Abstract In this paper, a robust output feedback tracking control scheme for motion control of uncertain robot manipulators without joint velocity measurement based on a second-order sliding mode (SOSM) observer is presented. Two second-order sliding mode observers with finite time convergence are developed for velocity estimation and uncertainty identification, respectively. The first SOSM observer is used to estimate the state vector in finite time without filtration. However, for uncertainty identification, the values are constructed from the high switching frequencies, necessitating the application of a filter. To estimate the uncertainties without filtration, a second SOSM-based nonlinear observer is designed. By integrating two SOSM observers, the resulting observer can theoretically obtain exact estimations of both velocity and uncertainty. An output feedback tracking control scheme is then designed based on the observed values of the state variables and the direct compensation of matched modelling uncertainty using their identified values. Finally, results of a simulation for a PUMA560 robot are shown to verify the effectiveness of the proposed strategy.
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1. Introduction

Because sliding-mode control is robust with respect to system uncertainties and has a fast transient response, it has received a great deal of attention from the research community [1-3]. The main idea of SMC is to design a sliding surface first and then to design a control law that forces the system’s state to reach and remain on the sliding manifold, which is designed to achieve control objectives. However, the major drawback of SMC in practical applications is undesired chattering due to high frequency switching. Numerous techniques have been proposed to avoid the dangerous chattering of SMC. The sign function can be replaced in a small area of the surface by a smooth approximation, which is the so-called boundary layer control that implies deterioration accuracy and robustness. Another approach is to use a higher-order sliding mode that yields less chattering and
better convergence accuracy with respect to the conventional sliding mode [4-12, 22]. In particular, second-order sliding modes are widely applied to zero the outputs with a relative degree of two or to avoid chattering while zeroing out the relative degree of one. The main difficulty of second-order sliding modes, such as the sub-optimal algorithm [5, 8] or the twisting algorithm [6, 8], is the necessity of using the first time derivative of the sliding variable. The super twisting algorithm [9-12] does not require the time derivative of the sliding variable and is suitable for reconstruction of the velocities from the position information.

Output feedback (OFB) tracking control has received considerable interest in robotics literature due to its potential to eliminate the need for a tachometer, which can often become contaminated by noise, and to reduce the number of sensors in the robot design. The main issue of the OFB controller is the need for a controller scheme to compensate for parametric uncertainty and the lack of link velocity measurements. As an outcome of the research directed at this topic, several output feedback tracking control schemes have been developed for the system with only position measurement [13-24]. For example, Type-1 and type-2 fuzzy logic controllers have been designed for a backlash servomechanism based on only position measurement [13-16]. In these, a genetic algorithm is applied to optimize the Membership Functions of the Type-1 and Type-2 fuzzy system. Another approach has been proposed based on the design of a velocity observer by using a linear observer [17-18], a traditional sliding mode observer [19-20] and a neural network observer [21]. However, none of these approaches can provide exact reconstructions or finite time convergence of the derivatives or else they will produce chattering. Because of the advantages of high order sliding modes in terms of their ability to reconstruct exact, finite time derivatives, the super-twist algorithm of the SOSM observer has been developed for use with mechanical systems [8-12], stepper motors [22] and helicopter systems [23]. This type of observer ensures finite time convergence to the value of observed velocities without filtration but for uncertainty identification, the values are reconstructed from high switching frequency signals, necessitating the application of a filter. Unfortunately, the use of filtering provides a time delay and decreases the performance of the uncertainty compensated system [23]. In order to overcome the filtration requirement, third order sliding mode observers have been developed [24-25]. However, the convergence time is larger when using this approach. It affects the stability property of the system.

