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1. Introduction

Optical waveguides are key elements of many photonic devices; for this reason many materials and methods have been intensively studied to fabricate them. Ion implantation provides a general and flexible method to achieve this goal, with several advantages over the alternative techniques (Townsend et al., 1994). Most optical waveguides and integrated optical devices are manufactured using low-energy light ions (H and He), taking advantage of the effects caused by nuclear damage. However, this is achieved at the expense of using very high fluences ($10^{16}$-$10^{17}$ cm$^{-2}$), which reduces the practical utility of the method (Olivares et al., 2007c).

The study of irradiations with heavier ions ($A > 12$) and higher energies (4-100 MeV), where the electronic stopping power dominates over the nuclear, has increased recently, as a way to overcome this limitation (Olivares et al., 2005a). The characteristics associated with these processes differ significantly from those applied to nuclear collisions. In particular, the complete amorphization of the lattice can only be achieved when the electronic stopping power is above a certain threshold (Olivares et al., 2005a). In this respect, it has been shown that a controlled damage can be generated by selecting the type of ion and its mass, energy and fluence, obtaining a micro-processing of crystals with a degree of accuracy, flexibility and efficiency well beyond the current state of the art. The optical waveguides produced with this method are both an end in itself (Caballero et al., 2005; Olivares et al., 2005b, 2007c; García-Navarro et al., 2006; Manzano et al., 2010) and a mean that allows the precise study of several fundamental aspects of the generation and accumulation of electronic damage (Agulló-López et al., 2006; García-Navarro et al., 2007; Rivera et al., 2010a; b; García et al., 2011).

For example, by irradiating LiNbO$_3$ with moderate ion fluences ($2 \times 10^{14}$ cm$^{-2}$) of F were obtained waveguides with nonlinear properties comparable to those of other LiNbO$_3$ guides.
(Bentiniet al., 2004), having high confinement profiles clearly advantageous over other types of guides and losses around 1 dB/cm. Waveguides have also been achieved by using the ions O, Si and Mg (Hu et al., 2001; Bentini et al., 2002), and in the KGW crystal (García-Navarro et al., 2006), indicating the potential generality of the method. Of particular interest are the waveguides obtained by irradiating in the regime of high electronic stopping power (~5-6 keV/nm) and ultra-low fluence (2x10^{12} cm^{-2}) (Olivares et al., 2007c), because of the short irradiation time required.

In this book chapter, we intend to review the current state of the art on the fabrication of optical waveguides in insulating materials by means of ion implantation. The first overviews of this topic were performed by Townsend and colleagues in 1987 (Townsend, 1987) and 1994 (Townsend et al., 1994), summarizing the results obtained up to these dates. Later, in a follow-up review paper, Chen and co-workers (Chen et al., 2007) summarized the progresses between 1994 and 2006. Moreover, there have been some focused reviews, presenting the progresses on the 2D waveguide production in insulating materials by ion implantation (Chen, 2008), waveguides fabricated on lithium niobate (LiNbO_3) by the irradiation with swift heavy ions (SHI) (Olivares et al., 2007c) and the production of photonic guiding structures on LiNbO_3 by energetic ion beams (Chen, 2009b). However, despite these precedents, there have been in recent years a large number of achievements in these areas that make necessary and updated review summarizing them. In this work we have focused on the results reported between 2007 and 2011, putting a particular emphasis on differentiate the waveguides fabricated by ion implantation (i.e., the traditional ones, where nuclear collisions are the dominant process) from those made by ion irradiation (i.e., where the electronic energy loss is dominant) because, in our experience, they are frequently confused.

We have divided this chapter in four sections, where the first one is this introduction. The basic methods used for the fabrication and characterization of ion implanted optical waveguides are described in Section 2. Section 3 summarizes the principal materials where this technique has been used to generate optical waveguides. Finally, the main applications of the fabricated waveguides are exposed in Section 5.

2. Experimental methods

In this section we will describe the basic methods used for the fabrication and characterization of ion implanted optical waveguides. First, we will describe some important aspects of ion implantation, then analyze the subtle but important differences between ion implantation and ion irradiation and finally discuss the thermal treatments and refractive index reconstruction methods.

2.1 Modification of materials using ion beams

Bombardment of a material with ion beams constitutes a very efficient and controllable way to modify the properties of the material in the near-surface region. This effect provides an useful technique to fabricate optical waveguides and other photonic devices requiring that at least one of the dimensions scales with the light wavelength. There are two main contributions to the ion-beam modification (damage) process; one of them, usually defined as irradiation damage, is associated to the interaction of the incoming ions with the material;
i.e., to the energy deposition. In turn, two different energy deposition processes can be considered, either through elastic atomic collisions or through electronic excitation processes. The two processes are, respectively, characterized by the nuclear $S_n$ and electronic $S_e$ stopping powers, defined as the energy loss per unit depth of the ion trajectory.

The other contribution to the modification of the material has to do with the incorporation of those bombarding ions into the structure of the material, i.e. *ion implantation*. Ion implantation occurs mostly near the end of the ion trajectory when the ion energy has decreased below ~10 keV/amu, where the nuclear stopping power is dominant. In many of the earlier works dealing with the preparation of optical waveguides using ion beams these two effects were strongly intermixed. However, more recently, a strong research activity is being devoted to the irradiation with ion beams of ions of medium mass and high energy that results in the separation of the region of strong electronic damage from that associated to nuclear damage and implantation.

### 2.1.1 Passage of ions through matter: Stopping powers

We will briefly review here the energy loss mechanisms and the main processes describing the energy transfer from the incoming ion to the material, including the possible formation of point defects. This is a specialized topic in nuclear physics that has been extensively reviewed. As we said before, elastic collisions between the incoming ions and the atoms of the material and electronic excitation processes associated to ion-electron interactions (atoms are not affected) are the two main mechanisms for energy loss. Their relative importance depends on the type of ion and input energy. Both losses mechanisms are described by the so-called *stopping powers* or more properly *stopping forces* (either nuclear or electronic) that are defined as the energy loss per unit length of the trajectory. They are dependent on the ion charge and mass as well as on the ion energy (velocity). As a general rule, electronic stopping power is dominant at high input energies, whereas nuclear processes take the lead.

![Stopping powers and ion distributions for bromine implanted on LiNbO₃ at 100 keV (a) and 40 MeV (b). The insets represent the lateral straggling of the implanted ions. Note the different depth scales. Calculations were performed using SRIM 2008 (Ziegler, 1985, 2008).](www.intechopen.com)
at low energies (< 10 keV/amu). The stopping power curves as a function of penetration depth are illustrated in Figure 1. Since ion-electron interactions involve low momentum transfers, the ion trajectories are straight at high energies and become zigzagging in the nuclear collision regime, where momentum transfer is relevant. The main parameters of the trajectory, projected range and straggling, are indicated on the figure. The bombarding ions are finally implanted in the material once they have slow down sufficiently. The transversal definition of such trajectory reaches the nanometric scale in the electronic regime and is of micrometric size at lower energies. These features are quite relevant when using ion beams for patterning of materials. A complicating feature when trying to determine the stopping powers and compare to experiments is the change in the charge kept by the ion during its path in the material. In other words, the charge associated to the ion is a function of ion velocity and it is continuously readjusting during its motion. The point is that electrons whose orbital velocity is lower than the ion velocity are stripped from the ion.

2.1.2 Nuclear collision processes and displacement damage

Elastic nuclear collisions are described within a classical, often non-relativistic, framework. The nuclear stopping power is linear with energy up to a maximum value at an energy $E_1$ and then decreases according to the law, $S_n \propto \ln E / E$. To describe energy transfer in this regime a rather simple but useful approach can be used. It considers binary elastic collisions and that the material is amorphous (random atomic locations). Let us consider an incident ion of charge $Z$ and mass $M_1$ impinging with a kinetic energy $E_0$ on an atom at rest and mass $M_2$, both energies in the laboratory frame, Figure 2. The cross-section for transfer of a kinetic energy $T$ to the target atom is often described by the Rutherford law:

$$
\sigma(T) = \frac{\pi b^2}{4} T_M \frac{1}{T^2},
$$

$b$ being the distance of closest approach in a head-on collision and $T_M = 4E_0M_1/(M_1 + M_2)^2$ the maximum transferred energy.

Fig. 2. Schematic representation of a classical two-body atomic collision in the laboratory frame.
In fact, the Rutherford formula only applies for high enough incident energies. For lower energies a screened Coulomb potential applies and the formula has to be adequately corrected. In the limit of very small energies one must use a hard-sphere potential leading to an energy-independent cross-section. If the transferred energy, \( T \), overcomes a certain value \( E_D \) for displacement energy the knocked atom is ejected from its lattice position creating a vacancy and an interstitial; i.e., a Frenkel pair. This is the primary damage process in the nuclear regime. In the Rutherford approximation the displacement cross-section is:

\[
\sigma_d = \frac{\pi b^2}{4 E_d} \sigma_M T_b T d \frac{T}{E_d},
\]

which is proportional to the incident energy. To calculate the overall defect concentration produced by the ion bombardment one has to take into account the secondary displacements caused by each primary displaced atom. The number \( v \) of secondary displacements is obviously 1 for \( T > E_D \), whereas for \( T \gg E_D \) is given by \( v = \frac{1}{2} T/E_D \). Then, one can obtain for \( E \gg E_D \) the following approximate expression for the average number of secondary displacements per a primary displaced atom is:

\[
\bar{v}(T) = \frac{1}{\sigma_d} \int_0^{T_{(\text{max})}} \sigma(T) v(T)dT = \frac{1}{2 E_D} \ln \frac{T_{\text{max}}}{E_D}.
\]

Taking into account the low range of the displaced atoms within the crystal lattice all of them will be quite close to each other forming a region of high disorder (displacement spike).

2.1.2.1 Calculation methods

Efficient codes are now available to compute, both, the energy loss and the amount of displaced atoms during ion propagation. Stopping and range of ions in matter (SRIM) (Ziegler, 1985, 2008), the most widely used, is based on the binary collision approximation, a Monte Carlo simulation method, with a random selection of the impact parameter of the next colliding ion. It allows the calculation of various quantities such as the vacancy concentration, sputtering rate, ionization and phonon production in the target material, energy deposition rate (divided in the nuclear and electron losses) and the three-dimensional distribution of the ions in the solid and its parameters (penetration depth, lateral spread – i.e., the straggling –, etc.). Unfortunately it also has some limitations; for instance, the cumulative damage produced by the electronic energy deposition cannot be simulated with this software.

