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Abstract

1. Introduction

The generation of CO₂ is inherent in the combustion of fossil fuels, and the efficient capture of CO₂ from industrial operations is regarded as an important strategy through which to achieve a significant reduction in atmospheric CO₂ levels. There are three basic CO₂ capture routes: (1) pre-combustion capture (via oxygen-blown gasification); (2) oxy-fuel combustion, i.e. removing nitrogen before combustion; and (3) post-combustion capture.

Adopting the post-combustion capture route avoids the potentially long time periods required to develop cost-effective coal-derived syngas separation technologies, hydrogen turbine technology, and fuel-cell technology, etc. It can also provide a means of CO₂ capture in the near-term for new and existing stationary fossil fuel-fired power plants.

Concentrations of CO₂ in power station flue gases range from around 4% by volume for natural gas combined cycle (NGCC) plants to 14% for pulverized fuel-fired plants. In the carbon capture and storage chain (capture, transport and storage) different requirements have been set for the composition of the gas stream mainly containing CO₂, which can vary within the range of 95-97% CO₂ with less than 4% N₂.

There are several post-combustion gas separation and capture technologies currently being investigated, namely: (a) absorption, (b) cryogenic separation, (c) membrane separation, (d) micro-algal bio-fixation, and (e) adsorption.

Current absorption technologies which propose the capture of CO₂ from flue gas are costly and energy intensive. Membrane technology is an attractive CO₂ capture option because of advantages such as energy-efficient passive operation, no use of hazardous chemicals, and tolerance to acid gases and oxygen. However, an important challenge associated with membrane technology is how to create the driving force efficiently, because the feed flue gas is at ambient pressure and contains a relatively low CO₂ content.

Solid sorbents are another promising capture technology. These sorbents can either react with the CO₂ or it can be adsorbed onto the surface. Chemical sorbents that react with the...
CO₂ in the flue gas can be comprised of a support, usually of high surface area, with an immobilized amine or other reactant on the surface. Physical adsorbents can separate the CO₂ from the other flue gas constituents, but do not react with it. Instead, they use their cage-like structure to act as molecular sieves. These sorbents can be regenerated using a pressure swing or a temperature swing, although the costs associated with a pressure swing may be prohibitively high. Physisorbents such as activated carbon and zeolites will be safe for the local environment, and are generally relatively inexpensive to manufacture. Conventionally, activated carbon materials have been widely applied in industry for gas separation, and also have been investigated for CO₂ capture. Carbon dioxide emissions are frequently associated with large amounts of nitrogen gas, and thus an adsorbent selective to one of these compounds is required. These adsorbents should also be selective even at high temperatures, i.e., temperatures typical of carbon dioxide emission sources. Activated carbon is a suitable adsorbent and its CO₂ adsorption characteristics are dependent on its surface area and chemical surface characteristics. The surface chemistry of activated carbon is determined by the amount and type of heteroatom, for example oxygen, nitrogen, etc. Therefore, the adsorption capacity of activated carbon for carbon dioxide is a function of its pore structure and the properties of the surface chemistry.

Strategies like PSA (pressure swing adsorption), TSA (temperature swing adsorption) and ESA (electric swing adsorption) processes have been proposed and investigated for adsorption in a cyclic process (Cavenati et al., 2006; Grande & Rodrigues, 2008; Zhang et al., 2008). PSA is a cyclical process of adsorption/desorption that occurs through pressure changes and can be very suitable for carbon dioxide separation from exhaust gases due to its easy application in a large temperature range. The most studies presents the CO₂/N₂ separation using PSA process at room temperature, but it has been reported that is possible to obtain high purity CO₂ (~90%) at high temperature (Ko et al., 2005). Recently, Grande and Rodrigues (2008) reported that it is possible to recover around 89% of the CO₂ from a CO₂/N₂ mixture using honeycomb monoliths of activated carbon through ESA. However, the temperature of the CO₂/N₂ mixture in a typical exhaust gas can exceed 100°C and at such temperatures the recovery and purity of CO₂ can be significantly modified.

2. Experimental section

2.1 Selection and preparation of adsorbents

The commercial activated carbon used was Norit R2030 (Norit, Netherlands) which was selected due to its high adsorption capacity for CO₂. The nitrogen-enriched activated carbon, denoted as CPHCL, was prepared in a way similar way to that as previously reported (Gray et al., 2004), mixing 10 g of activated carbon with 500 mL of 10⁻¹ M 3-chloropropylamine hydrochloride solution. The mixture was kept under constant stirring, at ambient temperature for 5 hours. The CPHCL adsorbent was then left to dry for 12 hours in an oven at 105°C.

2.2 Characterization of the adsorbents

The content of carbon, hydrogen and nitrogen was determined by elemental analysis using CHNS EA1100 equipment (CE Instruments, Italy).
Thermogravimetric experiments were carried out with a TGA-50 thermogravimetric analyzer (Shimadzu, Japan) in the temperature range of 30°C – 900°C, at a heating rate of 10°C/min under nitrogen flow.

Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy was used to qualitatively identify the chemical functionality of activated carbon. To obtain the observable adsorption spectra, the solids were grounded to an average diameter of ca. 0.5 mm. The transmission spectra of the samples were recorded using KBr pellets containing 0.1% of carbon. The pellets were 12.7mm in diameter and ca. 1mm thick and were prepared in a manual hydraulic press set at 10 ton. The spectra were measured from 4000 to 400 cm⁻¹ and recorded on a 16PC FTIR spectrometer (Perkin Elmer, USA).

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were carried out with a VG Microtech ESCA3000 MULTILAB spectrometer using monochromatic Al Ka X-rays. The pass energy of the analyzer was 58.7 eV for high-resolution scans. Relative elemental concentrations on the surface of the sorbents were calculated by measuring peak areas in the high-resolution spectra and then converting to atomic concentrations using sensitivity factors provided by the instrument manufacturer.

