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1. Introduction

Nonlinear block-oriented systems, including the Hammerstein, Wiener and feedback-nonlinear systems have attracted considerable research interest both from the industrial and academic environments (Bai, 1998), (Greblicki, 1989), (Latawiec, 2004), (Latawiec et al., 2003), (Latawiec et al., 2004), (Pearson & Pottman, 2000).

It is well known that orthonormal basis functions (OBF) (Bokor et al., 1999) have proved to be useful in identification and control of dynamical systems, including nonlinear block-oriented systems (Gómez & Baeyens, 2004), (Latawiec, 2004), (Latawiec et al., 2003), (Latawiec et al., 2006), (Latawiec et al., 2004), (Stanisławski et al., 2006). In particular, an inverse OBF (IOBF) modeling approach has been effective in identification of a linear dynamic part of the feedback-nonlinear and Hammerstein systems (Latawiec, 2004), (Latawiec et al., 2004). On the other hand, regular OBF (ROBF) modeling approach has proved to be useful in identification of the Wiener system. The approaches provide the separability in estimation of linear and nonlinear submodels (Latawiec et al., 2004), thus eliminating the bilinearity issue detrimentally affecting e.g. the ARX-based modeling schemes (Latawiec, 2004), (Latawiec et al., 2003), (Latawiec et al., 2006), (Latawiec et al., 2004). The IOBF modeling approach is continued to be efficiently used here to model a linear dynamic part of the feedback-nonlinear and Hammerstein systems and regular OBF modeling approach is used to model a linear part of the Wiener system.

The problem of modeling of a nonlinear static part of the nonlinear block-oriented system can be classically tackled using e.g. the polynomial expansion (Latawiec, 2004), (Latawiec et al., 2004) or (cubic) spline functions. Recently, a radial basis function network (RBFN) has been used to model a nonlinear static part of the Hammerstein and feedback-nonlinear systems and a very good identification performance has been obtained (Hachino et al., 2004), (Stanisławski, 2007), (Stanisławski et al., 2007). The concept is extended here to cover the Wiener system.

This paper presents a new strategy for nonlinear block-oriented system identification, which is a combination of OBF modeling for a linear dynamic part and RBFN modeling for a nonlinear static element. The effective OBF approach is finally coupled with the RBFN modeling concept, giving rise to the introduction of a powerful method for identification of the nonlinear block-oriented system.
2. Regular and inverse OBF modelling concept

2.1 Regular OBF modeling

It is well known that an open-loop stable linear discrete-time system described by the transfer function $G(q)$ can be represented with an arbitrary accuracy by the model

$$\hat{G}(q) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} c_i L_i(q),$$

including a series of orthonormal transfer functions $L_i(q)$ and the weighting parameters $c_i$, $i=1,...,M$, characterizing the model dynamics. Thus, the model of the system can be written as (Latawiec, 2004), (Latawiec et al., 2006), (Latawiec et al., 2004)

$$\hat{y}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} c_i L_i(q) u(t)$$

Various OBF can be used in (1). Two commonly used sets of OBF are simple Laguerre and Kautz functions. These functions are characterized by the ‘dominant’ dynamics of a system, which is given by a single real pole ($p$) or a pair of complex ones ($p, p^*$), respectively. In case of discrete Laguerre models to be exploited hereinafter, the orthonormal functions

$$L_i(q, p) = \frac{\sqrt{1-p^2}}{q-p} \left[ \frac{1-pq}{q-p} \right]^{i-1}$$

consist of a first-order low-pass factor and ($i$-1)th-order all-pass filters. Dominant Laguerre pole $p$ can be selected in an experimental way or can be determined with the aid of the stochastic gradient (SG) estimator (Boukis et al., 2006), (Oliveira, 2000).

2.1 Inverse OBF modeling

In case of use of the inverse OBF (IOBF) concept to model a linear dynamic part, the model equation can be presented in form

$$\hat{G}^{-1}(q) \hat{y}(t) = u(t)$$

$$R(q) \hat{y}(t) = u(t)$$

where FIR model $R(q) = r_0 q^d + r_1 q^{d-1} + ... + r_d + r_{d+1} q^{-1} ... + r_{M} q^{-1} q^{d+1}$ is the inverse of the system model $\hat{G}(q)$. In the IOBF concept, the inverse $R(q)$ of the system is modeled using OBF. An OBF modeling approach can now be applied to equation (3b) instead of (3a) and finally we can present equation (1) in the following form (Latawiec et al., 2003)

$$y(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{M} c_i L_i(q, p) y(t) = \beta_0 u(t-d) + e_i(t)$$

where $e_i(t)$ is the equation error, $d$ is the time delay of the system, $\beta_0$ and $c_i$, $i=1,...,M$ are the OBF model parameters.

