## Analysis of Chromosomal Replication Progression by Gel Electrophoresis

Elena C. Guzmán<sup>1</sup> and Enrique Viguera<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Departamento de Bioquímica, Biología Molecular y Genética Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Extremadura, Badajoz <sup>2</sup>Área de Genética, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Málaga, Málaga Spain

## 1. Introduction

An absolute requirement for life is the preservation of genome integrity and the faithful duplication of chromosomes before segregation. A proliferating cell must duplicate its entire complement of DNA with exquisite precision facing a barrage of impediments of different nature. Single-strand breaks (SSBs) in the template DNA, either pre-existing or arising from abnormal DNA structures (folded DNA, cruciform structures or cross-links, etc.), collisions with DNA-bound proteins such as transcription complexes or DNA structural barriers restrain replication progression. For instance, during Escherichia coli DNA replication, the two forks initiated at the single origin of replication, oriC, move along the chromosome with high probability of pausing, stalling or even collapse (Maisnier-Patin et al., 2001). Replication arrest is a source of genetic instability in all types of living cells (Michel, 2000; Carr, 2002; Kolodner et al., 2002). As a consequence, cells have developed several effective strategies to tackle with replication fork arrest and/or repairing the double strand breaks (DSBs) generated at the stalled replication forks (Bierne et al., 1994; Kuzminov, 1995). Considerable evidence has been accumulated in the past decade demonstrating the involvement of recombination proteins in either direct or bypass repair of the lesions or structures blocking replication fork progression (reviewed in Courcelle et al., 2004; Kreuzer, 2005; Hanawalt, 2007; Michel et al., 2007 and references herein).

Conventional agarose DNA electrophoresis is one of the most frequently used techniques in molecular biology for the isolation or identification of DNA fragments. However, Pulse Field Gel Electrophoresis (PFGE) and Two-Dimensional (2D) Agarose Gel Electrophoresis techniques have been used to study biological processes such as the progression of the replication fork along a DNA fragment. In this work we introduce how these techniques has been used in bacteria to (i) verify and quantify the presence of stalled replication forks (ii) recognize DNA structure at the stalled replication fork, and (iii) understand how the replication fork could be restarted.

## 2. Pulse Field Gel Electrophoresis (PFGE)

Separation of DNA fragments by standard agarose gel electrophoresis is based on the capacity of the molecules to pass through the pore generated inside the matrix gel. Using

this feature as the only separation mechanism, the large DNA molecules cannot be discriminated from each other. The practical range of resolution is up to approximately 50kb; making impossible the direct genomic analysis of large DNA molecules as those generated by the presence of complex DNA structures, or the DSBs involved in stalled replication forks in the *E. coli* chromosome.

In 1983, PFGE was developed as a method to circumvent this limitation, allowing fractionation of very large DNA molecules up to a million base pairs in size (Schwartz & Cantor, 1984; Herschleb et al., 2007). PFGE allows the separation of these large DNA molecules through abrupt electrical perturbations to the paths crawling molecules take trough the gel. In PFGE, the *direction of the electrical field* is periodically changed (usually 120°), requiring electrophoresing molecules to reorientate (Fig. 1). The *time* required to complete the orientation process scales with the size of the DNA, so that increasing the size of the DNA molecules, it takes more time between changes in the direction of the electric field (Fig. 1). These intervals vary depending on the size of the fragments that have to be resolved, a few seconds for small fragments to hours for fragments larger than 5Mb. The principle of PFGE is that large DNA fragments. Alternating current direction during gel electrophoresis can resolve DNA fragments of 100 to 1,000 kb.

The *equipment* required to perform PFGE is also different from that used in traditional electrophoresis. The tank contains a set of *electrodes* (6-8), instead of a couple of them, being thicker and disposed to allow the different orientations of the electric field. Maintaining a constant the *temperature* (usually 14°C) during the process is important to avoid temperature variations through the gel, which could affect the resolution of DNA fragments. Accordingly, the system should include a cooling device. Agarose gel preparation does not differ from that reported for conventional electrophoresis.

Due to the fragility of the very large DNA fragments to be separated, preparing the *sample* is the most critical step for PFGE. To avoid breakage of genomic DNA during manipulation, the DNA is not extracted, but the cells are embeded in agarose plugs and then fixed into the wells.

# 2.1 Verifying replication fork reversal by detection of DNA breakage at the stalled replication forks

The progression of the replication fork can be halted by several causes, including deficiencies in replication enzymes and obstacles such as DNA-bound proteins, transcription complexes, nicks, gaps, DNA damage or topological constrictions. Replication arrest is a source of DNA breakage and rearrangement in all organisms (reviewed in Aguilera & Gómez-González, 2008); consequently stalled replication forks create the need for replication reactivation, and different ways of restarting replication have been proposed (Michel et al., 2004; Michel et al., 2007). In bacteria, the consequences of replication blockage have been studied mainly in *E. coli*. In several *E. coli* replication mutants, the stalled forks generated upon inactivation of the mutant enzyme are reversed and result in the formation of a Holliday junction (HJ) adjacent to a DNA double strand end, a reaction called 'replication fork reversal' (RFR) (Fig. 2A) (Michel et al., 2004; Seigneur et al., 1998; Seigneur et al., 2000). In a *rec* proficient background this intermediary could be processed without



Electric field alternates 120° every 90 seconds for 18 to 24 hours at 14°C

Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of PFGE instrumentation. Contoured clamped homogeneous electric field (CHEF) systems use a hexagonal gel box that alters the angle of the fields relative to the agarose gel. After running the gel by PFGE, DNA fragments are visualized by staining with ethidium bromide.

generating DSBs by using the recombination proteins RecBCD, RecA, and by the HJ-specific resolvase RuvABC (Fig. 2B) (Seigneur et al., 1998). This is a key aspect of the RFR model as it allows restarting of the blocked forks without generating chromosome instability. Nevertheless, in the absence of RecBCD activity (Fig. 2C), resolution of the RFR-produced HJ is done by RuvABC resolvase and leads to fork breakage. These particular DSBs are dependent on RuvABC activity in a *recB* deficient background.

