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1. Introduction

Since the discovery of the first receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) proteins in the late 1970s and early 1980s, many scientists have explored the functions of these important cell signaling molecules. The finding that these proteins are often deregulated or mutated in diseases such as cancers and diabetes, together with their potential as clinical therapeutic targets, has further highlighted the necessity for understanding the signaling functions of these important proteins. The mechanisms of RTK regulation and function have been recently reviewed by Lemmon & Schlessinger (2010) but in this review we instead focus on the results of several recent studies that show receptor tyrosine kinases can function from subcellular localisations, including in particular the nucleus, in addition to their classical plasma membrane location. Nuclear localisation of receptor tyrosine kinases has been demonstrated to be important for normal cell function but is also believed to contribute to the pathogenesis of several human diseases.

2. Classical signaling by receptor tyrosine kinases

The ability of a cell to receive signals from the outside, and deliver these inside so it can respond appropriately and in co-ordination with other cells, is required for the correct functioning of a multicellular organism as a whole. Cells communicate in two key ways - direct physical interaction or by way of communication molecules. These communication molecules, collectively called ligands, include those (e.g. steroid hormones, vitamins) that can pass directly through the lipid bilayer of the cell and interact with intracellular proteins and those such as protein hormones and peptide growth factors which cannot enter the cell directly. These latter ligands interact with plasma membrane-associated proteins called receptors to activate cascades of interactions between intracellular proteins that can result in a diverse range of responses and ultimately determine cell behaviour (Figure 1).

One large family of membrane receptors, the receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs), is characterised by their intrinsic protein tyrosine kinase activity, an enzymatic function which catalyses the transfer of the γ phosphate of ATP to hydroxyl groups on tyrosine residues on target proteins (Hunter, 1998). Binding of the ligand stabilises dimers of the receptors to allow autophosphorylation via activation of the receptors’ intrinsic tyrosine kinase activity that then initiates a network of sequentially acting components such as those of the...
Ras/MAPK (mitogen-activated protein kinase) pathway, or single component systems, such as the STAT pathway. The combination of the activated signal transduction pathways constitute the mechanism by which this intracellular transfer of biochemical information is mediated and can determine the biological responses of cells to growth factors. Members of the RTK family play important roles in the control of most fundamental cellular processes including cell proliferation and differentiation, cell cycle, cell migration, cell metabolism and cell survival.

Fig. 1. Classical receptor tyrosine kinase signaling. Ligand binding stabilises dimers of the receptors within the plasma membrane. Autophosphorylation of one intracellular kinase domain by the other activates a signal transduction cascade into the cell so the cell can respond appropriately.

3. Protein structures of receptor tyrosine kinases

The general structure of RTK proteins is similar and all members of the RTK family have an intracellular kinase domain through which signaling is mediated by phosphorylation of tyrosine residues. In addition to the kinase domain, all RTKs have an extracellular domain, usually glycosylated, separated from the cytoplasmic part, containing the kinase domain, by a single hydrophobic transmembrane α helix. With the exception of the insulin (IR) and insulin-like growth factor (IGFR) receptor families, which are disulfide linked dimers of two polypeptide chains (α and β) that form a heterodimer (α2β2), RTKs are normally present as monomers in the cell membrane. Ligand binding induces receptor dimerisation resulting in autophosphorylation (the kinase domain of one RTK monomer cross-phosphorylates the other and vice versa). Receptor dimerisation is further stabilised by receptor:receptor interactions and the clustering of many receptors into lipid rich domains on the cell membrane (Pike, 2003). Further division of the 58 human RTKs into 20 different classes is based on similarities in primary structure, and the combinations of further functional domains in both extracellular and intracellular parts of the proteins (Figure 2).

4. Trafficking of receptor tyrosine kinases

Ligand activation of receptor tyrosine kinases present on the plasma membrane of cells promotes numerous downstream signal transduction pathways that result in cell responses including proliferation, migration and differentiation. Following ligand activation, virtually all receptor tyrosine kinases are rapidly endocytosed. This would allow the cell to
discriminate new signals from old ones but it has been suggested that, because trafficking is a complex and highly regulated process, it is likely that endocytosis provides more than just a mechanism for removal of receptor-ligand complexes from the cell surface. Endocytosed receptors can be either recycled back to the membrane after disengagement of the ligand, or targeted for lysosomal degradation. Most receptor tyrosine kinases are internalised via clathrin-coated pits which then shed the clathrin and deliver the internalised receptor-ligand complexes to early endosomes. Bifurcation of receptor trafficking occurs in the early endosomes, allowing either recycling back to the plasma membrane or degradation through lysosomes. In some cases continued signaling from the endosomes has also been demonstrated (Ceresa & Schmid, 2000; Di Fiore & De Camilli, 2001; Wang et al., 2004a).