This paper proposes a new output feedback controller scheme for uncertain robot manipulators based on a super-twist SOSM observer. Unlike previous approaches that used a SOSM observer to estimate both velocities and uncertainties and thus required a filter [9-10, 22-23], two SOSM observers are integrated to estimate the velocities and identify the uncertainties. The first SOSM observer is used to estimate the state vector in finite time without filtration but for uncertainty identification, the realization of the observer produces high switching frequencies, necessitating the application of a filter. The application of the filter creates a time delay and error which decrease system performance. To obtain the exact uncertainty estimation without filtration, a second SOSM-based nonlinear observer is then designed. By integrating two SOSM observers, the resulting observer can theoretically obtain exact estimations of both uncertainty and velocity without filtration. The stability and convergence of the proposed observer is proved by the Lyapunov theory. Finally, an OFB tracking control is proposed based on the estimated velocities and uncertainties.

The remainder of this paper is arranged as follows. Section 2 describes the robot dynamic and problem. Section 3 presents two SOSM observers for velocity estimation and uncertainty identification. Section 4 describes an output feedback tracking control scheme. Section 5 provides computer simulation results on a PUMA560 robot to verify the effectiveness of the proposed algorithm. Section 6 outlines some conclusions.

2. Problem statements

According to the Lagrange theory [18], the dynamic equation of an n-link robot manipulator can be described by

\[
\ddot{q} = M^{-1}(q)[\tau - V_n(q, \dot{q})\dot{q} - \bar{F}(\dot{q}) - G(q) - \tau_d]
\]  
(1)

where \( q \in \mathbb{R}^n \) is the state vector, \( \tau \in \mathbb{R}^n \) is the torque produced by actuators, \( M(q) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \) is the inertia matrix, \( V_n(q, \dot{q}) \in \mathbb{R}^n \) is the Coriolis and centripetal force, \( F(\dot{q}) \in \mathbb{R}^n \) is the friction matrix, \( \tau_d \) is a load disturbance matrix, and \( G(q) \in \mathbb{R}^n \) is the gravitational force term. The following assumptions are assumed to be fulfilled henceforth.

Assumption 1: The states of the robot system are bounded at all times.

Assumption 2: The modelling uncertainty is bounded such that

\[
\|M^{-1}(q)[\bar{F}(\dot{q}) + \tau_d]\| \leq \bar{\Delta}
\]  
(2)

where \( \bar{\Delta} \) is a known constant.

To simplify the subsequent design and analysis, Eq. (1) can be rewritten as

\[
\ddot{\bar{q}} = M^{-1}(q)[\tau - H(q, \dot{q})] + \Delta(q, \dot{q}, t)
\]  
(3)
where \( H(q, \dot{q}) = V_m(q, \dot{q}) + G(q) \) and \( \Delta(q, \dot{q}, t) = M^{-1}(q)[\dot{F}(\dot{q}) - \tau_d] \).

Using \( x_1 = q \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( x_2 = \dot{q} \in \mathbb{R}^n \), the robot dynamics as expressed in Eq. (1) can be written in state space form as

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1 &= x_2 \\
\dot{x}_2 &= f(x_1, x_2, \tau) + \Delta(x_1, x_2, t) \\
y &= x_1
\end{align*}
\]

where \( f(x_1, x_2, \tau) = M^{-1}(q)[\tau - V_m(q, \dot{q})\dot{q} - G(q)] \).

In this paper, we investigate an output feedback tracking control scheme for the uncertain robot manipulator expressed in Eq. (1) based only on position measurement. A new observer which integrates two SOSM observers is proposed to obtain exact estimations of both the velocity and uncertainty.

3. Second order sliding mode observer for velocity estimation and uncertainty identification

In this section, the proposed observer is described. First, the first SOSM observer is designed to obtain an exact velocity estimation, but for uncertainty identification, it is reconstructed from high frequency switching, making a filter necessary. The application of a filter then introduces a time delay and error. To overcome this obstacle, a second SOSM-based nonlinear observer is designed. These observer schemes are explained in detail in the following subsections.

3.1 States observer and uncertainty identification based on first second-order sliding mode observer

3.1.1 States observer

Based on Eq. (4), the second-order sliding mode observer is designed as [25]

\[
\dot{x}_1 = \dot{x}_2 + \alpha_1 \| \dot{x}_1 - \dot{x}_2 \|^2 (x_1 - \ddot{x}_1) \\
\dot{x}_2 = f(x_1, \dot{x}_2, u) + \alpha_2 \text{sign}(x_1 - \ddot{x}_1) 
\]

where \( \alpha_2 \) is the sliding mode gain.