More recently, molecular dynamics (MD) methods have been developed to deal with the problem of damage in a more rigorous way. The evolution of the system (solid) is calculated once the interatomic potentials are known (usually from \textit{ab initio} calculations). One can calculate the net force acting on any particle of the system from the gradient of the potential and then solve the classical motion equations for all the atoms in the system. The result is that the trajectory of every atom is obtained at any given time. Actually, the atomic interactions are described by the Quantum Mechanics; however, the classical approach turns out to be very appropriate to describe many effects at a much lower computational cost than the Quantum formalism.
Molecular dynamics is not limited by the binary collision approach and hence provide a more realistic view of the defects formed upon different conditions. For instance, by means of molecular dynamics methods one can study defects produced through elastic collisions, thermal treatments or mechanical stress. As an example, Figure 3 shows fission tracks produced in zircon (Moreira et al., 2010). The amorphous structure of the tracks becomes evident with molecular dynamics calculations. An important aspect of molecular dynamics is that it makes possible to follow not only the formation of defect cascades upon irradiation but their subsequent evolution. For example, by means of molecular dynamics calculations (Trachenko et al., 2006) studied the resistance to amorphization of different ceramics (SiO$_2$, GeO$_2$, TiO$_2$, Al$_2$O$_3$ and MgO) bombarded with U ions of 40 keV (to simulate U recoil in alpha decay). The authors concluded that the resistance to amorphization is primarily governed by the cascade relaxation process, being very efficient in MgO or Al$_2$O$_3$ (most defects annihilate) whereas it is inefficient for SiO$_2$ and GeO$_2$ (as a result significant damage is accumulated).

![Figure 3](image.png)

**Fig. 3.** Projection of atom positions in a slice through the center of fission tracks from the final configuration for Zircon with the following dE/dx values: (a) 3.90; (b) 5.94; (c) 7.65; and (d) 12.75 keV nm$^{-1}$. Blue circles are Zr, yellow ones are Si and red ones are O (Moreira et al., 2010).

The application of Molecular Dynamics to swift heavy ion irradiation of ceramics requires more care. The reason is that in this case most of the ion energy is transferred to the target by electronic excitation mechanisms. At the moment defect production in the electronic excitation regime is described by phenomenological models presented later in this chapter. In some cases Molecular Dynamics simulations have been applied to swift heavy ion irradiation of ceramics making use of an electron-phonon coupling mechanism for the energy transfer from electrons to the lattice. For example, it was shown (Pakarinen et al., 2010) how the high energy density induced by swift heavy ions in a nanometric-size track leads to density variations in both amorphous and crystalline materials. The changes induced in ZnO by swift heavy ion passage, as well as the subsequent lattice relaxation, are shown in Figure 4. This type of simulations does not consider possible mechanisms of defect formation via non-radiative decay mechanisms of electronic states (e.g., trapped excitons). When these mechanisms play a role they must be considered because the energy stored in these states is similar to that finally transferred to the lattice via electron-phonon interaction. The point here is that including such mechanisms is beyond the classical approach.
An appropriate description of these mechanisms requires in general a Quantum Mechanical formulation difficult to include in classical Molecular Dynamics. Some efforts are being carried out to include electronic effects in Molecular Dynamics simulations in a computationally cost-effective manner (Duffy & Rutherford, 2009). Additional work in this line may seriously contribute to describe defect production in the electronic excitation regime. Note that the topic of swift ion irradiation applied to waveguide fabrication constitutes an important part of this chapter.

2.1.3 Processes triggered by electronic excitation: Energy loss

The analysis of the electronic stopping power and its implication on the generation of lattice disorder is more complicated and requires a mecha-no-quantical formalism. However, a number of theoretical, mostly phenomenological, approaches are available. As for the energy loss processes, the ion velocity is crucial to determine its charge and, therefore, its electronic interactions. One should distinguish two main limiting cases depending on whether the ion velocity is lower or higher than the electron velocity in the atomic orbitals.

![Fig. 4. Recrystallization in a ZnO track at 13 keV/nm stopping power, at 4 ps after the ion passage (left) and after 250 ps cooling (right) (Pakarinen et al., 2010).](image1)

![Fig. 5. Relation of nuclear and electronic energy loss as a function of the ion energy for Au ions on SiO2. Calculations were performed using SRIM 2008 (Ziegler, 1985, 2008).](image2)
In a first case, corresponding to low ion energies (velocities) the Lindhard and Firsov theories (formation of a quasi-molecule) apply yielding a quadratic dependence on energy,\[ S_e \propto E^{1/2}, \] up to an energy \( E_3 \) where a maximum stopping is reached (Bragg peak). This corresponds to stage III of the curve in Figure 5. In the second case, the Bethe-Bloch models based on a pure Coulomb interaction between a fully stripped ion and the electron are adequate predicting a dependence, \[ S_e \propto \ln E / E. \] This is the behavior observed for stage IV of the curve. One notices that the electronic stopping is clearly dominant except for low enough energies up to \( E_2 \).

### 2.1.4 Damage caused by electronic excitation: Experimental observations

#### 2.1.4.1 Amorphous tracks

The most clearly observable effect of ion-beam damage in the electronic stopping regime for many oxide, semiconductor and even metallic materials, is the formation of heavily-defective and even amorphous linear tracks around the trajectory of single ion impacts (Itoh et al., 2009). This fact has been known since middle seventies and has been successfully applied to charge-particles dosimetry and age determination of rocks and minerals. There is strong evidence that the generation of tracks is not related to nuclear collision processes and it is only possible for electronic stopping powers above a certain threshold value. The threshold depends on material, ion type and even slightly on ion velocity. A list of thresholds is given in Table 1. A number of techniques have been successfully used to observe and characterize those tracks, including transmission electron microscopy (TEM), atomic force microscopy (AFM), Rutherford backscattering in the channeling condition (RBS/C), Mossbauer spectroscopy, etc. As an illustrative example we show in Figure 6 a high-resolution TEM picture of a track in \( \text{LiNbO}_3 \). Another interesting effect associated to the presence of tracks is the swelling of the sample surface that forms a hillock of nanometric height and radius at the ion impact point (Canut et al., 1996). The effect is, indeed, related to the elastic strains associated to the linear amorphous inclusion inside the unirradiated material.

![Fig. 6. High-resolution TEM micrograph of a LiNbO₃ sample irradiated with Br 45 MeV ions at a fluence of 3×10¹⁰ cm⁻² after annealing in air for 30 min at 275°C, showing amorphous tracks of about 2.5 nm (Crespillo et al., 2011).](www.intechopen.com)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Threshold range (keV/nm)</th>
<th>Target (threshold, keV/nm)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0–5</td>
<td>SiO₂ (2)</td>
<td>(Meftah et al., 1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a-Ge (3)</td>
<td>(Furuno et al., 1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SiO₂ (3.5 #)</td>
<td>(Arnoldbik et al., 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LiF (4)</td>
<td>(Trautmann et al., 2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BaFe₁₂O₁₉ (4)</td>
<td>(Meftah et al., 1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pd₈Si₁₆ (amorphous)</td>
<td>(Klaumünzer et al., 1986)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mica (5)</td>
<td>(Toulemonde et al., 1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CaF₂ (5)</td>
<td>(Boccanfuso et al., 2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5–10</td>
<td>Y₂FeO₁₂ (6.5)</td>
<td>(Meftah et al., 1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LiNbO₃ (7)</td>
<td>(Meftah et al., 1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SiO₂ (7 #)</td>
<td>(Khalifaoui et al., 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MgAl₂O₄ (7.5)</td>
<td>(Zinkle &amp; Skuratov, 1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y₃AlO₁₂ (7.5)</td>
<td>(Meftah et al., 1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–15</td>
<td>InP (14*)</td>
<td>(Kamarou et al., 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Si₃N₄ (15)</td>
<td>(Zinkle et al., 2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MgO (15.8 #)</td>
<td>(Skuratov et al., 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y₃FeO₁₂ (16 #)</td>
<td>(Meftah et al., 1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a-Si (17)</td>
<td>(Furuno et al., 1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GeS (18)</td>
<td>(Vetter et al., 1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U:Si (19)</td>
<td>(Hou &amp; Klaumünzer, 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–25</td>
<td>InP (20)</td>
<td>(Gaiduk et al., 2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MgO (20)</td>
<td>(Beranger et al., 1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Al₂O₃ (21)</td>
<td>(Canut et al., 1995)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–30</td>
<td>Al₂O₃ (25 #)</td>
<td>(Skuratov et al., 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GaSb (28)</td>
<td>(Szenes et al., 2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>InSb (28)</td>
<td>(Szenes et al., 2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>InAs (28)</td>
<td>(Szenes et al., 2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UO₂ (29)</td>
<td>(Matzke et al., 2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–35</td>
<td>Zr (30)</td>
<td>(Dunlop &amp; Lesueur, 1993)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bi (31, 31*)</td>
<td>(Wang et al., 1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GaAs (31*)</td>
<td>(Kamarou et al., 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ge* (33)</td>
<td>(Kamarou et al., 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GaN (&lt;34)</td>
<td>(Kucheyev, 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SiC (&gt;34)</td>
<td>(Zinkle et al., 2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AlN (&gt;34)</td>
<td>(Zinkle et al., 2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Si₃₅Ge₃₅ (34)</td>
<td>(Gaiduk et al., 2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35–40</td>
<td>Si (37*)</td>
<td>(Kamarou et al., 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Co (37)</td>
<td>(Dunlop &amp; Lesueur, 1993)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ge (38)</td>
<td>(Colder et al., 2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GaAs (38*)</td>
<td>(Colder et al., 2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe (40)</td>
<td>(Dunlop et al., 1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above 40</td>
<td>Ge (42)</td>
<td>(Komarov, 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Si (46*)</td>
<td>(Dunlop et al., 1998)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Thresholds (keV/nm) reported from various sources [reproduced from (Itoh et al., 2009)]. Values for C₆₀ projectiles are marked *, and those where surface data are given are marked #. Values in the 7.5-14 keV/nm range are rare, so group I and group II are separated. Group I all have low bandgaps and/or do not show exciton self-trapping.
Above threshold the radius of the tracks increases monotonically with the incident stopping power (Szenes, 1995). Even for amorphous materials like silica, tracks associated to definite structural changes (compaction) that induce a change in density are induced by ion bombardment. The detailed structure of track is still a matter of debate, particularly, near the stopping power threshold. Several reports indicate that near threshold tracks may be discontinuous. The subject is related with the problem of defect formation to be described below.

2.1.4.2 Amorphous layers

For stopping powers above the threshold value the random density of tracks grows until they overlap and constitute a homogeneous defective (amorphous) layer. Depending on the stopping power curve one may form the layer at the surface or buried into the material. The two situations are clearly illustrated in Figure 7 for Si at 7.5 MeV and F at 22 MeV. In the first case the maximum stopping power occurs at the sample surface whereas in the second case it lies around 4 microns buried below the surface. The formation of these layers can be monitored by RBS/C and optical techniques like Raman spectroscopy, ellipsometry and dark mode propagation. Due to the same reasons mentioned above for the occurrence of hillocks at the track emergence sites the amorphous layers show a clear swelling in relation to the unirradiated regions of the material.