Fig. 2. Domain structures of 58 human receptor tyrosine kinases determines their sub-classification into 20 different families. The name of each family is shown above with the members listed below. A key indicates the various motifs common to individuals within that family.

Recent data also suggest that endocytosis controls sub-cellular localisation of activated receptors and their signaling complexes (Beguinot et al., 1984; Sorkin & Waters, 1993). For example, the prototypical receptor tyrosine kinase, the Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor (EGFR), has been found in caveoli, Golgi, endoplasmic reticulum, lysosome-like structures and nuclear envelopes (Carpentier et al., 1986; Lin et al., 2001). Given the continuity of the endomembrane system, linking endoplasmic reticulum, Golgi membranes, the plasma...
membrane, vesicles of both the endosomal and lysosomal systems and even the nuclear membrane, it is probably not surprising that receptors would be found within the membranes of these structures.

It also appears that endocytosis and trafficking of vesicles is involved in localisation of receptor tyrosine kinases to the nucleus. Nuclear localisation of receptor tyrosine kinases has emerged as a highly significant occurrence in the last decade, with reports indicating that the EGFR (ErbB-1 and -2), FGFR1 and IGF-IR can all translocate to the nucleus as full-length receptors or protein fragments devoid of the extracellular domain. In some cases this has been found to be ligand-dependent, within as early as 2 minutes of ligand stimulation, although there are also cases in which nuclear translocation appears to be ligand-independent. Nuclear localisation of several receptor tyrosine kinases has been identified in cells of normal tissues, including EGFR in the nucleus of regenerating liver cells (Marti and Wells, 2000) and ErbB-4 in the nuclei of secretory epithelium in the lactating breast (Long et al., 2003; Tidcombe et al., 2003). For many receptor tyrosine kinases, also including EGFR and ErbB-4, nuclear localisation has been linked to diseases including cancer, diabetes and inflammation (Citri & Yarden, 2006; Lo & Hung, 2006; Massie & Mills, 2006; Bublip & Yarden, 2007; Wang & Hung, 2009; Wang et al., 2010). For example, the nuclear presence of EGFR is associated with high grade breast and ovarian cancers and is associated with the development of resistance to some radio-, chemo- and monoclonal antibody-therapies (Lo et al., 2005a; Xia et al., 2009).

5. Mechanisms of receptor tyrosine kinase translocation to the nucleus

It has been hypothesised that in order for a receptor tyrosine kinase to translocate to the nucleus it must somehow ‘escape’ from the lipid bilayer of the cell surface and/or the trafficking of the endomembrane system. Exactly how this happens is only just being explored experimentally, but Wells & Marti (2002) have proposed three potential ’escape’ mechanisms using EGFR as a model receptor tyrosine kinase. In the first, a mutant EGFR protein, lacking the transmembrane domain, forms a dimer with a wild-type receptor on the cell surface. Binding of EGF causes internalisation of the mutant-wild-type dimer via a clathrin-coated pit into an early endosome. The mutant EGFR is disassociated from the wild-type protein in the endosome and released into the cytosol, and from there it is transported into the nucleus. In the second scenario, full-length wild-type EGFR is trafficked from the plasma membrane to the endoplasmic reticulum, where it interacts with an accessory protein that removes it from the membrane for translocation into the nucleus. In the third, EGFR is targeted by proteases at the plasma membrane and an intracellular fragment translocates to the nucleus again by interaction with nuclear transport proteins. Recently, Liao & Carpenter (2007) provided support for the second scenario by showing that EGFR in the endosome associates with an accessory protein Sec61β, a component of the Sec61 translocon and is then retrotranslocated from the ER to the cytoplasm and from there translocated to the nucleus by nuclear transport proteins.

6. Nuclear localisation sequences and importins

Transport of proteins into the nucleus through the nuclear-pore-complex can be facilitated by the dedicated nuclear transport receptors of the β-karyopherin family which includes the
importins (Gorlich and Kutay, 1999). Proteins translocated via importins contain nuclear localisation signals (NLS), a short stretch of amino acids that mediates the transport of proteins into the nucleus (Cokol et al., 2000). NLS motifs can be either monopartite, characterised by a cluster of basic residues preceded by a helix-breaking residue, or bipartite, where two clusters of basic residues are separated by 9–12 residues (Cokol et al., 2000). In the classical process of NLS-mediated nuclear translocation, an importin-α adaptor protein binds to a lysine-rich NLS in the cargo protein. An importin-β protein then binds to this importin-α/cargo complex through an NLS in the importin-α protein itself and guides the complex through the nuclear pore. Importin-β proteins are the key import mediators and can also bind non-classical NLS motifs, of which there are several types, to transport proteins without requiring importin-α interaction. In addition to basic NLSs, several other small epitopes have been identified that, when phosphorylated, can promote nuclear import (Nardozzi et al., 2010). These include the nuclear transport signal (NTS) of ERK1/2, which is a Ser-Pro-Ser (SPS) motif that, upon stimulation, is phosphorylated and functionally active as a binding site for the nuclear transport receptor importin-β7 (Chuderland et al., 2008).