Substituting Eq. (4) into Eq. (5), the estimation error is obtained as

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{\hat{x}}_1 &= \ddot{x}_2 - \alpha_1 \| \ddot{x}_2 - \ddot{x}_1 \|^2 \text{sign}(x_1 - \ddot{x}_1) \\
\dot{\hat{x}}_2 &= d(x_1, \ddot{x}_2, \ddot{x}_2) + \Delta(x_1, x_2, t) - \alpha_2 \text{sign}(x_1 - \ddot{x}_1) \\
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \ddot{x} = x - \hat{x} \) and \( d(x_1, \ddot{x}_2, \ddot{x}_2) = f(x_1, \dot{x}_2, \tau) - f(x_1, \ddot{x}_2, \tau) \).

Let \( F(x_1, x_2, \dot{x}_2, u) = d(x_1, \ddot{x}_2, \ddot{x}_2) + \Delta(x_1, x_2, t) \). Based on assumptions 1-2, there exists a constant \( f^* \) such that

\[
F(x_1, x_2, \dot{x}_2, u) < f^* \tag{7}
\]

Based on the Lyapunov approach introduced by Moreno and Osorio in Ref. [26], Theorem 1 gives the convergence of the estimation error to zero in finite time:

**Theorem 1:** Suppose that condition (7) holds for a system as in Eq. (6); if the sliding mode gains of the observer scheme in Eq. (6) are chosen as

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_1 &> 0 \\
\alpha_2 &> 3f^* + 2 \frac{f^*}{\alpha_1}
\end{align*}
\]

then the observer scheme is stable, and the states of the observer in Eq. (5) \( (\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2) \) converge to the true states \( (x_1, x_2) \) of the system in Eq. (4) in finite time.

**Proof:** The state estimation errors described by Eq. (6) can be rewritten as

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{\hat{x}}_1 &= \ddot{x}_2 - \alpha_1 \| \ddot{x}_2 - \ddot{x}_1 \|^2 \text{sign}(x_1 - \ddot{x}_1) \\
\dot{\hat{x}}_2 &= F(x_1, x_2, \dot{x}_2, u) - \alpha_2 \text{sign}(x_1 - \ddot{x}_1)
\end{align*}
\]

Let us consider a Lyapunov candidate as

\[
L(\ddot{x}) = 2\alpha_2 \| x_2 \|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \| \dot{x}_2 \|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \| (\alpha_1 \| x_1 \|^2 \text{sign}(x_1 - \ddot{x}_1) - \ddot{x}_2) \|^2 \tag{10}
\]

The Lyapunov form expressed in Eq. (10) can be described in a quadratic form by \( L = \zeta^T P \zeta \), where

\[
\zeta = [\alpha_1 \| x_1 \|^2 \text{sign}(x_1 - \ddot{x}_1) \quad \ddot{x}_2] \text{ and } P = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 4\alpha_2 + \alpha_1^2 & -\alpha_1 \\ -\alpha_1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.
\]

As shown in Ref. [26], \( L \) is continuous, positive defined, radially unbound and continuously differentiable everywhere except at \( \ddot{x}_1 = 0 \). Thus,

\[
\lambda_{\min} (P)\| \zeta \|^2 \leq L(\ddot{x}) \leq \lambda_{\max} (P)\| \zeta \|^2 \tag{11}
\]

where \( \| \zeta \|^2 = \| \ddot{x}_1 \|^2 + \ddot{x}_2^2 \) is the Euclidian norm of \( \zeta \). The time derivative of \( L(\ddot{x}) \) is defined as

\[
\dot{L}(\ddot{x}) = \zeta^T P \dot{\zeta} + \zeta^T P \zeta \tag{12}
\]