### 2.3 Adsorption equilibrium isotherms

The equilibrium of CO₂ and N₂ adsorption on activated carbon was measured at different temperatures of 30°C, 50°C, 100°C, and 150°C using the static method in a Rubotherm magnetic suspension microbalance (Bochum, Germany) up to approximately 5 bar.

The equilibrium of CO₂ adsorption on CPHCL was measured at different temperatures of 30°C, 50°C, 100°C, and 150°C by the volumetric method, in an automatic sorptometer, Autosorb IC (Quantachrome, USA), up to approximately 1 bar.

Before the adsorption measurements, the solid samples were pre-treated for 12 hours at 150°C under vacuum. This temperature ensures that the amine is homogeneously tethered to the solid surface without devolatilize or decompose it.

### 2.4 Breakthrough curves: Fixed-bed CO₂ adsorption and CO₂/N₂ mixture adsorption

All the experimental breakthrough curves were obtained by passing the appropriate gas mixture through the packed column with the adsorbent: activated carbon or CPHCL. The solid adsorbent was pre-treated by passing helium at a flow rate of 30 mL.min⁻¹ and at 150°C for 2 hours. These breakthrough curves were obtained 30°C, 50°C, 100°C, and 150°C.

The dynamic of adsorption of CO₂ in a fixed bed was studied using CO₂ diluted in helium (CO₂/He = 20%/80% v/v) in order to obtain the breakthrough curves. For the fixed-bed CO₂/N₂ separation dynamics, the breakthrough curves were obtained by passing the standard gas mixture – 20% CO₂/80% N₂ v/v.

The total gas flow rate was maintained at 30 mL.min⁻¹ which was controlled by a mass flow unit (Matheson, USA). The column was located inside a furnace with controlled temperature. A gas chromatographic model CG35 (CG Instrumentos Científicos, Brazil) equipped with a Porapak-N packed column (Cromacon, Brazil) and with a thermal
conductivity detector (TCD) was used to monitor the carbon dioxide or nitrogen concentration at the bed exit, using helium as the reference gas. The experimental system – column and furnace – was considered adiabatic because it was isolated with a layer of 0.10m of fiber glass and with a refractory material. The characteristics of the fixed bed and the column are presented in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CO₂ and CO₂/N₂ adsorption in a fixed bed ¹</th>
<th>PSA experiments ²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bed length, ( L )</strong></td>
<td>0.171 m</td>
<td>0.83 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bed diameter, ( d_{\text{int}} )</strong></td>
<td>0.022 m</td>
<td>0.021 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bed weight, ( W )</strong></td>
<td>0.0352 kg</td>
<td>0.158 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bed voidage fraction, ( \varepsilon )</strong></td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Column wall thickness, ( l )</strong></td>
<td>0.0015m</td>
<td>0.0041m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Column wall specific heat, ( C_{p,w} )</strong></td>
<td>440 J kg⁻¹K⁻¹</td>
<td>500 J kg⁻¹K⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Column wall conductivity, ( k_w )</strong></td>
<td>1.4 W m⁻¹K⁻¹</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wall density, ( \rho_w )</strong></td>
<td>7280 kg m⁻³</td>
<td>8238 kg m⁻³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Characteristics of the fixed bed and the column used in the experiments [¹ Dantas et al., 2010; ² Dantas et al., 2011].

### 2.5 Pressure swing adsorption

The PSA experimental setup consisted of one fixed-bed adsorption that simulated the operation of a unit with several fixed-beds, for which more details are given elsewhere (Da Silva & Rodrigues, 2001). The solid adsorbent used was the commercial activated carbon which was pre-treated by passing helium at a flow rate of 1.0 L.min⁻¹ and at 150°C for 12 hours. The PSA experiments were performed premixed CO₂ to N₂ forming a mixture - 0.15 v/v. The flow rate of each gas was controlled by mass controllers (Teledyne Brown Engineering, USA).

A gas chromatographic model CP9001 (Chrompack 9001, Netherlands) equipped with a Poraplot Q capillary column (Varian, Netherlands), with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD), and with a flame ionization detector (FID) was used to monitor the carbon dioxide or nitrogen concentration at the bed exit, using helium as the reference gas. The experimental system – column and furnace – was considered adiabatic because it was isolated with a layer of 0.10m of fiber glass and with a refractory material. The temperature inside the column was continuously monitored using a K-thermocouple placed at 0.17 m and 0.43 m from the bottom of the column. The column was located inside a convective furnace and thus the system was considered to be non-adiabatic. The characteristics of the fixed bed and the column are presented in Table 1.

The cycles were of the Sharstrom-cycle type and divided by pressurization with pure nitrogen at a flow rate of 3.0 L.min⁻¹, feeding at constant pressure of 1.3 bar and total flow rate of 3.0 L.min⁻¹, countercurrent blowdown decreasing the pressure to 0.1bar and
countercurrent purge with pure nitrogen at constant pressure and a flow rate of 0.5 L.min⁻¹. All experiments were performed with 20 seconds of pressurization and 70 seconds of depressurization. However, different feed and purge times were used. Table 2 summarizes the PSA experimental conditions used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>T, °C</th>
<th>Feed Time, s</th>
<th>Purge time, s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. PSA experimental conditions.

