1. Introduction

The recent advent of endoscopic procedures has compelled to plastic surgeons to reconsider the conventional methods by which the excision different type of head and neck tumors are classically achieved. Endoscopic resection is a safe and minimally invasive approach and spares unnecessary discomfort to the patient.

The introduction of endoscopy into surgical practice is one of the biggest successes in the history of medicine; the recent advents of endoscopic procedures have revolutionized the practice of surgery in many specialties.

The outcome achieve with endoscopic techniques in other surgical areas has permitted to considerer this technique in head and neck offering more advantages than the classic approaches. (1)(2)(3)(4)(5).

Plastic surgeons have been compelled to consider the video-assisted surgical technique as a safe and effective technique in the treatment of benign tumor in head and neck.

This technique has many advantages as minimal morbidity; significantly decreased scarring and it also enhance the surgeon’s ability to view the area decreasing the danger of injuring anatomical structures.


In this paper to be described not only endoscopic techniques for several diseases in head and neck, but also project the use of natural orifice surgery (NOS) as a procedure with spectrum of innovative operations .(5)
2. Material and method

One hundred eight patients were operated from August 1999 to September 2007 in the Department of Plastic Surgery at Argerich Hospital of Buenos Aires, Argentine using video-assisted surgery.

Of the 108 patients 19 (18%) were more than 55 years old and the other 89 remaining patient’s ages range from 11 to 55 years old (82%).

Seventy eight patients were female (70%) and 30 (30%) were males.

Thirty-six patients (20%) presented branchiogenic cysts; 18 (50%) found 14 on the right and the remains 18 on the left; 2 lateral cervical cystic hygromas were found in the right upper third of the neck. (Figs. 1 and 2)

In the frontal area were located thirty-two tumors (18%); 16 of them were (50%) frontocygomatic cysts (Figs. 3 and 4); 8 lipomas (12,5% ) and 8 osteomas (12,5%).

Three nasal epidermic cysts (4%) were detected in the middle line of the upper nasal dorsum; a transnasal approach was used as a rhinoplasty, using natural orifice surgery (NOS) (Figs. 5 and 6)

Transoral technique was used as a basic approach in Wharton obstruction, submandibular and sublingual glands tumor resections.

Wharton lithiasis was operated en 12 cases and 12 adenomas of the submandibular gland were resected 7 in right gland and 5 in left one.

Two ranulas and 1 benign adenoma were resected in sublingual gland.

In all 108 cases no severe complications were observed; in 4 cases (4,3 %) were detected hematomas, in other 2 cases wound infection and in other 2 transitory disestesis of lingual nerve. (Table 1)

![Fig. 1. Preop. Branchial cyst in right side of the neck](image1)

![Fig. 2. Postop. Six months after surgery: Inconspicuous scar](image2)

The incision is planned on domes of the cyst in natural wrinkle.
Fig. 3. Preop. Left frontocigomatic cyst

Fig. 4. One year postoperative.

Fig. 5. Epidermic congenital nasal cyst located in the middle line

Fig. 6. After 18 months
### Table 1. Clinic Clases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PATHOLOGY</th>
<th>CASES</th>
<th>TECHNIQUE</th>
<th>NOS *</th>
<th>COMPLICATIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CERVICAL CYSTS</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>VIDEO-ASSISTED</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>WOUND INFECTION (2) HEMATOMA (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERVICAL LIPOMAS</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>IDEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HYGROMA NECK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>IDEM</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRONTOCYGOMATIC CYSTS</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>IDEM</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>HEMATOMA (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRONTAL LIPOMAS</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>IDEM</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRONTAL OSTEOMAS</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>IDEM</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASAL CYSTS</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>IDEM</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUBMANDIBULAR GLAND TUMOR</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>IDEM</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>HEMATOMA. (1) DISESTESIS LINGUAL NERVE (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUBLINGUAL GLAND TUMOR</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>IDEM</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHARTON LITHIASIS</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>IDEM</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*NOS: Natural Orifices Surgery

3. Surgical endoscopic techniques

In all cases three basic endoscopic surgical steps were planned: 1. Incision; 2. Exposure of the tumor and 3. Resection.

An endoscope of 20 cm long with a diameter of 4 mm and vision angle of 0° and 30° was used.

A subcutaneous endoretractor permitted the stabilization of endoscope, maintaining the optical cavity and subcutaneous retraction. Special dissectors were used, as also delicate conventional or endoscopic scissors, clamps and forceps.

3.1 Branchiogenic cysts

Incision was placed in a natural wrinkle, over the middle of the protruding dome of the cyst; not more than 1,5 cm of length. The exposure of the cyst was made with concave blades retractors placement over both cyst walls and anatomical elements around of the cyst, permitting liberation of adherences round of the cyst. (Fig. 7) Resection of cysts was carried out after liberation of carotid and internal jugular vein. (Fig. 8) (6)

In large cysts a complete aspiration was made to facilitate not only the dissection but also the extirpation.
Fig. 7. Exposition and liberation of the sternocleidomastoid muscle

Fig. 8. Endoscopic view: Great vessels with IJV (Internal Jugular Vein) and CA (Carotid Artery) are separated from the external layer of the cyst.

3.2 Frontal tumors

Incisions (1 or 2) were placed behind hairline (Fig 9). A subperiostic dissection was carried out in the frontal area from incisions to the tumor; tumor resection was carefully performed avoiding injury to the frontal nerve. In frontocygomatic cyst resection an additional dissection on superficial temporal fascia was necessary widening operative field; the cystic liberation was carried out from orbicularis oculi muscle and the supraorbital nerve. (Fig. 10)

Fig. 9. Incisions placement behind of hairline.

