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Abstract This paper describes a study of thruster modelling for a remotely operated underwater vehicle (ROV) by system identification using Microbox 2000/2000C. Microbox 2000/2000C is an XPC target machine device to interface between an ROV thruster with the MATLAB 2009 software. In this project, a model of the thruster will be developed first so that the system identification toolbox in MATLAB can be used. This project also presents a comparison of mathematical and empirical modelling. The experiments were carried out by using a mini compressor as a dummy depth pressure applied to a pressure sensor. The thruster model will thrust and submerge until it reaches a set point and maintain the set point depth. The depth was based on pressure sensor measurement. A conventional proportional controller was used in this project and the results gathered justified its selection.
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1. Introduction

A thruster is an electromechanical device equipped with a motor and propeller that generates thrust to push an Underwater Vehicle. Thruster control and modelling are important parts of underwater vehicle control and simulation. This is because it is the lowest control loop of the system; hence, the system would benefit from accurate and practical modelling of the thrusters [1]. In underwater vehicles such as ROV and AUV, thrusters are generally propellers driven by electrical DC motors. Therefore, thrust force is simultaneously affected by motor model, propeller design, and hydrodynamic effects [2, 3]. There are also many other factors to consider which make the modelling procedure difficult. To resolve the difficulties, this paper will describe thruster models that have been proposed using Microbox 2000/2000C.

Microbox 2000/2000C is a solution for prototyping, testing and developing real-times system using standard
PC hardware for running real-time applications, as shown in Figure 1. Microbox 2000/2000C acts as a microcontroller, and is also called the “XPC target machine”. Microbox 2000/2000C is a rugged, high-performance x86-based industrial PC with no moving parts inside. It supports all standard PC peripherals such as video, mouse, and keyboard. For engineers who have real-time analysis and control-system testing needs, Microbox 2000/2000C offers an excellent mix of performance, compactness, sturdiness, and I/O expandability [4]. Microbox 2000/2000C is used integrated with MATLAB/Simulink and related control modules. It can run real-time modelling and simulation of control systems, rapid prototyping, and hardware-in-the-loop testing. And These tasks do not need any manual code generation or complicated debugging processes. The result benefits users in terms of cost and time saving, and makes the control system design and testing easy to accomplish, also allowing flexibility when dealing with complex control systems [5].

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 gives a brief introduction to system identification and the mathematical modelling of thrusters. Section 3 presents the fabrication process of a pressure sensor including testing and interfacing with Microbox. Section 4 describes system identification simulation results using the derived thruster model and algorithms. Section 5 illustrates the field testing results. Section 6 presents final remarks.

2. Theoretical issues

This section will describe the theoretical aspects of the project. The methodology will also be described, in terms of system identification, as shown in Figure 2. Finally, the general equation for thrusters will be summarized. The derivation of the thruster model was based on the experiment presented in [6]. This project involves a varied model generated by system identification that can be used in modelling the Underwater Vehicle. This model will be integrated with ROV for depth control. The depth measured was based on pressure sensor design.

For system identification, the development of the Underwater Vehicle will be considered first and will be referred to as platform development. The methodology in this project is shown in Figure 3.

The first stage is establishing the design parameters. The dynamic motion equation must first be familiarized. In this project, the Mathematical Model of Vertical Movement (depth movement) is studied. A combination of rigid body motion and fluid mechanics principles, an underwater robot motion model can be derived from the dynamics equation of an underwater vehicle [7]. The dynamics of a 6-degree-of-freedom underwater vehicle can be described thus:

\[ M\dot{v} + C(v)v + D(v)v + g(\eta) = B(v)u \]  \( \text{(1)} \)

where

- \( M \) is the 6 x 6 inertia matrix including hydrodynamic added mass;
- \( C(v) \) is the matrix of Coriolis and centripetal forces;
- \( D(v) \) is the hydrodynamic damping matrix;
$g(\eta)$ is the vector of restoring forces and moments; $B(\omega)$ is the 6 x 3 control matrix.

