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1. Introduction

Hip dysplasia is one of the major conditions leading degenerative hip arthritis in early adulthood. Developmental insufficiency of bony structures yields asymmetric ball and socket formation, which usually associated with trophic changes and instability. Total hip joint replacement has successful results in patients with hip dysplasia in adulthood. The major goal of the treatment is rebuilding of a new stable artificial joint with painless range of motion. There is a need of a meticulous preoperative planning assessing the anatomic severity of the pathology, which is consisted of involved bony and soft tissue components. Limb length discrepancy, quality of bone stock, muscle atrophies, weakness and contractures should be evaluated before surgery. There are several classifications for assessment of acetabular dysplasia. However, we are aware of a combined classification or comprehensive algorithm for assessment of all components of pathology in unisom.

Current developments in the field of radiology like Three Dimensional (3d) Computerized Tomography (CT) and Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) allowed assessment of all component of hip dysplasia preoperatively. Metaphyseal and acetabular bone stock and structure, anteversion degrees and soft tissues has to be taken in consideration. The aim of this chapter is to review the present classifications and reported experiences on hip dysplasia and discuss the value of missing parts. The preoperative planning process were also reviewed regarding the role of modern radiologic examination techniques on current surgical and clinical applications.

2. Planning of arthroplasty in dysplastic hips

Patient selection is very important in the treatment of developmental dysplasia of the hip (DDH). In proper and young patients femoral or periacetabular osteotomy should be considered. Nevertheless total hip arthroplasty is often required for most of the patients with dysplasia. The major goal of the treatment is rebuilding of a new stable artificial joint with painless range of motion. To this end, there is a need of a meticulous preoperative planning assessing the anatomic severity of the pathology, which is consisted of involved bony and soft tissue component. The most important thing which should stick in our minds is the complexity of the anatomical abnormality complicating the reconstruction.
The orthopaedic surgeons should display a hard challenge to overcome these deformities. Serious hypoplasia of the acetabulum, femoral head deformity, short femoral neck, excessive anteversion of the neck, narrow and straight medullary canal, totally dislocation, limb leg discrepancy and highly placed trochanter are some of these deformities which makes the surgery difficult. Surgery may be further complicated by the effects of previously performed osteotomies. Therefore, an accurate guide is mandatory to solve this problem in the pre-operative planning.

2.1 An overlook to the basic science of congenital hip disease
Understanding of the embryological development of the hip, epidemiology, pathologic anatomy and the natural history of congenital hip disease (CDH) should help us to conceive the disease with accuracy and improve our skills in surgical area.

2.1.1 Embryology and normal development of the hip
The acetabulum and femoral head develope from the same mesenchymal cells in a cleft at the tenth week of the gestational period (Gardner & Gray, 1950; Strayer, 1943) Hip joint is completely formed at the 11th week of gestation. It is initially deeply set and spherical. It becomes progressively less deeply set and hemispherical until birth. The hip has maximum range of motion at birth as it is shallowest. They thought that this adaptation help the fetus pass through the birth canal. After birth these trends reverse: the acetabulum becomes deeper again and the femoral head more spherical. This process continues throughout childhood. Thus the risk of dislocation is greatest at the prenatal period. (Rális & McKibbin, 1973; Watanabe, 1974; Wedge & Wasylenko, 1978).

It is better to discuss about the normal postnatal development of the hip, before examining the pathologic anatomy of DDH. The outer two thirds of the acetabulum is formed by the acetabular cartilage. This complex is interposed between the ilium, the pubis and the ischium. It is rich from hyaline cartilage cells and contains growth plates on each side which helps the hip socket to expand during growth. (Ponseti, 1978; Watanabe, 1974; Weinstein, 1987). It is known that the concavity of the acetabulum develops in response to the presence of the spherical femoral head (Harrison, 1961; Smith et al., 1958).

2.1.2 Pathologic anatomy of DDH
The term of DDH was started to be used in the last decades, after it was understood that this disease presents in different manners;
1. Dysplasia: The articular relationship between femur and acetabulum isn’t broken, consequently Shenton’s line is intact. There is inadequate development of the acetabulum.
2. Subluxation: The femoral head migrates laterally and proximally, Shenton’s line is broken but femoral head is still in contact with acetabulum.
3. Dislocation: The femoral head is completely out of the acetabulum. The displacement of the femoral head is usually in posterosuperior direction.