Fig. 10. Intraoperative view shows exposition and resection of the cyst.
3.3 Nasal cysts

In all 3 cases of congenital epidermic nasal cysts, a transnasal approach as a rhinoplasty was used. (Fig. 11) Cysts were exposed and resected after conventional subperiosteal skeletonizing of the nose was carried out (Fig 12).

![Fig. 11. Endoscopic exposition of the nasal congenital cyst.](image)

Fig. 11. Endoscopic exposition of the nasal congenital cyst.

![Fig. 12. After cystic resection a tumor impress on nasal bone can be observed.](image)

Fig. 12. After cystic resection a tumor impress on nasal bone can be observed.

3.4 Submandibular tumor resection

An incision in mandibular-lingual sulcus was preferred, after were exposed: the sublingual gland; the Wharton duct; the lingual nerve and the mylohyoid muscle.

Two oblique retractors placement over mylohyoid muscle and lingual nerve allowed the creation the “new” space with excellent submandibular gland visualization (Fig. 13). This technique had two principal “surgical key”; the first is the anatomical relation between Wharton duct and lingual nerve and the second is the posterior pole of the gland where facial vessels running.
The sublingual gland can be excised to provide optimal exposure; another useful maneuver is the digital elevation of the gland from skin to surgical field permitting an intraoral gland exposition. (Fig. 14)

Either complete gland or isolated tumor excresis was made.

Sublingual Gland resection and Wharton sialolithiasis

Better visualization and magnified view of the sublingual gland and Wharton duct were the more important advantages. Both structures are widening exposed and surrounding anatomical landmark was protected while excresis is carried out.

![Image 13](image13.png)

Fig. 13. “New space” where anatomical elements as lingual nerve and hypoglossal muscle are identifies.

![Image 14](image14.png)

Fig.14. The mylohyoid muscle is retracted and the gland tumor is clearly exposed into surgical field.

4. Discussion

The recent advent of endoscopic procedures has compelled to plastic surgeon to reconsider the conventional methods of excision of different type of tumors placed in head and neck
areas are classically achieved. (5) In head and neck areas the use of transnasal and transoral areas are the most feasible approaches of NOS.

Benign tumors, sialoadenitis and sialolithiasis of submandibular gland are current pathologies which can be successfully treated by endoscopic surgery.

The surgery of this gland has been traditionally, performed through a cervical incision; this is a safe procedure, but some complications such a pathologic scarring and injury of the marginal mandibular nerve can occur between 1 to 7 % of cases (7) (8). Conventional intraoral approach was described in 1960 by Dawnton and Qvist (9) and Yoel in 1961. (11). In 2001 was described the use of video-assisted surgery of the submandibular gland using a transoral approach. (11). Principal advantages are good illumination and magnification providing clear and sharply vision permitting safe anatomical dissections. Technical difficulties are a reduced operative field between the tongue and mandible and a hardly dissection of the facial vessels in “posterior pole” of the gland. Three complications (25 %) were detected in all 12 patients operated with this technique: 1 hematoma and 2 transitory lingual nerve disestesis with spontaneous recuperation 6 months later.

In the cases of the tumors located in frontal region as lipomas, osteomas and principally frontocygomatic cysts, the use of video-assisted techniques avoid visible frontal scars, hidden behind of hairline. An excellent visualization permits a dissection in avascular planes avoiding injuries of frontal nerve; subperiosteal plane provides the necessary optical cavity for operation.

In all frontocygomatic cysts additional dissection on anterior third of the temporal muscle must be performed widening surgical field. (11).

The anatomy of the nasal area is ideally suited for application of endoscopic principles; it is an expandable cavity with avascular planes of dissection and direct visualization. Hide intranasal incision is the most important advantages this technique.

According to conventional rhinoplasty technique the skeletonizing permits to achieve to cyst after scoring the periosteum over dorsum as high as nasofrontal area; a compression dressing prevent formation of a hematoma and facilitate tissue adhesion.

The ideal treatment of a branchial cleft cyst is the complete resection. One of the most evident advantages of the endoscopic resection is the use of a small incision onto a natural wrinkle; the length of it is no more than 1,5 cm.

This incision permits to introduce both endoscope and surgical instruments.

The identification and protection of nerves and vessels around cysts is most important surgical maneuver. While a concave retractor is placed on the cyst wall, another retractor is in front of it protecting identified anatomical elements.

In large cysts, the content must be partially aspirated to facilitate the surgical maneuvers of dissection and exceresis. In all 35 cases of branchiogenic cysts, no severe complications were observed.

Two patients (13%) presented partial wound infections, which healed leaving a more evident scar.
In 32 patients (90%), the final scars were inconspicuous and remain occluded in a natural cervical fold.

In all 108 patients minimal or no pain was reported, and analgesic drugs were only necessary in the first postoperative hours; any kind of discomfort was claimed by patients.

The anatomy of the head and neck areas is ideal for application of endoscopic principles; its soft tissues can transform in an expandable cavity with avascular planes of dissection.

5. Conclusion

Advantages of endoscopic resection are: 1. Better visualization and magnified view of the dissection areas: as a consequence the injury of important anatomical landmark, nerves and vessel can be avoided; 2. Small incision; 3. Inconspicuous or hide scar; 4.Excellent postoperative comfort; and 5. Short hospital stay.

Disadvantages are: 1. It is necessary to have an endoscope and special instruments and 2. Specific surgical training must be made by surgeons.

With the arrival the new surgical techniques, surgeon experience and advanced endoscopic instruments, the video-assisted surgery can be a safe method of choice in the treatment of the several diseases of head and neck
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