Fig. 7. Micrographs of LiNbO$_3$ samples irradiated at a fluence of $2 \times 10^{14}$ cm$^{-2}$ with (a) Si ions at 7.5 MeV and (b) F ions at 22 MeV. The corresponding nuclear Sn (green curve) and electronic Se (red curve) stopping powers as a function of depth are drawn above the graphs using the same depth scale for comparison (Rivera et al., 2009).

2.1.5 Mechanisms for electronic damage: Theoretical models

To understand damage production by electronic excitation processes one needs a detailed analysis of the sequence of processes triggered by the initial electronic excitation produced during the passage of a fast (swift) ion. For these ions the major direct cause of energy loss is the Coulomb electrostatic interaction with the electrons of the material, which become free
and behave as ballistic electrons. Due to kinematical reasons these so-called delta electrons mostly move in a direction perpendicular to the ion trajectory. A few femtoseconds after the fast passage of an energetic ion, the electronic system of the material is in a high excitation state whereas the ionic system remains essentially unperturbed. Then, the excited electrons interact among themselves and consequently the electronic system undergoes a rapid thermalization process and is generated a hot electron plasma in rough thermal equilibrium. When one reaches the time scale of lattice vibrations ($10^{-14}$-$10^{-13}$ s) electron-phonon interaction enters into play and the energy is transferred from the electronic to the ionic system until a common temperature is reached (electron-lattice relaxation). From here on, both subsystems cool down under thermal equilibrium until a final stage is reached at room temperature. This process is very complicated and provides diverse routes for energy storage. As we will show later, thermal energy may be sufficient to break bonds and displace atoms. In other words, defect generation can be one of the outputs of this electron-lattice relaxation stage. Moreover, bound electron-hole states can be formed and relaxed either by light emission or non-radiatively. This is the most difficult and controversial part of the story.

Each of the above steps in the process give rise to certain radial energy distributions, that are briefly discussed below. Ignoring the possible damage events, one can focus on the energy transfer process that can be described by two coupled equations. They simply describe the temperature evolution of the two subsystems.

2.1.5.1 Radial profile of energy delivered by $\delta$ electrons

Most authors dealing with this topic follow the MonteCarlo calculations by Waligórski et al. (Waligórski et al., 1986). They calculated the dose or energy invested by the delta electrons per unit radial distance. They proposed an analytical expression that adequately fits the MonteCarlo results. This distribution of energy is the starting point for the calculations of energy transfer to the lattice.

2.1.5.2 Electron-lattice relaxation

In the standard approach one assumes a certain effective temperature for both electrons and lattice after the passage of the bombarding ion. Then in the time scale of $10^{-14}$-$10^{-13}$ s the electron-phonon interaction between the electrons and lattice atoms sets in and leads to energy transfer between the two subsystems. Moreover, one should consider the heat transport (energy diffusion) for each charged species. The scheme is simple but not free of problems. Aside from the difficulties associated to the definition of temperature in such short time scales, the mathematical formulation presents some uncertainties, mostly related to the meaning and reliability of the involved physical parameters. Due to the straight trajectory of ions one can use cylindrical coordinates and write the two coupled equations in the form (Toulemonde et al., 1992, 2006):

$$C_e(T_e) \frac{\partial T_e}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[ rK_e(T_e) \frac{\partial T_e}{\partial r} \right] - g(T_e - T_a) + A(r,t)$$

$$C_a(T_a) \frac{\partial T_a}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[ rK_a(T_a) \frac{\partial T_a}{\partial r} \right] + g(T_e - T_a)$$

(4)
where $T$, $C$, and $K$ denote, respectively, the temperatures, the specific heats and thermal conductivities of electrons (index $e$) and lattice (index $a$). $g$ is the electron-phonon coupling for the material. $A(r,t)$ stands for the energy deposition profile caused by the ion impact. According to Waligórski et al. (Waligórski et al., 1986) it can be expressed as:

$$A(r,t) = b S_e \exp\left\{-(t-t_0)^2 / 2s^2\right\} F(r), \quad (5)$$

where $b$ is an energy normalization factor and $t_0$ is the delay time needed for the electrons to reach equilibrium (~$10^{-15}$ s). $F(r)$ is the radial distribution of the generated delta electrons.

The key point of the model is to propose meaningful and reliable values for the parameters appearing in the above equations. Solving equation (4) yields the time and radial evolution of the electron and lattice temperatures, the details can be consulted in the appropriate references. Maximum temperatures $T_e$ and $T_a$ are reached in around $10^{-13}$ s and then a rapid cooling to near RT takes place in about $10^{-11}$ s. Note that the cooling rates are in the range of $10^{15}$ s$^{-1}$; i.e. much higher than can be usually achieved in a laboratory. In principle, the overall energy invested in the material is not modified by the operative transfer and diffusion processes involved in eq. (4). During and after cooling the electrons and holes forming the excitation spike may experience recombination and trapping processes, including self-trapping or generation of localized excitons at given lattice sites.

### 2.2 Ion implantation versus ion irradiation

Recently, a strong research activity is being devoted to the fabrication of optical waveguides with ions of medium mass and high energy (swift heavy ions or SHI), where the amorphous region is produced by electronic excitation processes when the electronic stopping power is above a certain threshold value (Agulló-López et al., 2005). This regime allows the separation of the region of electronic excitation from that associated to nuclear damage and implantation (Figure 8, hence the name irradiation). The waveguides obtained in this way are different than the conventional ones, where the optical barrier is constituted by the strongly damaged (quasi-amorphous) region at the end of the ion range, whose refractive index is lower than that of the pristine crystal (Davis et al., 1996). The damage in this latter case is produced by the elastic nuclear collisions experienced by low energy ($< 10$ keV/amu), light- or medium-mass ions near their stopping. Obviously, this region is heavily overlapped with that corresponding to ion implantation that also contributes to the lattice disorder.

Waveguides fabricated by SHI irradiation were first reported by Olivares et al. (Olivares et al., 2005b) and they have a number of distinctive advantages over those prepared by standard ion implantation. In particular, the amorphized optical barrier limiting the waveguiding layer is mainly produced by electronic excitation. Its location and width can be suitably controlled by the irradiation fluence and it can be well separated from the deeper implantation region (Figure 8) (Olivares et al., 2007a). Moreover, the refractive index contrast reaches higher values than for conventional implanted guides and the boundary between waveguiding and barrier layers is sharper. Due to all these advantages, there has recently been a considerable increase in the number of research works pushing the use of electronic excitation as a novel means to fabricate optical waveguides and other integrated optics devices (Majkić et al., 2008; Caballero-Calero et al., 2009b; Ren et al., 2010a; Dong et al., 2011a). Unfortunately, both types of waveguides are frequently confused in the current
literature (i.e., both are designated as ion-implanted waveguides). This confusion is understandable to some degree because obviously both effects, irradiation and implantation, always occur in any irradiation experiment either with light ions or swift heavy ions. However, we believe that only in the cases when the waveguides have been produced by electronic damage alone one should talk of SHI waveguides or ion-irradiated waveguides with optical barrier of electronic origin whereas for all other cases we are in the presence of ion-implantation waveguides.

![Illustrative scheme of the generated buried amorphous layer (black strip) near the maximum of the electronic stopping curves.](image)

Fig. 8. (a) Illustrative scheme of the generated buried amorphous layer (black strip) near the maximum of the electronic stopping curves. The dotted strip indicates the region of nuclear damage and implantation. (b) Plot of the electronic and nuclear stopping power curves for F at 22 MeV (continuous lines), O at 20 MeV (dashed lines), and Mg at 28 MeV (dashed-dotted lines). Optical microphotographs of a polished Y-cut cross section for samples irradiated with F at fluences of (c) $2 \times 10^{14}$ and (d) $1 \times 10^{15}$ cm$^{-2}$. The depths of the maximum electronic stopping power ($S_e$) and of the nuclear stopping power ($S_n$) are indicated with arrows. Note also a faint line corresponding to the region of nuclear damage (end of ion range) (Olivares et al., 2007a).

2.3 Annealing

During ion implantation, all the energy deposited by electronic excitation and nuclear collisions on the lattice of the target material creates color centers and alters the original structure, which results in an unavoidable increase of the waveguide losses. Consequently, a thermal annealing is necessary to improve the optical performance of all the ion-implanted waveguides fabricated by this technique (Townsend et al., 1994); with it, the unwanted defects within the guide region are removed, improving the electro-optic or non-linear optic properties. The most commonly used methods of annealing include conventional thermal treatments using furnaces (Gumennik et al., 2005), rapid thermal annealing (Fleuster et al., 1994) and laser annealing (Townsend & Olivares, 1997). In the first case, thermal treatments are performed in conventional furnaces at 200-500 °C using times that go from a few tens of minutes to several hours, depending on the substrate properties. Rapid thermal annealing,
on the other hand, can annihilate the induced defects and avoid, at the same time, the unwanted diffusion of the implanted species (Fleuster et al., 1994). Finally, laser annealing may be confined to the surface layer by using strongly absorbed radiation, resulting in local heating which can anneal intrinsic defects, allow lattice restructuring to remove stress and induce crystalline regrowth or solid phase epitaxy (Townsend & Olivares, 1997).

2.4 Determination of the refractive index profiles

Typical refractive index profiles in the waveguides are several-micrometers thick and, consequently, their direct measurement is quite complicated. For this reason, they are usually determined using a two-step method where, firstly, the effective refractive index \( N_{\text{eff}} \) is measured and then the refractive index profile is calculated using a reconstruction technique. Measurement of \( N_{\text{eff}} \) is commonly achieved by a prism coupling method such as the dark modes (m-lines) (Ulrich & Torge, 1973) whereas the preferred reconstruction techniques are the inversed Wentzel-Kramer-Brillouin (iWKB) method (Chiang, 1985), and the reflectivity calculation method (RCM) (Chandler & Lama, 1986).