It seems that these are the different stages of a disease seen in ages. (Hartofilakidis et al, 2000; Weinstein, 1987)
Therefore the pathological anatomy of the disease differs in a wide spectrum and depends on the stage of the disease. The anatomical pathology in dysplasia is mostly at the acetabular side of the joint. It is shallower than usual and femoroacetabular coverage is not enough.
This entity may cause osteoarthritic changes and femoral head will become deformed in time. Secondary degenerative changes may lead the dysplastic hip convert into a subluxed hip. In subluxation femoral head is proximally and laterally displaced. The major difference between dysplasia and subluxation is the intactness of Shenton’s line on the graphies. In these cases bone stock of the acetabulum is less affected, and development of the femur is almost normal. In the other hand, if a hip is dislocated, there is no relationship between the femoral head and acetabulum, acetabulum is too dysplastic and femoral head articulates to a false acetabulum on the iliac bone. The course of the abductor muscles changes to horizontally instead of lateral and vertical direction. The muscles also shorten in length due to the position of the trochanter major. Affected leg is shortened. Acetabulum is too much hypoplastic and the acetabular bone stock is remarkable diminished which is anteriorly. The bone stock is affected mostly in low dislocation cases due to the growth disruption of the acetabular lateral wall by the compression of the femoral head. Femur is also abnormal; it’s hypoplastic, anteverted and femoral canal is narrow than usual. Capsule is elongated and thickened. The course of the nerve and arteries is altered. External rotators are elongated, also.

2.2 Land marks and classification methods of DDH
Various anatomical landmarks on conventional graphies were used to assess the degree of acetabular dysplasia. Center edge angle of Wiberg, acetabular angle of Sharp and femoral head extrusion index are some of these parameters measured using these landmarks (Heyman & Herndon, 1950; Sharp, 1961; Wiberg, 1939).

There are several classifications for the assessment of acetabular dysplasia. The classifications of Crowe and Hartofilakidis are the most used ones in the literature. Crowe et al. described a method to determine degree of dysplasia in 1979 (Crowe et al., 1979). It was based on the degree of proximal femoral migration relative to the acetabulum on an anteroposterior radiograph of the pelvis. The authors differentiated the disease into four classes according to the distance between the teardrop and junction of the femoral neck and head. The amount of the proximal migration of the femoral head was measured as a percentage of the height of the femoral head or the pelvis (Table 1).

The classification of Hartofilakidis et al. classified dysplasia in three groups based on anatomic correlations (Hartofilakidis et al., 1988). The dislocated hip was described in two stages as low and high dislocation (Table 2). The other classification system, which was described by Eftekhar and Kerboul et al., was classified according to the shape of the acetabulum and head, and the side of dislocation respectively (Eftekhar, 1993; Kerboul et al., 1987). Along these most reliable ones are the classifications of Crowe and Hartofilakidis and their classifications have shown a better reproducibility than the systems of Eftekhar and Kerboul et al (Brunner et al., 2008; Decking et al., 2006). However, we are aware of a combined classification or comprehensive algorithm for assessment of all components of this pathology.

There isn’t any classification available describing this disease with the all aspects of the deformity. Most of the classifications are focused on the shape and relation between the head of femur and acetabulum. Soft tissue disturbances were excluded during evaluation and the effects of this on the success of the treatment were overlooked. Transversely orianted and poorly developed abductor muscles, and shortened adductors are some of these conditions which complicates the treatment than expected. Also, none of these classifications in use considers the amount of femoral anteversion and metaphyseal
development which results in discrepancy between the stem. These classifications should describe the deformity however they couldn’t achieve any idea on the treatment method and the implant type.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Subluxation &lt; 50% or proximal dislocation &lt; 0.1% of the pelvic height</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Subluxation 50% - 75% or proximal dislocation of 0.1% to 0.15 of pelvic height</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Subluxation 75% - 100% or proximal dislocation of 0.15 to 0.20 of pelvic height</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Subluxation &gt; 100% or proximal dislocation of &gt; 0.20% of pelvic height</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. The Classification of Crowe et al.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dysplasia</td>
<td>The femoral head is not dislocated out of the acetabulum despite the degree of subluxation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Dislocation</td>
<td>The femoral head is dislocated and articulates with a false acetabulum which partially covers the true acetabulum to a varying degree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Dislocation</td>
<td>The femoral head is dislocated and has migrated superiorly and posteriorly with no relation with any part of the true</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. The Classification of Hartofilakidis et al.

2.3 The clinical presentation and indications in developmental hip disease

Most of the DDH patients have symptoms of other joints except the hip joint. The ipsilateral knee tends to be in valgus due to the hyper adductus of the hip. Low back pain may occur in patients with bilateral dislocations though to the hyperlordosis of the lumbar spine (Wedge & Wasylenko, 1978). As a result knee and low back pain are mostly first symptoms of the disease. Most patients suffer from limping rather than the pain. The indication of total hip prosthesis surgery in DDH, does not differ from the indications in primer arthrosis. The important point is that surgery in DDH patients is more complicated and the complication rate is higher than the others. So the indication of the surgery may be difficult in patients with minor symptoms. The main symptom for surgery indication should be the pain. Despite the complicity of the disease most of the patients of dislocation have no symptom rather than limping. It may be better to choose other treatment options in patients.
which have no or little pain. Limbing only, shouldn’t be an indication for total hip arthroplasty.