By combining Eqs. (9) and (12), the time derivative of \( L(\ddot{x}) \) is obtained as

\[
\dot{L} = - \frac{1}{\| \ddot{x}_1 \|^2} \zeta^T Q_1 \zeta + F(x_1, x_2, \dot{x}_2, u)Q_2 \zeta \tag{13}
\]

where \( Q_1 = \frac{\alpha_1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_1^2 & -\alpha_1 \\ -\alpha_1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \) and \( Q_2 = [-\alpha_1 \quad 2] \).
Based on the inequality in Eq. (11) and after algebraic manipulation, it can be shown that

$$\dot{L}(\tilde{x}) \leq -\frac{1}{T_{\text{max}}^2} ||\dot{\tilde{x}}||^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i^2 (\tilde{x})$$

(14)

where

$$Q_3 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_1 - 2f^+ - \alpha_1 - \frac{2f^-}{\alpha_1} \\ -\alpha_1 - \frac{2f^+}{\alpha_1} \end{bmatrix}.$$ 

Under the condition expressed in Eq. (8), $Q_3$ becomes larger than zero ($Q_3 > 0$), and the derivative of the Lyapunov function $\dot{L}(\tilde{x})$ is then negative, so that the stability property is proven. On the other hand, using Eq. (11), we have

$$||\dot{\tilde{x}}|| \leq \frac{1}{L_{\min}(P)} \frac{1/2}{L_{\min}(Q_3)} > 0$$

(15)

We can write Eq. (14) as follows

$$\dot{L}(\tilde{x}) \leq -\varphi ||\dot{\tilde{x}}||^2$$

(16)

where

$$\varphi = \frac{L_{\min}(Q_3)}{L_{\max}(P)} > 0$$

(17)

Then, applying the solution of a different equation

$$\dot{\delta} = -\varphi ||\delta||^2, \quad \delta(0) = \delta_0 > 0$$

is given by

$$\delta(t) = (\delta_0^2 - \frac{\varphi t^2}{2})^{1/2}$$

(18)

We combine the above results with the comparison principle from Ref. [27] for $L(t) \leq \delta(t)$ when $L(\tilde{x}(0)) \leq \delta_0$. Therefore, $\delta(t)$ converges to zero as $L(\tilde{x}(t))$ converges to zero after $T = (2/\varphi)^{1/2}(\delta_0)$ units of time.

3.1.2 Uncertainty identification

Considering the estimation error as expressed in Eq. (9), convergence for all $t > t_0$. Eq. (6) can be written as

$$\dot{\hat{x}}_1 = 0$$

$$\dot{\hat{x}}_2 = \Delta(x_1, x_2, t) - \alpha_2 \text{sign}(\hat{x}_1) = 0$$

(19)

Notice that

$$d(x_1, \hat{x}_2, x_2) = f(x_1, x_2, u) - f(x_1, \hat{x}_2, u) = 0$$

because the estimation state $\hat{x}_2$ converges to the true state $x_2$. The equivalent output injection (EOI) is then defined as

$$z_{eq} = \alpha_2 \text{sign}(\hat{x}_1) = \Delta(x_1, x_2, t)$$

(20)

Theoretically, the equivalent output injection is the result of an infinite switching frequency of the discontinuous terms $\alpha_2 \text{sign}(\hat{x}_1)$, which is called chattering. To eliminate this high frequency chattering, we use a low-pass filter that has the form

$$a \tilde{z}_{eq}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \tilde{z}_{eq}(t) = z_{eq}(t)$$

(21)

where $a$ is the filter time constant.

After filtration, we have

$$z_{eq} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \tilde{z}_{eq} + \varepsilon$$

(22)

where every element of $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i$ is the filtered version of $z_{eq}$ and $\varepsilon$ is the difference caused by the filtration process.

3.2 Uncertainty observer scheme based on second second-order sliding mode observer

In the first SOSM observer approach, the uncertainties are obtained by filtering the discontinuous injections signal that may cause a certain delay and error. To obtain the exact uncertainties without any filtration, a second SOSM-based nonlinear observer is designed in this section.