If RFR does not take place at the stalled fork, at least two situations may arise. On the one hand, there would be an increase of DSBs independent of RuvABC activity and generated by another unknown endonuclease (Fig. 2E) as in the case of the thymine starvation (Guarino et al., 2007b). On the other hand, there would be no increase in the amount of DSBs probably because the stalled forks are not susceptible to the endonuclease action, and the restarting of the forks would take place without the generation of fork breakage. This situation has been described in *gyrB* mutants (Grompone et al., 2003), and when replication termination sequences *ter* were placed at ectopic positions on the bacterial chromosome (Bidnenko et al., 2002). Using the system described above, the fate of the stalled replication forks caused by any condition can be studied.

To verify the RFR process by PFGE, a *recB* deficient background should be used (i) to inhibit the degradation or the recombinational repair of the DNA tail created by the regression of the fork (Miranda & Kuzminov, 2003), allowing RuvABC resolvase to transform this tail in a DSB; (ii) to inhibit the repair of the DSBs generated by RuvABC resolvase (Fig. 2C). According to the RFR model, the occurrence of this process at the stalled forks generated under restrictive conditions can be verified by testing whether there is an increase of DSBs in a *recB* deficient background, and determining whether these DSBs are dependent on RuvABC resolvase activity by measuring the amount of DSBs in a *recB* and *recB ruvABC* deficient background (Fig. 2C) (Seigneur et al., 1998). The occurrence of RFR at the stalled forks has been detected by this system in several replication mutants, such as in the helicase mutants *rep* and *dnaBts* (Michel et al., 1997; Seigneur et al., 2000), in *holD*<sup>G10</sup> (Flores et al., 2001, 2002), in *dnaEts* at 42°C and in the *dnaNts* mutant at 37°C (Grompone et al., 2002) and finally in *nrdA101ts* (Guarino et al., 2007a, 2007b).



Fig. 2. The fate of the stalled forks. In the first step (A), the replication fork is arrested, causing fork reversal. The reversed fork forms a HJ (two alternative representations of this structure are shown – open X and parallel stacked X). In Rec<sup>+</sup> cells (B), RecBCD initiates RecA-dependent homologous recombination, and the resulting double HJ is resolved by RuvABC. In the absence of RecBCD (C), resolution of the HJ by RuvABC leads to DSBs at the stalled replication fork. Alternatively, the replication fork is arrested without being regressed (D) and it is susceptible to be cut by an endonuclease, generating DSBs at the stalled replication fork (E). Continuous line (parental chromosome); dashed lines (newly synthesized strands); disk (RuvAB); incised disk (RecBCD). Adapted from Guarino et al., 2007b.

The amount of linear DNA resulting from DSBs can be estimated by using PFGE combined with cell lysis in agarose plugs (Michel et al., 1997). Briefly, cultures of recB and recB ruvABC strains growing in M9 minimal medium are labelled by addition of 5 µCi/ml [methyl-3H] thymidine (100 Ci/mmol). When cultures reached 0.2 OD<sub>450nm</sub>, 1ml of cells were collected, washed in cold minimal medium and resuspended in 100µl of TEE buffer. Cells were incubated at 37°C for ten minutes, mixed with 100 µl of low melting agarose 2% in TEE at 55°C and poured into the mould. Once agarose had solidified, cell lysis was performed in the plugs. This ensures only linear chromosomes to enter the gels, while circular molecules remain in the wells (Michel et al., 1997; Seigneur et al., 1998). Plugs were incubated with lysozyme at 5 mg/ml and sarcosyl 0.05% in TEE for 2 h with gently shaking. Then, plugs were retrieved and incubated with lysis solution (1 mg/ml Proteinase K , 1% SDS in TEE) at 56°C overnight. PFGE were run for 48 h at 4°C as described (Seigneur et al., 1998); initial run 500 sec, final run 500 sec, 3 volts/cm and 106° reorientation angle. DNA was visualized by ethidium bromide staining. Lanes were cut into slices and the proportion of migrating DNA was determined by calculating the amount of tritium present in each slice with respect to the total amount of tritium present in the corresponding lane plus the well (Fig. 3A). All the PFGE linear DNA data were analyzed by the least-squares statistical approach, considering measures as highly significantly different if p< 0.01.