2.4.1 Prism coupling technique: Dark modes (m-lines)

The optical characterization of waveguides is frequently carried out using the prism coupling technique for the measurement of the effective indices of the modes. This measure allows the determination of the refractive index profile of the waveguide. In this method the prism is placed over the waveguide, pressing up until the thickness of the layer of air between it and the guide is decreased and the evanescent wave escaping the prism penetrates within the optical waveguide. Only the light coupled at certain discrete angles that satisfy the transverse resonant condition will be guided by the optical waveguide (Ulrich & Torge, 1973). Light is propagated in the waveguide only if the effective index in the prism is equal to the effective index of a propagating mode. With this condition and using simple trigonometric expressions it is easy to obtain the expressions (6) from which one can extract the effective indices as a function of the coupling angle measured experimentally \( \phi_{\text{exp}} = \phi_1 + \phi_2 \) if the prism angles, \( \alpha_1 \) and \( \alpha_2 \), are known (Figure 9).

\[
N_{\text{eff}} = n_p \cdot \sin \left[ \alpha_{1,2} - \arcsin \left( \frac{\sin \left( \alpha_{1,2} - \phi_{1,2} \right)}{n_p} \right) \right].
\] (6)

Fig. 9. Schematic representation of the prism coupling technique.
In the dark modes technique a previously expanded beam is focused on the base of the prism (at the point where the optical contact occurred), as seen in Figure 10. This will span a range of coupling angles simultaneously. The light reflected at the base of the prism is then collected on a screen as shown in Figure 10, and then a dark lines pattern appear, corresponding to the angles for which the light is coupled into the waveguide.

![Fig. 10. Schematic of the experimental setup used to measure dark modes.](image)

### 2.4.2 Inverse Wentzel-Kramer-Brillouin approximation (iWKB)

Once the effective indices of the guide are known, there is a range of refractive index profiles that are mathematically compatible with the same set of values for the effective rate. Normally the largest gap occurs in the surface. It is, therefore, necessary to make certain approximations in order to obtain the refractive index profiles. Fortunately, by considering only physically possible profiles, the uncertainty is reduced to less than ~20% of the difference between the effective indexes of the first two modes \( (m = 0, 1) \) (Hertel & Menzler, 1987). Moreover, there are usually indications that can give us a clue as to what type of profile is expected for each case. For instance, by knowing the mechanism of production of the waveguide, one can predict the approximate shape of the profile, if it will be rough or smooth, etc. In this section we will discuss one of the main approaches used for the determination of the index profile of the waveguide from the effective refractive indices: the inverse Wentzel-Kramer-Brillouin approximation (iWKB).

The Wentzel-Kramers-Brillouin (WKB) approximation is a mathematical method used to solve the wave equation of planar waveguides for TE modes (Gedeon, 1974). There is a complementary method, called inverse WKB (iWKB) (Chiang, 1985), giving the refractive index profile through effective rates, based on this approach, which applies to gradual \( n_g = n_g(x) \) profiles which meet the condition that the refractive index variation is small over distances comparable to the wavelength. This method uses the following expression:

\[
k \int_0^{x(m)} \sqrt{n_g^2 - N_m^2} \, dx = m \pi + \phi_a + \phi_b ,
\]

where \( N_m \) is the effective index of mode \( m \), and \( x(m) \) is its point of return, i.e., \( n(x(m)) = N_m \).

The phase shift at the interface guide-substrate is \( \pi/4 \) for all modes, and at the interface air-guide it is:

\[
\phi_a = \arctan \left[ r_a \left( \frac{N_m^2 - 1}{n_0^2 - N_m^2} \right)^{1/2} \right],
\]

where \( r_a \) is the index of the air-material interface.
where $n_0$ is the refractive index at the surface and $\phi_a$ is equal to 1 for TE modes and $(n/n_{air})^2$ for TM modes. The method is based on the construction of a continuous function of effective index $N_m$, adjusting the measured values of effective index to a polynomial by means of the least squares (Chiang, 1985). The main advantage of this method is that the profile can be as soft as desired, requiring only the change of the number of intervals.

3. Materials

Precisely one of the great advantages of ion implantation for the production of optical waveguides is that, by using the adequate ion and energy, you can modify the properties of virtually any material. So, it is not surprising the wide range of materials, ranging from crystals to polymers and glasses, where this technique has been used to generate waveguides. Chen and colleagues (Chen et al., 2007) summarized in a recent review the state of the art on the materials and ions used to fabricate optical waveguides up to 2007. However, there has been a lot of activity in recent years on this field. For instance, the traditional ion implantation (i.e., where the waveguides are produced by means of the nuclear collisions) has been used to fabricate waveguides in various materials, such as SnP$_2$S$_6$ (Guarino et al., 2006), KGW (Merchant et al., 2006a; Chen et al., 2008e), KLTN (Gumennik et al., 2005; Ilan et al., 2008), ZnO (Ming et al., 2011), ZnWO$_4$ (Zhao et al., 2010a), Nd:YAG (Chen et al., 2009; Tan & Chen, 2010b), Nd:YLiF$_4$ (Tan et al., 2007b), Nd:LS (Ren et al., 2010c), Nd:YGG (Zhao et al., 2011a), Nd:BSO (Liu et al., 2011), Nd:CBN (Tan et al., 2009b), DAST (Jazbinsek et al., 2008) etc.) and Er$^{3+}$-doped telluride glass (Berneschi et al., 2007b), achieving unprecedented control over the refractive index changes of the substrate. Moreover, irradiation with swift heavy ions have been also used to produce waveguides in a wide number of materials, like LiNbO$_3$ (Olivares et al., 2005b, 2007b; c, 2009; Chen, 2009b; Dong et al., 2011a), KGW (García-Navarro et al., 2006), KLTN (Ilan et al., 2006), BGO (Chen et al., 2006), Nd:YAG (Ren et al., 2010a, 2011a), Nd:GdCOB (Ren et al., 2011b), a-SiO$_2$ (Manzano et al., 2010), c-SiO$_2$ (Manzano-Santamaria et al., 2012) and chalcogenide glasses (Qiu & Narusawa, 2011a), allowing a fast fabrication of high-quality waveguides. In this section we briefly review the fabrication and properties of ion implanted waveguides in various materials, emphasizing the most recent results.

3.1 Non-linear and photorefractive crystals

3.1.1 Lithium niobate (LiNbO$_3$ or LN)

Lithium niobate is one of the favorite crystals for the fabrication of optical waveguides (Arizmendi, 2004). This interest comes from the combination of properties and characteristics of this material that make possible to tailor its behavior for useful devices. One of its main advantages is that it can be grown in quite large crystals by the use of relatively easy techniques. Moreover, the control of its intrinsic point defects as well as impurities offers a wide range of variation of responses. Consequently, most works related to the fabrication of waveguides by ion implantation (and, indeed, by any technique) have been performed on this material. In particular, light ions (i.e., where the nuclear regime plays an important role) have been used for many years to produce optical waveguides in lithium niobate (Hamelin et al., 1994). On the other hand, the effects of the irradiation with swift heavy ions (i.e., where the electronic stopping power is dominant) have been studied for some time (Canut et al., 1996); however, only recently they started being used to fabricate waveguides (Olivares et al., 2005b).
It is generally accepted that the implantation of LiNbO$_3$ with high fluences of light ions ($\sim10^{16}$ cm$^{-2}$) will create an optical barrier with decreased refractive indices at the end of the ion track by nuclear energy deposition, which produces a waveguide structure between the air and the barrier. However, for moderate fluences the extraordinary refractive index ($n_e$) experiences positive changes in the waveguide region (Chandler et al., 1989; Rams et al., 2000). Fabrication of nontunneling waveguides by means of the implantation with low-dose heavy ions is based on this very same effect, which increases $n_e$ (Bentini et al., 2002; Vázquez et al., 2005b). Jiang et al. (Jiang et al., 2007) have developed a simple model to explain the observed changes in the refractive-index. Based on this, they concluded that damage in the crystal lattice can be responsible for the refractive-index changes. This conclusion is reinforced by the results reported by Vitova et al. (Vitova et al., 2009), who found by means of a x-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) study that the displacements of Nb and Li atoms, as well as Li and O vacancies, are likely to cause structural disorder, leading to changes in the refractive index of LN and a reduced birefringence.

Among the light ions, H and He have been the two more frequently used for waveguide fabrication in recent years. For example, Vincent et al. (Vincent et al., 2005) have reported the fabrication of optical waveguides in 10 µm-periodically poled lithium niobate (PPLN) crystals by implanting He$^+$. The obtained waveguides supported both TE and TM guided modes; by annealing at 200 °C during two hours they were able to decrease losses down to 1 dB/cm. Moreover, second order quasi phase matching (QPM) was used to achieve frequency doubling within the obtained waveguides. Peithmann et al. (Peithmann et al., 2006b), on the other hand, developed a new method to fabricate embedded, polarization sensitive channel waveguides in LiNbO$_3$ by means of 40 MeV $^3$He ions. For this, they used the shadow projected by a tungsten blade with a thickness of 25 µm and a width of 1 mm, placed in front of the ion beam. This procedure was repeated for two perpendicular faces, obtaining a region inside the crystal which had not being exposed to the ion beam. They found changes of the order of $\Delta n \approx 3\times10^{-3}$, which are stable at room temperature but can be erased by annealing the crystals at 500 °C. The same group performed a detailed study on the changes of the ordinary and extraordinary refractive indexes of lithium niobate crystals irradiated with 41 MeV $^3$He ions (Peithmann et al., 2006a). They found that small fluences yielded refractive index changes about $5\times10^{-4}$ while values around $3\times10^{-3}$ were reached for the highest fluences. These index modulations were stable up to 100°C but could be erased thermally using temperatures close to 500°C. Tan and Chen, on the other hand, developed a method to form optical ridge waveguides in LiNbO$_3$ crystals using combination of proton implantation and selective wet etching that preserved the thermo-optic features (Tan & Chen, 2010a). The measured modal field was found to be well confined in the ridge waveguide region; moreover, propagation losses as low as $\sim0.9$ dB/cm were obtained, after applying a thermal annealing at 400 °C.

Meanwhile, Kumar et al. (Kumar et al., 2007) studied the influence of proton implantation on the formation of optical waveguides in lithium niobate. They implanted optically polished titanium-doped congruent lithium niobate single crystals with 120 keV protons at fluences in the range $10^{15-10^{17}}$ cm$^{-2}$. Nanoscale three-dimensional defect clusters as well as variations of O-H bond stretching vibrations as a function of fluences were observed on the implanted samples. Finally, Tan et al. (Tan et al., 2008c) reported the formation of reconfigurable optical channel waveguides on iron-doped lithium niobate crystals (Figure 11). In this two-step
Ion Implantation

process, planar waveguides were first formed using 500 keV protons and a moderate post-
implantation annealing. Afterwards, the sample surface was illuminated with well-defined
stripe patterns of green light using lithographic masks, forming two-dimensional waveguides
in the overlap region on top of the crystal, due to the photovoltaic effect in lithium niobate that
results in negative index changes in illuminated regions. They argue that the obtained
structures may be used for reconfigurable optical interconnections and splitters.

