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1. Introduction

The change in the trajectory of a flying vehicle is made possible by unbalancing the pressures exerted on the body surface. This pressure imbalance can be produced by the deployment of control surfaces (Berner & Dupuis, 2001; Dupuis & Berner, 2001; Berner & Dupuis, 2002; Berner et al., 2002; Dupuis et al., 2004; Srulijes et al., 2004; Patel et al., 2002; Silton, 2004; Massey et al., 2004) or by the use of one or more pyrotechnical mechanisms judiciously distributed along the vehicle (Gnemmi & Seiler, 2000; Schäfer et al., 2001; Seiler et al., 2003; Gnemmi & Schäfer, 2005; Havermann et al., 2005; Yamanaka & Tanaka, 1996). In the case of supersonic projectiles, the major drawback to using the surface spreading technique is that large forces are involved in the deployment of surfaces in order to overcome the very high pressures encountered at high velocities. Thus, the use of pyrotechnical mechanisms is more appropriate for high-speed vehicles, but the fact that the pyrotechnical mechanism works only once and produces all or nothing is a main drawback when a controlled angle of attack must be given.

The application concerns guided anti-aerial projectiles launched by a 40-mm gun and designed to increase their precision when faced with increasingly agile aerial vehicles flying up to a few kilometers of altitude. The underlying idea consists of giving the projectiles a maneuvering capacity, allowing them to compensate for the trajectory prediction error. In the case of a high-speed vehicle, a shock wave occurs at its nose tip or ahead of it, depending on the nose geometry. When the vehicle flies without any angle of attack, the pressures distributed on its surface balance one another out and the shock wave has symmetries dependent on the vehicle geometry. For example, for a supersonic projectile forebody having a conical nose, the shock wave is attached to the cone tip and also has a conical shape. The plasma-actuator steering concept consists of obtaining the asymmetry of the flow variables around the projectile nose by generating one or several plasma discharges at the nose tip in order to give the projectile an angle of attack (Wey et al., 2005; Gnemmi et al., 2008). The objective consists of generating one long or several short plasma discharges so that the asymmetry is large and long enough to cause the deviation of the projectile with respect to its initial trajectory.

A patent describing the concept and a first high-voltage system was registered in February 2002 and was issued in France in January 2005 and in the USA in February 2006 (Gnemmi et al., 2002). A new low-voltage device was designed to avoid the high-voltage apparatus...
drawbacks and a patent was also registered in September 2005 and was issued in France in December 2007 and in the USA in January 2010 (Gnemmi & Rey, 2005).

The flow control around aerial vehicles by using plasma has been one of the concerns of the fluid dynamics flow control community for over a decade. The most recent state of the art concerning a type of plasma actuator is given by Corke et al., 2009. This plasma actuator, now widely in use, is based on a dielectric barrier discharge (DBD) mechanism that has desirable features for use in the air at atmospheric pressures. It has been employed in a wide range of applications that include: drag reduction at supersonic speeds (Kuo, 2007; Elias et al., 2007a; Schneider et al., 2008); steering vehicles at supersonic speeds (Girgis et al., 2006); exciting boundary-layer instabilities at supersonic speeds (Kosinov et al., 1990; Corke et al., 2001; Matlis, 2004; Elias et al., 2007b); lift increase on a wing section (Corke et al., 2006; Nelson et al., 2006; Patel et al., 2006; Goeksel et al., 2006); low-pressure turbine-blade separation control (Huang, 2005; Huang et al., 2006a; Huang et al., 2006b; Suzen et al., 2007; Ravir, 2007; Risetta & Visbal, 2007); turbine tip clearance flow control (Douville et al., 2006; Van Ness et al., 2006); bluff-body flow control (Thomas et al., 2006; Asghar et al., 2006; Do et al., 2007); turbulent boundary-layer control (Balcer et al., 2006; Porter et al., 2007); unsteady vortex generation and control (Visbal & Gaitonde, 2006; Nelson et al., 2007); and airfoil-leading-edge separation control (Post, 2004; Post & Corke, 2004a; Post & Corke, 2004b; Corke et al., 2004).

The analysis of the above-mentioned publications shows that few studies are being conducted on supersonic projectile steering by using a plasma discharge. Therefore, the work described in this paper is original; indeed, a plasma-discharge production on the surface of a supersonic projectile flying in the low atmosphere has not been applied up to now to the control of projectiles in terms of change of trajectory.

Section 2 of the present chapter deals with the principle of the concept of controlling a supersonic projectile by a plasma discharge. Section 3 describes the experimental setups and details the plasma-discharge actuator and the instrumentation used for the experiments. Section 4 presents the experimental results of the surface-pressure and temperature measurements made in order to investigate the complex physical phenomenon involved in the process and the results of the tests on the angular deviation of a fin-stabilized projectile model carried out in the wind-tunnel facility at a Mach number of 3. This Section also presents the experimental results of the free-flight of a simple projectile model deviated by a plasma discharge performed in the shock-tunnel facility at Mach 4.5. Section 5 concludes the chapter and proposes future investigations.

2. Principle of the concept

In the case of a high-speed vehicle, a shock wave occurs at its nose tip or ahead of it, depending on the nose geometry. When the vehicle flies without any angle of attack, the pressures distributed on its surface balance one another out and the shock wave has symmetries dependent on the vehicle geometry. For example, for a supersonic projectile forebody having a conical nose, the shock wave is attached to the conical tip and also has a conical shape. The proposed concept consists of producing the asymmetry of the flow variables around the projectile nose by generating one or several plasma discharges at the nose tip in order to give the projectile an angle of attack.

Some theoretical investigations illustrate the feasibility of such a system. Figure 1 presents the qualitative result of a numerical computation of a projectile forebody, flying from right
to left near the ground level at a Mach number of 3.2. A plasma discharge modelled as a transverse hot jet is applied near the nose tip for a certain length of time. The figure shows the forebody in blue and the halves of two surfaces in red. The red surfaces represent a constant pressure in the flow field which is chosen to highlight the main structure of the latter. The attached shock wave is perfectly visible at the tip of the conical nose as well as the Prandtl-Meyer expansion wave at the junction of the conical nose with the cylindrical part of the forebody. On the side of the conical nose where the plasma discharge is activated, the geometry of the shock wave is clearly distorted due to the generation of the plasma discharge. On the contrary, on the opposite side, the geometry of the shock wave remains unperturbed.

