1. Introduction

Angiogenesis, the formation of new blood vessels, is a critical component in the growth and metastasis of cancers and has been recognized as an attractive target for anticancer therapy (Ferrara, 2002). Among the pro-angiogenic factors, vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) is recognized as the predominant mediator of angiogenesis in tumor cells (Ferrara & Kerbel, 2005). As VEGF is overexpressed in most ovarian cancers, the VEGF pathway is a promising target for anti-angiogenic therapy against ovarian cancer (Burger, 2011).

Recent increases in our understanding of the molecular pathways that control tumor angiogenesis have led to the development of novel VEGF-targeting agents for the treatment of ovarian cancer (Burger, 2011). In addition to inhibiting neo-vascularization, anti-angiogenic agents are also believed to normalize intratumoral blood vessels. Intratumoral vessels are hyperpermeable, leading to interstitial hypertension and impaired perfusion in tumors. Normalization of the tumor vasculature results in a reduction in interstitial pressure and the improved delivery of oxygen, nutrients, and cytotoxic agents (Ferrara, 2002). Many of these agents have been evaluated in clinical trials, and some of them have shown promising clinical activity against ovarian cancer (Burger, 2011). In this article, we review the emerging VEGF-targeting strategies for treating ovarian cancer and provide information about the latest clinical studies of VEGF-targeting agents that have been employed as treatments for ovarian cancer.

2. Angiogenesis overview

Angiogenesis is the process by which new blood vessels grow from the existing vasculature. A tumor is unable to grow beyond 2mm diameter without neoangiogenesis (Carmeliet, 2000), thus, angiogenesis plays an essential role in tumor growth, invasion, and metastasis (Carmeliet, 2000; Kerbel, 1991). Angiogenesis is tightly regulated by balancing pro- and anti-angiogenic factors. The transition of a tumor from “avascular phase” to “vascular phase” is termed “angiogenic switch”. This switch is believed to be stimulated by an increase in expression of pro-angiogenic factors. A variety of pro-angiogenic factors have been identified and recognized as potential targets of antiangiogenic therapy (Ferrara & Kerbel, 2005). Vascular endothelial cell growth factor (VEGF), one of the key mediators of angiogenesis, promotes the proliferation, survival, and migration of endothelial cells and is essential for blood vessel formation (Ferrara & Kerbel, 2005). VEGF can also affect new vessel formation in tumors by acting as a chemoattractant for bone marrow-derived progenitor cells (Rafii et al., 2002). The major physiological stimulus for VEGF expression is
hypoxia, which commonly develops within tumors when cancer cell proliferation exceeds the rate of blood vessel formation. Hypoxia inducible factor-1α (HIF-1α), a transcriptional activator that acts as a central regulator of oxygen homeostasis, regulates the expression of VEGF and promotes angiogenesis, which is essential for fulfilling the metabolic requirements of tumor growth (Forsythe et al., 1996).

3. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF): Structure and function

VEGF (also referred to as VEGF-A) is a dimeric protein that has been shown to stimulate angiogenesis. As it also enhances vascular permeability, VEGF is also recognized as vascular permeability factor (VPF) (Ferrara & Kerbel, 2005). VEGF is a member of the VEGF/PDGF gene family. Other members of this family include VEGF-B, VEGF-C, VEGF-D, PDGF, and PIGF (Ferrara & Kerbel, 2005). VEGF exerts its biological effects by interacting with the VEGF receptors (VEGFR) present on the cell surface (Figure 1). These transmembrane receptors include VEGFR-1 (also known as Flt-1) and VEGFR-2 (Flk-1), which are predominantly expressed on vascular endothelial cells. A third receptor, VEGFR-3 (Flt-4), is mainly involved in the regulation of lymphatic systems (Karkkainen et al., 2001). The binding of VEGF to its receptor causes the dimerization and phosphorylation of intracellular receptor kinases, which in turn activates a cascade of downstream signals responsible for tumor angiogenesis (Ferrara & Kerbel, 2005).

Fig. 1. VEGF signaling.