3. RBF network

The nonlinear function approximated by a Radial Basis Functions Network (RBFN) consists of two layers of neurons (one hidden and one output layer). The hidden layer consists of $m$
neurons, where each neuron implements the radial activated function. The output layer consists of one linear neuron which realizes weighted sum of outputs of hidden layer neurons. The output of RBFN is described by the equation

\[ x(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} w_i \phi(u(t)) \]  

(5)

where \( w_i, i=1,\ldots,m \) are the weighting coefficients and \( \phi(u(t)) \) are the outputs of hidden layer neurons. Typically, the Gaussian function is used as an activation function in RBFN. The Gaussian functions are modeled by two parameters characterizing their centers \( \alpha_i \) and widths \( \sigma_i \). In this case the \( \phi(u(t)) \) is given by the equation

\[ \phi(u(t)) = \exp\left(-\frac{||u(k)-\alpha_i||^2}{\sigma_i^2}\right) \text{ for } i=1,\ldots,m \]  

(6)

where \( ||.|| \) is the Euclidian norm.

Important advantage of the RBF network is that the weighting coefficients \( w_i, i=1,\ldots,m \) can by estimated by using classical, linear estimation schemes e.g. recursive/adaptive least squares (RLS/ALS), or least mean squares (LMS). The centers \( \alpha_i \) and widths \( \sigma_i \) \((i=1,\ldots,m)\) of the RBF can be determined with the aid of the stochastic gradient (SG) estimator (Kim et al., 2006), genetic algorithm (Hachino et al., 2004) or other optimization methods. However, in practical applications, the optimization of the \( \alpha_i \) and \( \sigma_i \) is not absolutely necessary. It has been found in simulations (Stanislawski, 2007) that RBFN without optimization (with regular distribution of the centers and constant widths) can produce satisfactory solutions.

### 3. Nonlinear block-oriented systems

#### 3.1 Hammerstein system

The Hammerstein system consists of two cascaded elements, where the first one is a nonlinear memoryless gain and the second one is a linear dynamic model. The whole Hammerstein system can be described by the equation

\[ y(t) = G(q)[f(u(t)) + e_{eH}(t)] = G(q)[x(t) + e_{eH}(t)] \]  

(7)

where \( G(q) \) models a dynamic linear part, \( f(.) \) describes a nonlinear function, \( x(t) \) is the unmeasured output of the nonlinear part and \( e_{eH}(t) \) is the error/disturbance term. An alternative output error/disturbance formulation is also possible.

Combining equations (4),(5) and (7) we arrive at the equation describing the whole Hammerstein system

\[ y(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{M_c} c_i L_i(q,p)y(t) = \beta_i \sum_{i=1}^{m} w_i \phi(u(t-d)) + e_i(t) \]  

(8)

Assuming that \( w_i = \beta_0 w_i, i=1\ldots m \), the model output from the Hammerstein system can be finally given as

\[ \hat{y}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{M_c} c_i L_i(q,p)y(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} w_i \phi_j(t-d) \]  

(9)

which can be presented in the linear regression form.
\[ \hat{y}(t) = \boldsymbol{\phi}^T(t) \boldsymbol{\theta} \]  

(10)

where \( \boldsymbol{\phi}^T(t) = [-v_1(t) ... -v_M(t) \phi_1(t-d) \phi_2(t-d) ... \phi_n(t-d)] \), \( \boldsymbol{\theta} = [c_1 ... c_M \ \ w_1 \ \ w_2 \ ... \ w_m] \) and \( v_i(t) = L_i(q,p)y(t) \). Unknown parameters \( \boldsymbol{\theta} \) of the model can be estimated by the familiar recursive least squares (RLS) or least mean squares (LMS) algorithms.

### 3.2 Wiener system

In a single-input single-output Wiener system, a linear dynamic part is cascaded with a nonlinear static element. The output \( \hat{y}(t) \) of the Wiener model, or the system output predictor, can be calculated as

\[ \hat{y}(t) = \hat{f}[G(q)u(t)] \]  

(11)

Since a nonlinear static characteristic is invertible we can rewrite equation (11) in form

\[ \hat{f}^{-1}[\hat{y}(t)] = \hat{G}(q)u(t) \]  

(12)

The function \( \hat{f}^{-1}[\hat{y}(t)] \) can be approximated with RBF network. Finally, we arrive at the linear regression function

\[ \hat{y}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} c_i L_i(q^{-1})u(t) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} w_i \phi_i(y(t)) \]  

(13)

where \( w_i = w_i - \alpha_i \) (i=1,..,m), which can be presented in the familiar form \( \hat{y}(t) = \boldsymbol{\phi}^T(t) \boldsymbol{\theta} \), with \( \boldsymbol{\phi}^T(t) = [ v_1(t) ... -v_M(t) -\phi_1(y(t)) -\phi_2(y(t)) ... -\phi_n(y(t))] \), \( \boldsymbol{\theta} = [c_1 ... c_M \ \ w_1 \ \ w_2 \ ... \ w_m] \) and \( v_i(t) = L_i(q,p)u(t), i=1,...,M \).