Fig. 11. (left) Schematic plot of the experimental setup for canal waveguide formation and
(right) superposition of the refractive index profile of planar layer and light-imprinted (1D
dark soliton-like) stripes, imaged from the sample’s entrance facet when illuminated by
polarized light (Tan et al., 2008c).

On the other hand, high energy implantation of medium-light mass ions, followed by a
suitable annealing, produces a damage profile with a low defect density in the first region
below the surface and a higher density defect distribution at the end of the ion ranges,
which is an effective method for fabrication of buried waveguides (Bentini et al., 2002). This
is because two different kinds of damage are produced in this case: (a) in the near-surface
region the damage is mainly produced by ionization and (b) at the end-of-range of the
incoming ions the damage comes from the nuclear collision cascades. Most of the damage
produced in the first layer can be eliminated by means of a low-temperature annealing
whereas the damage of the buried layer requires higher annealing temperature (Bentini et
al., 2004). Examples of this approach are the fabrication of planar and channel waveguides
on LiNbO₃ using low-dose, few-MeV lithium (Raj & Kumar, 2010), carbon (Sugliani et al.,
2010), fluorine (Jia et al., 2006) and oxygen (Wang et al., 2006b; Liu et al., 2007, 2008a; b; Song
et al., 2008; Tan et al., 2008a; d; Wang & Lu, 2009; Zhao et al., 2011b) ions.

Yet another kind of kinetics has been exploited to fabricate optical waveguides by means of
swift heavy ions (Olivares et al., 2005b). In this regime, lattice amorphization is induced
(Toulemonde et al., 1994; Canut & Ramos, 1998) along the trajectories of impinging ions
whenever the electronic stopping power \( S_e \) is above a certain threshold \( S_{e,th} \). Then, a
homogeneous amorphous layer is generated when the irradiation fluence assures full
overlapping of these nanometer-sized tracks. Olivares and coworkers (Caballero et al., 2005;
Olivares et al., 2005a; b) pioneered this method and demonstrated its ability to generate
high-confinement, step-like optical waveguides in LiNbO₃ irradiated with silicon or fluorine
ions at fluences in the range 10^{13}-10^{15} cm\(^{-2}\). These results were later confirmed by Wang et al.
(Wang et al., 2006a), who obtained and characterized planar waveguides on z-cut
stoichiometric lithium niobate crystals irradiated with 3.6 MeV Si^{2+} ions at doses of 3-10\times10^{13}
They also found that the lattice damage could be considerably reduced or even almost removed by means of a thermal treatment. This technique is also suitable to produce 2D waveguides. For instance, Majkić et al. (Majkić et al., 2008) used a two-step method to produce and characterize optical microring resonators (Figure 12) and optical channel waveguides by implanting $2.5 \times 10^{14}$ cm$^{-2}$ of F ions on LiNbO$_3$. After producing planar waveguides by ion implantation, the planar structuring was achieved by laser lithography masking and Ar$^+$ sputtering. Moreover, they reported the first ring resonators with 80-μm radius fabricated by this method, having an extinction ratio of 14 dB, a free spectral range of 2.0 nm and a finesse of 4. Likewise, Zhao et al. (Zhao et al., 2010b) fabricated ridge waveguides on lithium niobate using implantation of O$^+$ ions combined with Ar ion beam etching.

![Fig. 12. Scanning electron micrograph of a microring resonator and a bus waveguide, structured in LiNbO$_3$. (a) The whole ring and the bus waveguide. Ring radius is 80 μm, ridge height is 1.2 μm. (b) Enlarged coupling region, the gap size is ~0.2 μm (Majkić et al., 2008).](image)

Quasi-phase matching (QPM) in periodically poled LiNbO$_3$ (PPLN) is a widely used technique for efficient frequency conversion (Batchko et al., 1999). Recently Caballero-Calero et al. (Caballero-Calero et al., 2009a; b) have investigated the feasibility of using swift-heavy-ion (SHI) irradiation for the generation of periodically poled structures in optical waveguides. They described two successful ways of producing PPLN: by irradiating bulk PPLN samples with F ions at 22 MeV or by electric periodic poling of previously fabricated swift-ion-irradiated waveguides. Optical waveguide structures were obtained in both cases at the end of the trajectory, combined with a PPLN structure. Good nonlinear and electrooptical properties and high optical confinement makes them good candidates for nonlinear optical devices.

Olivares et al. (Olivares et al., 2007b) also demonstrated a novel method to produce optical waveguides on lithium niobate using irradiations with high-energy medium-mass ions (i.e., swift heavy ions) at ultralow fluences ($10^{12}$-10$^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$). In this regime amorphous nanotacks are created by every single impact leading to an effective nanostructured medium that behaves as an optical waveguide where light propagates transversally to the ion tracks. This method implied a reduction of four orders of magnitude with respect to a typical He implantation. The obtained waveguides presented losses of ~5-10 dB/cm and significant second-harmonic generation and electro-optic responses (>50% bulk). In a further refinement, Ruiz et al. (Ruiz et al., 2007) irradiated proton-exchanged LiNbO$_3$ planar optical waveguides with very low fluences ($5 \times 10^{10}$-$5 \times 10^{12}$ cm$^{-2}$) of Cl at 30 MeV. They reported large modifications in the refractive index profiles that resulted in an improved optical...
performance. This study opened the possibility of fine tuning the refractive index by a suitable control of the fluence. Moreover, Villarroel et al. (Villarroel et al., 2009) investigated the photorefractive behavior of this type of optical waveguides. They determined an electro-optic coefficient \( r_{33} = 18.1 \pm 0.5 \) pm/V for these guides and also studied their recording and light-induced and dark erasure of holographic gratings, as well as the optical beam degradation in single-beam configuration, finding that their damage thresholds are of the same order but a factor of 2-3 greater than that of \( \alpha \)-phase guides commonly used in nonlinear applications.

More exotic applications of the irradiation with swift heavy ions at ultralow fluences, like the fabrication of thick optical waveguides have also been reported (Olivares et al., 2009). In this case Kr and Xe ions with energies ~10 MeV/amu were used to produce waveguides with a thickness of 40-50 micrometers. The obtained waveguides exhibited refractive index jumps up to 0.04 (\( \lambda = 633 \) nm) and propagation of ordinary and extraordinary modes with low losses (~3 dB/cm) and a high nonlinear optical response.

![Fig. 13. (a) Schematic depth morphology of the tracks, showing the amorphous core (black) and the surrounding damage halo (dashed) generated after the passage of the ion, which stops approximately where \( S_n \) is maximum. A schematic light profile (M0) illustrates waveguiding behavior that is generated in the top effective medium layer (emphasized with gray color). (b) Electronic, Se, stopping power curves for Cl at 45 MeV, Kr at 800 MeV and Xe at 1.45 GeV in LiNbO\(_3\) calculated with SRIM2003.](www.intechopen.com)

### 3.1.2 \( \beta \)-Barium borate (\( \beta \)-BaB\(_2\)O\(_4\) or BBO)

\( \beta \)-Barium borate is a promising non-linear crystal, which combines wide transparency range, large non-linear coefficients, wide thermal acceptance bandwidth and high damage threshold (Chen et al., 1990). This material is particularly interesting for applications in the ultraviolet region. Ion implantation is one of the better methods available for producing optical waveguides on BBO. He\(^+\), Cu\(^{2+}\) and Ni\(^{2+}\) ions have been used for this task in recent years. For example, Degl’Innocenti and collaborators (Degl’Innocenti et al., 2006a; b) have
reported the fabrication of ridge optical waveguides on top of $\beta$-BaB$_2$O$_4$ (BBO) crystals (Figure 14). First, BBO crystals were implanted with He$^+$ ions to form planar optical waveguides and subsequently ridge waveguides were micromachined using femtosecond laser ablation (Degl’Innocenti et al., 2006b). Moreover, they also produced the same type of waveguides by means of He$^+$ implantation, photolithography masking, and plasma etching (Degl’Innocenti et al., 2006a). Finally, they demonstrated the potential of these waveguides for the second harmonic generation of continuous-wave deep-UV laser light, exploiting the nonlinear optical properties of BBO crystal (Degl’Innocenti et al., 2008; Poberaj et al., 2009).

Likewise, Jia and coworkers fabricated non-leaky planar waveguides on BBO by implanting medium doses ($\sim 10^{14}$ cm$^{-2}$) of 3 MeV Cu$^{2+}$ (Jia et al., 2008b) and Ni$^{2+}$ (Jia et al., 2008d) ions.

Fig. 14. (a) SEM top picture of a ridge defined by two adjacent grooves ablated on the BBO surface; (b) 4.3 $\mu$m height ridge with a reduced sidewall roughness after successive Ar$^+$ ion sputtering (Degl’Innocenti et al., 2006b).

### 3.1.3 Potassium gadolinium tungstate (KGd(WO$_4$)$_2$ or KGW)

Potassium gadolinium tungstate is a very attractive material for the fabrication of active optical components due to its high Raman cross-section (Major et al., 2005) and its suitability as a rare-earth host material, with strong emission lines at 1.067 and 1.35 $\mu$m (Basiev et al., 2003), which is also suitable for efficient high-power diode pumping around 800 nm (Graf, 1995). García-Navarro et al. (García-Navarro et al., 2006) fabricated waveguides in this material using irradiations with low fluences ($10^{13}$-$10^{14}$ cm$^{-2}$) of F and O at 25 MeV and C at 14 MeV. The energy of the ions was selected with the criterion of placing the maximum of the electronic stopping power a few microns inside the crystal. Consequently, buried isotropic layers (amorphous-like) were formed. These layers had a low refractive index value of 1.87, in contrast to the three crystalline values which are above 2.0. Subsequently Merchant et al. (Merchant et al., 2006b, 2009) characterized the obtained waveguides by optical techniques, finding that the Raman properties were preserved in the guiding region of the waveguide when irradiated with carbon ions but were considerably reduced when using oxygen and fluorine ions.
3.1.4 Potassium titanium oxide phosphate (KTiOPO₄ or KTP)

Potassium titanyl phosphate (KTP) possesses large non-linear optical and electro-optical coefficients (in particular second-harmonics generation SHG is very effective), high optical damage threshold, high thermal stability and transparency over a large wavelength range. High-quality commercial lasers in visible spectral regimes have been realized in this material via frequency doubling of infrared lasers (Bierlein & Arweiler, 1986). Fabrication of waveguides on KTP have been reported using proton exchange (Roelofs et al., 1993), pulsed laser deposition (PLD) (Wang et al., 1998), and ion implantation (Bindner et al., 2001; Schrempel et al., 2004; Chen et al., 2008d; Wang et al., 2008; Tan et al., 2008b). Ion implantation stands among these techniques because it allows an accurate control of the waveguides refractive index profiles by selecting the species, energies and fluences of the incident ions. Fabrication of waveguides on KTP by ion implantation have been reported using H⁺ (Tan et al., 2008b), He⁺ (Schrempel et al., 2004; Chen et al., 2008d; Dong et al., 2011b), C³⁺ (Wang et al., 2008), N⁺, B⁺ (Wesch et al., 2001) and Li⁺ (Schrempel et al., 2002).