![Fig. 1. Surfaces of constant pressure in the flow field of a supersonic projectile forebody having modelled plasma-discharge action](image)

The final objective consists of the production of one or several plasma discharges so that the asymmetry is large and long enough to cause the deviation of the projectile facing its initial trajectory. The absence of mobile parts and the repetitive action of discharges are the main advantages of this technique. In fact, the control of the vehicle can be realized by repetitive discharges activated on demand, depending on the required trajectory.

### 3. Experimental setup and instrumentation

#### 3.1 Wind-tunnel facility

The “Aerodynamics and Wind-Tunnel Laboratory” has two facilities for supersonic flow investigations. The experiments involving pressure and temperature measurements are conducted in the supersonic blow-down wind tunnel S20 (Schäfer et al., 2001; Gnemmi et al., 2006). The test chamber has a square section of 0.2 m × 0.2 m and has interchangeable Laval nozzles adjusted for Mach numbers \( M \) of 1.4, 1.7, 2, 2.44, 3, 4 and 4.36. The present experiments are carried out at \( M = 3 \) for a static free-stream pressure of \( P_\infty = 0.19 \times 10^5 \) Pa and a static free-stream temperature of 108 K. This facility operates in blow-down mode with a blow duration of typically 50 s. For these experimental conditions, the free-stream velocity is 611 m/s and the density is 0.643 kg/m³.
Section 3.4 describes the projectile forebody fixed in the test chamber and equipped with surface-pressure transducers, which is also used for the temperature measurement in the plasma plume. The model-related Reynolds number based on the body diameter is $2.6 \cdot 10^6$. The fin-stabilized projectile model used for investigations of the angular deviation is described in Section 3.5. The model-related Reynolds number based on the body diameter is $9.1 \cdot 10^5$.

### 3.2 Shock-tunnel facility

The “Aerothermodynamics and Shock-Tube Laboratory” has two high-energy shock tubes (STA and STB) able to supply up to 8 MJ/kg to carry out high-speed flow experiments (Patz, 1970, 1971; Oertel, 1966). The inner shock-tube diameter is of 100 mm and each facility is about 22 m long. Nowadays, the ISL shock tubes are mainly used as supersonic/hypersonic shock tunnels. A shock tunnel is a very-short-duration test wind tunnel consisting of a shock tube connected to a supersonic/hypersonic nozzle, a measurement chamber and a dump tank. The shock tube itself is divided into a high-pressure driver tube and a low-pressure driven tube, as depicted in Figure 2. The STA driver tube is 3.6 m long, the STB one is 4.0 m long and the driven tube is 18.4 m long for both facilities. Behind the driven tube are situated the nozzle, the measurement section and the dump tank.

![Fig. 2. Schematic of the ISL shock tunnels](image_url)

A preferably light driver gas is compressed in the driver tube up to 450 bar. The steel membrane separating the high-pressure from the low-pressure parts is designed to burst at a determined pressure dependent on the required experimental conditions. At this moment a shock wave propagates through the driven tube where the test gas (usually nitrogen) is contained at a pressure of up to 5 bar. Simultaneously, an expansion wave runs in the opposite direction and is reflected off the driver-tube end. The shock wave propels the gas into the driven tube in front of the entrance to the nozzle where it is compressed and heated and where it remains almost stationary for a very short time. Then, the driven gas expands through the nozzle, resulting in a quasi-stationary supersonic/hypersonic flow inside the measurement section. The resulting measurement time ranges from 1 to 4 ms for quasi-stationary flow conditions. Additionally, because the Mach number only depends on the nozzle geometry, it remains constant over a time period of 15 more milliseconds, until the driver gas arrives. During this extended measurement time, it is necessary to know how the history of the flow conditions (e.g. velocity and density) changes at the nozzle exit. Therefore, the transient velocity change is measured with the Laser-Doppler Velocimeter (LDV) (Smeets and George, 1978) by using seeded titanium dioxide particles. The density is obtained from both the static pressure measured at the nozzle wall close to the nozzle exit.
and the LDV-measured velocity at a constant Mach number. The measurement section contains the model to be studied and catches the shock-tube gases after the experiment. The gases are then stored inside the dump tank attached to the measurement section. The dump tanks have a volume of about 10 m³ and 20 m³ for STA and STB, respectively.

After each shot, the free-stream flow conditions are recalculated by using a one-dimensional shock-tube code, which requires the measured shock-wave speed in the driven tube to be input into the code (Smeets et al., 1980-2009). By varying the tube pressure, the free-stream flow can be adjusted in order to reproduce the flow conditions present in the atmosphere. Real atmospheric flight conditions can be produced in these facilities from ground level up to a flight altitude of 70 km, depending on the Mach number, as shown in Figure 3.

![Fig. 3. Red and overlapped yellow areas representing the working range of the ISL STB and STA shock tunnels, respectively](image)

The experimental flow conditions, i.e. the ambient pressure and temperature, are based on the US Standard Atmosphere (1976) model. Experiments can be conducted either in the STA shock tunnel or in the STB one at various Mach numbers and simulated altitudes. Nozzles having a Laval contour are available for experiments at Mach numbers of 3, 4.5, 6 and 8. Divergent nozzles are used for Mach numbers of 3.5, 4, 10, 12 and 14. The nozzle-exit diameters range from 200 mm to 400 mm. Experiments reported in this chapter were carried out in the STA shock tunnel at a Mach number of 4.5 and at a simulated altitude of 2.5 km.

### 3.3 Plasma-discharge actuator

In the present application, the projectile has to be steered at an altitude lower than a few kilometers, where the pressure ranges from $10^5$ to about $10^4$ Pa. As an example and taking into account the Paschen curve, for an electrode distance of 5 mm and for a pressure of $10^4$ Pa, it is necessary to apply a voltage higher than 3 000 V to break the electric barrier. For a supersonic flight the pressure on a projectile forebody, where the electrodes are flush with the surface, is higher than the atmospheric pressure (depending on the projectile velocity) and consequently, the breakdown voltage also has to be higher. The plasma-discharge actuator is composed of a high-voltage low-energy activating system and of a low-voltage high-energy plasma generator capable of producing a plasma discharge between two electrodes (Fig. 4).

Let us consider a projectile flying from right to left and composed of a conical forebody equipped with two pairs of electrodes, as represented in Figure 5, step 1. The role of the high-voltage activating system only consists of breaking the electric barrier between two...
Fig. 4. Principle of the plasma-discharge actuator

Electrodes, then of ionizing a small gas volume (step 2). As the projectile flies, the ionized gas volume moves along its surface (steps 3 and 4). The ionized gas volume, which has a low impedance, activates a plasma discharge when it encounters two other electrodes supplied with a low voltage (step 5). The role of that low-voltage plasma generator consists of feeding the energy to the pair of electrodes and then producing the plasma discharge. It is obvious that the high-voltage activating-system electrodes have to be ahead of the electrodes of the low-voltage plasma generator.