### 3.3 Feedback-nonlinear system

In the block-oriented feedback-nonlinear system, the output of the linear dynamic part is fed (negatively) back to the input through the static nonlinearity, so that the whole system can be described by the equation

\[ y(t) = G(q)[u(t) - f(y(t)) + e_r(t)] \]  

\[ = G(q)[u(t) - \chi(t) + e_r(t)] \]  

(14)

where \( e_r(t) \) is the error/disturbance term. Combining equations (4),(5) and (14) we arrive at the equation describing the whole, IOBF-related feedback-nonlinear system (Stanislawski et al., 2007)

\[ y(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{M} c_i L_i(q,p)y(t) = \beta_0 \left[ u(t-d) - \sum_{j=1}^{m} \ w_j \ \phi_j(y(t-d)) \right] + e(t) \]  

(15)

Putting \( \omega = \beta \omega_j, j=1...m \), the output from the feedback-nonlinear system can be finally given as
The equation (16) can be presented in the linear regression form, with

\[ \phi^T(t) = [u(t-d) - v_1(t) \ldots - v_M(t) - \phi_1(y(t-d)) \ldots - \phi_m(y(t-d))], \theta = [\beta_0 c_1 \ldots c_M w_1 w_2 \ldots w_m] \] and \( v_i(t) = L_i(q,p)y(t) \).

Clearly, owing to the IOBF modeling approach applied, the linear and nonlinear submodels are separated from each other so that the bilinearity issue is eliminated here.

### 4. Simulation experiments

In the Matlab/Simulink environment, we comparatively analyze the three presented nonlinear block-oriented OBF/RBFN-related models consisting of 1) Hammerstein IOBF related model, 2) Wiener regular OBF related model and 3) feedback-nonlinear IOBF related model. For example, consider the magnetic levitation process which has been simulated as a demo in the Matlab/Simulink environment. Our main goal is to analyze efficiency of the approach in view of their possible use in on-line identification (and control). Performance of parameter estimation is evaluated by means of the mean square prediction error (MSPE). MSPE is described by the equation

\[ MSPE = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} (y(t) - \hat{y}(t))^2 \]  

(17)

The system is excited by a random number generator with regular distribution \(<0.5, 4>\). Additionally, the system is corrupted with the input and output noises \( (e_i(t) \) and \( e_o(t) \)), which are supplied from a Gaussian random number generators with \( N(0, \delta_i) \) and \( N(0, \delta_o) \), respectively. For estimation of weights of the RBFs and parameters of the dynamical model we use a classical RLS algorithm.

Table 1 specifies the results of a comparative analysis of the performance of the three models for \( M=6 \) and \( m=9 \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \delta_i )</th>
<th>( \delta_o )</th>
<th>Hammerstein system</th>
<th>Wiener system</th>
<th>Feedback-nonlinear system</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.851 e-6</td>
<td>0.2437</td>
<td>1.008 e-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.167 e-5</td>
<td>1.123</td>
<td>9.236 e-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.337 e-5</td>
<td>1.287</td>
<td>9.582 e-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>2.752</td>
<td>2.231</td>
<td>2.838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>5.188</td>
<td>3.226</td>
<td>4.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>2.921</td>
<td>3.406</td>
<td>2.792</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. MSPE of the Hammerstein, Wiener and feedback-nonlinear models
The results in Table 1 show that the high accuracy of identification has been obtained for the IOBF/RBFN-based models (Hammerstein and feedback-nonlinear models). The reasons are 1) the specific, structure of the IOBF-related model, 2) numerical conditioning of the covariance matrix for the IOBF-based estimation problem is essentially better than that for the OBF-based one. However, the inconvenience of IOBF-related models is the high sensitivity on the output error due to the equation error structure. Table 1 shows that the Wiener model cannot provide sufficiently high accuracy of the identification problem, causing that the RBF network in the Wiener system models the inversion of the nonlinear function $f(\cdot)$. The calculation of the original function on the basis of RBF network is ambiguous and badly numerical conditioned. Finally, only the Wiener model gives the satisfy results for the system corrupted with the high-level disturbances.

Plots of the actual output and its reconstruction by Hammerstein, Wiener and Feedback nonlinear models presented in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 confirm very good performance of identification for Hammerstein and Feedback nonlinear models and poor performance for Wiener model, respectively.

![Fig. 1. Plots of actual (solid-black) vs. predicted (dashed-red) outputs of the Hammerstein system (left) and feedback-nonlinear system (right)](image)

![Fig. 2. Plots of actual (solid-black) vs. predicted (dashed-red) outputs of the Wiener system](image)
7. Conclusion

The paper has presented the solutions to the nonlinear identification problem for the various nonlinear block-oriented systems using OBF-related models and RBF network. We have demonstrated that the Wiener model based on regular OBF modeling concept cannot provide sufficiently high performance of the identification problem. This is mainly due to the inversion problem of RBF network.

Results of a simulation analysis have shown that the strategy using the IOBF modeling concept in Hammerstein and feedback-nonlinear model can provide a very good performance, both in terms of low prediction errors and accurate reconstruction of the nonlinear characteristics, in addition to high computational efficiency.
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