A typical profile of gel migration for the different strains analyzed is shown in figure 3B. In this case, results indicate that the amount of DSBs in the strain *nrdA101 recB* was greater than in the strain *nrdA+ recB*, suggesting an increase in the number of the stalled forks induced by the presence of a defective ribonucleotide reductase (RNR) at the permissive temperature. To establish the possible origin of the DSBs induced by the *nrdA101 recB* background we investigated whether the formation of DSBs resulted from the action of the RuvABC resolvase (Fig. 2). The DSBs levels estimated in *nrdA101 recB ruvABC* and *nrdA+ recB ruvABC* strains were markedly lower than in the respective Ruv+ counterpart strains. As RuvABC is a specific resolvase for HJ, according the RFR model (Fig. 2), it generates DSBs at arrested replication forks in *recB* deficient background (Seigneur et al., 1998); these results indicated the occurrence of replication fork reversal in *nrdA101* mutant. As RFR is one of the mechanisms to restart the stalled replication forks, we could infer that the *nrdA101* strain growing at 30°C increases the number of stalled replication et al., 2007a).

## 2.2 Replication fork collapse at natural arrest sites

In *E. coli*, replication termination occurs by the encounter of two opposite migrating forks at the terminus region or at specific arrest sites named *Ter*, when one of the forks reaches the terminus before the other. Tus protein binds the *Ter* sites forming a complex that acts as a polar replication fork barrier by preventing the action of the DnaB helicase (Neylon et al., 2005).

PFGE was used to determine the analysis of replication forks blocked at terminator sequences *Ter* inserted at ectopic positions on the bacterial chromosome (Bidnenko et al., 2002). This strain requires the RecBCD pathway of homologous recombination for viability, although replication forks blocked at *Ter* are not broken nor reversed (Fig. 2A, D). The analysis of the structure of the chromosomes by PFGE showed linear fragments of about 2 Mb, corresponding to the distance between the origin and the ectopic *Ter* sites. A model of a

collapse of replication forks at terminator sequences was proposed in which the blocked replication forks at Ter/Tus are stable, but they are re-replicated in a new replication round, generating 2 Mb linear fragments. These results suggest that natural and accidental replication arrest sites are processed differentially in the cell (Bidnenko et al., 2002).



Fig. 3. (A) Visualization of a representative agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide (B) Typical profile of a PFGE experiment. *nrdA*+ *recB* ( $\checkmark$ ), *nrdA101*, *recB* ( $\bullet$ ), *nrdA*+ *recB ruvABC* ( $\Delta$ ), and *nrdA101 recB ruvABC* ( $\Delta$ ). Agarose plugs were prepared as described in the text. Gels were cut in 3 mm slices and the amount of [*methyl-*<sup>3</sup>H]thymidine present in each slice was measured, and the ratio of the total amount of [*methyl-*<sup>3</sup>H]thymidine in the lane was calculated for each slice. The gel origin is not shown; only the migrating DNA is shown. The position of size marker is shown (*Hansenula wingei*, Bio-Rad). The amount of linear DNA was calculated from slice 4 to 12. Total proportions of migrating DNA in this experiment from slice 4 to 12 were 16.65% *nrdA*+ *recB*, 25.21% *nrdA101*, *recB*, 5.59% *nrdA*+ *recB ruvABC*, and 5.73% *nrdA101 recB ruvABC*. Adapted from Guarino et al., 2007a.

#### 2.3 Detection of branched DNA structures by PFGE

In PFGE, linear DNA migrates according to its size; however, circular chromosomes or branched DNA structures do not enter in the gel and remain trapped in the wells (Bidnenko et al., 2002). Moreover, replication or recombination intermediates, which are Y or X structures, prevent migration of linear DNA fragments in PFGE. These molecules remain also trapped in the wells after PFGE so that the measurement of the amount of such DNA fragments allows quantification of the formation of abnormal structures in a DNA region.

#### 2.3.1 Reinitiation events under thymine starvation

Thymine starvation results in cellular death in thymine requiring strains. This is a phenomena know as *thymineless death* (TLD), first described in the 50's (Cohen & Barner 1954). Some proposals postulated the formation of branched DNA as the source of the toxic effect of thymine starvation (Nakayama, 2005). Nakayama and co-workers demonstrated the presence of complex DNA structures by digesting DNA from cultures under thymine starvation with the restriction enzyme *XbaI* and separating it by PFGE (Nakayama et al., 1994). They called these structures "non-migrating DNA" (nmDNA), defined as the DNA that is unable to enter the gel and gets stuck in the well. The nmDNA was characterized as having single-stranded tails or gaps and branching with single-stranded arms. TLD has been related to DNA replication (Maaloe & Hanawalt, 1961; Hanawalt & Maaloe, 1961); nevertheless, ongoing replication does not appear to be required for TLD as same lethality is observed under thymine starvation in the presence of hidroxyurea (Morganroth & Hanawalt, 2006), an inhibitor of the DNA synthesis. By contrast, TLD is suppressed by the addition of rifampicin or cloramphenicol, both inhibitors of the new initiation events of the *E. coli* chromosomal DNA (Hanawalt, 1963).