Fig. 5. Principle of the activation of a low-voltage plasma-discharge actuator

The high-voltage activating system is composed of a low-voltage power supply providing little energy to the ionizing power supply and to the impulse generator. The ionizing supply and the impulse generator are connected to a step-up transformer generating the high voltage. The transformer is itself connected to the pair of electrodes. An external signal allows the triggering of the activating system. The transformer is the main part of the latter.
In the experiments presented in the current studies, a 320 V / 5000 V transformer is used; however, the plasma-actuator design could be adapted to any projectile flight conditions. The low-voltage plasma-discharge generator is composed of a capacitor connected to the electrode pair through a current controller and a switch activating the actuator. The current controller allows the plasma power and therefore, the plasma duration to be controlled for a given energy. The capacitor is charged by a low-voltage supply. Aluminum electrolytic capacitors meet the requirements for the present application; indeed, they have a large capacity/volume ratio and a low equivalent series resistance (ESR), allowing the use of a large discharge current. As an example, a capacitor of a 35-mm diameter and a 50-mm length supplied with 550 V has a stored energy of 50 J.

Figure 6 shows the plasma-discharge actuator embedded in a 50-mm-diameter test model. The low-voltage supply used for charging the capacitor before the test is carried out, is not embedded in the test model; an autonomous low-voltage supply based on a 7.2 V battery and a step-up transformer is being studied so that it can be embedded in the same test model.

Fig. 6. Embedded low-voltage plasma-discharge actuator in a 50-mm-diameter test model and zoom on the electrodes

### 3.4 Fixed projectile forebody for surface-pressure and temperature measurements in the wind tunnel

A series of experiments is performed with a projectile forebody mounted in the wind tunnel in order to analyze the flow field disturbed by the plasma discharge by means of pressure and temperature measurements and visualizations. The experimental study is conducted for the 50-mm test model of Figure 7, which is mounted without any angle of attack on a shaft assembly along the wind-tunnel centerline. The model is composed of two electrically insulating parts mounted on a steel support ensuring the mechanical connection between the model and the wind-tunnel shaft assembly.

Fig. 7. Projectile forebody for surface-pressure measurements
The copper electrodes flush with the conical surface are embedded in the PVC part and are arranged along the longitudinal axis of the model, allowing the production of a geometrically quasi-linear discharge. The cathode of the activating system and that of the low-voltage plasma generator are put together, limiting the number of electrodes to three. The common cathode is located between the anodes of the activating system and of the low-voltage plasma generator. The anode of the activating system is located at a distance of 65 mm from the projectile tip. The distance between the electrodes of the activating system is 3.5 mm and the distance between the electrodes of the low-voltage generator is 6 mm. The plasma discharge is produced by using the low-voltage actuator embedded in the projectile. Four pressure transducers also flush with the surface are embedded in the model according to Figure 7. Transducer No. 1 is located 10 mm ahead of the cone-cylinder junction. Transducers Nos. 2 and 3 are 40 and 10 mm downstream from the cone-cylinder junction, respectively. Transducer No. 4 is located 10 mm upstream from the anode of the activating system. The model CCQ-093-1.7BARA from the Kulite-Semiconductor company is used: the rated absolute pressure is 1.7 bar, the maximum absolute pressure is 3.4 bar and it is compensated in temperature within a 78 K-235 K range. The accuracy of the measurement is 0.1% of the rated absolute pressure. That model is particularly designed to be protected against electromagnetic perturbations. The data acquisition is carried out by using 16-bits National Instrument RACAL boards cadenced at 100 kHz. The complete projectile forebody equipped with pressure transducers and their acquisition chains have been calibrated at rest in the shock-tunnel test chamber; indeed, the shock tunnel is airtight when the installation is closed and a defined pressure can be set from 5 to $10^5$ Pa to calibrate the measurement chains.

3.5 Free-pitching projectile motion device
Another series of experiments is conducted with a projectile model mounted on a sting ending with an axis in such a way that the model can rotate around this pitching axis located right at the center of gravity of the model. The aim of the experimental study consists of recording the free-pitching motion of the projectile model by using a high-speed camera. The analysis of the recorded images allows the determination of the pitching response of the projectile model as far as the evolution of the measured angle of attack is concerned. The main difficulty encountered in that study concerns the projectile model stability. Figure 8 shows the free-pitching projectile motion device supporting the model (part 1) which can have an angle of attack. Before the experiment starts, the model is horizontal and locked by a pneumatic jack (parts 2 and 3) and remains locked until the steadiness of the supersonic flow is reached (about 10 s). Then the pneumatic jack fixed to the wind-tunnel

![Fig. 8. Projectile model mounted on the free-pitching motion device in the wind tunnel](www.intechopen.com)
support (part 4) releases the model; it is now able to rotate freely around its center of gravity. If the projectile model remains horizontal, it is stable in the flow; otherwise, it rotates until the angular stop is reached. The maximum amplitude of the projectile model deviation is $\pm 3.4^\circ$.

Three projectile models have been tested; they have the same geometry, except for the fin height $L$, which is $0.5D$, $D$ and $1.5D$, respectively (Fig. 9). The diameter $D$ of the cylindrical part is 20 mm and is the reference dimension. The models are composed of many parts so that the center of gravity is located right at the pitching axis, as mentioned before. The electrodes flush with the conical surface are situated just in front of the fins. The plasma discharge is produced by using the low-voltage actuator located outside the wind tunnel, due to the dimensions of the actuator and projectile models.

![Fig. 9. Projectile model geometries for the free-pitching motion study](image)

### 3.6 Projectile model for free-flight experiments in the shock tunnel

Another series of experiments is conducted in the shock tunnel by using a very light model of an Explosively Formed Projectile (EFP) for free-flight investigations. This projectile is chosen because it has been studied at ISL in terms of flight stability and it has been found that it is very stable without any spin (Rondot & Berner, 1998). Another advantage is that it is very easy to manufacture the projectile model as its geometry is axisymmetric. It is composed of an ogive, a cylindrical part, a flare having a conical angle of $17^\circ$ and a second one with a conical angle of $40.8^\circ$ (Fig. 10). The model is made of AU4G, except for the support of the electrodes which is made out of PVC. The model mass is 20.5 g, and the center of gravity is located at 47.9 mm from the projectile tip. The electrodes are embedded near the junction between the ogive and the cylindrical part.