Chen et al. (Chen et al., 2008d) reported the fabrication of trapezoidal-shaped optical channel waveguides on KTiOPO₄ crystal fabricated by He⁺-ion implantation using photoresist masks with wedged-shaped cross sections (Figure 15). First, they formed a photoresist-mask with thickness of 5 µm, containing a series of strongly wedged smooth stripes with a period

![Fig. 15. Schematic of the waveguide fabrication processes in KTiOPO₄ (a), microscopic photographs of the cross sections of planar (b), and channel waveguides with trapezoidal shapes (c). PM, photoresist mask; BW, barrier walls; PW, planar waveguide; CW, channel waveguide. The sample crystal axes were marked (Chen et al., 2008d).](www.intechopen.com)
of 50 μm. Afterwards, the ion implantation was performed, resulting on the channel waveguides (Figure 15c). Some sections of the samples remained unmasked, in order to also obtain planar waveguides (Figure 15b). The very same method was used by Tan et al. (Tan et al., 2008b) and Wang et al. (Wang et al., 2008) to fabricate channel and planar waveguides using protons and C\textsuperscript{3+}, respectively. These waveguides were characterized optically (Dong et al., 2011b), finding that the structural and nonlinear properties of the KTP lattice have been only modified at the end of ions’ trajectory, which makes these structures good candidates for integrated laser frequency conversion components.

### 3.1.5 Other non-linear and photorefractive crystals

In addition to the described materials, ion implantation has been used to fabricate optical waveguides in a large number of other non-linear and photorefractive crystals. Table 2 summarizes some of the crystals that have been reported recently, as well as the irradiation conditions and types of guides produced.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crystal</th>
<th>Ion</th>
<th>Energy (MeV)</th>
<th>Fluence (cm\textsuperscript{2})</th>
<th>Type of waveguide</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LiB\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{5}</td>
<td>He\textsuperscript{+}</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>5.0×10\textsuperscript{16}</td>
<td>planar</td>
<td>(Wang et al., 2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca\textsubscript{4}GdO(BO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>He\textsuperscript{+}</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.5×10\textsuperscript{16}</td>
<td>channel</td>
<td>(Vincent et al., 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn\textsubscript{2}P\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{6}</td>
<td>He\textsuperscript{+}</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>(0.5-2.0)×10\textsuperscript{15}</td>
<td>planar</td>
<td>(Guarino et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca\textsubscript{x}Ba\textsubscript{1-x}Nb\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{6}</td>
<td>He\textsuperscript{+}</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.0×10\textsuperscript{16}</td>
<td>planar</td>
<td>(Fu et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn\textsubscript{2}P\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{6}</td>
<td>He\textsuperscript{+}</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.5×10\textsuperscript{14}</td>
<td>planar</td>
<td>(Mosimann et al., 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnWO\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>He\textsuperscript{+}</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.0×10\textsuperscript{16}</td>
<td>planar</td>
<td>(Zhao et al., 2010a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bi\textsubscript{12}TiO\textsubscript{20}</td>
<td>He\textsuperscript{+}</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>1.0×10\textsuperscript{16}</td>
<td>planar</td>
<td>(Zhao et al., 2010d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K\textsubscript{1-}T\textsubscript{0.68}N\textsubscript{0.32}O\textsubscript{3}:Li\textsubscript{12}\textsuperscript{12}C \textsubscript{30.0, 40.0}</td>
<td>(0.47-1.86)×10\textsuperscript{15}</td>
<td>slab</td>
<td>(Ilan et al., 2006)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr\textsubscript{2}Ba\textsubscript{1-x}Nb\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{6}</td>
<td>C\textsuperscript{3+}</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>1.0×10\textsuperscript{14}</td>
<td>ridge</td>
<td>(Tan et al., 2007c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RbTiOPO\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>C\textsuperscript{3+}</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>(0.5-1.0)×10\textsuperscript{14}</td>
<td>planar</td>
<td>(Wang et al., 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiTaO\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>C\textsuperscript{3+}</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>(0.3-1.0)×10\textsuperscript{15}</td>
<td>planar</td>
<td>(Gang, 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnWO\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>C\textsuperscript{3+}</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.0×10\textsuperscript{15}</td>
<td>planar</td>
<td>(Zhao et al., 2010a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaF\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>N\textsuperscript{+}</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>5.0×10\textsuperscript{12}-8.0×10\textsuperscript{16}</td>
<td>planar</td>
<td>(Banyasz et al., 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KTiOAsO\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>O\textsuperscript{+}</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>5.0×10\textsuperscript{12}-1.0×10\textsuperscript{14}</td>
<td>planar</td>
<td>(Jiang et al., 2006b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bi\textsubscript{12}TiO\textsubscript{20}</td>
<td>O\textsuperscript{2+}</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>6.0×10\textsuperscript{14}</td>
<td>planar</td>
<td>(Zhao et al., 2010d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO</td>
<td>O\textsuperscript{+}</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.0×10\textsuperscript{15}</td>
<td>planar</td>
<td>(Ming et al., 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO</td>
<td>O\textsuperscript{+}</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>(0.5-2.0)×10\textsuperscript{15}</td>
<td>planar</td>
<td>(Ming et al., 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO</td>
<td>O\textsuperscript{+}</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>1.0×10\textsuperscript{15}</td>
<td>planar</td>
<td>(Ming et al., 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiB\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{5}</td>
<td>Cu\textsuperscript{+}</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>1.0×10\textsuperscript{15}</td>
<td>planar</td>
<td>(Jiang et al., 2006a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba\textsubscript{11}Sr(BO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{8}</td>
<td>Ag\textsuperscript{13+}</td>
<td>120.0</td>
<td>5.0×10\textsuperscript{11}</td>
<td>planar</td>
<td>(Ishwar Bhat et al., 2002)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Data reported on the fabrication of optical waveguides by ion implantation in some non-linear and photorefractive crystals.
3.2 Laser crystals

A laser consists of a resonant cavity containing an amplifying medium. For solid state lasers the cavity is usually obtained by fabricating a doped crystal into a Fabry-Perot configuration, with the excited impurity atoms providing the amplifying medium. For this reason, rare earth ions such as Nd$^{3+}$, Er$^{3+}$ and Yb$^{3+}$ embedded in a variety of host crystals are frequently encountered in today solid state lasers (Kiss & Pressley, 1966; Kaminskii, 1996). Moreover, the fabrication of optical waveguides on laser crystals is a matter of paramount importance, as can be deduced by the abundant literature available on this topic (Pollnau & Romanyuk, 2007).

3.2.1 Yttrium aluminum garnet (Y$_3$Al$_5$O$_{12}$ or YAG)

Yttrium aluminum garnet (YAG) is commonly used as a host material in various solid-state lasers (Kiss & Pressley, 1966; Fricke, 1970). Rare earth elements such as neodymium and erbium can be doped into YAG as active laser ions, yielding Nd:YAG and Er:YAG lasers, respectively (Saiki et al., 2006). Its high chemical stability complicates the formation of optical waveguides in this crystal using metal diffusion or ion exchange techniques, leaving ion implantation as one of the few viable methods for this task. H$^+$, C$^{3+}$, N$^{3+}$, O$^{3+}$ and Ar$^{4+}$ are some of the ions that have been used to produce waveguides on YAG. For example, Vázquez et al. (Vázquez et al., 2006) reported the fabrication of optical waveguides in Nd:YAG crystals by proton implantation. They characterized optically these waveguides, finding typical optical barrier profiles, good laser emission characteristics at 1064 nm and high stability in the continuous-wave regime. The same ion was used by Szachowicz et al. (Szachowicz et al., 2008) to study if the presence of Nd$^{3+}$ ions favors blue luminescence at 486 nm on Nd,Tm:YAG codoped single crystal waveguides. For this, they fabricated channel waveguides by multiple implantation of H$^+$, using various irradiation angles and doses. Their main conclusion was that the Nd$^{3+}$ codoping considerably favors the Tm$^{3+}$ blue emission due to an efficient energy transfer upconversion process. Multi-energy proton implantation was also used by Tan and Chen (Tan & Chen, 2010b) to fabricate optical channel waveguides in Nd:YAG laser ceramics. They found important changes in the Nd spectroscopic properties, such as line broadening and shifting as well as a luminescence quenching, which were attributed to the strong structural modifications induced by the high-dose proton implantation.

Chen and co-workers attained optical channel waveguides in Nd/Ce codoped YAG laser crystal (Figure 16) using mask-assisted carbon ion implantation (Kong et al., 2009) and in Nd:YAG crystals implanted with low doses of oxygen (Kong et al., 2008; Chen et al., 2009). For the first system, they found that the fluorescence properties of both Ce and Nd ions (including the energy transfer between them) were not significantly affected by the waveguide formation processing. Afterwards, Tan et al. (Tan et al., 2010) showed generation of continuous wave lasers at a wavelength of ~1064 nm in Nd:YAG implanted with C$^{3+}$ at room temperature. Similar results were obtained for N$^{3+}$-implanted Nd:YAG crystals by Ren et al. (Ren et al., 2011a). Finally, Ren and collaborators (Ren et al., 2010a) took advantage of the ion-induced electronic damage to fabricate a Nd:YAG planar waveguide laser by means of ultra-low-fluence ($2 \times 10^{12}$ cm$^{-2}$) irradiation with 60 MeV Ar$^{4+}$ ions. The obtained buried waveguiding was associated with an increased refractive index layer as a consequence of the electronic damage. Moreover, continuous-wave laser oscillations at 1064.2 nm were observed from the waveguide under 808 nm optical excitation, with the absorbed pump power at threshold and laser slope efficiency close to 26 mW and 5.9%, respectively.
3.2.2 Yttrium vanadate (YVO₄)

Yttrium vanadate (YVO₄) is a promising solid-state laser material because of its stability and good quality. The excellent fit of the Nd³⁺ dopant in the crystal lattice gives it some added advantages such as a low threshold and a large stimulated emission cross-section at the lasing wavelength (Zeller & Peuser, 2000). Finally, Nd:YVO₄ is a highly birefringent crystal that has a broader halfwidth and a higher absorption coefficient than those of Nd:YAG. For those reasons, Nd:YVO₄ has been proposed as a better candidate than Nd:YAG for low-power lasers (Yang & Huang, 2000). Successful waveguide formation has been reported in the last five years for this crystal using H⁺, He⁺, C₂⁺, O⁺ and Cu²⁺ ions. Sánchez-Morales et al. (Sánchez-Morales et al., 2007) reported the formation of planar waveguides by proton and helium implantation in Nd:YVO₄. Wide barriers were generated in this work using double and triple implants with energies between 0.4 MeV and 1.75 MeV and fluences around 10¹⁶ cm⁻². Moreover, a double waveguide was generated with a triple implantation. The same group also fabricated waveguides on Nd:YVO₄ crystals by means of a C₂⁺ irradiation, using fluences as low as 5×10¹⁴ cm⁻² (Sánchez-Morales et al., 2006; Vázquez et al., 2006). They found that carbon implantation produced a considerable refractive index variation in the guiding region, increasing the ordinary index and reducing the extraordinary index. Emission bands coming from the ⁴F₃/₂ level were not altered by the ion beam process, suggesting the potential use of carbon implanted waveguides for laser integrated devices. Likewise, other groups produced planar (Jia et al., 2008a) and channel (Chen et al., 2006a) waveguides using 3 MeV O⁺ ions as well as 3 MeV Cu²⁺ ions (Jia et al., 2011) at intermediate fluences (10¹³-10¹⁵ cm⁻²).