7. Receptor tyrosine kinases reported to translocate to the nucleus

7.1 Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor (EGFR)/ErbB family

The Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor (EGFR) family of receptor tyrosine kinases, also known as ErbB (named after the viral oncogene v-erb-B2) or Human Epidermal growth factor Receptor (HER) receptors, contains four members: EGFR/ErbB-1/HER1, ErbB-2/HER2/Neu, ErbB-3/HER3 and ErbB-4/HER4. These receptors are expressed in various tissues of epithelial, mesenchymal and neuronal origin. Activation of ErbB receptors is controlled by the spatial and temporal expression of their 11 different ligands, all encoded by separate genes and all members of the EGF family of growth factors. These include EGF, epigen, transforming growth factor alpha (TGF-α), and amphiregulin, which bind EGFR; neuregulins (NRGs) 1,2,3,4, which bind ErbB-3 and/or ErbB-4, and betacellulin, heparin-binding EGF-like growth factor, and epiregulin, which bind EGFR and ErbB-4 (Riese & Stern, 1998). Ligand binding induces receptor dimerisation, and both homodimers and heterodimers with other ErbBs may be formed, and this then leads to the activation of a diverse range of downstream signaling pathways depending on the dimers and cross-activation of other ErbBs on the cell surface (Stern et al., 1986; Riese et al., 1995; Riese & Stern, 1998; Zaczek et al., 2005). Heterodimerisation is particularly important for signaling through ErbB-2, which lacks a conventional growth factor ligand, and ErbB-3, which has an inactive/impaired kinase domain.

Excessive EGFR, ErbB-2 and ErbB-3 signaling, as a result of receptor over-expression, mutations or autocrine stimulation, is a well known hallmark of a wide variety of solid tumours and leads to both increased cell proliferation and resistance to growth-inhibitory cytokines (Hynes & Lane, 2005). In contrast, ErbB-4 appears to be associated with growth suppression and improved patient prognosis in breast cancer (Jones, 2008; Muraoka-Cook et al., 2008). In addition, all four members of the ErbB family have a sub-membrane importin alpha-binding basic NLS that allows transport from the cytosol to the nucleus by the importin α/β complex. Consequently, ErbB proteins have been detected in the nucleus of both normal cells and cancer cells (Marti et al., 1991; Marti & Hug, 1995; Marti & Wells, 2000; Citri &Yarden, 2006; Lo & Hung, 2006; Massie & Mills, 2006; Bubil & Yarden, 2007; Wang &
In multiple cancer types, nuclear accumulation correlates with poor patient survival, tumor grade, and pathologic stage (Lo et al., 2005a; Psyrri et al., 2005; Junttila et al., 2005; Koumakpayi et al., 2006; Lo & Hung, 2006; Maatta et al., 2006; Hoshino et al., 2007; Xia et al., 2009; Hadzisejdic et al., 2010).

7.1.1 Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor (EGFR/ErbB-1/HER1)

Nuclear EGFR, and its ligands EGF and proTGF-α, were first observed in hepatocytes during liver regeneration (Raper et al., 1987; Marti et al., 1991; Marti & Hug, 1995; Marti & Wells, 2000; Grasl-Kraupp et al., 2002). Translocation of EGFR to the nucleus is also induced by DNA damage caused by irradiation (UV and ionizing) and cisplatin treatment but this appears to be ligand-independent (Dittmann et al., 2005; Xu et al., 2009). Full length EGFR is translocated into the nucleus through interactions with importin β-1, the nucleoporin protein Nup358 and proteins known to be involved in endocytotic internalisation of these proteins from the plasma membrane. Once in the nucleus, EGFR has three different roles depending on the initial signal, 1) as a direct regulator of gene transcription, 2) regulating cell proliferation and DNA replication via its kinase function, and 3) DNA repair and chemotherapeutic-resistance through protein-protein interactions (Lin et al., 2001; Dittmann et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2006; Das et al., 2007; Kim et al., 2007; Wanner et al., 2008; Hsu & Hung, 2007). As a direct regulator of gene transcription, the C-terminal domain of EGFR directly interacts with the genome through binding and activating AT-rich sequences in the cyclin D1, nitric oxide synthetase (iNOS), Aurora-A and B-myb promoters (Liao and Carpenter, 2007; Lo, 2010). Nuclear EGFR interacts with STAT5 or STAT3 to transactivate the expression of the Aurora-A or iNOS genes respectively (Hung et al., 2008; Lo et al., 2005b). Nuclear EGFR can regulate cell proliferation and DNA replication by direct tyrosine phosphorylation of target proteins including chromatin bound proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) (Wang et al., 2006). EGFR kinase activity phosphorylates PCNA on tyrosine 211, stabilising the PCNA protein and stimulating DNA replication. In its third role, nuclear EGFR stimulates DNA repair by forming a direct protein-protein interaction with DNA-dependent protein kinase (DNA-PK) (Dittmann et al., 2005).