$M \in R^{6x6}$ is the mass matrix that includes rigid body and added mass, and satisfies $M = M^T > 0$ and $M = 0$; $C(v) \in R^{6x6}$ is the matrix of Coriolis and centrifugal terms including added mass, and satisfies $C(v) = -C(v)^T$; $D(v) \in R^{6x6}$ is the matrix of friction and hydrodynamic damping terms; $g(\eta) \in R^6$ is the vector of gravitational and buoyancy generalized forces; $\tau \in R^3$ is the vector of Euler angles; $\tau \in R^6$ is the vector of vehicle velocities in its 6 DOF, relative to the fluid and in a body-fixed reference frame; and $\tau \in R^6$ is the driver vector considering the vehicle’s thruster positions.

After identifying the design parameters, the next stage is platform development. In this stage, the prototype underwater vehicle will be developed. System identification will take place once the platform is ready to be run. MATLAB System Identification Toolbox will be used. Some theory for SI must be cleared so that the model obtained is acceptable. The model obtained from System Identification will then be verified.

2.2 Thruster model

The thruster dynamics are still very much neglected and the oscillations are usually ignored or tolerated, based on the experiment presented in [6]. The thruster design in this project was developed by UTeRG, as shown in Figure 4. This paper will derive the thruster model for the under-actuated condition from the propeller’s hydrodynamics and the motor’s electromechanical properties. A hydrodynamic propeller model can be derived from basic Newtonian fluid mechanics theory and is shown in [6]:

$$ T = K_{T0} + K_{T0} \omega^2 \quad (Nm) \tag{2} $$

where

$T$ = thruster output thrust;

$\omega$ = the propeller rotational speed;

$K_{T0}$, $K_T$ = lump parameter of various constants.

The electromechanical model of a motor that relates the applied voltage, $V$, to the thruster output $T$

$$ V = R_m I + L_m \omega + K_{E0} \quad (3) $$

$$ T = K_m I \quad (4) $$

$I$ = the current flowing in the motor armature

$R_m$ = motor resistance

$L_m$ = motor inductance

$K_E = $ motor back EMF constant

$K_m$ = modified motor constant.

The simulated result of transient response does not match with the observed oscillatory thrust values measured with the force sensor. This may originate in the experimental set-up in [6]. In this experiment, thruster transient can be tolerated and thus can be ignored [6]. Only the steady state components of the thruster model have been considered. Based on Equation 2 and Equation 3:

$$ T = K_{T0} \omega^2 \tag{5} $$

$$ V = R_m I + K_{E0} \quad (6) $$

Equation 4 substituted into Equation 5 and Equation 6:

$$ I = \frac{V}{R_m} $$

$$ T = K_{T0} \omega^2 $$

$$ \omega = \sqrt{\frac{T}{K_T}} $$

$$ V = R_m \left( \frac{T}{K_m} \right) + K_E \left( \frac{T}{K_T} \right) \quad (7) $$.  

![Figure 4. Thruster design by UTeRG](image)

![Figure 5. Parameter of thruster (a) body (b) propeller and coupling](image)
Figure 5 shows the parameter of thruster design in terms of body, propeller and coupling. Table 1 shows the motor parameters. These parameters will be used to obtain the DC motor thruster model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical quantity</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Numerical value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Armature inductance</td>
<td>L_a</td>
<td>0.004690891710127 H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back-emf constant</td>
<td>K_e</td>
<td>0.018259462399963 V/rad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armature resistance</td>
<td>R_a</td>
<td>2.5604030888365053 Ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torque motor</td>
<td>T_i</td>
<td>0.000331086545438 N/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total load inertia</td>
<td>J_m</td>
<td>0.000072019412423 kg m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscous-friction coefficient</td>
<td>C_a/B</td>
<td>0.000091357863014 N/m rad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torque constant</td>
<td>K_t</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Motor parameters