3.2.3 Rare-earth-doped lithium niobate (X:LiNbO₃)

The main advantages of lithium niobate have been discussed above; however, an additional benefit of this material arises when it is doped with rare earth elements (particularly Nd³⁺ and Er³⁺). In this case, it is possible to fabricate new monolithic laser or amplifier components combining the medium’s gain and the large range of integrated optical functions already demonstrated including coupled-cavity, single-frequency, tunable, Q-switched, mode-locked, or frequency-doubled lasers and amplified integrated optical circuits with no insertion losses (Lallier, 1992). H⁺, C⁺, O⁺ and Ar⁺ are some of the ions that have been used recently to fabricate optical waveguides on rare-earth-doped LN laser crystals. Dong and co-workers produced optical channel waveguides in Nd³⁺:MgO:LiNbO₃.
crystals by using 500 keV protons (fluence of $6 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-2}$) and a stripe photoresist mask (Dong et al., 2008). With this procedure the emission intensity of Nd$^{3+}$ ions was only slightly modified with respect to the bulk crystal. Likewise, 3 MeV carbon ($7.5 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-2}$) (Chen et al., 2008b) and oxygen ($6 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-2}$) (Chen et al., 2008c) ions have also been successfully used to produce guides on this material. The fluorescence image of the proton-implanted waveguides has been found to be completely different from that obtained by medium-mass ion implantation, revealing that different waveguide formation mechanisms are taking place in each case (Jaque & Chen, 2009). Optical waveguides can also be obtained on doped near-stoichiometric lithium niobate (SLN) by applying low-doses of medium-mass ions. For instance, $7.5 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ of 3 MeV C$^+$ ions (Chen, 2009a) and $6 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ of 3 MeV O$^+$ ions (Chen et al., 2008a) were used to produce optical waveguides on Nd:MgO:SLN and Nd:SLN crystals, respectively. Ultra-low fluences ($2 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$) of 60 MeV Ar$^{+}$ ions achieved the same result on the latter material (Dong et al., 2011a). Finally, embedded channel waveguides were reported for the first time on a $z$-cut erbium-doped lithium niobate (Er:LiNbO$_3$) substrate implanted with $1.65 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ of 3.9 MeV C$^{3+}$ ions (Sher et al., 2011).

### 3.2.4 Other laser crystals

In addition, optical waveguides have been also fabricated on a wide range of laser crystals that are not described individually due to the space constrains. The specific crystals and dopants, as well as the irradiation conditions and types of guides produced are summarized on Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dopant:Crystal</th>
<th>Ion</th>
<th>Energy (MeV)</th>
<th>Fluence (cm$^2$)</th>
<th>Type of waveguide</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Er:YAlO$_3$</td>
<td>H$^+$, He$^+$</td>
<td>1-1.5</td>
<td>(1-4)$ \times 10^{16}$</td>
<td>channel and planar</td>
<td>(Szachowicz et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd:GGG</td>
<td>He$^+$</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>planar</td>
<td>(Ren et al., 2010a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd:LGS</td>
<td>H$^+$</td>
<td>9.6$ \times 10^{16}$</td>
<td>channel</td>
<td>(Ren et al., 2010b)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce: KNSBN</td>
<td>He$^+$</td>
<td>2-2.2</td>
<td>(0.9-1.5)$ \times 10^{16}$</td>
<td>channel</td>
<td>(Chen et al., 2006b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd:KGW</td>
<td>He$^+$</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>planar</td>
<td>(Chen et al., 2008e)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd:CBN</td>
<td>He$^+$</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>channel</td>
<td>(Tan et al., 2009b)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd:SGG</td>
<td>He$^+$</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>(1-3)$ \times 10^{16}$</td>
<td>planar</td>
<td>(Guo et al., 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd:BSO</td>
<td>He$^+$</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>(1-3)$ \times 10^{16}$</td>
<td>planar</td>
<td>(Liu et al., 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd:KGW</td>
<td>C$^{3+}$</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>1.0$ \times 10^{15}$</td>
<td>planar</td>
<td>(Chen et al., 2008e)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd:CNNG</td>
<td>C$^{3+}$</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>(1-8)$ \times 10^{14}$</td>
<td>planar</td>
<td>(Wang &amp; Yu, 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd:GGG</td>
<td>C$^{3+}$</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0$ \times 10^{14}$</td>
<td>planar</td>
<td>(Ren et al., 2010d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd:BSO</td>
<td>C$^{3+}$</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>1.0$ \times 10^{15}$</td>
<td>planar</td>
<td>(Liu et al., 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd:GdCOB</td>
<td>C$^{5+}$</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>2.0$ \times 10^{14}$</td>
<td>planar</td>
<td>(Ren et al., 2011b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb:YCOB</td>
<td>O$^{3+}$</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>(0.5-20)$ \times 10^{14}$</td>
<td>planar</td>
<td>(Jiao et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd:LuVO$_4$</td>
<td>O$^{3+}$</td>
<td>2.4, 3.0, 3.6</td>
<td>(1.4-3.1)$ \times 10^{14}$</td>
<td>planar</td>
<td>(Jia et al, 2008c)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Data reported on the fabrication of optical waveguides by ion implantation in some laser crystals.
3.3 Glasses

Various optical glass materials are excellent hosts of laser dopants; for this reason rare-earth doped laser glasses are now commercially available. The most common method for producing waveguides in glass is ion exchange; however, ion implantation is also frequently used for this task (Townsend et al., 1994). In recent years have been reported the fabrication of optical waveguides on a wide range of glasses (silicates, tellurites, phosphates and chalcogenides) doped with various elements (Nd, Er, Yb and Ti) and using several ions (H+, He+, O+, N+3+, F3+, Cl6+ and Ar4+). For example, high chemical stability coupled with a large solubility for rare-earth ions makes silicate glasses excellent candidates for the fabrication of lasers and waveguide amplifiers. Wang et al. (Wang et al., 2007) reported the fabrication of low-loss planar and stripe waveguides in a Nd3+-doped silicate glass by implanting 6 MeV oxygen ions at a fluence of 1015 cm–2. It was found that the ion beam processing created a refractive index enhanced region as well as an optical barrier. The same group also reported the fabrication of ridge optical waveguides in Er3+/Yb3+ co-doped phosphate glasses by 2.8 MeV He+ implantation and a subsequent Ar+ ion beam etching (at 500 eV) (Tan et al., 2007a). Optical characterization showed that an optical barrier-type waveguide had been formed. These results are relevant due to the importance of this glass in telecommunication applications.

On the other hand, tellurite glasses are promising materials for the fabrication of active integrated optical circuits due to their high rare-earth solubility, large stimulated emission cross sections and broad emission bandwidth around the 1.55 micron wavelength. Fabrication of channel (Berneschi et al., 2007a; Khánh et al., 2009) and slab (Berneschi et al., 2011) waveguides on this glass (doped with Er3+) using 1.5 MeV N+ ions (fluences in the range 5x1012 to 8x1016 cm–2) have been reported by Berneschi and collaborators. Optical characterization revealed that the implanted layer exhibited a decrease of the refractive index with respect to the virgin bulk glass, while the region comprised between the sample surface and the end of the ion track acted as an optical guiding structure. It was also found that a post-implantation annealing process resulted in a reduction of the barrier region.

Equally important are chalcogenide glasses, and in particular gallium lanthanum sulphide (GLS). These novel materials exhibit promising properties, such as a wide transmission window, large Kerr nonlinear coefficient, low toxicity, excellent thermal and mechanical stabilities, and high solubility for rare-earth dopants (Zakery & Elliott, 2003). Qiu and coworkers have reported recently the fabrication of planar optical waveguides on this material using both, light (Qiu & Narusawa, 2010, 2011b) and swift heavy ions (Qiu & Narusawa, 2011a; Qiu et al., 2011). Firstly, they used 350 keV protons at a fluence of 1015 cm–2; finding an optical barrier-type waveguide, as expected when the nuclear damage is dominant. In the second case, they employed 20 MeV N3+ and 60 MeV Ar4+ ions at intermediate (1.5x1014 cm–2) and ultra-low (2x1012 cm–2) fluences, respectively. The Ar4+-implanted waveguides exhibited an step-like distribution, while the N3+-implanted ones showed a “well” region with increased refractive index near the surface of the glass coming from the electronic energy deposition and a “barrier” layer with decreased refractive index inside the glass, due to the nuclear energy deposition. Similarly, Manzano et al. (Manzano et al., 2010) reported the preparation of planar optical waveguides in silica (a-SiO2) by means of swift heavy-ion irradiation (F3+ at 5 MeV, Cl6+ at 20 MeV), confirming that for SHI the refractive index enhancement is strongly correlated with the electronic stopping power. The importance of this glass stems from its availability, low optical absorption, near-zero
thermal expansion, low dielectric losses and good chemical inertness that makes it one of the most widely used material for photonics applications, including commercial fibers for information technologies (Devine et al., 2000).

4. Applications

Obviously applications are the ultimate goal of most research efforts. Despite being a relatively recent field, where new results are generated at a very high rate, the waveguides fabricated by ion implantation has been already used for many applications such as beam splitting/coupling, frequency doubling, two-wave mixing, photorefractive solitons and waveguide lasers. In this Section we make a brief overview of the most recent developments in this area.