![Fig. 10. EFP model for free-flight tests](image)
Figure 11 shows model No. 1 hung up in the test chamber of the shock tunnel by means of two very thin and small disks of paper (No. 2) linked to Nylon threads that are fixed on the test-chamber wall. The plasma discharge is produced by using the low-voltage actuator located outside the shock tunnel, due to the dimensions of the actuator and of the projectile model. The electric wires (No. 3) connected to the plasma-discharge actuator are very flexible and they slide through a small tube (No. 4) fixed in the test chamber. The displacement of the model is of the order of the model length. The Pitot-pressure probe (No. 5) allows the determination of the flow conditions.

The aim of the experimental study consists of recording the free-flight motion of the projectile by using a high-speed camera. The analysis of the recorded images allows the determination of the free motion of the projectile model subjected to a plasma discharge.

![Fig. 11. EFP model hung up in the test chamber](image)

3.7 Spectroscopic temperature estimation in the plasma plume

Spectra of the plasma emission have been recorded at different positions by means of two spectrometers: a miniature spectrometer covering the visible region from 400 nm to 800 nm (Ocean Optics HR2000) and a grating spectrograph (SPEX, f = 500 mm, grating: 2400 lines/mm) for measuring spectra at certain wavelengths with a higher resolution (Eichhorn et al., 1998). A gateable ICCD camera (PRINCETON INSTRUMENTS ICCD-MAX 1024 ELD), which is connected to the grating spectrograph, is used for taking one spectrum per discharge at a precise chosen moment (delay with respect to the trigger signal) with an exposure time of 10 µs. The schematic of the optical setup is shown in Figure 12.

The plasma temperature can be calculated by means of the copper spectrum at 510 nm. Copper is the electrode material and therefore the Cu lines are clearly visible in the measured spectrum (Sect. 4.2). If the local thermal equilibrium can be assumed, the intensity of a spectral line can be expressed as:

\[
S_n = \gamma \cdot \frac{(gf)_n}{\lambda_n^3} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{E_n}{kT}\right)
\]

(1)
with:
\[ S_n: \] intensity of line No. \( n \),
\[ \gamma: \] factor, containing all constants,
\[ (gf)_n: \] weighted oscillator strength of line No. \( n \),
\[ \lambda_n: \] wavelength of line No. \( n \),
\[ E_n: \] energy of the upper level of line No. \( n \),
\[ k: \] Boltzmann constant \( (0.69503 \text{ cm}^{-1}/ \text{K}) \),
\[ T: \] temperature.

Fig. 12. Optical setup for the recording of spectra

The spectrum of Section 4.2 shows one Cu II line and several Cu I lines; two of them, numbered 1 and 2, are used for calculating the temperature. From Eq. (1) we can deduce:

\[
\frac{S_1}{S_2} = \frac{\lambda_2^3 \cdot (gf)_1}{\lambda_1^3 \cdot (gf)_2} \cdot \exp\left( \frac{E_2 - E_1}{kT} \right)
\]

\[ \Rightarrow T = \frac{k}{E_2 - E_1} \ln\left( \frac{S_1 \cdot \lambda_1^3 \cdot (gf)_2}{S_2 \cdot \lambda_2^3 \cdot (gf)_1} \right) \]  

(2)

The uncertainty depends on the relative uncertainties of the line intensities:

\[
\Delta T = \frac{\partial T}{\partial S_1} \cdot \Delta S_1 + \frac{\partial T}{\partial S_2} \cdot \Delta S_2 = T \cdot \frac{\Delta S_1 + \Delta S_2}{\ln\left( \frac{S_1 \cdot \lambda_1^3 \cdot (gf)_2}{S_2 \cdot \lambda_2^3 \cdot (gf)_1} \right)}
\]

(3)

3.8 Voltage and current measurements

The measurements of the voltage and current during the plasma discharge are recorded. The voltage measurement performed at the electrode bounds indicates the lifetime of the
plasma discharge. The current measurement gives a representation of the impulsiveness of the plasma discharge.

3.9 Flow-field visualizations

The plasma discharge is produced on the projectile surface when the flow is quasi-steady around the model. A differential interferometer (DI), a classical schlieren picture or a simple photograph is used for visualizing the flow-field structure by means of a CCD camera. DI works as a flow visualization technique (Smeets, 1990) based on the density gradient field, thus allowing the gathering of information on an interferogram showing the flow pattern around the model. The differential interferometer is set for a gas at rest so as to obtain fringe patterns or an infinite fringe width showing a homogeneous light intensity distribution. In the current experiments the DI is used by following the second adjustment and the pictures look like schlieren pictures. In this way, the density gradient field in the gas flow is visualized by the light intensity distribution shown on interferogram pictures. The DI is adjusted in such a way that the density gradient direction is vertical.

4. Experimental results

4.1 Wind-tunnel experiments, $M = 3$, fixed model device, flow-field visualization

Many experiments have been carried out with the low-voltage actuator embedded in the 50-mm-diameter model for different electrode distances, capacitors and supply voltages. The current study only focuses on the first 60 millimeters of the conical nose in order to highlight the evolution of the plasma discharge in detail.

The DI pictures (interferograms) are recorded by a 12-bit PCO SENSICAM camera with a spatial resolution of 1280 pixels by 1024 pixels and an exposure time of 0.2 µs. The plasma discharge is produced under wind-tunnel conditions at $M = 3$ without any angle of attack. The electrode distance is 3.5 mm.

A first series of interferograms is taken for a configuration in which the energy ($E$) stored in the capacitor amounts to 12 J. Figure 13 shows shots taken at 3 instants after the beginning of the plasma discharge, allowing the analysis of the evolution of the flow field modified by the plasma. The flow direction is from left to right. The formation and growth of the disturbance and its propagation along the conical model surface are clearly highlighted. At $t = 17 \mu s$, the plasma causes an expansion of the air leading to the distortion of the attached

Fig. 13. Plasma-discharge visualizations at $M = 3$, $E = 12$ J, time evolution
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shock wave present at the conical tip. The boundary layer is also perturbed by the plasma, but the flow-field modification is larger on the plasma side than on the opposite side. At \( t = 50 \mu s \), the plasma power decreases, the bubble due to the sudden expansion is convected along the model surface and the attached shock wave remains distorted. At \( t = 100 \mu s \), the plasma power slightly decreases as long as the capacitor is able to provide sufficient energy to maintain it. Its extinction occurs after nearly 250 \( \mu s \).