2.3 Pressure sensor as depth sensor

For the underwater vehicle to know the depth that it is operating at, a pressure sensor can be used. The change in depth (or weight of the water) will influence the pressure, as defined in Equation 8, where \( p \) is pressure, \( w \) is weight of the fluid and \( h \) is the depth:

\[
\Delta p = -w \Delta h \tag{8}
\]

In the MPX4250A/MPXA4250A series, a Manifold Absolute Pressure (MAP) sensor for engine control is designed to sense absolute air pressure within the intake of the manifold. This measurement can be used to measure depth. The pressure sensor used for underwater depth measurement by using MPX4250AP CASE 867B-04 is from Farnell, as shown in Figure 6. Only pin numbers 1, 2, and 3 will be used; the rest will not be connected. Figure 7 shows the fully integrated pressure sensor schematic. Based on the schematic, the pressure sensor has a sensing element, thin film temperature compensation, gain stage 1 cascaded with gain stage 2, and ground reference shift circuitry [8].

![PIN NUMBERS](image)

PIN NUMBERS
1  \( V_{out} \)  4  N/C
2  GND          5  N/C
3  Vs           5  N/C

Figure 6. MPX4250AP CASE 867B-04 with pin configurations.

Figure 7. Fully Integrated Pressure Sensor Schematic

Figure 8 shows the recommended decoupling circuit for interfacing the output of the integrated sensor to the A/D input of a microprocessor or microcontroller. Table 2 shows the operating characteristics [8] (VS = 5.1 VDC, TA = 25°C unless otherwise noted; P1 > P2). Typical, minimum, and maximum output curves are shown for operations in a temperature range of 0°C to 85°C using the decoupling circuit shown in Figure 6. The output will be saturated outside of the specified pressure range. The measurement unit will be in kPa. From the datasheet, the range of pressure sensor measurement is from 20 to 250kPa or 2.9 to 36.3 psi or 0.2 to 4.9V for output, as shown in Figure 9. From this data we can convert a depth (output voltage) into metres – the depth range will be from 0 to 26 metres.

![Figure 8. Recommended Power Supply Decoupling and Output Filtering](image)

(Temperature range from 0 to 85°C)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supply Voltage</td>
<td>V_s</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>VDC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Scale Output</td>
<td>VFSO</td>
<td>4.896</td>
<td>VDC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>%VFSO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity ( \Delta V/\Delta P )</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>mV/kPa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differential Pressure Range</td>
<td>P_{cr}</td>
<td>20 - 250</td>
<td>kPa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Operating characteristics [8]

![Figure 9. Output voltage vs. depth](image)
3. Simulation

3.1 Thrust Control using Matlab/Simulink

Simulation using MATLAB/SIMULINK for propeller thrust control is shown in Figure 10. This propeller thrust control uses the conventional PID controller. Thruster design is based on the first-order motor dynamic model. At the first simulation, there are no disturbances or faults in the system. The output of the speed control system is the thrust $T_a$ and torque $Q_a$, respectively.

Table 3. Effect of increasing $K_p$, $K_i$ and $K_d$ parameters in closed-loop system [11].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Rise Time</th>
<th>Overshoot</th>
<th>Settling Time</th>
<th>Steady State Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$K_p$</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>Small Change</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_i$</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>Remove</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_d$</td>
<td>Small Change</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
<td>Small Change</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures 12 to 16 are block diagrams of a subsystem speed control system. Water density is set at 1024 kg/m³. Figure 17 and Figure 18 show the system response for thrust and torque generated. The system response for thrust showed the best response.