4. Role of VEGF in epithelial ovarian cancer: Preclinical findings

4.1 VEGF expression in epithelial ovarian cancer

VEGF expression in epithelial ovarian cancer has been intensively examined (Wong et al., 2003; Yamamoto et al., 1997; Brustmann, 2004; Mabuchi et al., 2010b). It has been generally accepted that VEGF expression is greater in ovarian cancers than in normal ovarian tissue or benign ovarian neoplasm. According to previous reports, in which common histological
subtypes such as serous adenocarcinoma or endometrioid adenocarcinoma were investigated, the expression rate of VEGF was approximately 90% (Wong et al., 2003; Yamamoto et al., 1997; Brustmann, 2004). In a recent report about clear cell carcinoma of the ovary, strong VEGF expression was observed in 86% of cases (Mabuchi et al., 2010b). These results suggest that most epithelial ovarian cancers are dependent on VEGF for tumor progression, and hence, are candidates for VEGF targeting therapy.

4.2 VEGF expression and patient prognosis
In patients with ovarian cancer, high serum VEGF levels are an independent risk factor for advanced stage and decreased survival (Mabuchi et al., 2010b; Cooper et al., 2002; Li et al., 2004; Hefler et al., 2006). Moreover, previous immunohistochemical analyses have suggested that VEGF expression in ovarian cancer specimens is also associated with poor patient prognosis, advanced stage, and short survival (Mabuchi et al., 2010b; Cooper et al., 2002; Li et al., 2004; Hefler et al., 2006). For example, in a recent investigation of ovarian clear cell carcinomas (Mabuchi et al., 2010b), patients whose tumor showed strong immunoreactivity displayed significantly shorter survival than those with weak immunoreactivity for VEGF (mean: 60 months vs. 40 months, respectively). The association observed between VEGF expression and clinical outcome in ovarian cancer patients makes the VEGF pathway an attractive therapeutic target in this patient group.

4.3 VEGF expression and ascites formation
The effect of VEGF on vascular permeability is believed to be crucial for malignant ascites formation (Senger et al., 1983; Zhang et al., 2002). In patients with ovarian cancer, high serum VEGF levels was reported to be an independent risk factor for developing ascites formation (Cooper et al., 2002; Li et al., 2004; Hefler et al., 2006). In an in vivo investigation using an intraperitoneal ovarian cancer model, VEGF inhibition resulted in the complete inhibition of ascites formation (Mesiano et al., 1998; Mabuchi et al., 2008). Since patients with advanced or recurrent ovarian cancer frequently suffer from malignant ascites and require paracentesis for symptomatic relief, the ability of VEGF-targeting agents to inhibit ascites formation makes them attractive candidate treatments for ovarian cancer (Numnum et al., 2006).

4.4 VEGF expression and chemoresistance
It has been reported that chemoresistant tumors display greater VEGF expression than chemosensitive tumors (Mabuchi et al., 2010b; Schönau et al., 2007). For example, 5-fluorouracil-resistant colon adenocarcinoma subclones were found to display increased VEGF expression and enhanced pro-angiogenic activity compared to the corresponding primary adenocarcinoma cells (Schönau et al., 2007). Moreover, cisplatin-refractory ovarian cancer cell lines exhibit higher VEGF expression than their parental cisplatin-sensitive cell lines (Mabuchi et al., 2010b). As VEGF increases vascular permeability, which leads to interstitial hypertension and the impaired delivery of cytotoxic agents to tumors; theoretically, increased VEGF production in chemoresistant tumors might further limit the efficacy of chemotherapy (Gerber & Ferrara, 2005). Therefore, VEGF inhibition is a reasonable treatment strategy for overcoming chemoresistance or enhancing the sensitivity of ovarian cancer to chemotherapeutic agents.
4.5 VEGF receptor expression in epithelial ovarian cancer
VEGFR are predominantly expressed on vascular endothelial cells. However, recent reports have suggested that VEGFR are also expressed by some tumor cells including ovarian cancer cells (Sood et al., 2001; Spannuth et al., 2009). In a study by Spannuth et al, in situ hybridization revealed that VEGFR-1 and VEGFR-2 expression was observed in 85% and 15% of human ovarian cancer specimens, respectively. Moreover, using the ovarian cancer cell lines, the authors showed that functionally active VEGFR is present on most ovarian cancer cells (Spannuth et al., 2009). Although the biological role of the VEGFR expressed on tumor cells remains unclear, they might represent an additional target of ovarian cancer therapy.