To study whether the formation of nmDNA correlates with TLD under the above described replication conditions, we analyzed the generation of nmDNA after thymine starvation in the presence or absence of rifampicin, cloramphenicol and hidroxyurea (Mata & Guzmán, 2011). Mid-exponentially growing culture of thyA mutant MG1693 was starved for thymine in the presence or absence of rifampicin, cloramphenicol, or hydroxyurea. Two hours after the treatment, cells were collected, washed, embedded in agarose plugs, gently lysed and plugs treated with XbaI (50 U/100 µl) for two hours before being used for PFGE (Matushek et al., 1996; Gautom, 1997). The visualization of DNA bands was achieved by ethidium bromide staining (Fig. 4A). The amount of nmDNA was quantified by densitometry of the PFGE by using the Imagen I program. The nmDNA values were expressed as the ratio (%) between the arbitrary densitometric units of the gel well and those of the gel line plus the well (Table 1, Figure 4B). By using this experimental approach, we showed that nmDNA was generated under thymine starvation, and it was absent in the presence of rifampicin or chloramphenicol, as previously reported (Nakayama et al., 1994). This might suggest that TLD correlates with the generation of nmDNA. However, we found no nmDNA under thymine starvation in the presence of hydroxyurea, indicating that the generation of nmDNA is not a requirement for TLD (Mata & Guzmán, 2011).

Treatment	% nmDNA1	Treatment effect <sup>2</sup>	Lethality
None -Exponential culture	$8.6 \pm 3.6$	1	no
-Thymine	$21.4 \pm 4.7$	2.48	yes
-Thy+ 75 mM hydroxyurea	$11.2 \pm 3.8$	1.30	yes
-Thy+ 150 μg/ml rifampicin	$9.0 \pm 3.9$	1.04	no
-Thy+ 200 μg/ml chloramphenicol	$9.5 \pm 4.4$	1.10	no

Table 1. Percentage of nmDNA in MG1693 cells after 2 h of thymine starvation in the presence or absence of hydroxyurea, rifampicin or chloramphenicol.<sup>1</sup> The percentage of nmDNA is expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. <sup>2</sup> The percentage of nmDNA relative to the exponential culture.



Fig. 4. Visualization and quantification of nmDNA by PFGE after treatment with *Xbal*. (A) Visualization of a representative agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide. (B) Quantification of the amount of nmDNA after different treatments of thymine starvation in the absence or the presence of the drugs, by using the data obtained by densitometry analysis. The standard deviation from 2-3 independent experiments is shown.

## 2.3.2 Collision between replication and transcription machines

PFGE has been also used for the characterization of collisions of the replication and transcription complexes. Genetic instability following head-on collisions of replication and transcription has been described in bacteria (Vilette et al., 1995) and yeast (Torres et al., 2004; Prado & Aguilera, 2005). Replication fork barriers are present on the rDNA of all eucaryotic cells described until now, for example in yeast (Brewer & Fangman, 1988; Kobayashi et al., 1992; López-Estraño et al., 1998; Sánchez-Gorostiaga et al., 2004), *Xenopus* (Wiesendanger et al., 1994) and plants (Hernández et al., 1993; López-Estraño et al., 1998) and they bound specific proteins. In yeast, these barriers are polar since they block replication forks facing transcription from the pre-rRNA 35S (Brewer & Fangman, 1988; Linkens & Huberman,

1988). It has been proposed that the function of those barriers could be to prevent head-on collisions between replication forks and the highly expressed rRNA genes (Takeuchi et al., 2003).

In order to avoid head-on collisions, ribosomal operons (*rrn*) are transcribed in the direction of replication in bacteria. By using genetic approaches together with PFGE analysis, the laboratory of B. Michel (Boubakri et al., 2010) identified that the three *E. coli* DNA helicases DinG, Rep and UvrD are recruited to the replication fork to allow replication across oppositely oriented highly transcribed ribosomal operons. Strains containing an inversion of an *rrn* operon were used in such a way that a region of increased head-on collisions between replication and transcription were created. Increased level of DNA trapping at wells in PFGE experiments was correlated with a high level of *rrn* transcription, suggesting the formation of abnormal structures in certain genetic backgrounds (Boubakri et al., 2010).

## 3. Two-dimensional agarose gel electrophoresis

The movement of a DNA molecule through an agarose gel is determined either by factors intrinsic to the electrophoretic conditions (agarose concentration, the strength of the electric field, the presence of intercalating agents, etc.) as well as the size and shape of the molecule.

The most evident example of the influence of the shape of a DNA molecule on the electrophoretic mobility in an agarose gel is observed when circular DNA molecules are analyzed: supercoiled DNA molecules and the corresponding relaxed-nicked DNA forms do not migrate necessarily at the same position than a linear DNA molecule of the same mass.

Taking into account this property, neutral/neutral 2D agarose gel electroforesis technique was developed to study the shape of recombination intermediates (Bell & Byers, 1983). Later on, it was adapted to study the DNA replication intermediates (RIs) (Brewer & Fangman, 1987). Since then, 2D agarose gel electrophoresis was used to map and characterize replication origins (Brewer & Fangman, 1988; Gahn & Schildkraut, 1989; Liu & Botchan, 1990; Schvartzman et al., 1990; Linskens & Huberman, 1990 b; Friedman & Brewer, 1995; Bach et al.; 2003), to analyze the progression of DNA replication along a DNA fragment (Azvolinsky et al., 2006), to characterize replication fork barriers (Brewer & Fangman, 1988; Linskens & Huberman, 1988; Hernandez et al., 1993; Wiesendanger et al., 1994; Samadashwily et al., 1997, López-Estraño et al., 1998, Possoz et al., 2006; Mirkin et al., 2006, Boubakri et al., 2010), replication termination (Zhu et al., 1992; Santamaría et al., 2000a,b), origin replication interference (Viguera et al., 1996), RIs knotting (Viguera et al., 1996; Sogo et al., 1999), fork reversal (Viguera et al.; 2000; Fierro-Fernandez et al., 2007a) or the topology of partially replicated plasmids (Martín-Parras et al., 1998; Lucas et al., 2001). See (Schvartzman et al., 2010) for an excellent review in plasmid DNA replication analyzed by 2D-gel.