The proposed uncertainty observer is designed as follows:

$$\dot{\hat{\omega}} = A(\omega - \hat{x}_2) + f(x_1, \omega, \tau) + \hat{N}(t)$$

(23)

where $\omega$ is the estimate of $x_2$, $A = \text{diag}(-\lambda_1, -\lambda_2, \ldots, -\lambda_n)$ is a stable matrix with $\lambda_i > 0$, and $\hat{N}(t)$ is the observer input, which is used to estimate the uncertainties and is designed as

$$\hat{N}(t) = k_1 ||\dot{\hat{x}}||^2 \text{sign}(\omega) - z$$

(24)

where $\dot{\hat{x}} = x_2 - \omega$.

The estimation error can be obtained from Eqs. (4) and (23) such that

$$\dot{\hat{\omega}} = A\hat{\omega} + f(x_1, x_2, \tau) - f(x_1, \omega, \tau) + \Delta(x_1, x_2, t) - \hat{N}(t)$$

(25)

Let $\gamma(x_1, x_2, \omega, \tau) = \hat{\omega} + f(x_1, x_2, \tau) - f(x_1, \omega, \tau) + \Delta(x_1, x_2, t)$, such that based on assumptions 1-2, we have

$$\gamma(x_1, x_2, \omega, \tau) \leq \gamma$$

(26)

where $\gamma$ is a known constant.

The stability analysis below is given to demonstrate that the estimation error in Eq. (25) converges to zero in finite time.
Theorem 2: Suppose that condition (26) holds for the system expressed in Eq. (25); if the sliding mode gains of the observer scheme in Eq. (23) are chosen as
\[
\begin{align*}
  k_1 &> 2\gamma \\
  k_2 &> k_1 \frac{5k_1 + 4\gamma}{2(k_1 - 2\gamma)}
\end{align*}
\]  
(27)
then the uncertainty estimation error \( \ddot{\omega} \) is stable and converges to zero in finite time.

Proof: From Eq. (25), the uncertainty estimation error is expressed as
\[
\begin{align*}
  \dot{\omega} &= -k_1 \| \dot{x} \|^2 \text{sign}(\dot{\omega}) + \gamma(x_1, x_2, o, r) + z \\
  z &= -k_2 \text{sign}(\dot{\omega})
\end{align*}
\]  
(28)

Considering the following candidate Lyapunov function:
\[
L = 2k_2 \| \dot{x} \|^2 \text{sign}(\dot{\omega}) + \frac{1}{2} k_1 \| \dot{x} \|^2 \text{sign}(\dot{\omega}) - z^2 + \frac{z^2}{2}
\]
(29)
where \( \xi = \| \dot{x} \|^2 \text{sign}(\dot{\omega}), z \) and \( P = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} k_2 + 4k_2 & -k_1 \\
-k_1 & k_2 & -k_1 \end{bmatrix} \).

Its time derivation along the solution of Eq. (29) results in the following:
\[
\dot{L} = -\frac{1}{\| \dot{x} \|^2} (\xi^T C_1 \xi - \gamma C_2 \xi)
\]
(30)
where \( C_1 = \frac{k_2}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 2k_2 + k_1^2 & -k_1 \\
-k_1 & k_2 & -k_1 \end{bmatrix} \) and \( C_2 = \frac{k_2}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 2k_2 & k_2 - k_1 \end{bmatrix} \). Applying the bounds for the uncertainties \( \gamma(x_1, x_2, o, r) \leq \gamma \), Eq. (30) can be manipulated algebraically to
\[
\dot{L} \leq -\frac{1}{\| \dot{x} \|^2} (\xi^T C_3 \xi)
\]
(31)
where \( C_3 = \frac{k_2}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 2k_2 + k_1^2 & -4k_1 \\
-k_1 & k_2 & -k_1 \end{bmatrix} \).

In this case, if the sliding mode gains satisfy the condition expressed in Eq. (27), then \( C_3 > 0 \) implying that the derivative of the Lyapunov is negative definite, thus proving its stability.