2.5.1 Performance criteria of the PSA process

The definition of the performance criteria provides a common basis for comparing the different experiments. These are; Eq (1) to (3):

\[
Purity\ of\ CO_2 = \frac{\int_{t_{\text{blowdown}}}^{t_{\text{purge}}} F_{CO_2} dt}{\sum_{i=1}^{n_{\text{comp}}} \int_{t_{\text{blowdown}}}^{t_{\text{purge}}} F_{i} dt}
\]  

(1)

\[
Purity\ of\ N_2 = \frac{\int_{t_{\text{blowdown}}}^{t_{\text{purge}}} F_{N_2} dt}{\sum_{i=1}^{n_{\text{comp}}} \int_{t_{\text{blowdown}}}^{t_{\text{purge}}} F_{i} dt}
\]  

(2)

\[
Recovery\ of\ CO_2 = \frac{\int_{t_{\text{purge}}}^{t_{\text{blowdown}}} F_{CO_2} dt}{\int_{0}^{t_{\text{purge}}} F_{CO_2} dt}
\]  

(3)

where \( F_i \) is the molar flow rate of component \( i \) – carbon dioxide or nitrogen.

3. Mathematical modelling

3.1 Model description

The model used to describe the fixed-bed experiments is derived from the mass, energy and momentum balances. The flow pattern is described with the axially dispersed plug flow model and the mass transfer rate is represented by a Linear Driving Force model – LDF. It was assumed that the gas phase behaves as an ideal gas and the radial concentration and
temperature gradients are negligible. The fixed-bed model is described by the equations given below.

The mass balance for each component is given by Eq. (4); (Ruthven, 1984):

$$\frac{\partial C_i}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (uC_i)}{\partial z} = \varepsilon D_L \frac{\partial^2 C_i}{\partial z^2} - (1 - \varepsilon) \rho_p \frac{\partial \bar{q}_i}{\partial t}$$

(4)

where $\varepsilon$ is the bed void fraction, $C_i$ is the gas phase concentration of component $i$, $\bar{q}_i$ is the average amount of component $i$ adsorbed, $D_L$ is the axial mass dispersion coefficient, $u$ is the superficial velocity, and $\rho_p$ is the particle density.

The rate of mass transfer to the particle for each component is given by Eq. (5):

$$\frac{\partial \bar{q}_i}{\partial t} = K_{L,i} (\bar{q}_i^* - \bar{q}_i)$$

(5)

where $K_L$ is the overall mass transfer coefficient of component $i$ and $\bar{q}_i^*$ is the amount adsorbed at equilibrium, i.e., $\bar{q}_i^* = f(C_i, T_g)$ given by the adsorption isotherm, and $\bar{q}_i$ is the average amount adsorbed.

The concentration $C_i$ is given by Eq (6):

$$C_i = \frac{y_i P}{RT_g}$$

(6)

where $y_i$ is the molar fraction of each gas in the gas phase, $P$ is the total pressure, $T_g$ is the gas temperature and $R$ is the universal gas constant.

The Ergun equation considers the terms for the pressure drop and velocity changes; Eq. (7):

$$-\frac{\partial P}{\partial z} = 150 \frac{\mu_g (1 - \varepsilon)^2}{\varepsilon^2 d_p^2} u + 1.75 \frac{(1 - \varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^3 d_p} \rho_g u^2$$

(7)

where $\mu_g$ is the gas viscosity, $\rho_g$ is the gas density, and $d_p$ is the particle diameter.

The energy balance is; Eq. (8):

$$\varepsilon C_{v,g} \frac{\partial T_g}{\partial t} + C_{p,g} \frac{\partial (uT_g)}{\partial z} = \varepsilon \lambda_L \frac{\partial^2 T_g}{\partial z^2} - (1 - \varepsilon) \rho_p C_s \frac{\partial T_g}{\partial t} + \sum_{i} (-\Delta H_{i}) \frac{\partial \bar{q}_i}{\partial t} \frac{4h_w}{d_{int}} (T_g - T_w)$$

(8)

where $C_{v,g}$ is the molar specific heat at constant volume for the gas phase, $C_{p,g}$ is the molar specific heat at constant pressure for the gas phase, $\lambda_L$ is the axial heat dispersion coefficient, $C_s$ is the solid specific heat, $(-\Delta H_{i})$ is the heat of adsorption for component $i$ at zero coverage, $h_w$ is coefficient for the internal convective heat transfer between the gas and the column wall, $d_{int}$ is the bed diameter, and $T_w$ is the wall temperature.
The solid phase energy balance is expressed by Eq. (9):

$$\rho_p C_s \frac{\partial T_s}{\partial t} = \frac{6h_f}{d_p}(T_g - T_s) + \rho_p \sum_i (-\Delta H_i) \frac{\partial \tilde{q}_i}{\partial t}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

where $h_f$ is the coefficient for film heat transfer between the gas and the adsorbent.

For the column wall, the energy balance can be expressed by Eq. (10) to (12):

$$\rho_w C_{p,w} \frac{\partial T_w}{\partial t} = \alpha_w h_w (T_g - T_w) - \alpha_w U (T_w - T_\infty)$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

with

$$\alpha_w = \frac{d_{int}}{l(d_{int} + l)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

and

$$\alpha_{wl} = \frac{d_{int}}{(d_{int} + l) \ln \left( \frac{d_{int} + l}{d_{int}} \right)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

where $\rho_w$ is the column wall density, $C_{p,w}$ is the column wall specific heat, $\alpha_w$ is the ratio of the internal surface area to the volume of the column wall, $\alpha_{wl}$ is the ratio of the logarithmic mean surface area of the column shell to the volume of the column (Cavenati et al., 2006), $U$ is the external overall heat transfer coefficient, and $T_\infty$ is the furnace external air temperature. For an adiabatic system, the last term of this equation must not be considered.

### 3.2 Boundary and initial conditions

The mathematical model was solved using the commercial software gPROMS (Process System Enterprise Limited, UK) which uses the method of orthogonal collocation on finite elements for resolution. The boundary and initial conditions were the show bellow.