2D agarose gel electrophoresis consists of two successive electrophoreses in which the second dimension occurs perpendicular to the first. Two different migration conditions are used so that the first dimension conditions (low voltage, low agarose concentration) minimize the effect of molecular shape on electrophoretic mobility, whereas this effect is maximized during the second dimension (high voltage and high agarose concentration, in the presence of an intercalating agent) (Friedman & Brewer, 1995). As a consequence, a

branched DNA molecule like a recombination or a replication intermediate is separated from a linear molecule of the same mass during the second dimension.

As DNA replication is a continuous process, a sample of DNA isolated from an exponentially growing culture should contain all the replication intermediates (RIs), ranging from the linear non-replicative forms (named 1.0X) to molecules almost completely replicated (2.0X) (Fig. 5A). See (Krasilnikova & Mirkin, 2004), for a detailed protocol of isolation of RIs in *E. coli* and *S. cerevisiae*.

The different migration patterns of a RI digested with a specific restriction enzyme are revealed after southern blotting hybridization with a specific probe and it indicates the mode it has been replicated (Fig. 5). Electrophoresis conditions must be adapted to the fragment size in order to obtain a good separation of the different patterns (Friedman & Brewer, 1995). Different situations can be discerned by using 2D gels. (i) A single fork that moves from one end to the other end of the fragment generates a simple-Y pattern indicating that the DNA fragment is replicated passively and does not contain neither a replication origin nor a replication terminus (Fig. 5A). (ii) Two forks that move convergently generate a double-Y pattern, indicating that replication termination occurs within the analyzed fragment (Fig. 5C); and (iii) two forks that have initiated at some specific point in the analyzed fragment and progress divergently, generate a bubble pattern, indicating that DNA replication has been initiated inside this fragment (Fig. 5B).



Fig. 5. 2-D gel hybridization patterns generated by replication and recombination intermediates after two-dimensional agarose gel electrophoresis. Replication and recombination intermediates of the restriction fragment are shown above the different 2D-gel pattern. In panels B, C and D, the simple-Y arc is presented as a reference. See text for details.

Moreover, the relative proportion of a particular RI in the population increases as a consequence of the stalling of the replication forks at a specific site. This accumulation is detected as a discrete signal on top of the corresponding arc produced by the RIs. In order to map the region where replication is paused, different restriction fragments must be

analyzed to confirm that the signal corresponding to the accumulated molecules move along the arc.

Recently, this technique was used to get insight into the nature of the elements that causes the trapping of the DNA in PFGE experiments in *E. coli* mutant strains containing an inverted *rrn* operon (Boubakri et al., 2010). No RIs were detected in the non-inverted strains or the inversion mutants that express all helicases. However, a simple-Y arc that corresponds to the accumulated Y-shape restriction intermediates was detected in all *dinG*, *rep* and *uvrD* helicase mutants in which the Inv-fragment was trapped in PFGE wells. Moreover, an intense elongated spot was observed over the simple-Y arc. These results indicate that a specific accumulation of RIs occur at the 3' end of the *rrn* operon (Figure 6).



Fig. 6. Replication forks are arrested in inverted *rrn*. 2D-Gels were used to examine DNA replication in restriction fragments containing a large 3' region of *rrnA* in *InvA* mutants and of *rrnE* in *InvBE* mutants (Adapted from Boubakri et al., 2010). (A) Schematic representation of the restriction fragment used for 2D gels (only *InvBE* is presented in this figure). The position of rrn and restriction sites is shown. (B) DNA from *InvBE dinG rep* mutant was digested with *BmgBI*, analyzed by 2D gels and probed for the sequence just downstream of *rrnE*. A simple-Y arc is clearly detected. On top of this arc, an enlarged signal corresponding to arrested forks is detected. (C) A simulation of replication arrest in this fragment of about 500 pb around the *rrn* transcription terminator sequence was obtained by using the 2D-Gel computer program (Viguera et al., 1998).

## 4. Concluding remarks

Replication arrest is a source of genetic instability in all types of living cells. As a consequence, cells have developed several effective strategies to tackle with replication fork arrest and/or repairing the double DSBs generated at the stalled replication forks. We have reviewed how PFGE and 2D gels can be used to elucidate some features related to the progression of the replication forks. By using these two non-conventional electrophoresis it can be verified the presence of stalled replication forks, understanding how they have been generated and how they could be restarted.

## 5. Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to Bénédicte Michel for bacterial strains and continuous support and advice. We especially thank Estrella Guarino, Israel Salguero, Carmen Mata, Encarna Ferrera and Hasna Boubakri for their works and technical help. This work was supported by grants BFU2007-63942 to EG and BFU2007-64153, and P09-CVI-5428 to EV from the Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación and Junta de Andalucía. EV is grateful to Dr. JB Schvartzman for training in 2D electrophoresis and helpful discussions along the years. EV is grateful to Dr. JB Schvartzman for training in 2D electrophoresis and helpful discussions.