A second series of interferograms is taken for an energy \( E = 50 \) J. Figure 14 shows pictures taken at the same instants, so that the influence of the energy delivered to the plasma discharge can be analyzed; a saturation of some CCD pixels is visible for the first instant, due to the very high light intensity. The effects of the plasma are much greater when the energy is increased and the plasma-discharge duration is longer. Indeed, its extinction takes place after nearly 400 \( \mu s \).

![Fig. 14. Plasma-discharge visualizations at \( M = 3, E = 50 \) J, time evolution](image)

The visualizations show that the generation of a plasma discharge causes a perturbation between the projectile surface and the shock wave attached to the conical projectile tip. The perturbation is much greater than the one obtained with the high-voltage generator (Gnemmi et al., 2008). It is maintained for a certain length of time and is strong enough to distort the attached shock wave: the higher the energy, the stronger the perturbation and the longer the plasma-discharge duration. The perturbation is more important on the plasma-discharge side than on the opposite side of the projectile tip, leading to an imbalance in the flow field.

The influence of the energy is clearly examined by using capacitors capable of supplying 7, 12 and 50 J. Figure 15 shows interferograms taken 50 \( \mu s \) after the beginning of the plasma discharge for an electrode distance of 9.5 mm: the higher the supplied energy, the larger the perturbation. The analysis of these flow-field structures must be considered very carefully: the fact that the perturbation is greater when the highest energy is used does not mean that the pressure imbalance on the projectile surface is stronger.

The influence of the electrode distance is examined by performing other series of interferograms taken for four electrode distances \( (l) \) and an energy of 50 J. Figure 16 shows interferograms taken 50 \( \mu s \) after the beginning of the plasma discharge.

The sparks indicating the electrode pairs of the low-voltage plasma generator are visible on each interferogram. There are small differences in the flow structure just after the beginning of the process, which means that the delivered power is nearly the same. However, the plasma duration depends on the electrode distances, as can be seen in Figure 17.
Fig. 15. Plasma-discharge visualizations at $M = 3, t = 50 \mu s$, energy influence

$E = 7 \, J$  $E = 12 \, J$  $E = 50 \, J$

Fig. 16. Plasma-discharge visualizations at $M = 3, t = 50 \mu s$, $E = 50 \, J$, electrode-distance influence

$l = 3.5 \, mm$  $l = 5.5 \, mm$  $l = 7.5 \, mm$  $l = 9.5 \, mm$

Fig. 17. Voltage measurement during the plasma discharge with 4 electrode distances

Figure 17 represents the voltage evolution measured between the electrodes of the low-voltage plasma generator during the previous experiments. Before the plasma discharge occurs at $t = 0$, the voltage between the electrodes is 558 V, corresponding to the capacitor voltage. The start of the plasma discharge causes a voltage drop down to about 220 V,
depending on the electrode distance, as the same capacitor is used. The voltage slightly decreases and the plasma extinction takes place when a slight voltage increase occurs up to a residual value. The plasma duration increases from 0.34 ms for tip No. 4 to 0.42 ms for tip No. 1 as the electrode distance decreases: indeed, the longer the electrode distance, the higher the voltage necessary to keep the discharge active.

4.2 Wind-tunnel experiments, $M = 3$, fixed model device, pressure and temperature measurements, flow-field visualization

The experiments presented previously and many others reported in Gnemmi & Rey, 2008 and Gnemmi & Rey, 2009 were carried out with the low-voltage actuator embedded in the 50-mm-diameter model for different electrode distances, capacitors and supply voltages in order to analyze the flow-field modification due to a plasma discharge by using interferograms pictures. The plasma discharge is produced under wind-tunnel conditions at $M = 3$ without any angle of attack. The current study focuses on time-resolved pressure and temperature measurements recorded synchronously with the flow-field visualizations. The plasma discharge is produced by an electric arc between the electrodes, which causes electromagnetic perturbations. It is therefore necessary to verify that the pressure measurements are not disturbed by these perturbations. The first test consists of masking the pressure transducers by means of adhesive tape covering each of them, of realizing the experiment with the plasma discharge and of analyzing the pressure evolution.

The energy stored in the plasma discharge actuator amounts to 83 J: it is distributed to the electrodes without any current regulation, but limited by the use of a coil. Figure 18 presents the absolute pressure recorded on transducers $P_1$ to $P_4$ covered with adhesive tape and the current $I$ measured at the same time. The pressure data acquisition is performed at 100 kHz and filtered at 10 kHz. The plasma duration is 1.05 ms. The dielectric barrier disruption produces perturbations on the pressure signal during about 80 µs and then the pressure remains constant. It is noticeable that the perturbation amplitude varies with the transducer-plasma distance: the shorter the distance, the larger the perturbation amplitude. The absolute pressure has a certain value (near 0.23 bar) because the projectile model is not airtight.

![Fig. 18. Pressure and current measurements during a plasma discharge, $M = 3$, $E = 83$ J (test 12-08-11-26-02): transducers protected by adhesive tape](image-url)
The second test consists of reproducing the same experiment by removing the adhesive tape from the transducers. Figure 19 also shows the absolute pressure recorded on transducers $P_1$, $P_2$ and $P_4$ during the plasma discharge and the current $I$ measured at the same time. The black bars correspond to the instants whose visualizations are presented in Figure 20. This allows the correlation between the pressure and the visualized flow-field structure. The dielectric barrier disruption is also visible on the pressure signals and the amplitude also depends on the transducer-plasma distance. The plasma discharge does not influence the pressure 10 mm ahead of it ($P_4$). The measurement indicates that it produces an underpressure on the conical part just ahead of the cone-cylinder junction ($P_1$), whereas it causes a reinforcement of the pressure in the expansion region just behind the cone-cylinder junction ($P_3$); this is not understandable because it is antinomic.