#### 3.2.1 For the breakthrough curves

The initial conditions for the adiabatic system are:

$$T_w = T_g = T_s = T_i; \quad P = P_0 \quad \text{and} \quad C_i(z,0) = \tilde{q}_i(z,0) = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

The boundary conditions are described by the equations given below (Eq.14-18).

1. Bed inlet: ($z=0$)

$$\varepsilon D_L \frac{\partial C_i}{\partial z} \bigg|_{z^+} = -u(C_i|_{z^-} - C_i|_{z^+})$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)
\[ \varepsilon \lambda \frac{\partial T_g}{\partial z} \bigg|_{z^*} = -u CC_{p,g} (T_g \big|_{z^*} - T_g \big|_{z}) \]  
(15)  
\[ u C \big|_{z^*} = u C \big|_{z^*} \]  
(16)  

2. Bed outlet: \((z=L)\)

\[ \frac{\partial C_i}{\partial z} \bigg|_{z^*} = 0 \]  
(17)  
\[ \frac{\partial T_g}{\partial z} \bigg|_{z^*} = 0 \]  
(18)

### 3.2.2 For PSA experiments

The initial conditions, only considered for the unused bed, are:

\[ T_w = T_g = T_s = T_0 \; ; \; P = P_0 \; \text{and} \; C_i(z,0) = \bar{q}_i(z,0) = 0 \]  
(19)

The initial condition of each new cycle corresponds to the final condition of the previous cycle. The boundary conditions for the mass and energy balances are described by the equations given below (Eq.20-27).

1. Bed inlet: pressurization step \((z=0)\), feed step \((z=0)\) and countercurrent purge step \((z=L)\).

\[ \varepsilon D_l \frac{\partial C_i}{\partial z} \bigg|_{z^*} = -u(C_i \big|_{z^*} - C_i \big|_{z}) \]  
(20)  
\[ \varepsilon \lambda_t \frac{\partial T_g}{\partial z} \bigg|_{z^*} = -u C_{p,g} (T_g \big|_{z^*} - T_g \big|_{z}) \]  
(21)

2. Bed outlet: pressurization step \((z=L)\), feed step \((z=L)\), countercurrent purge step \((z=0)\), and bed inlet and outlet for countercurrent blowdown step \((z=L \text{ and } z=0, \text{ respectively})\).

\[ \frac{\partial C_i}{\partial z} \bigg|_{z^*} = 0 \]  
(22)  
\[ \frac{\partial T_g}{\partial z} \bigg|_{z^*} = 0 \]  
(23)

The boundary conditions for the momentum balance are the following:

1. Bed inlet: pressurization step \((z=0)\).

\[ P_{z^*} = P_{\text{feed}} \]  
(24)
2. Bed outlet for pressurization step (z=L), and bed inlet for countercurrent blowdown step (z=L).

\[ u|_{z-L} = 0 \] (25)

3. Bed inlet: feed step (z=0), and countercurrent purge step (z=L).

\[ u|_{z=L} = u|_{z=0} \] (26)

4. Bed outlet: countercurrent blowdown step (z=0), and countercurrent purge step (z=0).

\[ P|_{z=0} = P_{\text{purge}} \] (27)

### 3.3 LDF global mass transfer coefficient and correlations used to estimation of model parameters

Table 3 summarizes the experimental conditions of temperatures and Reynolds numbers in Runs 1 - 4.

Table 4 shows the LDF global mass transfer coefficient, the axial dispersion coefficient, and the film mass transfer coefficient, should be evaluated using different correlations due different Reynolds numbers in Runs 1 - 4 under adiabatic or non-adiabatic systems.

For the all experiments performed at adiabatic system – low Reynolds number, the value of the LDF global mass transfer coefficient was estimated using the expression proposed by Farooq and Ruthven (1990) which considers all of the resistances to the mass transfer, i.e., intra- and extraparticle resistances; Eq. (28):

\[
\frac{1}{K_L} = \frac{r_p q_o}{3k_f C_o} + \frac{r_p^2 q_o}{15\varepsilon_p D_c C_o} + \frac{r_c^2}{15D_c}
\] (28)

where \( r_p \) is the particle radius, \( k_f \) the external mass transfer coefficient, \( q_o \) the value of \( q \) at equilibrium with \( C_o \) (adsorbate concentration in the feed at feed temperature \( T_o \) and expressed in suitable units), \( \varepsilon_p \) the particle porosity, \( r_c \) the radius of activated carbon crystal and \( D_c \) is the micropore diffusivity. The micropore diffusivity values were those reported by Cavenati and coworkers (2006) since the micropore distribution of the adsorbents are similar to those of carbon molecular sieves (Cavenati et al., 2006; Vinu & Hartmann, 2005).

For the all experiments performed at non-adiabatic system – high Reynolds number, the value of the LDF global mass transfer coefficient was estimated that intraparticke resistance is only controlled by molecular diffusion.