5. VEGF targeting agents
It is generally accepted that, unlike normal vasculature, the intratumoral vessels produced by VEGF-mediated angiogenesis are hyperpermeable, leading to increased interstitial fluid pressure and the impaired perfusion of oxygen and cytotoxic agents into tumors. The resultant hypoxic conditions in tumor cells further increase the expression of VEGF and limit the efficacy of chemotherapy and radiotherapy (Gerber & Ferrara, 2005). Theoretically, the inhibition of VEGF by VEGF-targeting agents should inhibit neo-vascularization and “normalize” poorly formed, leaky intratumoral blood vessels. This could lead to the improved delivery of cytotoxic agents and oxygen to tumors (Gerber & Ferrara, 2005).

There are two major strategies used to inhibit the VEGF pathway in cancer therapy (Spannuth et al., 2008). One is the inhibition of the VEGF ligand with antibodies or soluble receptors, and the other is the inhibition of the VEGF receptor with tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI) or receptor antibodies (Figure 2). Various VEGF-targeting agents have been identified and are currently being evaluated clinically or preclinically. Of these, bevacizumab, aflibercept, and several TKI are currently being evaluated in phase III clinical trials for the treatment of epithelial ovarian cancer (Table 1-2).

![Fig. 2. Strategy to inhibit VEGF signaling](www.intechopen.com)
6. Therapeutic potential of VEGF targeted agents in ovarian cancer: Preclinical findings

Among the VEGF-targeting agents including bevacizumab, aflibercept, and TKI, bevacizumab has been used most commonly for the treatment of ovarian cancer in the clinical setting. Thus, we herein provide information regarding the preclinical activity of bevacizumab in ovarian cancer.

6.1 Monotherapy
Preclinical in vivo studies have demonstrated that inhibiting VEGF activity using a murine monoclonal antibody to human VEGF (A4.6.1) significantly inhibited the growth of subcutaneously inoculated ovarian tumors. Moreover, in mice carrying intraperitoneal tumors, treatment with A4.6.1 completely inhibited ascites production (Mesiano et al., 1998). Bevacizumab is the humanized form of A4.6.1, which was developed in 1997 (Presta et al., 1997). In a preclinical investigation, treatment with bevacizumab significantly inhibited the growth of intraperitoneally inoculated serous ovarian cancer. In addition, bevacizumab treatment significantly inhibited ascites production and prolonged survival of the mice (Mabuchi et al., 2008).

The single agent activity of bevacizumab on chemoresistant ovarian cancer has also been evaluated preclinically. According to a recent report, the growth inhibitory effect of bevacizumab on cisplatin-resistant ovarian cancer was similar to the effect of bevacizumab on cisplatin-sensitive ovarian cancer (Mabuchi et al., 2010b). Collectively, these results suggest that both platinum-sensitive and platinum-resistant ovarian cancer are the candidates for VEGF-targeting therapy.

6.2 Combination therapy
Not only to inhibit neo-vascularization, VEGF-targeted agents are believed to normalize intra-tumoral blood vessels and improved delivery of oxygen, nutrients, and cytotoxic agents (Gerber & Ferrara, 2005; Jain, 2005). Thus, theoretically, VEGF-targeting agents should be efficacious in combination with chemotherapy.

In a mouse model of ovarian cancer, treatment with bevacizumab in combination with paclitaxel significantly reduced tumor growth compared with paclitaxel alone (83.3% reduction in the combination arm versus 58.5% reduction in the paclitaxel alone arm) and resulted in the complete inhibition of ascites formation (Hu et al., 2002). Similarly, treatment with bevacizumab in combination with cisplatin significantly reduced tumor growth and ascites formation compared with cisplatin therapy alone (Mabuchi et al., 2008).

