed for the case if $M$ can be represented as a product of two factors

$$M = M_1 M_2. \quad (33)$$

The transfer function (1) can be rewritten as

$$H(z) = H_1^{K_1}(z)H_2^{K_2}(z^{M_1}). \quad (34)$$
where

\[ H_1(z) = \frac{1}{M_1} \frac{1 - z^{-M_1}}{1 - z^{-1}}; \quad H_2(z^{M_1}) = \frac{1}{M_2} \frac{1 - z^{-M_1M_2}}{1 - z^{-M_1}}. \]  

(35)

The filter \( H_2(z) \) can be moved to a low rate which is \( M_2 \) time lesser than the high input rate. Additionally, the polyphase decomposition of the filter \( H_1(z) \) move all filtering to a lower rate. The corresponding RS filter is modified in such way that it can also be moved to a lower rate.

\[ H_{rm}(z) = H_{um}(z)H_{dm}(z) = \frac{1}{M_2^2} \frac{1 - 2 \cos(\beta M)z^{-M} + z^{-2M}}{1 - 2 \cos(\beta M_1)z^{-1} + z^{-2M_1}}. \]  

(36)

The modified RS filter is

\[ H_{rm}(z) = H_{comb}(z)H_{rm}(z). \]  

(37)

The corresponding magnitude response is

\[ |H_{rm}(e^{j\omega})| = \left| \frac{1}{M} \sin(\omega M / 2) \right|^K \frac{\sin((\omega + \beta)M / 2)}{\sin((\omega + \beta)M_1 / 2)} \left| \frac{\sin((\omega - \beta)M / 2)}{\sin((\omega - \beta)M_1 / 2)} \right|. \]  

(38)

Next example compares the (38) with the RS filter.

**Example 7:** We use the same design parameters as in Example 6 taking \( K_1=3 \) and \( K_2=2 \) and \( M_1=M_2=4 \). The magnitude responses along with the zoom in the first folding band are shown in Fig. 9. Note that the attenuation in the all folding bands except the last one, are improved. Additionally, the filter \( H_1(z) \) works at a lower rate.

The method in (Jovanovic Dolecek & Mitra, 2005a) includes the multistage structure and improves deteriorated passband. The generalized approach to the CIC zero-rotation, has been proposed in (Laddomada, 2007), where the generalized comb (GC) has been proposed. An economical class of droop-compensated GC filters has been proposed in (Jovanovic Dolecek & Laddomada, 2010).

Note the following:

- Folding bands are wider and with increased attenuations comparing with those of the corresponding comb filter.
- The RS filter needs two multipliers, one working at the high input rate.
- During the quantization of the coefficients in RS filter, the pole-zero cancellation can be lost resulting in instability.
- The most critical is the first folding band of a comb filter where the worst case aliasing occurs because it has less attenuation than other folding bands.

To this end in order to solve some of the above mentioned problems we propose to introduce the zero-rotation only in the first folding band yielding in the zero-rotation term (ZRT), (Jovanovic Dolecek, 2010a),

\[ H_{ZR}(z) = k(1 - z^{-1} e^{-j\beta})(1 - z^{-1} e^{j\beta}) \]

\[ = k(1 - 2 \cos(\beta)z^{-1} + z^{-2}) \]  

(39)

where \( k \) is the normalizing constant introduced to ensure that the magnitude characteristic is equal to 1 at \( \omega =0 \).
Fig. 9. Comparison of RS and modified RS filters.

Considering that $R$ in (4) is equal to 2, the pass band is defined by the pass band cut off frequency

$$\omega_p = \frac{\pi}{2M}. \quad (40)$$

The introduced zero must be in the first folding band, near the point where the worst case aliasing occurs, $2\pi/M \cdot \omega_p$,

$$\beta = \frac{2\pi}{M} - \frac{\pi}{(\beta_0 + 2)M}, \quad (41)$$

where $\beta_0$ is the term which approaches slightly zero from the left end of the first folding band to the right position, within the first folding band. Typical value for $\beta_0=0.99$. The normalized constant $k$ is,

$$k = \frac{1}{2 - 2 \cos \left( \frac{2\pi}{M} \frac{\pi}{(\beta_0 + 2)M} \right)} \quad (42)$$