4.1 Beam splitters/couplers

Beam splitters and couplers, and particularly simple Y-junctions, are key components for waveguide devices such as integrated interferometers. Some recent works have reported the fabrication of these elements by means of ion implantation, using different ions and materials. Hadjichristov and Stefanov (Hadjichristov & Stefanov, 2010) reported the fabrication of a beam splitter/coupler at the telecommunication wavelength of 1.55 micrometers by (50 KeV) silicon implantation of PMMA. In turn, Bentini et al. (Bentini et al., 2007) and Zhao et al. (Zhao et al., 2010c) used LiNbO$_3$ substrates. The first group fabricated a Mach-Zehnder interferometer by using high energy ion implantation of carbon ions on LiNbO$_3$ whereas Zhao and collaborators obtained power splitters in LiNbO$_3$ using multi-energy O ion implantation with standard lithography. The design consisted of a cascade Y-branch waveguide structure that distributed equally the input power between four output waveguides. Finally, two interesting recent works have reported the formation of reconfigurable channel waveguides and beam splitters (Figure 17), combining ion implantation with selective light illumination of iron doped LiNbO$_3$ crystals (Tan et al., 2008c; d).

Fig. 17. Lithographic mask patterns of (a) straight stripe and (c) Y-branch waveguides ($\tan(\alpha) = 0.01$); and microscopy photographs of the top view of (b) straight channel and (d) Y-branch waveguide, imaged by using polarized light reflection (Tan et al., 2008c).
4.2 Second harmonic generation

Probably ion implantation is one of the best available processes to obtain optical waveguides with applications in second harmonic generation (SHG). This is because the nonlinear susceptibility \( \chi^{(2)} \) is preserved or only slightly reduced in the guiding layer (Dong et al., 2011b). However, a practical device has not been developed yet as it has been, for instance, in LiNbO\(_3\) where optical frequency doubling devices were probed for Ti-indiffused (Sohler et al., 2008) and proton exchanged (Langrock et al., 2006) waveguides. The main reason is the high cost of obtaining a waveguide with low optical losses, high optical damage threshold, good phase-matching (PM) or quasi-phase-matching (QPM) conditions, etc. Therefore, the capability of ion implanted waveguides for SGH devices strongly depends on the starting material and the reduction of fabrication costs.

In the last years, much effort has been made to improve the optical properties of materials. In periodically poled LiNbO\(_3\) (PPLN), Vincent et al. (Vincent et al., 2005) have found conversion efficiencies up to \( 6.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ W}^{-1} \) using second order QPM in low losses waveguides (>1 dB/cm) obtained by several implantation of He\(^+\) with energy in the range of 1.5 to 1.7 MeV. When PPLN is doped with 6 mol. % of Zn, to increase the optical damage resistance, green light at first order QPM has been generated with an efficiency of \( 10^{-2} \text{ W}^{-1} \) in similar waveguides (Vincent et al., 2007). SHI waveguides are a promising candidate to SHG integrated devices in LiNbO\(_3\). It has been demonstrated that its high step-like refractive index change is accompanied with low decreasing of nonlinear coefficients (Olivares et al., 2007a). Furthermore, PPLN structures can be made both before and after waveguide fabrication (Caballero-Calero et al., 2009a; b) for efficient QPM. To all of this, it must be added an important reduction in time and fabrication costs with respect to the process of implantation with light ions.

Important progresses have been made as well in the development of ultraviolet integrated optics devices based on barium-borate (BBO) crystals (Poberaj et al., 2009). For instance, continuous-wave UV laser light at 278 nm and 266 nm by optical frequency doubling of visible light have been reported in \( \beta\)-BaB\(_2\)O\(_4\) waveguides produced by He\(^+\) ions implantation (Degl’Innocenti et al., 2006a, 2008). Finally, optical planar waveguides produced in the new nonlinear Ca\(_4\)GdO(BO\(_3\))\(_3\) crystal by ionic implantation have also shown SHG significant conversion efficiency that can be increased after applying a thermal treatment (Boudrioua et al., 2005). Planar waveguides fabricated in Nd\(^{3+}\)-doped crystals by 17 MeV carbon ion irradiation have been reported recently (Ren et al., 2011b). They exhibit high optical conversion efficiency of frequency doubling for CW (0.48% W\(^{-1}\)) and pulsed (6.8% W\(^{-1}\)) laser beams.

4.3 Photorefractive applications

A number of photorefractive applications have been implemented in ion implanted waveguides. Two relevant examples are photorefractive solitons and two-wave mixing (TWM) applications.

4.3.1 Two-wave mixing

Two-wave mixing in photorefractive crystals is a non linear process that allows energy transfer between the involved beams and, consequently, optical amplification. This process
was also demonstrated in photorefractive waveguides that in some cases were prepared by ion implantation. Two materials have been initially used to prepare the waveguides: BaTiO$_3$ (Youden et al., 1992; Dazzi et al., 1999; Mathey et al., 2001a; b) and KNbO$_3$ (Brülisauer et al., 1995, 1996). The main parameters of the ion implantation process and two-wave mixing operation reported in those works have been summarized by Chen et al. (Chen et al., 2007).

Later on, TWM was proved in iron-doped congruent LiNbO$_3$ waveguides fabricated by O$^{5+}$ ion implantation obtaining gain coefficients similar to that of bulk crystals (Tan et al., 2008a). Waveguides have been also fabricated on near-stoichiometric Fe:LiNbO$_3$ substrates by proton implantation (Peng et al., 2011). High gain coefficients of 15 cm$^{-1}$ have been obtained in these TWM experiments. Finally, Mossiman et al. (Mosimann et al., 2009) have demonstrated TWM in He$^+$ implanted waveguides fabricated on a promising material for infrared operation: the ferroelectric semiconductor (Sn$_2$P$_2$S$_6$). In this case a gain of 2.5 cm$^{-1}$ has been obtained at the telecom wavelength of 1.55 µm.

### 4.3.2 Photorefractive solitons

Spatial solitons, i.e. non diffracting beams, have very interesting properties to implement photonic applications such as all optical switches and routers. Photorefractive spatial solitons have attracted considerable interest because they can be generated with low light power (~mW) (del Re et al., 2006). As for other photorefractive applications, first experiments were demonstrated in bulk crystals (del Re et al., 2006) but later on it was also proved on waveguide configuration. Photorefractive bright spatial solitons were observed in SBN waveguides produced by He$^+$ implantation in the visible and infrared regions (Kip et al., 1998; Wesner et al., 2001). More recently, dark photovoltaic spatial solitons have been also observed in a planar waveguide produced by ion implantation of protons in copper doped LiNbO$_3$ crystal (Kruglov et al., 2008). Finally, gap solitons have been observed very recently in lithium niobate combining a waveguide array fabricated by proton implantation with light-induced refractive index modification via the photorefractive effect (Tan et al., 2009a).

### 4.4 Waveguide lasers

Rare earth (Nd, Er, etc.) and transition metal (Ti, Cr, etc.) doped crystals are widely used as gain media for solid state lasers. Conservation of the luminescence properties of the irradiated material, along with a low pump threshold, are very important characteristics for ion-implanted waveguide lasers. The former point was addressed in Section 3.2, where we described how it has been demonstrated that, for various crystals, the luminescence is preserved after the waveguide formation. In this section we will describe the most recent examples of working laser prototypes on ion-implanted optical waveguides.

Yao et al. (Yao et al., 2010) reported a waveguide laser in Nd:YAG crystals, produced by proton beam writing, with an output power of 60 mW at 1064 nm. This system exhibited the additional advantage of having highly symmetric guided modes (Figure 18), which would facilitate its connection with the optical fibers. For the first ion implanted Nd:YAG ceramic planar waveguide, a 1064 nm laser was generated with a 19.5 mW threshold and 11% slope efficiency (Tan et al., 2010). Moreover, swift-ion-irradiated Nd:YAG waveguide laser
systems have similar performances (laser slope efficiencies of 16% and pump power thresholds of 38.3 mW) than the ion implanted samples (Ren et al., 2010a, 2011a).

Fig. 18. (a) Laser oscillation spectra from the waveguide produced by 1 MeV PBW at fluence of $10^{16}$ cm$^{-2}$, showing a keen-edged peak at 1064.2 nm with a FWHM of 0.75 nm. The mode image of the waveguide laser is shown as inset. (b) The measured output laser power as a function of the absorbed pump power (balls) from the waveguide. The green solid line shows the linear fit of the experimental data (Yao et al., 2010).

Moreover, the use of He$^+$ ion implantation, combined with Ar$^+$ ion etching, have been demonstrated to fabricate ridge waveguides in Cr:LiSrAlF$_6$ (Majkić et al., 2007). They found that the fluorescence emissions from the waveguides are similar to those from the bulk material, indicating that the Cr$^{3+}$ ions are not altered by the ion implantation process. The output PL power of this system was 13 µW at 165 mW pump power. Unfortunately, their application as a tunable integrated light source is somewhat limited by its high loss (~10 dB/cm). This limitation is not present in the buried Ti:sapphire channel waveguides produced by proton implantation (Grivas et al., 2006; Pollnau et al., 2007), whose very low loss (~1dB/cm) makes them a better choice. In this case laser operation (~780 nm) was achieved at room temperature, with an absorbed pump power threshold of 230 mW; the maximum output power and the slope efficiency were 17 mW and 3%, respectively.

A planar waveguide laser have been also demonstrated on H$^+$-implanted Nd:GGG crystals, reaching a slope efficiency of 30% and 22 mW of output power (Ren et al., 2010b). Similarly, channel (Sánchez-Morales et al., 2008) and planar (Jia et al., 2007) waveguide lasers have been produced on Nd:YVO$_4$ using, respectively, 7 MeV carbon and 180 MeV argon ions. In the former system laser oscillations were generated at 1064 nm, with a pump threshold power of 45 mW and a slope efficiency of 30%. The SHI waveguide laser, on the other hand, emitted at 1067 nm with a slope efficiency of 8.5%.

5. Concluding remarks

Ion implantation is a well-established technique to modify in a controllable way all kinds of materials i.e. dielectrics, semiconductors and metals. In this review we have focused on the
effects of ion beams on the optical properties of dielectric materials that can be used to fabricate optical waveguides and other related photonic devices. A large span of possibilities exists, depending on ion type and energy. Although a number of reviews and monographs are available on this subject, we have concentrated on recent developments (e.g., the production of waveguides with optical barrier by electronic excitation) and novel crystal hosts with a promising potential for laser and nonlinear optical applications. Special attention/emphasis has been paid as well to the novel processing capability due to the high electronic excitation (“electronic damage”) provided by high energy (> 0.1 MeV/amu) heavy ions and/or swift heavy ions (> 1 MeV/amu). This field is still alive, both from the scientific and technological sides, and exciting achievements are likely to show up in the coming years. Particularly attractive is the connection/synergy between the (lateral) nano-structuring with the deep structuring capability (microns thick) of swift heavy ions.
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