6.3 Maintenance therapy
There is a strong rationale for using angiogenesis targeted agents in the maintenance therapy setting. Since it has been reported that tumors require a vascular blood supply to grow beyond 2 mm (Gimbrone et al., 1972), any subclinical ovarian tumors that are present after a complete clinical response to first-line chemotherapy should require angiogenesis for their continued proliferation, invasion, and metastasis. Thus, VEGF-targeting maintenance therapy after standard primary treatment might be beneficial for patients with ovarian cancer.
The effect of VEGF-targeting agent as a maintenance therapy has been investigated in an in vivo ovarian cancer model (Mabuchi et al., 2008). In this investigation, athymic mice were intraperitoneally inoculated with serous ovarian cancer cells. When bevacizumab was used as a maintenance treatment after a complete clinical response to front-line chemotherapy had been obtained, bevacizumab significantly inhibited the recurrence of ovarian cancer and prolonged the survival of the mice (Mabuchi et al., 2008). This is the only preclinical report in which a survival benefit was derived from the use of a VEGF-targeting agent in the setting of maintenance therapy.

7. VEGF targeting agents in ovarian cancer: A clinical trial review

7.1 Bevacizumab
To date, bevacizumab, a recombinant human monoclonal antibody to the VEGF ligand, is the most studied VEGF-targeting agent in patients with ovarian cancer (Eskander & Randall, 2011).

7.1.1 Bevacizumab in the setting of front-line, maintenance, or salvage therapy
The clinical activity of bevacizumab as a single agent has been prospectively examined in two phase II trials involving patients with recurrent ovarian cancer (Cannistra et al., 2007; Burger et al., 2007). The gynecologic oncology group (GOG) evaluated the efficacy of bevacizumab in a phase II clinical trial involving 62 patients with recurrent ovarian cancer (GOG 170-D). Of the patients enrolled, 3 patients showed a complete response, and 4 patients demonstrated a partial response, giving an overall response rate of 18% and a median response duration of 10.25 months. Importantly, an additional 34 patients (55%) showed disease stabilization (Burger et al., 2007). Cannistra et al. also investigated the activity of single agent bevacizumab in 44 patients with platinum-resistant, heavily pretreated ovarian cancer. Of the 44 patients, according to the RECIST guidelines, there were no complete responders, but 7 partial responders were observed. The median duration of the response was 4.3 months (Cannistra et al., 2007).

The effect of bevacizumab has also been examined in the setting of combination therapy in two phase II studies. Garcia et al. evaluated the activity of a combination of bevacizumab and oral cyclophosphamide in patients with recurrent ovarian cancer. Of the 70 patients enrolled, 17 patients (24%) demonstrated a partial response, and 44 patients (63%) showed disease stabilization (Garcia et al., 2008). Penson et al. recently reported on the efficacy of bevacizumab in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel as a first-line chemotherapy for patients with advanced Mullerian tumors, most of which (73%) were ovarian cancers. Of a total of 28 patients with measurable disease, 11 patients (39%) demonstrated a complete response, and 10 patients (36%) showed a partial response (Penson et al., 2010).