## 6. References

- Aguilera, A. & Gómez-González, B. (2008). Genome instability: a mechanistic view of its causes and consequences. *Nat Rev Genet* 9: 204-217.
- Azvolinsky, A., Dunaway, S., Torres, J., Bessler, J.B., & Zakian, V. (2006). Genes & Development 20: 3104–3116.
- Bach, T., Krekling, M.A., & Skarstad, K. (2003). Excess SeqA prolongs sequestration of *oriC* and delays nucleoid segregation and cell division. *EMBO J* 22: 315-323.
- Bell, L. & Byers, B. (1983). Separation of branched from linear DNA by two-dimensional gel electrophoresis. *Analytical Biochemistry* 130: 527-535.
- Bierne, H. & Michel, B. (1994). When replication forks stop. Mol. Microbiol. 13: 17-23.
- Brewer, B.J. & Fangman, W.L. (1987). The localization of replication origins on ARS plasmids in S. cerevisiae. *Cell* 51: 463-471.
- Brewer, B.J. & Fangman, W.L. (1988). A replication fork barrier at the 3' end of yeast ribosomal RNA genes. *Cell* 55: 637-643.
- Bidnenko, V., Ehrlich, S.D., & Michel, B. (2002). Replication fork collapse at replication terminator sequences. *EMBO J.* 21:3898-3907.
- Boubakri, H., de Septenville, A.L., Viguera, E., & Michel, B. (2010) The helicases DinG, Rep and UvrD cooperate to promote replication across transcription units *in vivo*. *EMBO J* 29: 145–157.
- Cohen, S.S. & Barner, H.D. (1954). Studies on unbalanced growth in *Escherichia coli*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 40: 885-893.
- Courcelle, J., Belle, J.J., & Courcelle, C.T. (2004). When replication travels on damaged templates: bumps and blocks in the road. *Research in Microbiol.* 155: 231-237.
- Fierro-Fernandez, M., Hernández, P., Krimer, D.B., & Schvartzman, J.B. 2007a. Replication fork reversal occurs spontaneously after digestion but is constrained in supercoiled domains. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 282: 18190–18196.

- Flores, M.J., Bierne, H., Ehrlich, S.D., & Michel. B. (2001). Impairment of lagging strand synthesis triggers the formation of a RuvABC substrate at replication forks. *EMBO J*. 20: 619-629
- Flores, M.J., Ehrlich, S.D., & Michel, B. (2002). Primosome assembly requirement for replication restart in the *Escherichia coli* holDG10 replication mutant. *Mol. Microbiol*. 44: 783-792.
- Friedman, K.L. & Brewer, B.J. (1995). Analysis of replication intermediates by twodimensional agarose gel electrophoresis. In DNA Replication, Campbell, J.L., (Ed.), 613-627, Academic Press Inc. (San Diego, CA).
- Gahn, T.A. & Schildkraut, C.L. (1989). The Epstein-Barr virus origin of plasmid replication, *oriP*, contains both the initiation and termination sites of DNA replication. *Cell* 58: 527-535.
- Gautom, R.K. (1997). Rapid pulsed-field gel electrophoresis protocol for typing of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and other gram-negative organisms in 1 day, *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 35: 2977-2980.
- Grompone, G., Seigneur, M., Ehrlich, S.D., & Michel, B. (2002). Replication fork reversal in DNA polymerase III mutants of *Escherichia coli*: a role for the β clamp. *Mol. Microbiol.* 44:1331-9.
- Grompone, G., Ehrlich, S.D., & Michel, B. (2003). Replication restart in *gyrB Escherichia coli* mutants. *Mol. Microbiol.* 48:845-54.
- Guarino, E., Jiménez-Sánchez, A., & Guzmán, E.C. (2007a). Defective ribonucleoside diphosphate reductase impairs replication fork progression in *Escherichia coli*. J *Bacteriol* 189: 3496-501.
- Guarino, E., Salguero, I., Jiménez-Sánchez, A., & Guzmán, E.C. (2007b). Double-strand break generation under deoxyribonucleotide starvation in *Escherichia coli*. J Bacteriol 189: 5782-6.
- Hanawalt, P.C., Maaloe, O., Cummings, D.J., & Schaechter, M. (1961). The normal DNA replication cycle. II. J. Mol. Biol. 3: 156-165.
- Hanawalt, P.C. (1963). Involvement of synthesis of RNA in thymineless death. *Nature* 198: 286.
- Hanawalt, P.C. (2007) Paradigms for the three Rs: DNA Replication, Recombination and repair. *Mol. Cell* 28: 702-707.
- Hernández, P., Martín-Parras, L., Martínez-Robles, M.L., & Schvartzman, J.B. (1993). Conserved features in the mode of replication of eukaryotic ribosomal RNA genes. *EMBO J* 12: 1475-1485.
- Herschleb, J., Ananiev, G., & Schwartz D.C. (2007). Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis. *Nature Protocols* 2: 677-684.
- Horiuchi, T., Nishitani, H., & Kobayashi, T. (1995). A new type of *E. coli* recombinational hotspot which requires for activity both DNA replication termination events and the Chi sequence. *Adv. Biophys.* 31:133-47.
- Kobayashi, T., Hidaka, M., Nishizawa, M., & Horiuchi, T. (1992). Identification of a site required for DNA replication fork blocking activity in the rRNA gene cluster in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol Gen Genet*. 233: 355-62.
- Krasilnikova, M. & Mirkin, S. (2004). Analysis of Triplet Repeat Replication by Two-Dimensional Gel Electrophoresis. *Methods in Molecular Biology*. 277: 19-28