Using (41) the cascade of the combs from (1) and the ZRT (39) is given as

$$H_{\text{COMB,ZR}} = \left[ \frac{1}{M} \frac{1 - z^{-M}}{1 - z^{-1}} \right]^K \times k(1 - 2z^{-1} \cos \left( \frac{2\pi}{M} \frac{\pi}{(\beta_0 + 2)M} \right) + z^{-2}). \quad (43)$$
The first folding band is wider than the CIC first folding band. However, the side lobes are increased and the pass band droop is also increased. To decrease attenuation in all other folding bands we propose to use cascade of the expanded cosine filters,

\[ H_{\text{COS}}(z) = \prod_{k} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + z^{-N_k} \right) \right]^{K_k}, \]  

resulting in

\[ H_{\text{COMB,ZRCOS}}(z) = H_{\text{COMB}}(z)H_{\text{ZRC}}(z)H_{\text{COS}}(z). \]  

The method is illustrated in the following example.

**Example 8:** Let us consider CIC filter with \( M=12, K=5 \) and \( K=6 \). The expanded cosine filters are

\[ H_{\text{COS}}(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{6} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + z^{-N_k} \right) \right]^{K_k}, N_k = k; K_1 = 2; K_k = 1, \text{ for } k = 2, \ldots, 6; \]  

The magnitude responses along with the passband zoom are shown in Fig. 10. Note that the first folding band is wider and that exhibits higher attenuation than the first folding bands of CIC filters for \( K=5 \) and \( 6 \). See (Jovanovic Dolecek, 2010a) for more details about the choice of design parameters and the multiplierless design.

![Fig. 10. Illustration of method (Jovanovic Dolecek, 2010a).](image-url)
Another approach to improving the CIC stopband characteristic has been proposed in (Jovanovic Dolecek & Diaz-Carmona, 2005). The method is based on the cosine prefilters introduced in (Lian & Lim, 1993). Recently, the method based on the extended search of cyclotomic polynomials has been also proposed (Laddomada et al., 2011).

5. Methods for the passband and stopband improvement

In this section we consider some methods applied for the simultaneous improvement in the CIC passband and stopband. The pioneer work has been presented in (Kwentus & Willson, 1997), where the sharpening technique originally introduced by (Kaiser & Hamming, 1977) was applied. The sharpening technique uses the sharpening polynomials to improve the passband and the stopband characteristics of the symmetrical FIR (Finite impulse response) filter. Kwentus and Willson used the polynomial $H_{sh} = 3H^2-2H^3$, where $H$ is the CIC filter (1) and $K=K_1$. The corresponding magnitude response of the sharpened CIC filter is

$$|H_{shcomb}(e^{j\omega})| = \left|3\left(\frac{1}{M}\sin(\omega M/2)\right)^{2K_1} - 2\left(\frac{1}{M}\sin(\omega M/2)\right)^{3K_1}\right|. \quad (47)$$

The method is illustrated in the Example 9.

**Example 9:** The parameters of the CIC filter are $M=16$ and $K=5$ and $K_1=3$. Figure 11a shows the magnitude responses of the sharpened CIC filter and the CIC filter with $K=5$. Figure 11b shows the zooms in the passband and in the first folding band. Note that both the passband and the stopband are improved.

The main drawback of this method is that the sharpening is performed at high input rate. A method where the decimation is split into two stages, and the sharpening is performed only in the second stage considering that the decimation factor $M$ is an even number, has been proposed in (Jovanovic Dolecek & Mitra, 2003). The method was generalized later for the case where the decimation factor $M$ can be expressed as in (33). The first stage is the less simple CIC filter ($M_1<M$), which can be implemented either in recursive or non recursive form.

$$H_1(z) = \frac{1}{M_1} \frac{1 - z^{-M_1}}{1 - z^{-1}} = \sum_{i=0}^{M_1-1} z^{-i}. \quad (48)$$

In the second stage is the less complex CIC filter, ($M_2<M$)

$$H_2(z) = \frac{1}{M_2} \frac{1 - z^{-M_2}}{1 - z^{-1}}. \quad (49)$$

The overall transfer function is

$$H(z) = H_1^{K_1}(z)Sh\{H_2^{K_2}(z^{M_1})\}, \quad (50)$$

where $Sh\{\}$ means sharpening of $\{\}$, and

$$K_1 \geq 2K_2. \quad (51)$$
Fig. 11. Illustration of sharpening method.