In addition to localisation to the plasma membrane and the nucleus, EGFR has also been found in the Golgi Apparatus, endoplasmic reticulum and the mitochondria (Carpentier et al., 1986; Lin et al., 2001; Boerner et al., 2004). EGFR was first reported in the mitochondria by Boerner et al., (2004) who found that in the presence of EGF, Src mediated the phosphorylation of EGFR residue Y845. EGFR phosphorylated at Y845 was found in the mitochondria and interacted with cytochrome c oxidase subunit II (CoxII) to possibly regulate cell survival. The method by which EGFR is translocated to the mitochondria is unknown, but was not related to endocytosis of the EGFR protein and did not involve the function of Shc adaptor proteins (Yao et al., 2010). Furthermore, deletion studies showed that a putative mitochondrial-targeting signal between amino acids 646 and 660 was only partially responsible for migration (Boerner et al., 2004).

7.1.2 ErbB-2/HER2/Neu

Although ErbB-2 is catalytically active, it cannot bind the heregulin (HRG) ligand directly, but instead dimerises with either HRG-bound ErbB-3 or ErbB-4 to form a complex that is
capable of signaling through either ErbB-2 or ErbB-4 (ErbB-3 is catalytically inactive/impaired) (Carraway et al., 1994). Upon HRG stimulation, cell-membrane embedded ErbB-2 migrates from the cell surface via early endosomes and is then either targeted to lysosomes for degradation, or recycled back to the surface. By an as yet undefined mechanism, ErbB-2 can also be removed from the lipid bilayer to form a complex with both importin β1 and EEA1 (Giri et al., 2005). This complex then moves through the nuclear pore complex into the nucleus. Once in the nucleus, ErbB-2 can form a complex with β-actin and RNA polymerase-1, enhancing binding of RNA pol 1 to rDNA, and progressing the early and elongation steps of transcription to expedite rRNA synthesis and protein translation (Li et al., 2011). The nuclear function of ErbB-2 would appear to be unrelated to its normal signaling role transduced through PI3-K and MEK/ERK because inhibitors to these kinases (LY294002 and U0126, respectively) did not affect the levels of 45S pre-rRNA in these cells. In addition to this role in expediting overall rRNA synthesis and protein translation, nuclear ErbB-2 has also been shown to bind to the promoter of the cyclooxygenase enzyme (COX-2) and up-regulate its expression. COX-2 catalyzes the conversion of lipids to inflammatory prostaglandin and contributes to increased anti-apoptotic, pro-angiogenic, and metastatic potential in cancer cells (Vadlamudi et al., 1999; Howe et al., 2001; Gupta & DuBois, 2001; Half et al., 2002; Subbaramaiah et al., 2002; Turini & DuBois, 2002). The promoters of PRPK, MMP16 and DDX10 have also been identified as direct targets of nuclear ErbB-2 (Wang et al., 2004b).

7.1.3 ErbB-3/HER3

The kinase domain of ErbB-3 has been described as either catalytically inactive or impaired. Despite this ErbB-3 forms dimers with other ErbB receptors, and can recruit novel proteins to activate diverse signaling pathways (Guy et al., 1994; Zaczek et al., 2005). Intact ErbB-3 was detected in nuclei of prostate cancer cells in metastatic specimens (Koumakpayi et al., 2006; Cheng et al., 2007). Nuclear localisation was then studied in a model of prostate cancer using the MDA-PC 2b cells and this demonstrated that both the tumour microenvironment and androgen status influenced nuclear localisation of ErbB-3 in these cells (Cheng et al., 2007). Metastasis of prostate cancer cells to the bone and depletion of androgens from subcutaneous tumours both increased the nuclear translocation of ErbB-3. This also correlated with a decrease in cell proliferation. Once the tumours resumed aggressive growth, ErbB-3 then relocalized from the nucleus to the membrane and cytoplasm of the prostate cancer cells. This suggests that nuclear ErbB-3 may be involved in the progression of prostate cancer in bone after androgen-ablation therapy. ErbB-3 has also been identified in the nucleus, and possibly within the nucleolus, of both normal and malignant human mammary epithelial cells (Offterdinger et al., 2002). The role of nuclear ErbB-3 in these cells has not been determined but yeast two-hybrid approaches have been used to identify several transcription factors that associate with ErbB-3 including p23/p198 (Yoo & Hamburger, 1999), early growth response-1 (Thaminy et al., 2003) and the zinc finger protein ZNF207 (Thaminy et al., 2003) suggesting a gene regulation function. Finally, alternative transcription initiation of the ErbB-3 gene in Schwann cells leads to the production of a nuclear targeted variant of ErbB-3 that binds to chromatin and regulates the transcriptional activity of the ezrin and HMGB1 genes (Adilakshmi et al., 2011).
7.1.4 ErbB-4/HER4