All others correlations used to evaluate the mass and heat transport parameters are summarized in Table 4. The gas phase viscosity was estimated using Wilkes’s equation (Bird et al., 2007). The axial mass dispersion coefficient \( (D_L) \), for the adiabatic system, was evaluated by Leitão & Rodrigues (1995); for the non-adiabatic system, according to Wakao and coworkers (1978). The film mass transfer coefficient \( (k_f) \), for the adiabatic system, was evaluated by Seguin et al. (1995); for the non-adiabatic system, according to Wakao and Funazkri (1978). The axial heat dispersion coefficient \( (\lambda_d) \) and the film heat transfer coefficient \( (h_f) \) were evaluated by
Wakao and coworkers (1978); the convective heat transfer coefficient between the gas phase and the column wall ($h_w$) was evaluated according to De Wash & Froment (1972).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>T, °C</th>
<th>Re</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Experimental conditions of temperature and Reynolds number.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Adiabatic system</th>
<th>Non-adiabatic system</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Axial Mass Dispersion</td>
<td>$P_e = 0.508 \text{Re}^{0.020} \frac{L}{d_p}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Film mass transfer</td>
<td>$Sh = 1.09 \text{Re}^{0.27} \text{Sc}^{1/3}$</td>
<td>$Sh = 2 + 1.1 \text{Re}^{0.27} \text{Sc}^{1/3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial Heat Dispersion</td>
<td>$\frac{\dot{Q}}{k_g} = 10 + 0.5 \text{Pr} \text{Re}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Film heat transfer</td>
<td>$Nu = 2 + 1.1 \text{Re}^{0.6} \text{Pr}^{1/3}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal convective heat transfer</td>
<td>$\frac{h_w d_{\text{int}}}{k_g} = 12.5 + 0.048 \text{Re}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global heat transfer</td>
<td>$U = \frac{1}{h_w + \frac{d_{\text{int}}}{k_g} \ln \left( \frac{d_{\text{ext}}}{d_{\text{int}}} + \frac{d_{\text{int}}}{d_{\text{ext}}} \frac{1}{h_{\text{ext}}} \right)}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External convective heat transfer</td>
<td>$\frac{h_{\text{ext}} L}{k_{\text{ext}}} = 0.68 + \frac{0.67 \text{Ra}^{1/4}}{\left[ 1 + \left( \frac{0.492}{\text{Pr}} \right)^{9/12} \right]^{3/7}}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Correlations used for estimation of mass and heat parameters [Dantas et al., 2011].

$$Pe = \frac{u L}{D_L}; \quad Re = \frac{\rho_g u d_p}{\mu_g}; \quad Sc = \frac{\mu_g}{\rho_g D_m}; \quad Sh = \frac{k_f d_p}{D_m}; \quad Pr = \frac{C_p \mu_g}{k_g}; \quad Nu = \frac{h_f d_p}{k_g}; \quad Ra = \frac{g \beta (T_w - T_\infty)}{\nu \alpha} L^3$$
The effective diffusivities were calculated by Bosanquet equation and the molecular diffusivities were calculated with the Chapman-Enskog equation (Bird et al., 2007). A tortuosity of 2.2 and 1.8 was admitted to the activated carbon particle and CPHCL, respectively.

4. Results and discussion

4.1 Characterization of adsorbents

Textural properties of activated carbon and CPHCL were previously described (Dantas et al., 2010). The adsorbents are microporous and the BET surface areas are shown in Table 5.

Modifications with nitrogen-containing species may also result in changes in the porous structure (Arenilas et al., 2005). The CPHCL had a lower BET area when compared to the commercial activated carbon. The micropores volume of the CPHCL decreases considerably compared with the commercial activated carbon, suggesting that the nitrogen incorporation partially blocks the access of N\textsubscript{2} to the small pores.

The chemical characteristics of the adsorbents are given in Table 6. As expected, the adsorbent CPHCL has the greater nitrogen content and an N/C atomic ratio which is twice that of the commercial activated carbon.

The FTIR spectra of commercial activated carbon and CPHCL are shown in Figure 1. All spectra show the contribution from ambient water (at about 3600 cm\textsuperscript{-1}) and carbon dioxide (doublet at 2360 cm\textsuperscript{-1} and sharp spike at 667 cm\textsuperscript{-1}) present in the optical bench. The band of O-H stretching vibrations (3600 - 3100 cm\textsuperscript{-1}) was due to surface hydroxyl groups and chemisorbed water. The band at 2844 and 2925 cm\textsuperscript{-1} is frequently ascribed to the C–H stretching. The asymmetry of the band at 3600 – 3100 cm\textsuperscript{-1} indicates the presence of strong hydrogen bonds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Commercial activated carbon</th>
<th>CPHCL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(S_{\text{BET}}), m\textsuperscript{2}/g</td>
<td>1053.0</td>
<td>664.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(S_{\text{micro}}), m\textsuperscript{2}/g</td>
<td>1343.0</td>
<td>753.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(V_{\text{micro}}), cm\textsuperscript{3}/g</td>
<td>0.0972</td>
<td>0.0388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean pore radius (r_o), nm</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle porosity (\varepsilon_p)</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N_2) Micropore Capacity, kg/kg</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N_2) Total Capacity, kg/kg</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle density (\rho_p), 10\textsuperscript{3}, kg/m\textsuperscript{3}</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle diameter (d_p), 10\textsuperscript{3}, m</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Textural properties of the adsorbents studied [Dantas et al., 2010].
It has been suggested that primary amine can react with the activated carbon surface, forming surface complexes with the presence of NH$_2$ surface groups (Gray et al., 2004). Bands were presence at 3365 and 1607 cm$^{-1}$, ascribed to asymmetric stretching ($\nu$NH$_2$) and NH$_2$ deformation, respectively, and at 3303 cm$^{-1}$. However, the CPHCL spectrum shows that these bands may be overlapped by the OH stretching band (3600-3100 cm$^{-1}$) and by the aromatic ring bands and double bond (C=C) vibrations (1650-1500 cm$^{-1}$) (Fanning & Vannice, 1993). The same pattern is observed for CPHCL after CO$_2$ adsorption at 28°C and 150°C, indicating that there is no difference in the adsorption behavior.