The evidence of clinical activity found in these phase II studies has led to the development of phase III trials examining the use of bevacizumab for the treatment of ovarian cancer (Teoh & Secord, 2011; Burger, 2011) (Table 1). The efficacy of Bevacizumab in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel as a primary treatment is being evaluated in three phase III trials. The first is a three-arm placebo-controlled trial, GOG 218 (NCT00262847); a 3-armed trial designed to investigate the clinical benefit of adding bevacizumab to front-line carboplatin-paclitaxel chemotherapy, as well as the benefit of bevacizumab maintenance therapy in patients with advanced stage epithelial
ovarian, primary peritoneal, or fallopian tube cancer (Figure 3). After a median follow-up period of 17.4 months, the preliminary results from 1873 patients were presented at the 2010 meeting of the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) (Burger et al., 2010). The patients who were treated with concurrent or maintenance bevacizumab showed significantly longer PFS than the standard chemotherapy arm (14.1 months versus 10.3 months; hazard ratio, 0.717; 95% confidence interval, 0.625-0.824; p<0.0001). No significant difference in PFS was observed between the patients treated with concurrent bevacizumab and those treated with standard chemotherapy (11.2 months versus 10.3 months; HR, 0.908; 95% CI, 0.759-1.040; p=0.008). The OS data were not mature at the time of the presentation due to the cohort suffering a mortality rate of 24%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>Trial design</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GOG 218</td>
<td>First-line adjuvant</td>
<td>Arm 1: CT</td>
<td>Completed accrual</td>
<td>Favoring Arm 3; HR 0.717; p&lt;0.0001.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Arm 2: CT+Bev</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Arm 3: CT+Bev+maintenance Bev</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICON-7</td>
<td>First-line adjuvant</td>
<td>Arm 1: CT</td>
<td>Completed accrual</td>
<td>Favoring arm 2; HR 0.81; p&lt;0.0041.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Arm 2: CT+Bev+maintenance Bev</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOG 252</td>
<td>First-line adjuvant</td>
<td>Arm 1: PTX (iv,d1, 8, 15)+ CBDCA (iv,d1)+ Bev+ maintenance Bev</td>
<td>Open to accrual</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Arm 2: PTX (iv, d1, 8, 15)+ CBDCA (ip, d1)+Bev+ maintenance Bev</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Arm 3: PTX (iv,d1)+ PTX (ip, d8)+ CDDP (ip, d2)+ Bev+maintenance Bev</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOG 213</td>
<td>Recurrent</td>
<td>Arm 1: CT</td>
<td>Open to accrual</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Arm 2: CT+Bev+maintenance Bev</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCEANS</td>
<td>Recurrent</td>
<td>Arm 1: CG</td>
<td>Completed accrual</td>
<td>Results not yet available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Arm 2: CG+Bev+maintenance Bev</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AURELIA</td>
<td>Recurrent</td>
<td>Arm 1: PTX, Topo, or PLD</td>
<td>Open to accrual</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Arm 2: PTX, Topo, or PLD+Bev</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Summary of randomized controlled trials evaluating bevacizumab in ovarian cancer. CT, carboplatin plus paclitaxel; CG, carboplatin plus gemcitabine, Bev, bevacizumab, PTX, paclitaxel; CBDCA, carboplatin; CDDP, cisplatin; Topo, topotecan; PLD, liposomal doxorubicin; HR, hazard ratio.
The second study is a non-placebo-controlled trial organized by the International Collaborative Ovarian Neoplasm trial, ICON7 (NCT00483782). This study is 2-armed trial designed to compare carboplatin-paclitaxel with bevacizumab plus carboplatin-paclitaxel followed by maintenance bevacizumab therapy in patients with stage IIB-IV or early-stage high-risk ovarian (stage I-IIa with grade 3 or clear cell histology), primary peritoneal, or fallopian tube cancer (Figure 4). Data from the 1528 patients enrolled in this trial were presented at European Society of Medical Oncology meeting (ESMO) in 2010 (Perren et al 2010). The patients that were treated with concurrent and maintenance bevacizumab had a median PFS of 19 months, which was longer than the 17.3 months observed in the patients treated with chemotherapy alone (HR, 0.81; 95% CI, 0.70-0.94; p<0.0041). The result of interim analysis presented at the 2011 meeting of the ASCO also showed the superiority of the concurrent and maintenance bevacizumab compared to the standard chemotherapy (median PFS of 19.8 months versus 17.4 months; HR, 0.87; 95% CI, 0.77-0.99; p=0.039). Survival data will not be mature until 2013 (Kristensen et al., 2011).

The last trial is GOG252 (NCT00951496), which will not determine the efficacy of additional bevacizumab, but rather is designed to compare intravenous versus intraperitoneal carboplatin, and intraperitoneal carboplatin versus intraperitoneal cisplatin plus paclitaxel in the setting of front-line therapy. All participants received bevacizumab in the front-line setting as well as bevacizumab consolidation chemotherapy (Figure 5).
Fig. 5. GOG 252 schema.

The activity of bevacizumab in patients with recurrent ovarian cancer is currently being evaluated in several phase III trials. GOG 213 (NCT00565851) was designed to evaluate the roles of secondary cytoreductive surgery and bevacizumab in combination with carboplatin-paclitaxel in patients with platinum-sensitive recurrent ovarian cancer (Figure 6).

Fig. 6. GOG 213 schema.