- Kreuzer, K.N. (2005). Interplay between DNA Replication and Recombination n prokaryotes. *Ann. Rev. Microbiol.* 59: 43-67.
- Kuzminov, A. (1995). Instability of inhibited replication forks in E. coli. Bioessays 17:733-41.
- Linskens, M.H.K. & Huberman, J.A. 1988. Organization of replication of ribosomal DNA in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* 8: 4927–4935.
- Linskens, M.H.K. & Huberman, J.A. (1990b). The two faces of eukaryotic DNA replication origins. *Cell* 62: 845–847.
- Liu, Y. & Botchan, M. (1990). Replication of bovine papillomavirus type-1 DNA initiates within an E2-responsive enhancer element. *Journal of Virology* 64: 5903–5911.
- López-Estraño, C., Schvartzman, J.B., Krimer, D.B., & Hernández, P. (1998). Colocalization of polar replication fork barriers and rRNA transcription terminators in mouse rDNA. J Mol Biol 277: 249.
- Lucas, I., Germe, T., Chevrier-Miller, M., & Hyrien, O. (2001). Topoisomerase II can unlink replicating DNA by precatenane removal. *EMBO J* 20: 6509-6519.
- Maaloe, O. & Hanawalt, P.C. (1961). Thymine deficiency and the normal DNA replication cycle. I, J. Mol. Biol. 3: 144-155.
- Maisnier-Patin, S., Nordstrom, K., & Dasgupta, S. (2001). Replication arrests during a single round of replication of the *Escherichia coli* chromosome in the absence of DnaC activity. *Mol. Microbiol.* 42: 1371-1382.
- Martin-Parras, L., Lucas, I., Martínez-Robles, M.L., Hernandez, P., Krimer, D.B., Hyrien, O., & Schvartzman, J.B. (1998). Topological complexity of different populations of pBR322 as visualized by two-dimensional agarose gel electrophoresis. *Nucleic Acids Res* 26: 3424-3432.
- Mata Martín, C. & Guzmán, E.C. (2011). DNA replication initiations as a key element in thymineless death. *DNA Repair* 10: 94-101.
- Matushek, M.G., Bonten, M.J. & Hayden, M.K. (1996). Rapid preparation of bacterial DNA for pulsed-field gel electrophoresis. *J Clin Microbiol*. 34: 2598-2600.
- Michel, B., Ehrlich, S.D. & Uzest, M. (1997). DNA double-strand breaks caused by replication arrest. *EMBO J.* 16: 430-8.
- Michel, B., Grompone, G., Flores, M.J. & Bidnenko, V. (2004). Multiple pathways process stalled replication forks. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* 101: 12783-8.
- Michel, B., Boubakri, H., Baharoglu, Z., Lemasson, M. & Lestini, R. (2007). Recombination proteins and rescue of arrested replication forks. *DNA Repair (Amst)*. 6: 967-80.
- Miranda, A. & Kuzminov, A. (2003). Chromosomal lesion suppression and removal in *Escherichia coli* via linear DNA degradation. *Genetics* 163:1255-71.
- Mirkin, E., Castro, D., Nudler, E. & Mirkin S. (2006). Transcription regulatory elements are punctuation marks for DNA replication. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 103: 7276-7281
- Morganroth, P.A. & Hanawalt, P.C. (2006). Role of DNA replication and repair in thymineless death in *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. 188: 5286-5288.
- Nakayama, K., Kusano, K., Irino, N. & Nakayama, H. (1994). Thymine starvation-induced structural changes in Escherichia coli DNA. Detection by pulsed field gel electrophoresis and evidence for involvement of homologous recombination. *J. Mol. Biol.* 243: 611-620.
- Nakayama, H. (2005). *Escherichia coli* RecQ helicase: a player in thymineless death. *Mutat. Res.* 577: 228-236.