### Table 6. Chemical characterization of the adsorbents studied. [Dantas et al., 2010].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Activated carbon</th>
<th>CPHCL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>86.2</td>
<td>70.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/C. 10$^2$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2 Adsorption equilibrium isotherms

The adsorption equilibrium of CO$_2$ and N$_2$ adsorption on activated carbon was previously (Dantas et al., 2010) described using the Toth model (Toth, 1971); Eq. (29):

![FTIR spectra](image-url)
where \( q_m \) is the maximum adsorbed concentration, i.e., the monolayer capacity; \( K_{eq} \) is the equilibrium adsorption constant and \( n \) is the heterogeneity parameter.

The temperature dependence of the equilibrium was described according to the Van’t Hoff equation; Eq. (30):

\[
K_{eq} = K_o e^{\frac{-\Delta H_i}{RT}}
\]

where \( K_o \) is the adsorption constant at infinite dilution.

Table 7 gives the parameters used for Toth model isotherms of each gas. It should be noted that activated carbon has a high CO\(_2\) adsorption capacity in comparison with the N\(_2\) adsorption capacity. It is worth mentioning that the commercial activated carbon used in this studied has a high CO\(_2\) adsorption capacity in comparison with other adsorbents reported in literature (Grande & Rodrigues, 2008; Glover et al., 2008).

The CO\(_2\) adsorption equilibrium isotherms for CPHCL, at low partial pressure, were described according to a linear isotherm (Dantas et al., 2010); (Eq.31):

\[
q = K_p P
\]

where \( K_p \) is the Henry’s Law constant for the adsorption equilibrium which the temperature dependence was also described according to the Van’t Hoff equation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>( q_m ) ( \times 10^{-3} )mol/g</th>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>( K_o ) bar(^{-1} )</th>
<th>( -\Delta H_i ) kJ/mol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO(_2)</td>
<td>10.05</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>( 7.62 \times 10^{-5} )</td>
<td>21.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(_2)</td>
<td>9.74</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>( 6.91 \times 10^{-5} )</td>
<td>16.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7. Parameters used for fitting of the Toth model for carbon dioxide and nitrogen adsorption on activated carbon.

Table 8 gives the Henry’s Law constants for the adsorption equilibrium on CPHCL, at the different temperatures studied, the pre-exponential factor and heat of adsorption. Table 8 also shows the Henry’s constants for the adsorption equilibrium on commercial activated carbon that was fitted at low pressure.

It should be noted, however, that the commercial activated carbon has higher Henry’s Law constant indicating that this solid has a greater carbon dioxide adsorption capacity.

The nature of the N functionality is very important because it can affect the basicity of the solid surface (Vlasov & Os’kina, 2002); comparing a primary amine with a secondary amine of the same carbon number, the basic character increases due to the increase in the inductive effect caused by the alkyl groups.
Some authors have reported that although there is a reduction in the BET superficial area which is caused for the partial blockage of the lesser pores, as also observed in this paper, the enrichment of the carbonaceous materials with nitrogen tends to increase the adsorption capacity for CO$_2$ (Arenillas et al., 2005). However, there is no consensus about this issue because sorbents with the high amounts of nitrogen do not have the high CO$_2$ adsorption capacity reported in recent publications by Arenillas and coworkers (2005) and Pevida et al. (2008). In the present study, we show a decrease in the CO$_2$ adsorption capacity of CPHCL in comparison with non-functionalized activated carbon. The decrease in the CO$_2$ adsorption capacity is not related to the destruction of basic sites in the CPHCL, as shown in the FTIR studies (Figure 2). In fact, Drage et al. (2007) have reported that only an activation temperature higher than 600°C can destroy basic sites in the adsorbents.

Table 8. Henry’s Law constants for the adsorption equilibrium on commercial activated carbon and CPHCL at different temperatures (Dantas et al., 2010).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T, °C</th>
<th>$K_p$, moles/kg. bar$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$K_p$, moles/kg. bar$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$\Delta H_i$, kJ/mol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28-25</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>20.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3 Fixed-Bed CO$_2$ adsorption: experimental data and modeling

As previously mentioned, a set of experiments was performed changing the temperature of the carbon dioxide to determine the breakthrough curves of carbon dioxide adsorption on activated carbon and CPHCL.

The Peclet number and the LDF global mass transfer coefficient for the adsorption of carbon dioxide on activated carbon and CPHCL are shown in Table 9.

Table 9. Experimental Conditions and LDF global mass transfer coefficient for CO$_2$ adsorption on the commercial activated carbon and CPHCL (Adapted from Dantas et al., 2010).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>T, °C</th>
<th>$Pe$</th>
<th>Activated Carbon $K_L$, s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>CPHCL $K_L$, s$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>21.91</td>
<td>0.0027</td>
<td>0.0041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>21.85</td>
<td>0.0043</td>
<td>0.0063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>21.75</td>
<td>0.0125</td>
<td>0.0259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>21.65</td>
<td>0.0259</td>
<td>0.0719</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures 2(a) e 2(b) shows a comparison between the experimental and theoretical curves obtained for the CO$_2$ adsorption on commercial activated carbon and CPHCL, respectively.
It is observed that, in the case of the mass balance, the model reproduces the experimental data for the different feed concentration and temperatures reasonably well.

![Breakthrough curves for the CO₂ adsorption](image1)

Fig. 2. Breakthrough curves for the CO₂ adsorption (a) on activated carbon and (b) on CPHCL. Symbols: experimental data; Lines: LDF model (Dantas et al., 2010).

The global mass transfer coefficient for CO₂ adsorption on CPHCL in the fixed bed is higher than that for the adsorption on activated carbon (Table 9) which was to be expected because the CPHCL is an adsorbent with less micropores and smaller CO₂ adsorption capacity than the commercial activated carbon. This makes the importance of the external to the internal mass transfer resistance (Eq. (28)) greater in the case of CPHCL than commercial activated carbon.