![Figure 10. Thrust control](image1)

![Figure 11. Speed Control block diagram](image2)

![Figure 12. Reference thruster block diagram](image3)

![Figure 13. First order motor dynamic model block diagram](image4)

![Figure 14. Shaft dynamic](image5)

The best gain for a PID controller such as $K_p$, $K_i$ and $K_d$ is equal to 5, 15, and 0, respectively. Only the PI controller is actually sufficient for this system. When designing a PID controller for a given system, such as for the speed control as shown in Figure 11, the methods shown below are followed to obtain a desired response. Designers should try to keep the controller as simple as possible, but offering good performance [9, 10].

1. **$K_p$**: Proportional Gain - Larger $K_p$ typically means faster response since the larger the error, the larger the proportional term compensation. An excessively large proportional gain will lead to process instability and oscillation.

2. **$K_i$**: Integral Gain - Larger $K_i$ implies steady state errors are eliminated quicker. The trade-off is larger overshoot: any negative error integrated during transient response must be integrated away by positive error before we reach steady state.

3. **$K_d$**: Derivative Gain - Larger $K_d$ decreases overshoot, but slow down transient response and may lead to instability due to signal noise amplification in the differentiation of the error.

Table 3 summarizes the effect of increasing the values of every gain $K_p$, $K_i$ and $K_d$. In MATLAB tuning can be carried out automatically, meaning there is no need to tune every value of gain by trial and error.
4.1 PCB Design for Depth Sensor

The next step is the PCB design process. PCB design for the pressure sensor consists of four important stages. Considerable care is required in the fabrication process since the design process is very sensitive to the tolerance in the dimension. The first stage is to prepare the master layout, followed by creating the photo-resist pattern, etching and soldering.

The complete circuit for the pressure sensor can be seen in Figure 19. The continuity is checked using a multimeter to ensure the complete flow of signal in the designed circuit. The pressure sensor is now ready to measure the water depth.

4.2 Testing Depth Sensor System using Microbox

Next, the Simulink block will be used to read the signal from sensors (encoder – pressure sensor) and to send the control signal from the actuator (DC motor), and for signal interpretation. The function of the derivative block is to derive the speed from the position. The derivative block will produce a noisy signal, due to the division into a small number of peaks – in this case, the sampling frequency is 1 kHz. Noise will therefore be amplified and unclean data produced. The transfer function is the derivation with a low pass filter, which produces smoother signal. The transfer function is the low pass filter term, where $s$ is the derivative. For the first step, Simulink has to be set to read the signal from the encoder. The next step is sending signal to the motor. An analogue to digital sensor was used to read the measurement from the pressure sensor and display it, as shown in Figure 20.
The supply of the pressure sensor is constant at 5V from the Microbox driver. The experiment for pressure sensors uses three 1.5V batteries in series, equivalent to 4.5V. For the initial value the display showed 1.848V, as shown in Figure 20. To test the working of the pressure sensor, the test is set with a 41 cm level of water in a bucket. The reading showed increasing pressure. This will then be compared with the experiment in the pool. Table 4 shows the results of the pressure sensor experiment. When the depth is 41 cm the value on the pressure sensor is equal to 1.912V equivalent ± 40 cm.

Figure 20. Pressure sensor setting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (feet)</th>
<th>Depth (meter)</th>
<th>Output reading 1 (V)</th>
<th>Output reading 2 (V)</th>
<th>Output reading 3 (V)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.622</td>
<td>1.614</td>
<td>1.593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3048</td>
<td>1.683</td>
<td>1.671</td>
<td>1.648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.6096</td>
<td>1.731</td>
<td>1.716</td>
<td>1.694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.9144</td>
<td>1.779</td>
<td>1.758</td>
<td>1.735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.2192</td>
<td>1.825</td>
<td>1.802</td>
<td>1.774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.524</td>
<td>1.869</td>
<td>1.846</td>
<td>1.826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.8288</td>
<td>1.922</td>
<td>1.896</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.1336</td>
<td>1.973</td>
<td>1.944</td>
<td>1.886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.4384</td>
<td>2.024</td>
<td>1.994</td>
<td>1.938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.7432</td>
<td>2.054</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>1.983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.048</td>
<td>2.122</td>
<td>2.085</td>
<td>2.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.3528</td>
<td>2.168</td>
<td>2.156</td>
<td>2.097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.6576</td>
<td>2.223</td>
<td>2.207</td>
<td>2.174</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. The results of the pressure sensor experiment