ErbB-4 has multiple functions during embryogenesis (Gassmann et al., 1995) and expression has recently been shown to be essential during breast development and lactation. In the lactating breast, ErbB-4 localizes to the nuclei of secretory epithelium (Long et al., 2003; Tidcombe et al., 2003). A unique proteolytic cleavage mechanism leads to the nuclear translocation of an intracellular fragment of ErbB-4. Cell membrane expressed ErbB-4 is successively cleaved by TACE/ADAM17, to release the ectodomain, and then γ-secretase to release an 80 kDa soluble intracellular fragment (s80) (Ni et al., 2001). This active kinase fragment binds to YAP (Yes-associated protein) which facilitates its translocation to the nucleus (Komuro et al., 2003). ErbB-4 also has three potential polycationic NLSs in its carboxy-terminal part which may provide an alternative route for nuclear translocation (Williams et al., 2004). The ErbB-4 s80 fragment functions as a nuclear chaperone for the STAT5A, co-translocating this transcription factor and regulating the expression of target genes including β-casein by binding with STAT5 to the β-casein promoter (Long et al., 2003; Williams et al., 2004). ErbB-4 also contains a nuclear export signal (NES) recognised by exportin proteins allowing transport of the protein out of the nucleus as well.

7.2 Fibroblast growth factor receptor family

The fibroblast growth factor (FGF) family consists of 18 secreted polypeptidic growth factors that bind to four high-affinity receptors (FGFR1-4) and assist in the regulation of cell proliferation, survival, migration and differentiation during development and in adult tissue homeostasis (Wesche et al., 2011). FGFs also bind to low-affinity heparan sulfate proteoglycans (HSPGs) present on most cells, which assist in the formation of the FGF-FGFR complex and protect the ligands from degradation. Overactivity of FGFR signaling is associated with several developmental disorders and cancer (Wesche et al., 2011).

7.2.1 FGFR1 (Fibroblast growth factor receptor 1)

Nuclear localisation of full length FGFR1 has been reported in astrocytes, glioma cells, neurons, fibroblasts and retinal cells and has been shown to be important for neuronal differentiation in the central nervous system (Stachowiak et al., 2003a; Stachowiak et al., 2003b). Nuclear accumulation is induced by many different stimuli including activation of acetylcholine receptors, stimulation of angiotensin II receptors, activation of adenylate cyclase or protein kinase C. Biotinylation of cell surface proteins showed that nuclear FGFR1 was unlikely to have been derived from the cell surface (Stachowiak et al., 1997; Peng et al., 2002). Because nuclear FGFR1 is glycosylated the suggestion is that the protein is at least partially processed through the ER-Golgi but that it is not stable in the endomembrane system and is released into the cytosol (Myers et al., 2003). It is also not clear how FGFR1 is then translocated to the nucleus as it lacks a typical NLS. However, several members of the fibroblast growth factor (FGF) family, including FGF-1 and FGF-2, lack signal peptide sequences and are therefore found in trace amounts, if at all, outside of cells. Some of these, for example FGF-2, have nuclear localisation sequences and are highly concentrated in the cell nucleus and it is believed that these FGF ligands act as chaperones for the translocation of receptors like FGFR1 into the nucleus (Myers et al., 2003). Although FGFR1 in the nucleus has been demonstrated to have FGF-regulated kinase activity and is phosphorylated, there
appears to be limited co-localisation of FGF-2 and FGFR1 in the nucleus (Peng et al., 2002). Nuclear FGFR1 physically interacts with Ribosomal S6 Kinase isoform 1 (RSK1) and regulates its transcriptional activity (Hu et al., 2004). Target genes include FGF-2, c-jun, cyclin D1 and MAP2, genes that are involved in cell growth and differentiation (Reilly & Maher, 2001). FGFR1 has also been shown to be involved in the activation of the tyrosine hydroxylase promoter that is mediated through a cAMP responsive element (CRE) (Fang et al., 2005).