2.4 Total hip arthroplasty surgery in DDH

Despite the advanced techniques and implants, the total hip arthroplasty in DDH still remains as a hard challenge at the present time. The most difficult part of this challenge is to decide the best technique and optimum implant for each patient. Unfortunately there is no consensus of opinion on the surgical planning of these patients who present in a wide spectrum. The success of the operation mostly depends on the severity of the disease. According to the Crowe classification, the rate of survival at 20 years follow-up, was found as 72.7%, 70.7%, 36.7% and 15.6% for class 1, 2, 3 and 4, respectively (Chougle et al, 2005). Although total hip surgery is a successful operation in the treatment of dysplastic hips, it may present many problems due to the muscular contractures, abnormal location of the hip center, inadequate bone stock of acetabulum and femur, alterations in the hip anatomy, abductor insufficiency and leg length discrepancy (Charnley & Feagin, 1973; Hartofilakidis et al., 1996; Mulroy & Harris, 1990; Patterson & Brown, 1973; Symeonides et al., 1997).

2.4.1 The preoperative planning of DDH patients

Troelsen et al. drew our attention to the importance of the weight bearing pelvic radiographs and stated that it may allow us to determine the retroversion degree of the acetabulum more accurately (Troelsen et al., 2010). Although Standard Pelvis graphies and Judet graphies may tell us information about the acetabular osseous stock, the complicity of this disease induced the human being in searching new imaging modalities. The evolution in the imaging technologies, gives us the comfort of the understanding the pathology with all details before the surgery. CT has been used in preoperative planning and reported that important data about the morphology of the joint was obtained with the assistance of CT (Xenakis et al., 1996). 3d CT was also reported as an useful device in determining the acetabular anteversion and anterolateral deficiencies (Anda et al., 1991; Nakamura et al., 2000).

2.4.2 The exposure

Most methods of approache to the hip may be appropriate in DDH patients with slight deformity, for instance anterolateral and posterolateral exposures. Cameron et al. used Smith-Peterson approach to Crowe class 3 and 4 hips and they reported perfect results (Cameron et al., 1996). Despite their results they also reported a high rate of nerve complication. The surgical approach may be very dangerous due to the extraordinary anatomy in the highly dislocated patients. Trochanter major may serve as a good guide for the oriantation of the surgeon. Transtrochanteric or subtrochanteric aproachs are indicated for the highly dislocated patients who require retension of the abductors. Kumar and Shair described an extended iliofemoral approach but found no advantage of this technique besides it results too much muscle dissection (Kumar & Shair, 1997).

2.4.3 The approach to the dysplastic acetabulum

It is without controversy that the approach to the acetabulum and acetabular component placement are the most important part of the reconstruction in DDH. It was reported that the osseous stock is much more at the true acetabuler area (Charnley & Feagin, 1973; Dunn
& Hess, 1976; Eftekar, 1978; Mendes et al., 1996). Though the ideal area of acetabular component placement is thought to be the true acetabulum (Linde & Jensen, 1988; Yoder et al., 1988) and restoration of normal hip center of rotation provided good long-term results (Bozic et al., 2004; Yoder et al., 1988). The superior or lateral placement of the acetabular cup was accused of being a risk factor for femoral and acetabular loosening (Doehring et al., 1996; Yoder et al., 1988). Reaming the acetabulum deeply and using porous coated small acetabular implants is usually enough to reconstruct the acetabulum. Although a lower rate of loosening has been reported in conjunction with medialization of the hip center, small prosthetic components require the use of a thin liner which are known to be responsible for polyethilen wear (Saikko, 1995). This is the reason that small cups are not appropriate to use in young and active patients with hip dysplasia (Dudkiewicz et al., 2002). The size of the acetabular component depends mostly on the severity of dislocation (Flecher et al., 2008).

While the size of the acetabular cup used in Crowe 2 is the largest ones, smallest implants usually necessary in Crowe 4 patients. Moreover polyethylene wear found to be related to the cup inclination angle (Perka et al., 2004). Perka, also stated that there was no significant association between wear and the cup size or the thickness of the liner in their series.

The inadequate medialisation of the hip center seems to be an important factor modifying long term results (Kennedy et al., 1998; McQueary et al., 1988). The best position of the femoral head center is thought to be less than 35 mm vertically from the interteardrop line and 25 mm laterally from the teardrop (Hirakawa et al., 2001). Alternatively; controlled acetabular medialisation (Hess & Umber, 1978; Paavilainen et al., 1990), acetabular enlarging and bone graft augmentation (MacKenzie et al., 1996; McQueary & Johnston, 1988) or reinforcement cage reconstruction (Gill et al., 1998) are the other methods which can be used. But these techniques may lead to an excessive bone loss and the usage of long offset femoral heads may be required.