Figure 21 shows a graph with the three readings from the experiments in the pool. Comparison between datasheet and experiment shows they are almost the same. So, this pressure sensor can be said to be suitable to be applied on an underwater vehicle.

4.3 Mini-compressor as pressure depth

A mini-compressor will be used to produce air pressure for the pressure sensor to set the water depth as shown in Figure 22. This compressor can supply up to 1 horse power (1Hp equal to 746 watt).

Figure 22. Mini-compressor used as pressure supplied

This is similar to simulation for the water depth, but in real-time application. The pressure for water depth can be set till it reaches to the maximum depth. Theoretically, from the data sheet the maximum depth is up to 70 metres. In the present of a dummy pressure, the depth can be set as needed. At the surface the pressure sensor displays the initial value of measurement.

Table 5. Specification of compressor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>Numerical Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Motor</td>
<td>1 Hp (746 Watt)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voltage</td>
<td>230 V AC / 50 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPM</td>
<td>2850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max Pressure</td>
<td>8 Bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reservoir</td>
<td>24 litres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise level</td>
<td>43 dB/(m)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 23. Pressure applied to sensor
Figure 23 shows a pressure given to the sensor, which will be increase until it reaches maximum voltage, rated around 5V. The maximum depth can be up to 16.57 metres.

The converter will be used to convert from voltage to water depth based on the data sheet of the pressure sensor, as shown in Figure 24. Based on the data sheet it can be summarized that the output of pressure sensor is:

\[ Y = MX + C \]  

\[ Y = 0.1901X - 0.0973 \]

\[ X = \frac{Y + 0.0973}{0.1901} \]

**Figure 24.** Converter configuration

### 4.4 Input Ramp

Based on Equation (9), the pressure may also be represented as ramp input. Instead of using dummy pressure, the other alternative to give ramp input based on relationship between pressures versus water depth is as shown in Figure 25.

**Figure 25.** Input Ramp

Ramp input parameters will be set based on Equation (9) as shown in Figure 26. The slope of ramp input in Figure 27 is 0.1901 almost same with relationship between pressure and water depth. Figure 27 shows the comparison between ramp input and the data sheet. The light blue line is the ramp input and the dark blue line is for data sheet pressure versus water depth, as shown in Figure 27.

**Figure 26.** Ramp parameters set-up

### 4.5 Encoder signal

This experiment will use an encoder signal to derive the speed of the thrusters, as shown in Figure 28. For distance, the degree will have to be converted to radian by using \(2 \pi/2000\).

To obtain the speed of the thrusters:

- Velocity (rad/s) = 14020/50
- = 280.4 rad/s
- = 1,121.6 m/s
- = 0.19 km/h

**Figure 27.** Comparison between ramp input and data sheet

**Figure 28.** Encoder signal

**Figure 29.** Completed open loop for thrusters
As shown in Figure 29, the complete open loop system for thrusters is implemented in ROV. The depth is set to 6.082 m with 3V for the pressure sensor. The voltage applied to the thrusters is 13V. Figure 30 shows the graph for the open loop system.

![Graph showing depth vs. time](image)

**Figure 30.** (a) (b) Open loop results

5. System Identification

By using commands in the MATLAB command window, the input and output will be set. Then the system identification toolbox interface is opened, as shown in Figure 31.

```matlab
>> t=tg.TimeLog;
>> R=tg.OutputLog(:,1);
>> Y=tg.OutputLog(:,2);
>> S=tg.OutputLog(:,3);
>> X=tg.OutputLog(:,4);
>> ident
```

where R,Y,S and X are the inputs and outputs of the system, for example, R for input and Y for output, as shown in Figure 32. Command “ident” was used to open the system identification toolbox.