7.2.2 FGFR2

FGFR2 has been identified in the nuclei of quiescent Sertoli cells in the testes (Schmahl et al., 2004). In this study of FGF-9 knock-out mice, FGFR2 nuclear localisation was shown to correlate with male sex determination in the early gonads. The presence of FGFR2 in the nucleus coincides with the expression of the sex-determination gene Sry and the differentiation of progenitor cells in the gonads into Sertoli cells.

7.2.3 FGFR3

FGFR3 is a major negative regulator of linear bone growth and gain of function mutations cause the most common forms of dwarfism in humans as these are anti-proliferative (Colvin et al., 1996; Deng et al., 1996). Somatic mutations have been detected in several cancers where, by contrast, they are believed to drive proliferation and inhibit apoptosis (Trudel et al., 2004). Binding of FGF-1 to FGFR3 induces endocytosis via a dynamin/clathrin-mediated process to an endosomal compartment. Here the ectodomain is proteolytically cleaved possibly by an endosomal cathepsin although this has not yet been confirmed. The membrane anchored intracellular fragment is then cleaved in a second event by γ-secretase to generate a soluble intracellular domain that is released into the cytosol and can translocate to the nucleus. This requirement for endocytosis distinguishes FGFR3 proteolysis from that of most other RTKs.

7.3 VEGFR (Vascular endothelial growth factor receptor)

Cellular responses to the ligand vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) are activated through two structurally related receptors, VEGFR-1 (Flt-1) and VEGFR-2 (KDR) and are critically important in the regulation of endothelial cell growth and function (Cross et al., 2003). Stimulation of endothelial cells with VEGF induced the translocation of VEGFR-2, eNOS and caveolin-1 into the nucleus (Feng et al., 1999). The consequences of nuclear localisation of these three proteins have yet to be clarified. Non-endothelial expression of VEGFR-2 has also been reported (Stewart et al., 2003). A recent study by Susarla et al., (2011) identified VEGFR-2 expression on normal thyroid follicular cells. The VEGFR-2 expressed by these cells was phosphorylated and, although there was some staining in the cytoplasm, the highest concentration of VEGFR-2 was seen in most nuclei. VEGFR-1 and VEGFR-3 immunoreactivity was also seen predominantly in the nucleus with VEGFR-1 also localised at points of cell to cell contact. The role that VEGF receptors play in the nucleus has not been determined but the intranuclear staining was not co-incidental with chromatin and it is therefore unlikely that VEGFR proteins act as transcription factors.
7.4 Insulin receptor

Insulin is secreted by pancreatic β-cells in response to an increase in circulating glucose level to trigger tissues to increase glucose uptake and suppress hepatic glucose release. This biological action of insulin is initiated by binding to the insulin receptor InsR (Youngren, 2007). The presence of InsR in the nucleus was first reported in 1987 by Podlecki et al., but more recently this was further characterised by Rodrigues et al., (2008) who demonstrated that the insulin receptor appears in the nucleus of hepatocytes within 2.5 min of stimulation with insulin. This translocation event was associated with selective hydrolysis of nuclear PIP2 and formation of InsP3-dependent Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling within the nucleus that regulates glucose metabolism, gene expression and cell growth (Poenie et al., 1985; Hardingham et al., 1997; Nathanson et al., 1999; Pusl et al., 2002; Rodrigues et al., 2007). Nelson et al., (2011) have identified two potential gene targets for InsR in the nucleus, the early growth response 1 (egr-1) gene that is involved in the mitogenic response, and the glucokinase (Gck) gene which encodes a key metabolic enzyme.

7.5 IGF-1R (Insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor)

The insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor (IGF-1R) plays crucial roles in development and is often over-expressed in cancer. Stimulation with insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-I) or 2 (IGF-II) promotes cell proliferation, anti-apoptosis, angiogenesis, differentiation and development. Over-expression of IGF-1R is common in cancer but the mechanisms underlying the role of IGF-1R are not fully understood. Recently, Sehat et al., (2010) showed that IGF-I promotes the modification of IGF-1R by small ubiquitin-like modifier protein-1 (SUMO-1) and this then mediates translocation of IGF-1R to the nucleus. Nuclear import was also enhanced by stimulation with IGF-II but only modestly by insulin, in keeping with the affinity of IGF-1R for these ligands. Full length IGF-1R\(\alpha\) and IGF-1R\(\beta\) chains which make up the multi-subunit IGF-1R are found in the nucleus (Aleksic et al., 2010). Although it has been reported that IGF-1R binds to chromatin and acts directly as a transcriptional enhancer, direct transcriptional effects of nuclear IGF-1R are yet to be identified.