Figures 3(a) e 3(b) shows the gas simulated temperature profile, at the end of the bed, for the carbon dioxide adsorption at 28°C on activated carbon and CPHCL, respectively.

![Gas simulated temperature profile](image2)

Fig. 3. Gas simulated temperature profile, at the end of the bed, for the carbon dioxide adsorption at 28°C (a) on activated carbon and (b) on CPHCL.

The temperature peaks is about 8°C and 4°C, for activated carbon and CPHCL, respectively. Although as the commercial activated carbon and the CPHCL have about the same heat of
adsorption, but distinct adsorptive capacities, it is also possible to conclude that a higher adsorption capacity leads to a higher temperature peak, since the adsorption is an exothermic phenomenon.

4.4 Fixed-Bed CO$_2$/N$_2$ mixture adsorption: Experimental data and modeling

The basic information required to describe the fixed-bed dynamics of the adsorption of carbon dioxide-nitrogen mixtures on activated carbon is the adsorption equilibrium behavior of the single components. The adsorbed equilibrium concentration of carbon dioxide and nitrogen on activated carbon was estimated as a function of the feed concentration from a mass balance in the fixed bed. For each experimental breakthrough curve, the adsorbed concentration is given by:

$$q_i = \frac{1}{\rho_F} \left[ \frac{C_{Fi}Q_{Ft_{st}}}{(V - \varepsilon V)} - \frac{C_{Fi}^e}{(1 - \varepsilon)} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (32)

where $C_{Fi}$ is the feed concentration of component $i$, $V$ is the bed volume, $Q_F$ is the feed volumetric flow rate and $t_{st}$ is the stoichiometric time (Ruthven, 1984).

The resulting adsorbed concentrations are given in Table 10. It can be observed that the activated carbon adsorption capacity for CO$_2$ and N$_2$ in the CO$_2$/N$_2$ mixtures is the same as that predicted by the single component Toth isotherm using the previously reported adjusted. This is to be expected if the active sites for N$_2$ and CO$_2$ are independent, since the amount of CO$_2$ and/or N$_2$ adsorbed on the solid at each partial pressure from a CO$_2$/N$_2$ mixture is the same as that measured for the pure gases at the same partial pressure, as shown in Table 10. This assumption is in agreement with Siriwardane and coworkers (2001) who observed the same behavior for the adsorption of CO$_2$/N$_2$ mixtures on 13X zeolite, although Delgado and coworkers (2006) observed that the nitrogen adsorption can be neglected when it is mixed with carbon dioxide. As the presence of nitrogen in the mixture does not interfere at the CO$_2$ adsorption on activated carbon, thus the pure component equilibrium isotherms predict very well the equilibrium of each component in the CO$_2$/N$_2$ mixture.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>T, °C</th>
<th>Adsorbate</th>
<th>$q$, mol kg$^{-1}$ (a)</th>
<th>$q$, mol kg$^{-1}$ (b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>N$_2$</td>
<td>0.272</td>
<td>0.294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
<td>0.734</td>
<td>0.743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>N$_2$</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>0.173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
<td>0.450</td>
<td>0.466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>N$_2$</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>0.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
<td>0.163</td>
<td>0.170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>N$_2$</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.071</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10. Experimental conditions and adsorbed concentrations predicted by the Toth model for pure components and from the mass balance of breakthrough experiments on activated carbon. (a) Values calculated from the experimental data; (b) Values calculated using Toth model for single component adsorption.
As previously mentioned, a set of experiments was performed changing the temperature of the carbon dioxide/nitrogen mixture to determine the breakthrough curves of carbon dioxide adsorption and nitrogen adsorption on activated carbon. The axial mass dispersion coefficient and the LDF global mass transfer coefficient for CO$_2$ and N$_2$ adsorption on activated carbon are shown in Table 11.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>$T, ^\circ$C</th>
<th>$D_L, \text{cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$</th>
<th>CO$_2$</th>
<th>N$_2$</th>
<th>$K_L, \text{s}^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.0042</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.0138</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.0323</td>
<td>0.128</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11. Axial mass dispersion coefficient and the LDF global mass transfer coefficient for CO$_2$ and N$_2$ adsorption on activated carbon (Adapted from Dantas et al., 2011).

Figure 4 shows a comparison between the experimental and theoretical curves obtained for the N$_2$ and CO$_2$ adsorption on activated carbon. The model describes quite well the roll-up effect that is caused by the displacement of N$_2$ by CO$_2$ (Dabrowski, 1999). The roll-up is a common phenomenon happening in multicomponent adsorption processes when the concentration of one component at outlet of the adsorber exceed its inlet level (Li et al., 2011). It can be observed that, when the temperature is increased, the carbon dioxide and nitrogen breakthrough times are shorter due to the exothermic character of adsorption.

The nitrogen breakthrough times are very similar at 28°C and 150°C. This finding can be explained by the decrease in the amount of nitrogen adsorbed on activated carbon which can be compensated by its faster diffusion at high temperature as observed by Cavenati and coworkers (2006) for nitrogen adsorption on CMS 3K (as mentioned above, this molecular sieve has a similar pore size distribution to that used for the activated carbon in this study). The model reproduces very well the breakthrough curves for the different feed concentrations, including the experimental breakthrough curves obtained for nitrogen. Also, from the breakthrough curves we can note that the adsorbent is very selective towards carbon dioxide.

### 4.5 PSA: Experimental data and modeling

Figure 5 shows the pressure change as a function of the process time for the experimental conditions of Run 1 (see Table 2). A function was not found to describe with more accuracy the pressure drop during the blowdown step and therefore the model cannot predict very well this process variable.