Similar to the proof for Theorem 1, we can also ensure that the uncertainty estimation error converges to zero in finite time.

When the uncertainty observer error in Eq. (25) converges to zero, the uncertainty estimation can be obtained as
\[
\dot{\bar{N}}(t) = \Delta(x_1, x_2, t)
\]
(32)

According to Eq. (24), \( \dot{\bar{N}}(t) \) is the continuous function, then Eq. (32) indicates that the second SOSM can theoretically estimate uncertainty exactly, without the use of a filter.

Remark: The observer scheme in Eq. (23) is not directly implementable since it depends on velocity \( x_2 \) which cannot be measured. In this case, the velocity \( x_2 \) is replaced by the theoretically exactly estimated velocity \( \dot{x}_2 \) obtained from the velocity observer scheme in Eq. (5) (first SOSM observer).

4. Output feedback tracking control scheme for an uncertain robot manipulator

In this section, the proposed output feedback tracking control for uncertainty robot manipulator is described.

4.1 Design of robot controller for full states measurement robot manipulator without modelling uncertainties

Suppose that dynamical models of the robotic manipulators are known precisely (no modelling uncertainties) and the velocity measurements are available. The dynamical models in Eq. (3) can then be converted into the nominal models
\[
\tau = M(q) \ddot{q} + H(q, \dot{q})
\]
(33)
The structure of the standard computed torque control (CTC) strategy is designed by the control law
\[
\tau_0 = M(q)(\ddot{q}_d + K_P e + K_V \dot{e}) + H(q, \dot{q})
\]
(34)
where \( q, \dot{q}, \) and \( \ddot{q} \) are the vectors of desired position, velocity and acceleration, respectively. \( e = q_d - q \) is defined as trajectory tracking error vectors. \( K_P \) and \( K_V \) are proportional and derivative constants, respectively. Substituting Eq. (34) into (33) yields
\[
\dot{e} + K_V \dot{e} + K_P e = 0
\]
(35)
Errors asymptotically tend to zero if proportional gain \( K_P \) and derivative gain \( K_V \) are chosen in a favourable situation.

4.2 Output feedback tracking control of uncertain robotic manipulator without velocity measurements

As in the above analysis, applying CTC has two main drawbacks: it requires exact knowledge of the robot manipulator, which is usually not a valid assumption, and it requires velocity measurement, which is not usually available.
Considering the controller law (34) for robot dynamics with modelling uncertainties described by Eq. (3), we have the tracking error:

\[ \ddot{e} + K_V \dot{e} + K_P e = \Delta \]  

(36)

The tracking error \( e \) does not converge to zero in the presence of uncertainties under the nominal CTC controller in Eq. (34). Additionally, it requires the velocity measurement, which is not available in robotic systems. To overcome these drawbacks, a modified CTC (illustrated in Fig. 1) is designed as:

\[ \tau = \tau_0(t) + \tau_c(t) \]  

(37)

where \( \tau_0 \) is the nominal CTC control for the nominal system (no modelling uncertainties) and \( \tau_c \) is the compensating torque, which is the identification-based compensator of the modelling uncertainties. In this case, the exact estimated velocity \( \dot{x}_2 \) which is obtained by the first SOSM observer is used to realize the control \( \tau_0 \), i.e., the control \( \tau_0 \) is designed as

\[ \tau_0 = M(x_1)(\dot{q}_d + K_P(q_d - x_1) + K_V(\dot{q}_d - \dot{x}_2)) + H(x_1, \dot{x}_2) \]  

(38)

The estimated uncertainties of the second SOSM observer are used to compensate for the modelling uncertainties of robot dynamics:

\[ \tau_c = -M(q)\ddot{\dot{N}}(t) \]  

(39)

Figure 1. The structure of output feedback tracking controller scheme

4. Simulation results

In order to verify the effectiveness of the proposed controller scheme, its overall procedure is simulated for a PUMA560 robot in which the first three joints are used. The PUMA560 robot is a well-known industrial robot that is widely used in industrial applications and robotics research. Its explicit dynamic model and the parameter values necessary to control it are given in Ref. [28].