The DI technique is used to visualize the flow-field structure around the model. Interferogram pictures are recorded by using a Photron-Fastcam camera at 15,000 frames per second with a spatial resolution of 896 pixels by 206 pixels and an exposure time of 2 µs. Figure 20 depicts 8 pictures showing the evolution of the plasma discharge. The flow direction is from left to right. The location of the plasma-discharge generator anode is indicated on each picture as well as the location of transducers $P_1$ and $P_3$. The first picture ($t = 25.960$ ms) corresponds to the ignition of the plasma discharge producing the disruption of the electric barrier and leading to the perturbation on the pressure signals: the shock wave attached to the conical nose is visible in the upper left corner, the boundary layer and the expansion region at the cone-cylinder junction can also be observed. The second picture ($t = 26.027$ ms) clearly highlights the plasma-discharge glow and the changes in the density gradient in the flow field which interacts with the boundary layer of the model. A slightly visible bow shock forms ahead of the plasma discharge. This instant nearly corresponds to the one at which the pressure levels are recovered after the perturbation due to the plasma-discharge ignition. The third picture ($t = 26.093$ ms) shows the growth of the plasma discharge, the modification of the boundary layer and the reinforcement of the bow shock in front of the plasma. At this instant, transducer $P_1$ is affected by the structure change, which is not the case of $P_3$, as can be seen in Figure 19. The fourth picture ($t = 26.227$ ms) is taken when the current is at its maximum:
the bow shock reinforces itself and its angle with respect to the cross-flow increases, the perturbation expands and the glow extends to the cone-cylinder junction, covering the \( P1 \) transducer. An optical reflection is produced by the discharge glow in the upper right corner of the picture. The next 3 pictures \((t = 26.427, 26.627 \text{ and } 26.827 \text{ ms})\) display the evolution of the flow structure. The last one \((t = 27.027 \text{ ms})\) shows the extinction of the plasma discharge and the decrease in the structure modification until the steady-state structure of the flow field is recovered. On the fourth and fifth interferograms the discharge glow covers the \( P1 \) transducer, whereas \( P3 \) is unaffected; it tends to demonstrate that the \( P1 \) pressure measurement is distorted by the discharge glow, which leads to a probably wrong pressure measurement. This proves the difficulty in measuring the surface pressure under plasma-discharge conditions and it should be clarified by other measurements.

Other experiments making use of the energy of 50 J stored in the plasma-discharge actuator are carried out in order to measure the temperature in the plasma plume: the energy is also distributed to the electrodes without any current regulation or coil. As an example, Figure 21 presents copper spectra recorded 12 mm behind the anode of the plasma-discharge generator and very near the conical surface by using the spectrograph. Spectra are shown at 3 instants after the ignition of the plasma discharge. It must be kept in mind that electrodes are made of copper so as to make the copper lines stand out within the measured spectrum. The method shortly described in Section 3.7 and detailed in Eichhorn et al., 1998 allows the determination of the temperature by using the copper spectrum as the reference spectrum. For the two lines taken into account the atomic parameters are:
The temperature and its uncertainty are calculated by using Equations 1 and 2, respectively, for three different delay times $t$:

\[
t = 1.025 \text{ ms}: T = 11771 \pm 244 \text{ K} \\
nt = 1.045 \text{ ms}: T = 11926 \pm 116 \text{ K} \\
nt = 1.065 \text{ ms}: T = 11239 \pm 143 \text{ K}.
\]

The maximum temperature reaches about 12 000 K at the location of the measurement point with an uncertainty of ± 240 K.

### 4.3 Wind-tunnel experiments, $M = 3$, free-pitching projectile motion

The free-pitching projectile motion device defined in Section 3.5 is fixed in the measurement chamber of the wind tunnel (Figure 22). The projectile-model behavior is tested without any plasma discharge in a first step. As mentioned in Section 3.5, at the beginning of the experiment the projectile model is horizontal and remains locked until the steadiness of the supersonic flow is reached. The model is then unlocked and is able to rotate freely around its pitching axis, which is also its center of gravity. The model is stable, which means that the projectile model remains horizontal. About 20 series of experiments are conducted in order to examine the behavior of the projectile model subjected to a plasma discharge.

Two series of tests with plasma discharges are analyzed in this section; they are examples, in terms of flow-field visualization, of the projectile-tip displacement corresponding to the angle of attack deviation and of the voltage-current evolution. The plasma discharge is
Fig. 22. Fin-stabilized projectile model of the finned model located in the S20 wind tunnel generated in front of the fins (electrode distance of 5 mm) of the projectile model having a fin height of \( L = D \). The low-voltage actuator is located outside the wind tunnel, due to the dimensions of the model (diameter of 20 mm) compared to those of the actuator (diameter of 45 mm). One value of the capacitor is considered in such a way that the stored energy corresponds to 243 J; the total capacity is 2 400 µF and the charge voltage is 450 V. Two current thresholds are taken into account in order to examine the influence of the plasma-discharge power (or duration) on the projectile-model angular deviation.

The flow-field perturbation during the plasma discharge is visualized by means of the differential interferometry technique. The images are recorded by using the Photron-Fastcam camera at 12 000 frames per second with a spatial resolution of 896 pixels by 288 pixels and an exposure time of 1 µs.

4.3.1 Current threshold regulated at 100 A (test 07-08-12-08-01)

The test 07-08-12-08-01 analyzed hereafter is carried out with the current regulation in such a way that its threshold is set to 100 A. Figure 23 presents 8 interferograms of the interaction between the plasma discharge and the cross-flow of the projectile model.

The instant \( t = 0 \) corresponds to the state at which the cross-flow is stationary and the projectile model has a free-pitching motion; it can be observed that the projectile model remains horizontal, thus proving its stability, and the plasma discharge is triggered at this moment. The instant \( t = 85.33 \) µs corresponds to the first recorded frame after the plasma-discharge activation; the plasma discharge is clearly visible. Up to \( t = 3.75 \) ms, the interferograms allow the follow-up of the growth of the plasma discharge and of its interaction with the cross-flow of the projectile model; in particular, the bow shock formed by the interaction can be clearly seen. From \( t = 3.75 \) ms to \( t = 9.75 \) ms, the plasma discharge is maintained and acts on the cross-flow in a quasi-stationary manner. It is also clearly highlighted that the projectile model takes a positive angle of attack (nose up). The instant \( t = 11 \) ms corresponds approximately to the time when the angle of attack is at its maximum and when the extinction begins. At \( t = 11.25 \) ms the plasma is almost extinguished.

The displacement of the tip of the projectile model during the plasma discharge is extracted from each interferogram by using an adaption of the Particle-Image Velocimetry software (PIV) used at ISL (Gnemmi et al., 2011). A sub-pixel method with an accuracy of 0.1 pixel allows the detection of the intercorrelation peaks on the images. Taking into account the
optical calibration system, the accuracy of the displacement measurement is 34 µm per pixel, leading to a measured angle uncertainty of $10^{-5}$ degree. The angle of attack of the projectile model is then determined by the knowledge of its rotation axis location. Figure 24 depicts the evolution of that angle of attack and of the current circulating in the circuit. The instant $t = 0$ corresponds to the one shown in Figure 23.