Figure 6 shows the experimental and simulated changes for CO$_2$ molar flow rate during the PSA separation. The solid line represents the first cycle and the dashed line the steady-state cycle (CCS).

Figure 7 shows the experimental and simulated N$_2$ molar flow rate as a function of process time, under the experimental conditions of run 2. The assumption that nitrogen adsorption does not affect the CO$_2$ adsorption is well represented by the simulation, since the flow rate of nitrogen is not modified during each step.
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Fig. 4. Breakthrough curves for the N\textsubscript{2} and CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption on commercial activated carbon. Symbols: experimental data; △ N\textsubscript{2} and □ CO\textsubscript{2}. Lines: LDF model. Conditions: (a) run 1; (b) run 2; (c) run 3; and (d) run 4.

Fig. 5. Pressure change as a function of process time. Experimental conditions: run 1.
Fig. 6. Carbon dioxide molar flow rate as a function of cycle time. Experimental conditions: (a) run 1; (b) run 2; (c) run 3; and (d) run 4. Solid line: first cycle simulation. Dashed line: CCS simulation.

Fig. 7. Nitrogen molar flow rate as a function of cycle time. Experimental conditions: run 2. Solid line: first cycle simulation. Dashed line: CCS simulation.

Figures 8(a) and 8(b) shows the experimental and simulated temperature profile inside the column, at 0.17 m and 0.43 m from the bottom of the column, under the experimental
conditions of run 3. The temperature peak is high due to the exothermic adsorption of CO₂ on activated carbon in a high amount. Therefore heat effects cannot be neglected during adsorption, especially when there is a strong adsorbent-adsorbate interaction.

Fig. 8. Temperature profile of the gas phase: (a) at 0.17 m and (b) at 0.43 m from the bottom of the column. Experimental conditions: run 3.

Table 12 shows the performance of the PSA process: carbon dioxide recovery, nitrogen recovery, and carbon dioxide purity obtained for all experimental conditions studied. It is possible note that there is an increase in the carbon dioxide purity with increasing feed time (runs 1 and 2). This indicates that the separation is strongly controlled by the equilibrium. It can be also noted that there is an increase in the carbon dioxide purity with increasing temperature and this is due to the high selectivity of activated carbon. We observed that when the temperature of the CO₂/N₂ mixture was 100°C, a superior CO₂ purity is obtained due to the high selective toward CO₂. This is a good result since it indicates that the cooling of the exhaustion gas before CO₂ separation is not necessary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>Feed time, s</th>
<th>CO₂ purity, %</th>
<th>N₂ purity, %</th>
<th>CO₂ recovery, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>87.1</td>
<td>93.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>85.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>87.1</td>
<td>73.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>49.7</td>
<td>78.9</td>
<td>66.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 12. PSA Performance.

As proposed by Grande and Rodrigues (2008) for CO₂ adsorption, adsorbents with a greater adsorption capacity and higher heat of adsorption than the activated carbon honeycomb monolith should be used to achieve a product purity of greater than 16%. Although the CO₂ purity is lower than that is required to transport, the PSA cycle could be optimized in order to increase the CO₂ purity and recovery (Ko et al., 2005). Transport considerations limit the CO₂ purity > 95.5% to ensure a reasonable input of CO₂ compression power (Vinay & Handal, 2010).
5. Conclusion

There are many factors that influence CO\textsubscript{2} capture, some of them are physical and some chemical. Textural properties are important for any adsorption processes but, in the case of CO\textsubscript{2} capture, the surface chemistry is a particularly important factor. The enrichment of activated carbon with nitrogen using amine 3-chloropropylamine hydrochloride blocked some pores of the activated carbon. The increase in the surface basicity was not sufficient to counteract the decrease in the BET superficial area since a reduction in the CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption was observed.

Carbon dioxide adsorption on commercial activated carbon and on a nitrogen-enriched activated carbon, named CPHCL, packed in a fixed bed was studied. The adsorption equilibrium data for carbon dioxide on the commercial activated carbon were fitted well using the Toth model equation, whereas for carbon dioxide adsorption on the CPHCL a linear isotherm was considered. A model using the LDF approximation for the mass transfer, taking into account the energy balance, described the breakthrough curves of carbon dioxide adequately. The LDF global mass transfer coefficient for the adsorption of CO\textsubscript{2} on activated carbon is smaller than that for the CPHCL. Since part of the micropores of the activated carbon are blocked by the incorporation of the amine, probably only the largest pores would be filled by the CO\textsubscript{2}, causing a decrease on the capacity of the adsorption and an increase on the adsorption rate.

The fixed-bed adsorption of CO\textsubscript{2}/N\textsubscript{2} mixtures on activated carbon was also studied. The adsorption dynamics was investigated at several temperatures and considering the effects caused by N\textsubscript{2} adsorption. It was demonstrated that the solid sorbent adsorbed carbon dioxide and nitrogen to its total capacity, leading to the conclusion that the equilibrium of CO\textsubscript{2} and N\textsubscript{2} adsorption from CO\textsubscript{2}/N\textsubscript{2} mixtures could be very well described through the adsorption equilibrium behavior of the single components. The activated carbon used in this study has high selectivity for CO\textsubscript{2} and is suitable for CO\textsubscript{2}/N\textsubscript{2} separation processes. The model proposed herein can be used to design a PSA cycle to separate the components of CO\textsubscript{2}/N\textsubscript{2} mixtures, where the pressure drop and thermal effects are very important.

The carbon dioxide–nitrogen separation applying PSA process showed that the increase in the inlet temperature of the mixture CO\textsubscript{2}/N\textsubscript{2} increases the CO\textsubscript{2} purity due to the great difference between the adsorption capacities of N\textsubscript{2} and CO\textsubscript{2}.
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