The Ovarian Cancer Evaluation of Avastin and Safety-AVF4095g trial (OCEANS) is a phase III study designed to compare gemcitabine and carboplatin with or without bevacizumab in patients with platinum-sensitive recurrent ovarian, primary peritoneal, or fallopian tube cancer (NCT00434642). After 6 cycles of combination chemotherapy with carboplatin-gemcitabine, the patients will continue to receive bevacizumab or placebo consolidation therapy for up to 51 weeks (Figure 7). After a median follow-up period of 24 months, preliminary results from 484 patients were presented at the 2011 meeting of the ASCO.
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Patients treated with concurrent and maintenance bevacizumab showed significantly longer PFS compared to the standard chemotherapy arm (12.4 months versus 8.4 months; hazard ratio, 0.484; 95% CI, 0.388-0.605; p<0.0001). The survival data are not mature.

The AURELIA study (NCT00976911) is a phase III study designed to evaluate the benefit of adding bevacizumab to standard chemotherapy in patients with recurrent epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer who displayed disease progression within 6 months of platinum therapy. The patients will receive paclitaxel, topotecan, or liposomal doxorubicin with or without concomitant bevacizumab (Figure 8).

**Fig. 7. OCEANS schema.**

**Fig. 8. AURELIA schema.**

**7.1.2 Bevacizumab for palliative treatment**

The ability of bevacizumab as a palliative agent for symptomatic ascites has also been evaluated in several studies. In a report by Numnum et al, treatment with bevacizumab for
patients with recurrent ovarian cancer with symptomatic ascites resulted in relief of symptoms associated with ascites formation. Moreover, objectively, no patient required a paracentesis after the initiation of bevacizumab therapy (Numnum et al., 2006). These results suggest that it is reasonable to consider the use of bevacizumab as a palliative agent in patients with end stage ovarian cancer with symptomatic ascites.

### 7.2 Aflibercept (AVE0005/VEGF trap)

Aflibercept (AVE0005/VEGF trap) is a fusion protein that inactivates VEGF by acting as a decoy receptor for VEGF, preventing VEGF binding to VEGFR. Preclinical in vivo studies have demonstrated that treatment with aflibercept resulted in a decreased tumor burden and reduced ascites formation as a result of tumor angiogenesis inhibition (Byrne et al., 2003).

The clinical activity of aflibercept in ovarian cancer has been evaluated in several phase I/II studies (Moroney et al., 2009). In a randomized, double-blind, phase II study of patients with recurrent ovarian cancer, aflibercept produced a significant tumor response (according to the RECIST criteria) or CA-125 response (defined as a 50% reduction in the CA-125 protein level) was observed in 18 (13.8%) out of 130 evaluable patients. In addition, of the 40 patients who had evaluable ascites at the baseline, 77.5% demonstrated the complete disappearance or stabilization of their ascites (Tew et al., 2007).

On the basis of the promising results from these preclinical and clinical investigations, aflibercept has been evaluated in a phase III trial (Table 2). In this trial, the effect of aflibercept on the necessity of repeated paracentesis for symptomatic ascites in patients with advanced ovarian cancer has been evaluated (NCT00327444) (Figure 9).

![Fig. 9. NCT00327444 schema.](image)