Fig. 24. Angle of attack of the fin-stabilized projectile model subjected to a 243 J plasma discharge with a 100-A current regulation (test 07-08-12-08-01)
Before the activation of the plasma discharge, the projectile model oscillates freely at its natural pitching frequency of 50.7 Hz. The model starts to move upwards around 2 ms after the plasma-discharge activation and its angle of attack increases up to a maximum of 2.6°, nearly corresponding to the extinction of the plasma. Afterwards, the angle of attack decreases and the projectile oscillates at its pitching frequency in a damped motion. According to the result found in Section 4.2 concerning the pressure measurements, the projectile model takes a positive angle of attack as the overpressure generated by the plasma discharge is located behind the pitching axis, inducing a nose-up moment. The analysis of the plasma-current profile shows that the plasma-discharge duration is nearly 9.7 ms and the extinction duration is 1.7 ms. The correlation of the two profiles shows a delay of about 2 ms between the generation of the plasma discharge and the response of the projectile model.

Figure 25 presents the evolution of the voltage and of the current measured in the circuit. The signals are probably perturbed by the fact that the electrodes are eroded and a transfer of copper occurs from the cathode to the anode, leading to an irregular path of the electric arc; this irregular electric arc induces voltage fluctuations on the electrodes. The current regulation at 100 A is perfectly achieved, the mean voltage is 100 V, leading to a mean power of 10 kW and an energy of 97 J; considering the stored energy of 243 J, the efficiency is of about 40%.

![Figure 25. Voltage and current in the discharge circuit (test 07-08-12-08-01)](image)

4.3.2 Current threshold regulated at 50 A (test 09-08-12-08-03)
The other test 09-08-12-08-03 is carried out with the same energy stored in the actuator, but it is distributed with a current threshold regulated at 50 A. Figure 26 presents the evolution of the angle of attack and of the current circulating in the circuit. The duration of the plasma discharge is of about 25.4 ms, which is higher than the natural pitching period, the latter being lower than 20 ms. The projectile model moves upwards roughly 1 ms after the plasma-discharge activation and reaches its maximum angle of attack of 1.3° nearly after 10 ms; this corresponds to half the natural pitching period. Afterwards, in spite of the plasma being maintained, the angle of attack decreases down to 0.6° near 20 ms. Then, while the plasma is still maintained, the angle of attack increases again up to 1.2° near
28 ms. At 28 ms the plasma is completely extinguished and the angle of attack decreases again and it resumes its natural pitching motion. During the test, it is demonstrated that the projectile model has a mean angle of attack of about 0.9° for a duration nearly equivalent to that of the plasma discharge.

![Figure 26](image_url)

**Fig. 26.** Angle of attack of the fin-stabilized projectile model subjected to a 243 J plasma discharge with a 50-A current regulation (test 09-08-12-08-03)

Figure 27 presents the evolution of the voltage and the current measured in the circuit. The signals are also perturbed. The current regulation at 50 A is also perfectly reached, the mean voltage is 90 V, yielding a mean power of 4.5 kW and an energy of 114 J; considering the stored energy of 243 J, the efficiency is close to 47%.

![Figure 27](image_url)

**Fig. 27.** Voltage and current in the discharge circuit (test 09-08-12-08-03)

The results show a significant change in the angle of attack of the projectile about 2 ms after the plasma-discharge generation of 243 J. However, the experiments cannot demonstrate that such a plasma discharge induces a significant change in the trajectory of the projectile, because it is fixed at its gravity center. The remaining questions are: “Does this disturbance
last long enough to cause the trajectory of the projectile to change?” and “Is the power large enough for a change in the projectile trajectory to take place?” The trajectory change will be computed in the future by running a 3-DoF program which will use data extracted from these experimental results.

4.4 Shock-tunnel experiments: Free-flight projectile behavior at the altitude of 2.5 km, $M = 4.5$

More experiments are carried out in the shock-tunnel facility to show the free-flight motion of a projectile under realistic conditions, for the purpose of answering the previous remaining questions. Therefore, the very light EFP model described in Section 3.6 is hung up inside the test chamber of the shock tunnel in front of the nozzle. When the membranes of the shock tube burst, the airflow is accelerated up to the desired pressure, temperature and flight velocity, leading to $M = 4.5$ for the altitude of 2.5 km. The Nylon threads and paper disks break and the projectile can fly freely in the test chamber. Because our main interest lies in the projectile-model behavior, it is important to focus on the projectile model so that its trajectory can be determined. Therefore, simple photographs are taken by the Photron-Fastcam CCD camera, allowing the projectile motion to be recorded and analyzed at a rate of 10 000 frames per second with an exposure time of 2 µs. Two sets of pictures are taken during each experiment: one picture of the motion in the horizontal plane and one in the vertical plane.

4.4.1 Without any plasma discharge (test 02-09-03-11-01)

A first test consists of verifying the free-flight stability of the projectile model. Therefore, Figure 28 presents 6 pictures taken at different instants in the vertical and horizontal planes.

![Figure 28: Visualization of the displacement of the free-flight EFP model, $M = 4.5$ (test 02-09-03-11-01)](http://www.intechopen.com)
The pictures show the vertical and horizontal displacements of the model without any plasma discharge. \( t = 0 \) corresponds to the burst of the diaphragm and the model is still hung up in the test chamber. At \( t = 1.2 \) ms, the model moves to the right because the flow comes from the left and the shock-wave patterns are visible. During the complete flight, the model moves along its longitudinal axis, thus proving its flight stability. It is important to notice that the electric wires used later for the plasma discharge do not disturb the behavior of the model during its flight.

The next experiments consist of generating a plasma discharge when the flow field is constant and then analyzing the trajectory of the model. Two test results are presented for 2 plasma discharges generated by different amounts of stored energy in the actuator for the same current threshold of 100 A. The plasma discharge is produced in the horizontal plane in order to avoid the influence of the gravity on the model trajectory.

### 4.4.2 With a plasma discharge, stored energy of 65 J (test 07-09-03-25-01)

The result in Figure 29 is obtained for an energy of 65 J, inducing a plasma duration of 2.5 ms. The latter is deduced from the current measurement depicted on the left-hand side of Figure 31.