The three degree of freedom (3-DOF) PUMA560 robot is considered with the last three joints locked. Figure 2 shows its kinematic description. The uncertainties used in this simulation are given as follows:

\[ F(\dot{q}) = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{q}_1 + 3\sin(3q_1) \\ 1.1\dot{q}_2 + 4\sin(2q_2) \\ 0.8\dot{q}_3 + 4\sin(q_3) \end{bmatrix} \]  

(40)

and

\[ \tau_d = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2\sin(\dot{q}_1) \\ 0.1\sin(\dot{q}_2) \\ 0.15\sin(\dot{q}_3) \end{bmatrix} \]  

(41)

Figure 2. 3-DOF PUMA560 robot manipulator

Matlab/Simulink is used to illustrate the performance of all simulations, and the sampling time in all simulations is \( 10^{-3}s \). The objective of this paper is to design an output feedback tracking controller to track the desired trajectories as time goes to infinity. The desired trajectories to be tracked are \( q_d = [q_1 d, q_2 d, q_3 d] \) with \( q_{1d} = \cos(t / 5\pi) - 1 \), \( q_{2d} = \cos(t / 5\pi + \pi / 2) \) and \( q_{3d} = \sin(t / 5\pi + \pi / 2) - 1 \). The design parameters of the nominal CTC controller are selected as \( K_p = \text{diag}[10, 10, 10] \) and \( K_v = \text{diag}[5, 5, 5] \). The sliding mode gains of the first SOSM observer are selected as \( \alpha_1 = 4, \alpha_2 = 10 \), and for the second SOSM observer are selected as \( k_1 = 6, k_2 = 12 \).

The simulations set are divided into two parts. For the first simulation set, we verify the capability of two integrated SOSM observers in terms of velocity estimation and uncertainty identification compared with that of only one SOSM observer. In the second simulations set, the tracking performance of the proposed OFB controller schemes is compared with those of conventional CTC.

For the first set of simulations we assumed that velocity measurement is available. Figure 3 shows the capability of the SOSM observer to estimate the velocities. It can be seen that the observer provides a good estimate of the joint velocities of the robot manipulator. Figure 4 shows the comparison between the first SOSM with the filter time constant \( a = 0.1s \) and the second SOSM observer with \( A = \text{diag}[10, 10, 10] \) in terms of uncertainty identification. From the data presented in this figures it can be seen that the second
SOSM observer has less uncertainty estimation error and chattering than the conventional first SOSM observer which needs a filter. Additionally, by eliminating the low-pass filter which provides the time delay, the second SOSM converges faster than the conventional first SOSM. From these results, we see that the proposed observer which integrates two SOSM observers provides a good estimate of both velocities and uncertainties without filtration.

In the second set of simulations, comparison between the proposed controller schemes is made with the conventional CTC scheme. Here, the estimated velocities and estimated uncertainties are used. Figure 5 shows the tracking performance results, and Fig. 6 shows the detailed tracking error. From these figures, it can be seen that the tracking error of the CTC is quite big for systems with modelling uncertainties. By using the uncertainty compensator obtained by the proposed controller, the tracking error is greatly decreased.

Figure 3. Estimation of joint velocity

Figure 4. Comparison of uncertainty estimation between first SOSM with a filter and second SOSM observer.
5. Conclusions

In this paper, a novel output feedback tracking control scheme based on the second-order sliding mode observer is proposed for uncertain robot manipulators. Two second-order sliding mode observers for use in uncertain robotic systems are designed which ensure the finite time convergence of estimated velocities and uncertainties towards real velocities and uncertainties without the need for a low-pass filter. This observer scheme can reduce chattering and lead to less uncertainty estimation error than that of the conventional SOSM observer. The estimated velocities and uncertainties are used to design an output feedback tracking control scheme based on computed torque control. The results of computer simulations for a 3-DOF PUMA560 robot comparing the proposed controller scheme and conventional CTC verify the effectiveness of the proposed strategy.
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