### 7.3 Tyrosine kinase inhibitors

Another strategy is the inhibition of the VEGF receptor using tyrosine kinase inhibitors (Teoh & Secord, 2011). In contrast to the specific inhibition of the VEGF pathway using bevacizumab or aflibercept, most TKI target multiple receptors responsible for tumor-angiogenesis. Theoretically, these multi-targeting anti-angiogenic agents inhibit tumor angiogenesis more completely than agents that specifically target VEGF, and thus, might have a greater therapeutic benefit. Several TKI have demonstrated clinical activity in phase I/II trials involving patients with ovarian cancer and are currently being evaluated in phase III trials (Table 2).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Endpoint</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aflibercept</td>
<td>VEGF</td>
<td>Recurrent</td>
<td>Arm 1: Placebo</td>
<td>Time to repeat para-centesis</td>
<td>Completed Results not yet available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Arm 2: Aflibercept</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cediranib</td>
<td>VEGFR-1,2,3, PDGFR-β, c-kit</td>
<td>Recurrent</td>
<td>Arm 1: CT</td>
<td>PFS and OS</td>
<td>Open to accrual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Arm 2: CT+ Ced</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Arm 3: CT+ Ced+ Maintenance Ced</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pazopanib</td>
<td>VEGFR-1,2,3, PDGFR-α, β, c-kit</td>
<td>Maintenance*</td>
<td>Arm 1: Placebo</td>
<td>PFS</td>
<td>Completed accrual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Arm 2: Pazopanib</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIBF 1120</td>
<td>VEGFR-1,2,3, PDGFR-α, β, FGFR-1,2,3</td>
<td>Front-line adjuvant</td>
<td>Arm 1: CT</td>
<td>PFS</td>
<td>Open to accrual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Arm 2: CT+ BIBF 1120</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Summary of the randomized controlled trials evaluating the efficacy of tyrosine kinase inhibitors against ovarian cancer. Ced, Cediranib; PFS, progression free survival; OS, overall survival.

* Maintenance treatment for patients whose cancer had not progressed during first line chemotherapy.

7.3.1 Cediranib

Cediranib is an oral TKI that targets all three VEGFR, PDGFR, and c-kit. In the setting of a phase II study of patients with recurrent epithelial ovarian cancer or fallopian tube cancer, cediranib demonstrated significant clinical activity. In this trial, single agent cediranib showed a clinical benefit rate (complete response, partial response, stable disease, or CA125 non-progression) of 30% (Matulonis et al., 2009).

Cediranib is currently being evaluated in a phase III trial (ICON6), which was designed to investigate the clinical benefit of adding cediranib to carboplatin-paclitaxel, as well as the benefit of continuing cediranib as a maintenance therapy in patients with platinum-sensitive, recurrent ovarian cancer (NCT00532194) (Figure 10).
7.3.2 Pazopanib
Pazopanib, an oral TKI that targets all three VEGFR, PDGFR, and c-kit, was approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration in 2009 for the treatment of patients with advanced renal cell carcinoma. The clinical activity of pazopanib in ovarian cancer has been evaluated in several phase I/II trials (Monk et al., 2010; Friedlander et al., 2010). In a phase II trial in which the CA-125 response (≥50% decrease from baseline) was the primary endpoint, pazopanib demonstrated a CA-125 response rate of 31% (11 out of 36 patients). In 17 patients with measurable disease, the overall response rate (according to the RECIST criteria) was 18%.
On the basis of these results, the activity of pazopanib is currently being evaluated in a phase III study, which was designed to compare the efficacy of pazopanib versus placebo in women whose disease had not progressed after first-line chemotherapy for epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer (NCT00866697) (Figure 11).

7.3.3 BIBF 1120
BIBF 1120 is an orally available TKI that binds and inhibits VEGFR, PDGFR, and FGFR tyrosine kinases. On the basis of a promising randomized controlled phase II trial highlighting the benefit of maintenance treatment with BIBF 1120 after salvage chemotherapy in patients with recurrent ovarian cancer (Ledermann et al., 2009), the...
Arbeitsgemeinschaft Gynakologische Oncologie (AGO) is currently conducting a Phase III trial (AGO-OVAR-12). This trial was designed to evaluate the efficacy of BIBF 1120 in combination with carboplatin-paclitaxel in patients with advanced epithelial ovarian cancer in the setting of front-line treatment (NCT01015118) (Figure 12).

![Schema of NCT01015118 trial](image)

Fig. 12. NCT01015118 schema.

8. Toxic effects of VEGF-targeting agents

As VEGF mediates physiologically important processes in healthy tissues, VEGF-targeting agents are associated with unique and potentially problematic side effects (Stone et al., 2010).

Hypertension is the most common side effect of VEGF-targeting agents. It typically occurs within 3 weeks of the beginning of therapy. The pathogenesis of hypertension associated with VEGF-targeting agents is not fully understood. However, it is speculated that the suppression of nitric oxide production by VEGF antagonism leads to vasoconstriction and decreased sodium ion renal excretion, which results in elevated blood pressure (Izzedine et al., 2009). A recent review of the adverse effects of anti-angiogenic therapies suggested that the incidence of grade 3/4 hypertension in patients that had been treated with bevacizumab, aflibercept, or TKI was 8-26%, 9-32%, and 5-48%, respectively (Stone et al., 2010).