![Visualization of the displacement of the free-flight EFP model subjected to a plasma discharge, \( M = 4.5, E = 65 \) J (test 07-09-03-25-01)](image)

In Figure 29, \( t = 0 \) corresponds to the burst of the diaphragm and the model is hung up in the test chamber. At \( t = 1.2 \) ms, the model slightly moves to the right and the plasma discharge is activated on the ogive of the model at the beginning of the free-flight motion. At \( t = 2.4 \) ms, i.e. about 1.2 ms after the plasma-discharge activation, the plasma is shown by the glow on the pictures: in the horizontal plane, the plasma is produced on one side of the model and in the vertical plane, the plasma acts symmetrically on the model. Shock-wave...
patterns can also be seen, but the detailed structure of the flow field does not appear as it is a simple photograph. At $t = 3.6$ ms, the plasma extinguishes itself and the model moves in the opposite direction to the plasma. From $t = 4.8$ ms, the plasma is extinguished and the model continues to move in the opposite direction to the plasma, whereas it keeps its rectilinear trajectory in the vertical plane.

4.4.3 With a plasma discharge, stored energy of 120 J (test 08-09-03-26-01)

The result in Figure 30 is obtained for an energy of 120 J, which is about twice the energy of the previous test, leading to a plasma duration of 4.5 ms.

Fig. 30. Visualization of the displacement of the free-flight EFP model subjected to a plasma discharge, $M = 4.5$, $E = 120$ J (test 08-09-03-26-01)

At $t = 0$ the model is hung up in the test chamber. At $t = 1.2$ ms, the model slightly moves to the right and the plasma discharge is activated on the ogive. At $t = 2.4$ ms, the model begins to deviate from its trajectory in the horizontal plane. From $t = 2.4$ ms to 4.8 ms, the plasma is delivered to the electrodes with a constant power. In the end ($t = 6.0$ ms), the plasma is extinguished, the model continues to move in the opposite direction to the plasma, reaching an angle of attack of about 11° and a slight translation is observed in the vertical plane.

4.4.4 Comparison of the results with a plasma discharge

Figure 31 shows the voltage and current measurements recorded during the previous tests demonstrating the correct control of the current delivered to the plasma discharge. The plasma duration is deduced from the current measurement. Each picture recorded during the tests is analyzed in order to reproduce the displacement of the model tip. A displacement of 45 mm represents 270 pixels in the vertical plane and
Fig. 31. Voltage and current measurements during the free-flight EFP model subjected to a plasma discharge, $M = 4.5$

41 mm corresponds to 251 pixels in the horizontal one. An error of less than ±2 pixels is estimated for the analysis, leading to a displacement error lower than ±0.3 mm. Figure 32 shows the analysis of the displacement in the horizontal and vertical planes for the tests 07 and 08. In the case of the test 08, the lateral displacement is 21 mm for a longitudinal displacement of 86 mm.

Fig. 32. Displacement measurement during a plasma discharge, $M = 4.5$ (tests 07-09-03-25-01 and 08-09-03-26-01)

According to results found in the previous Sections concerning the pressure measurements and the pitching-motion analysis, the plasma discharge producing an overpressure on the projectile model leads to a negative angle of attack. Indeed, this overpressure generated by the plasma discharge is mainly located in front of the gravity center of the model, creating a nose-down moment and a lateral displacement opposite to the plasma discharge.

5. Conclusion

The ISL wind-tunnel and shock-tube facilities have been used for studying in detail the interaction of a plasma discharge generated on the projectile surface with its supersonic cross-flow by using a low-voltage plasma actuator. A current controller has been developed
in order to generate a power-controlled plasma discharge anywhere on the surface of any aerial vehicle.

Pressure and temperature measurements as well as flow-field visualizations were carried out in the wind tunnel at a Mach number of 3. The plasma discharge was produced on the conical part of a projectile model of a 50 mm diameter in which the plasma actuator was embedded. Flow fields were visualized by means of a CCD camera located behind a differential interferometer or a schlieren method set-up. These measurements and visualizations allowed the analysis of the perturbation evolution along the projectile surface due to the plasma discharge. Some difficulties occurred during the pressure-measurement experiments probably due to the radiation of the plasma; however, the plasma was expected to produce an overpressure. The spectrography technique was used for obtaining the copper spectrum which allowed the determination of the temperature in the plasma plume. The main result was that a maximum temperature of about 12,000 K was obtained 12 mm behind the plasma-discharge generator anode and very near the conical surface.

The wind tunnel was also used for demonstrating that the plasma discharge generated the angular deviation of a 20-mm-caliber fin-stabilized projectile, but the low-voltage plasma actuator was outside the model. For a 243 J stored energy, an angle of attack of 2.6° was reached by means of a power-controlled plasma discharge of 10 kW delivered in 9.7 ms in front of the projectile-model fins. For the same stored energy, a constant angle of attack of 0.9° was reached by means of another power-controlled plasma discharge of 4.5 kW delivered in 25.4 ms at the same location. The efficiency of the actuation system was nearly of 40% to 50%. However, the experiments could not demonstrate that such a plasma discharge induced a significant change in the trajectory of the projectile, because it was fixed at its gravity center. The remaining questions are: “Does this disturbance last long enough to cause the trajectory of the projectile to change?” and “Is the power large enough for a change in the projectile trajectory to take place?” The trajectory change will be computed in the future by running a 3-DoF program which will use data extracted from these experimental results.

The shock tunnel proved to be a facility well adapted to the experimental study of the steering of a supersonic projectile flying under low-atmosphere conditions. Flow-field visualizations showed that a plasma discharge produced on the ogive of a projectile flying at an altitude of 2.5 km and at a Mach number of 4.5 could deviate a projectile from its trajectory. The angular deviation of 11° was demonstrated with a very light flare-stabilized Explosively-Formed-Projectile with the low-voltage plasma actuator mounted outside the shock tunnel.

The studies will continue in wind-tunnel and shock-tube facilities with new surface-pressure measurements with the purpose of confirming the results obtained up to now. Other experiments will be conducted with the aim of increasing the angular deviation of the projectile and of evaluating the trajectory deviation. Some experiments will go on in order to increase the plasma-discharge lifetime, maintaining the angle of attack for a longer duration. New experiments will be carried out, simulating the application of the concept to a spin-stabilized projectile.

The electrodes of such a type of plasma actuator can be mounted anywhere on the projectile surface or embedded in other parts of it, especially in fins, canards, etc. This concept can also be applied to other subsonic, supersonic or hypersonic flying vehicles such as missiles,
UAVs, MAVs, waveriders, etc. However, an optimization phase will be necessary for each application and this is long-term work. This is due to the fact that the resulting aerodynamic forces and moments depend on the Mach number, angle of attack, number of actuators, delivered energy and voltage, actuator location, electrode distance, etc.
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