SUMOylation is initiated by a SUMO activating enzyme, such as SAE1 or SAE2, followed by a transfer of the active SUMO to Ubc9, the only known SUMO-conjugating enzyme, which then catalyses the transfer of SUMO to the target protein (Wilkinson and Henley, 2010). Seventy-five percent of known SUMO targets are modified within the consensus motif \(\psi KxD/E\) where \(\psi\) is a hydrophobic amino acid and x is any residue (Xu et al., 2008). Four SUMO isoforms have been identified in mammalian cells and SUMO-1 is the most widely studied member. Modification by SUMO-1 can result in a variety of functional consequences ranging from transcriptional repression (Garcia-Dominguez & Reyes, 2009) to DNA repair, mainly through targeting of p53 and BRCA1 (Bartek & Hodny, 2010), protein stability (Cai & Robertson, 2010) and cytoplasmic-nuclear shuttling (Salinas et al., 2004; Miranda et al., 2010; Sehat et al., 2010). Currently, IGF-1R is the only receptor tyrosine kinase for which nuclear translocation may be regulated by SUMOylation.

7.6 Eph receptors

Eph receptors are the largest group of transmembrane receptor tyrosine kinases with 14 human members divided into 2 subclasses, EphA (EphA1–EphA8, EphA10) and EphB (EphB1–EphB4, EphB6) (Pitulescu & Adams, 2010). Eph receptors are activated by their
ligands the ephrins, proteins that are anchored to the plasma membrane of a neighbouring cell by either a glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchor (type A) or a transmembrane amino acid sequence (type B). Eph-ephrin signaling plays important roles in neuronal and vascular development and many are over-expressed in various cancers (Flanagan & Vanderhaeghen, 1998; Adams & Klein, 2000; Stephenson et al., 2001; Lee et al., 2005; Pasquale, 2005; Chen et al., 2008).

To date only a single member of the Eph family, EphA4, has been reported in the nucleus (Kuroda et al., 2008). EphA4 is critically involved in development of neural tissue and more recently has been identified in hypertrophic chondrocytes and osteoblasts in the growth plate of developing mouse long bones (Kuroda et al., 2008). In the human osteoblastic cell line SaOS-2, EphA4 was found on the plasma membrane as expected, but also in the cytoplasm and in the nucleus. EphA4 accumulated in particular areas in the nucleus, but these were distinct from the nucleolus. It is not clear whether the EphA4 in the nucleus is full-length or a processed intracellular fragment and the role of EphA4 in the osteoblast nucleus has not been explored to date.

### 7.7 Ryk (Related to Receptor Tyrosine Kinase)

Ryk is a Wnt receptor that plays an important role in neurogenesis, neurite outgrowth, and axon guidance. Although a catalytically inactive receptor tyrosine kinase, Ryk is believed to signal via heterodimerisation with other receptor tyrosine kinases and has been shown to bind two members of the Eph receptor family, EphB2 and EphB3 (Halford et al., 2000). In neural progenitor cells, upon binding of Wnt3a, Ryk is cleaved at an intracellular site and the C-terminal cleavage product, Ryk ICD, translocates to the nucleus. Recently it was shown that Cdc37, a subunit of the molecular chaperone Hsp90 complex, binds to the Ryk ICD, promoting stabilization of the ICD fragment and providing the mechanism for nuclear translocation. Once in the nucleus, Ryk ICD regulates the expression of the key cell-fate determinants Dlx2 (stimulated) and Olig2 (inhibited) to promote GABAergic neuronal differentiation and inhibition of oligodendrocyte differentiation (Zhong et al., 2011).

### 7.8 Ror (RTK-like orphan receptor)

Ror1 and Ror2 receptor tyrosine kinases are involved in the development of mammalian central neurons (Paganioni & Ferreira, 2003; Paganioni & Ferreira, 2005). Although the ligand of Ror2 has been identified as Wnt-5A (Liu et al., 2008), Ror1 remains an orphan receptor protein tyrosine kinase without an identified interacting ligand. Tseng et al., (2010) used an in silico approach to predict receptor tyrosine kinases with likely nuclear localisation. Ror1 and Ror2 were identified in a panel that included receptors with known nuclear localisation including ErbB proteins, FGFR proteins and VEGFR proteins. The juxtamembrane domain of Ror1, responsible for nuclear localisation of this protein, was identified using deletion reporter constructs and the small GTPase Ran was identified as playing a key role in the nuclear transport. The function of Ror1 in the nucleus remains to be determined.