In the “Import data” drop down list, select Time Domain Data and input, output, starting time and sampling interval as shown in Figure 31. Then, “Import to SI toolbox” will be displayed as mydata, as shown in Figure 32. We can set more than one input and output data. But we must remember that the data that we want to analyse should be highlighted, and the lines of data will be bigger.

![System Identification toolbox](image)

**Figure 31.** System Identification toolbox

![Time Domain Signal](image)

**Figure 32.** Time Domain Signal

![Select state space model](image)

**Figure 33.** Select state space model
Under the “Estimate” drop-down list, linear parameter model GUI was selected, as shown in Figure 33. In Linear parameter model GUI, a model other than state-space, such as the ARX model, can also be selected. The range of order selected was from 1 to 10, as displayed in Figure 34. Normally the red lines are the default choice. For the thruster used, the second order was selected.

**Figure 34. Model order selection**

MATLAB Command was used to generate transfer functions model from the state space method for the designed thruster model, at the surface and submerged. This model was based on an open loop model. As the next step, the controller was designed to follow the set point. A Proportional Controller will be used first in this project.

Transfer function:

\[ G(s) = \frac{X(s)}{Y(s)} \]

where \( X(s) \) is the input signal with the set point for input depth, while \( Y(s) \) is the output signal of the system, which is voltage applied to thrusters corresponding to the input depth [12 - 13].

\[ G(s) = \frac{X(s)}{Y(s)} = \frac{-28.77z+16.28}{s^2+45.09z+16.33} \]  

\( \text{(12)} \)

5.1 Comparing Different Models

Based on SI, different models can also be used, instead of only using state space modelling. Here, different modelling was used to come up with thruster models shown in Figure 35 and Figure 36. These represent two different methods to produce the thruster models, where the data can also be converted into transfer function.

**Figure 35. ARX model**

**Figure 36. AMX2221 model**

6. Closed Loop System

6.1 Simulation

After the system identification process, the model of the thrusters is developed and a closed loop system is applied. In the first trial, only simulation for the model from SI will be implemented, as shown in Figure 37. Figure 38 shows the input and output system response.

**Figure 37. Closed loop system**

From this simulation, the parameter for the controller can be set. For controller, the conventional proportional controller was used [14-15]. Although the PID block diagram is shown, only proportional gain will be set. The integral and derivative controllers were set to zero. In MATLAB 2010, the PID block diagram can be tuned by using a tuner. The time consumed will thus be reduced if compared with the trial-and-error technique implemented previously.
6.2 Real Time

After the system identification process, the model of the thrusters is developed and a closed loop system is applied. For the first trial, only a real-time system without a model will be implemented, as shown in Figure 39. The controller uses a conventional proportional controller with the value of gain set to 1 for the first trial in real time. Even using a proportional controller, this gives the best results in a real-time process.

Figure 38. Input and output of the system response

Figure 39. Closed-loop system using P controller

Figure 40. Closed loop system with model of thrusters

Figure 41. Initial operation

Figure 42. Middle operation
7. Conclusion

By using system identification, the thruster can be modelled. It is an easier and faster alternative for modelling compared to design by using derivation of mathematical equations. The MPX4250A/MPX4250A series Manifold Absolute Pressure (MAP) sensor can act as pressure sensor and is suitable to be used for underwater vehicles, especially the ROV used in this project. Different prototypes of ROV will yield different models with the system identification technique because of different parameters like mass, centre of gravity, thruster design and force, and so on, but the concept applied is still the same. The proportional controller gives acceptable results, as shown. Improvement can be made by an addition of an integral and derivative controller. However, it must be kept in mind that to design a controller, the design should be kept as simple as possible.
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