- **a. Overall magnitude responses.**

- **b. Passband and the first folding band zooms.**

M=16, K=3, K1=2

CIC, K=3
Sharpened CIC, K1=2
The corresponding magnitude response is

\[
|H(e^{j\omega})| = \left| \frac{1}{M_1} \frac{\sin(\omega M_1 / 2)}{\sin(\omega / 2)} \right|^K \left\{ 3 \times \left( \frac{1}{M_2} \frac{\sin(\omega M / 2)}{\sin(\omega M_1 / 2)} \right)^{2K_2} - 2 \times \left( \frac{1}{M_2} \frac{\sin(\omega M / 2)}{\sin(\omega M_1 / 2)} \right)^{3K_2} \right\}. \tag{52}
\]

Next examples (10) and (11) illustrate the method.

**Example 10:** Consider \(M=16\) and \(M_1=M_2=4\). The parameters \(K_1\) and \(K_2\) are respectively 5, and 2, and \(K=4\). The magnitude responses and the passband zoom are shown in Fig. 12.

In the following example we compare the original sharpening method with the modified sharpening method (Jovanovic Dolecek & Mitra, 2005b).

**Example 11.** We compare the modified sharpening method with the original sharpening method, considering \(M=16\) and \(K=4\). In the modified sharpening \(M_1=M_2=4, K_1=5\) and \(K_2=4\). Figure 13 shows the magnitude responses and the corresponding passband zoom. Note that the original sharpening has better passband characteristic while the modified sharpening method has higher attenuations in the folding bands.

![Fig. 12. Modified sharpening and CIC filters magnitude responses.](www.intechopen.com)
The number of authors presented different modifications of sharpening method, like (Jovanovic Dolecek, 2010b), (Laddomada & Mondin, 2004), (Jovanovic Dolecek & Harris, 2009). In (Jovanovic Dolecek & Mitra, 2010), the two-stage CIC filter with the compensator (10) has been proposed.

![Comparison of original and modified sharpening method](image)

**Fig. 13.** Comparison of original and modified sharpening method.

The procedure of the design is given in the following steps:

1. For a given $M$ choose the value $M_1$, in a such way that the factors $M_1$ and $M_2$ are close to each other in values, such that $M_1 \leq M_2$ to obtain the filters (48) and (49).

2. Choose the number of the stages $K_1$ and $K_2$ depending of the desired alias rejection (see Table 3 for tentative values).

3. For given $K_1$ and $K_2$, choose value of $b$ according to Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters ($K_1$, $K_2$)</th>
<th>$A$ in dB</th>
<th>$b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(2,2)</td>
<td>-46.5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2,3)</td>
<td>-68.75</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3,4)</td>
<td>-92.25</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4,5)</td>
<td>-115</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4,6)</td>
<td>-139.34</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3.** Parameters of design.
This method is illustrated in the following example.  
**Example 12:** We consider the decimator with \( M = 16 \) and at least 130 dB worst-case aliasing attenuation. We choose \( M_1=M_2=4 \). From Table 3 we get \( K_1=4, K_2=6 \) and \( b=0 \). The method is compared with the two-stage sharpening with \( K_1=4 \) and \( K_2=2 \) in Fig. 14. Note that the two-stage compensated method has better characteristics.

![Graph showing comparison of two-stage methods.](image)

**Fig. 14.** Comparison of two-stage methods: sharpening and compensated.

### 6. Conclusion

This chapter presents different methods that have been proposed to improve the magnitude characteristics of the CIC decimator. Particularly, the methods are divided into 3 groups depending if the improvement is only in the passband, the stopband or in both i.e. passband and stopband. Only a few methods in each group are described and illustrated in examples. All examples are done in MATLAB and programs can be downloaded from the INAOE web page [www.elec.inaoep.mx/paginas_personales/gordana.php](http://www.elec.inaoep.mx/paginas_personales/gordana.php).

The CIC filter implementation, which is another important issue concerning the CIC filter, was not considered in this chapter.
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