### 7.9 Trk (Tropomyosin Receptor Kinase)

Neurotrophins are a family of protein nerve growth factors that are critical for the development and functioning of the nervous system, regulating a wide range of biological
processes. The receptors for neurotrophins are the Trk receptors - TrkA (or NTRK1), TrkB (or NTRK2), and TrkC (or NTRK3). Binding of neurotrophins to Trk receptors promotes both neuronal cell survival and death by activating signal transduction cascades including Ras/MAPK (mitogen-activated protein kinase) pathway and the PI3K (phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase) pathway. TrkA accumulates in the nucleus and on the mitotic apparatus of the human glioma cell line U251 after binding the neurotrophin ligand, nerve growth factor (NGF) (Gong et al., 2007). Translocation of phosphorylated TrkA is via carrier vesicles which sort and concentrate the receptors. These vesicles then interact with the nuclear envelope but how the TrkA protein is then removed from the membrane to move into the nucleoplasm is unclear. Once in the nucleus of the U251 glioma cells, TrkA co-localises with α-tubulin at the mitotic spindle. Interestingly, it has been shown that NGF co-localises with γ-tubulin at the centrosomes or spindle poles. Zhang et al., (2005) suggest that NGF concentrated to the centrosome can recruit its receptor TrkA from the nucleoplasm, activate the tyrosine kinase activity of the receptor to phosphorylate the tubulin and promote the mitotic spindle assembly that modulates the mitosis of human glioma cells.

7.10 HGFR (Hepatocyte growth factor receptor)

The HGFR family includes three members, MET, RON and SEA, produced mainly by cells of epithelial origin, which bind hepatocyte and hepatocyte-like growth factors secreted by mesenchymal cells, to regulate cell growth, cell motility, and morphogenesis (Comoglio & Boccaccio, 1996). Members of the HGFR family are described as oncoproteins because over-expression and/or abnormal activity correlates with the poor prognosis of many cancers (Accornero et al., 2010).

7.10.1 MET

Hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) secreted by stromal cells is a mitogenic factor and binds to MET on hepatocytes to activate pathways involved in cell proliferation, differentiation, and related activities that aid tissue regeneration in the liver. Other cell targets of HGF include epithelium, endothelium, myoblasts, spinal motor neurons, and hematopoietic cells. MET over-expression and hyper-activation are reported to correlate with metastatic ability of the tumor cells of several different tissue origins. Gomes et al., (2008) used the SkHep1 liver cell line to show that stimulation of cells with HGF caused the rapid translocation of phosphorylated MET from the plasma membrane to the nucleus, with peak levels detected after only 4 min of HGF exposure. Translocation of MET to the nucleus was mediated by binding of Gab1, an adaptor protein that contains a NLS for importin-driven translocation. In the nucleus, MET was shown to initiate nuclear Ca^{2+} signaling that stimulates cell proliferation (Rodrigues et al., 2007).

7.10.2 RON (Recepteur d’origine nantais)

RON is a receptor tyrosine kinase whose expression is highly restricted to cells of epithelial origin (Wang et al., 2010). Its ligand is the HGF-like macrophage stimulating protein (MSP) which stabilises two monomers of RON as a homodimer on the cell membrane. RON has been shown to be aberrantly expressed or mutated in many cancers.
including those from the bladder, breast, colon, lung, ovary, pancreas and prostate, particularly in aggressive tumours associated with poor patient survival (reviewed in Wang et al., 2010). Activated RON can promote c-Src activities that mediate cell-cycle progression, angiogenesis and survival of tumor cells (Danilkovitch-Miagkov et al., 2000; Feres et al., 2009). In bladder cancer cells, under conditions of serum starvation, RON has been shown to migrate from the cell membrane to the nucleus in a complex with EGFR with passage through the nuclear pore complex mediated by importins. In the nucleus, RON and EGFR co-operate in the transcriptional regulation of at least 134 different target genes known to participate in three stress-responsive networks: p53 (genes included RBBP6, RB1, TP53BP2 and JUN), stress-activated protein kinase/c-jun N-terminal kinase (JUN, MAPK8IP3, NFATC1 and TRADD) and phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/Akt (GHR, PPP2R3B and PRKCZ) (Liu et al., 2010). Nuclear translocation of RON was therefore suggested to be a response to physiological stress. Furthermore, because MSP stimulation, homodimerisation and phosphorylation were not required for nuclear translocation, this is a ligand-independent response in these cells. A consensus sequence for binding nuclear RON was identified as GCA(G)GGGGCAGCG in genes that were both confirmed up-regulated (FLJ46072, JUN, MLXIPL, NARG1 and SSTR1) and down-regulated (RBBP6 and POLRMT) after serum starvation.

8. Conclusion

Although early reports of the presence of receptor tyrosine kinases in the nucleus of cells was met with scepticism, a significant collection of data now supports a role for many of these proteins in the nucleus of both normal and dysplastic cells. To date, 18 of the 58 human receptor tyrosine kinases have been found within nuclei and it is likely that more will be found. In general, the result of nuclear translocation of receptors is alterations to gene expression, but the full consequences of the presence of these proteins in the nucleus have yet to be determined. Only through further exploration can the complexity that nuclear localisation provides to receptor tyrosine kinase functions be determined.
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