Proteinuria is another common side effect observed in patients treated with bevacizumab or aflibercept. In clinical trials of bevacizumab or aflibercept, grade 3/4 proteinuria occurred in approximately 1-4% of patients (Izzedine et al., 2010). Proteinuria is rare in patients treated with TKI. Such proteinuria is reported to occur as a result of the disruption of VEGF-dependent glomerular endothelial integrity (Ostendorf et al., 1999).

Wound healing complications is another serious side effect that is reported to occur in patients treated with VEGF-targeting agents. As the wound-healing process is dependent on angiogenesis, VEGF-targeting agents have the potential to delay the wound-healing process in patients who undergo surgery. On the basis of a previous report suggesting that patients who undergo surgery within 28-60 days of bevacizumab therapy are at an increased risk of wound-healing complications, physicians recommend avoiding major surgery at least 30 days after the completion of bevacizumab treatment (Shord et al., 2009).

The increased risk of atrial thromboembolic events (ATE), usually myocardial or cerebrovascular events, is another serious adverse effect associated with bevacizumab...
therapy. In a recent analysis of 1745 patients with colorectal, breast, or non-small-cell lung cancer from five randomized trials, the addition of bevacizumab to chemotherapy was associated with an increased risk of ATE (incidence of 3.8% in the combination therapy group vs 1.7% in the chemotherapy alone group) (Scappaticci et al., 2007). The precise incidence of ATE in patients with ovarian cancer who have been treated with VEGF-targeting agents is unknown; however, so far, 30 ATE events have been reported. ATE events are rarely reported in patients who have been treated with aflibercept or TKI (Stone et al., 2010).

The most serious complication of VEGF-targeting agents is bowel perforation. In a recent review of 9 clinical studies examining the use of bevacizumab in ovarian cancer patients, the overall frequency of bowel perforation was 5.4%, which was higher than the 2.4% observed in patients with colorectal cancer (Han & Monk, 2007). Bowel perforation was also observed in patients that had been treated with aflibercept (Colombo et al., 2008), however, there have been no reported cases of bowel perforation involving patients that were treated with TKI monotherapy (Stone et al., 2010). The management of bowel perforation is difficult because of the increased likelihood of surgical and postoperative complications, such as thrombosis or compromised wound healing caused by bevacizumab treatment, suggesting the importance of preventing this serious complication. The precise mechanisms of, and risk factors for, bowel perforation are largely unknown. However, Simpkins et al. suggested from their experience that bowel perforation can be avoided by carefully selecting patients without clinical symptoms of bowel obstruction, evidence of rectosigmoid involvement on a pelvic examination, or evidence of bowel involvement on a computed tomography (CT) scan (Simpkins et al., 2007).

At this point, no standard recommendations exist for the management of the adverse effects induced by VEGF-targeting agents. Further investigations are needed to solve this issue.

9. Conclusions and future directions

Recent increases in our understanding of cancer biology and the molecular pathways that control tumor angiogenesis have led to the identification of novel VEGF-targeting agents that can be used to treat ovarian cancer. Although VEGF-targeting agents have yielded promising results in ovarian cancer in the settings of front-line treatment and salvage treatment, several important clinical issues remain unanswered. The optimal methods for evaluating the efficacy of VEGF-targeting agents have yet to be clarified. As VEGF-targeting agents have cytostatic rather than cytotoxic effects, the traditional criteria applied to cytotoxic agents, such as the RECIST criteria, might be less applicable. The identification of surrogate biomarkers that can be used to guide drug choice or optimal dosing or to predict the tumor response or drug resistance is of paramount importance. In addition, increased understanding of the mechanisms underlying the unique toxic effects of VEGF-targeting agents, as well as the development of evidence-based management strategies for these adverse effects, are also necessary. Choosing the optimal VEGF-targeting agent for each patient will extend patient survival without reducing quality of life in the near future.
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