- Neylon, C., Kralicek, A.V., Hill, T.M. & Dixon, N.E. (2005). Replication termination in *Escherichia coli*: structure and antihelicase activity of the Tus-Ter complex. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev.* 69:501-26.
- Prado, F. & Aguilera, A. (2005). Impairment of replication fork progression mediates RNA polII transcription-associated recombination. *EMBO J* 24: 1267–1276
- Possoz, C., Filipe, S., Grainge, I. & Sherratt D. (2006) Tracking of controlled Escherichia coli replication fork stalling and restart at repressor-bound DNA *in vivo*. *EMBO J.* 25: 2596-2604
- Samadashwily, G., Raca, G. & Mirkin, S. (1997). Trinucleotide repeats afect DNA replication in vivo. Nature Genetics. 17: 298-304
- Sánchez-Gorostiaga, A., López-Estraño, C., Krimer DB, Schvartzman J.B. & Hernández P. (2004). Transcription terminator factor reb1p causes two replication fork barriers at its cognate sites in fission yeast rDNA *in vivo*. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* 24: 398– 406
- Santamaría, D., Hernández, P., Martínez-Robles, M.L., Krimer, D.B., & Schvartzman, J.B. (2000a). Premature termination of DNA replication in plasmids carrying two inversely oriented ColE1 origins. J Mol Biol 300: 75-82.
- Santamaría, D., Viguera, E., Martínez-Robles, M.L., Hyrien, O., Hernandez, P., Krimer, D.B.,
  & Schvartzman, J.B. (2000b). Bi-directional replication and random termination. Nucleic Acids Res 28: 2099-2107.
- Schwartz, D.C. & Cantor, C.R. (1984). Separation of yeast chromosome-sized DNAs by pulsed field gradient gel electrophoresis. *Cell*. 37: 67-75.
- Schvartzman, J.B., Adolph, S., Martín-Parras, L., & Schildkraut, C.L. (1990). Evidence that replication initiates at only some of the potential origins in each oligomeric form of Bovine Papillomavirus Type 1 DNA. *Mol Cell Biol* 10: 3078-3086.
- Schvartzman J.B., Martínez-Robles, M.L., Hernandez, P. & Krimer, D.B. (2010). Plasmid DNA replication and topology as visualized by two-dimensional agarose gel electrophoresis. *Plasmid* 63: 1–10.
- Seigneur, M., Bidnenko, V., Ehrlich, S.D., & Michel, B. (1998). RuvAB acts at arrested replication forks. *Cell* 95:419-30.
- Seigneur, M., Ehrlich, S.D. & Michel, B. (2000). RuvABC-dependent double-strand breaks in *dnaBts* mutants require RecA. *Mol. Microbiol.* 38:565-74.
- Sogo, J.M., Stasiak, A., Martínez-Robles, M.L., Krimer, D.B., Hernández, P. & Schvartzman, J.B. (1999). Formation of knots in partially replicated DNA molecules. J Mol Biol 286, 637-643.
- Takeuchi, Y., Horiuchi, T. & Kobayashi, T. (2003) Transcription-dependent recombination and the role of fork collision in yeast rDNA. *Genes Dev* 17: 1497–1506.
- Torres, J.Z., Schnakenberg, S.L. & Zakian, V.A. (2004) *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Rrm3p DNA helicase promotes genome integrity by preventing replication fork stalling: viability of rrm3 cells requires the intra-S-phase checkpoint and fork restart activities. *Mol Cell Biol* 24: 3198–3212
- Viguera, E., Hernández, P., Krimer, D.B., Boistov, A.S., Lurz, R., Alonso, J.C., & Schvartzman, J.B. (1996). The ColE1 unidirectional origin acts as a polar replication fork pausing site. J Biol Chem 271: 22414-22421.

- Viguera, E., Rodríguez, A., Hernandez, P., Krimer, D.B., Trelles, O., & Schvartzman J.B. (1998) A computer model for the analysis of DNA replication intermediates by twodimensional agarose gel electrophoresis. *Gene* 217: 41–49.
- Viguera, E., Hernandez, P., Krimer, D.B., & Schvartzman J.B. (2000). Visualisation of plasmid replciation intermediates containing reversed forks. *Nuc. Acids Res.* 28: 498-503.
- Vilette, D., Ehrlich, S.D., & Michel, B. (1995). Transcription-induced deletions in *Escherichia* coli plasmids. *Mol Microbiol* 17: 493–504.
- Voineagu, I., Narayanan, V., Lobachev, K., & Mirkin, S. (2008). Replication stalling at unstable inverted repeats: Interplay between DNA hairpins and fork stabilizing proteins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 105: 9936-9941.
- Wiesendanger, B., Lucchini, R., Koller, T., & Sogo, J.M. (1994). Replication fork barriers in the *xenopus* rDNA. *Nucleic Acids Res* 22: 5038-5046.
- Zhu, J., Newlon, C.S., & Huberman, J.A. (1992). Localization of a DNA replication origin and termination zone on chromosome III of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol Cell Biol 12: 4733-4741.



## **Gel Electrophoresis - Advanced Techniques**

Edited by Dr. Sameh Magdeldin

ISBN 978-953-51-0457-5 Hard cover, 500 pages Publisher InTech Published online 04, April, 2012 Published in print edition April, 2012

As a basic concept, gel electrophoresis is a biotechnology technique in which macromolecules such as DNA, RNA or protein are fractionated according to their physical properties such as molecular weight or charge. These molecules are forced through a porous gel matrix under electric field enabling uncounted applications and uses. Delivered between your hands, a second book of this Gel electrophoresis series (Gel Electrophoresis- Advanced Techniques) covers a part, but not all, applications of this versatile technique in both medical and life science fields. We try to keep the contents of the book crisp and comprehensive, and hope that it will receive overwhelming interest and deliver benefits and valuable information to the readers.

#### How to reference

In order to correctly reference this scholarly work, feel free to copy and paste the following:

Elena C. Guzmán and Enrique Viguera (2012). Analysis of Chromosomal Replication Progression by Gel Electrophoresis, Gel Electrophoresis - Advanced Techniques, Dr. Sameh Magdeldin (Ed.), ISBN: 978-953-51-0457-5, InTech, Available from: http://www.intechopen.com/books/gel-electrophoresis-advancedtechniques/analysis-of-chromosomal-replication-progression-by-gel-electrophoresis



#### InTech Europe

University Campus STeP Ri Slavka Krautzeka 83/A 51000 Rijeka, Croatia Phone: +385 (51) 770 447 Fax: +385 (51) 686 166 www.intechopen.com

#### InTech China

Unit 405, Office Block, Hotel Equatorial Shanghai No.65, Yan An Road (West), Shanghai, 200040, China 中国上海市延安西路65号上海国际贵都大饭店办公楼405单元 Phone: +86-21-62489820 Fax: +86-21-62489821 © 2012 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution 3.0</u> <u>License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.