High hip center concept was stated as an issue by Russotti (Russotti et al., 1991). They recommended to place the acetabular component at a more proximal but not more lateral position of the center of the hip in difficult acetabular reconstructions. It was stated that a longer stable fixation, than placement of the acetabular component at the true anatomical position, will be achieved if the latter approach necessitates reliance on large bulk allografts or autogenous grafts to provide most of the structural support. In a series of Dearborn et al., only 4 percent mechanical failure in 46 patients was reported after a mean of 10.4 years of follow-up duration (Dearborn et al., 1999). But, it was suggested that superior positioning of the acetabular component, leads to increased rates of loosening of the femoral and acetabular components (Pagnano, 1996). Also, Doehring reported that superolateral hip center relocation should be avoided and that superior-only relocation may be mechanically acceptable within the confines of the osseous anatomy of the acetabulum (Doehring et al., 1996). An attempt should be made to position the acetabular component in or near the true acetabular region. Hartofilakidis described the necessity of placement of the acetabular component to the true acetabular level, in three entries; 1) The lever arm for body weight is much longer than the abductor lever arm at the level of false acetabulum and it results in an excessive load on the hip joint, 2) Shearing forces acting on the acetabular cup may lead to early loosening at the level of the false acetabulum, 3) The bone stock is usually better at the level of the true acetabulum than it is at more proximal levels (Hartofilakidis & Karachalios, 2004). The hip center of rotation could be restored in only seventy eight (% 66) of 121 hips in a series of Perka (Perka et al, 2004). Following the elongated capsule is often helpful in the location of the true acetabulum during surgery. However the localising of the normal hip
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center during the operation is not always so easy. It leads the acetabular malplacement in an inadequate coverage. To overcome this problem a method of acetabuloplasty was described (Dunn & Hess, 1976; Hartofilakidis et al., 1988; Stamos et al., 1984). They termed this technique as cotyloplasty. In this technique, they created a controlled comminuted fracture of the entire medial wall of the acetabulum with use of a reamer or a chisel after compilation of the acetabular reaming. Perforating the internal layer of the periosteeum was avoided. After obtaining a large amount of autogenous cancellous graft from femoral head, they placed the graft material over the periosteeum of the fractured medial wall. They finally cemented the acetabular component at an angle of 40-45 degrees horizontally and 10 degrees of anteversion. They advised 3 to 4 weeks bed rest postoperatively and didn’t allow full weight bearing until the graft is incorporated radiographically, considering the horizontal compressive vectors applied by the muscles of the hip. Hartofilakidis et al. used this technique in 68 total hip operation which they used Charnley implants, and Charnley technique, involves an osteotomy of the greater trochanter (Hartofilakidis et al., 1996). Forty nine of the patients were type 3, 31 were type 2 and 6 were type 1 according to their classification. They found good or excellent results in 94 % of the patients operated in a mean duration of follow-up of seven years.

Various types of implants had been attempted in the reconstruction of the acetabulum. Cemented cups were tended to be use in large series in the past. In a series of Stans et al., aseptic loosening developed in 53% of cemented acetabular components (Stans et al., 1998). They thought that this high loosening rates were related with the acetabular component malplacement in Crowe type III patients. Insertion of the acetabular component without cement may be preferred when the osseous cavity could provide at least 80 % osseous coverage of a cementless cup (Hartofilakidis & Karachalios, 2004).

Perka et al. used a cementless threaded cups in reconstruction of the acetabulum (Perka et al, 2004). They didn’t use a bone graft material to reconstruct the superolateral margin and the cup was slightly medialized to achieve stable anchorage of at least one thread in the existing bone. Although it was found that threaded acetabular components had high loosing results in the past (Stan et al., 1998), Perka reported a survival rate of % 97.5 at an average of 9.3 years for threaded cups. Their results were better than the those after implantation of cemented cups.

Flecher et al. reported a survival rate of 94.7% at 12 years, using a cementless pres-fit acetabular component with an obturator hook and screws (Flecher et al., 2008). They sustained that this implant combined the principles of a reinforcement ring with those of uncemented acetabular press-fit components.

2.4.4 The usage of bone grafts in the augmentation of acetabular component

A technique of bolting the femoral head to the wing of ilium was developed to support the acetabular component (Harris, 1974). The acetabular cavity was reamed together with into the graft and the host bone. Screws were also used in fixing the autograft. Femoral head allografts became popular in time after the advantages of autografts Jasty & Harris, 1987). It was reported that this technique worked well for short time follow-up and gave the surgeon the possibility of overcoming with the atrophic acetabulums (Harris et al., 1977). However, a high failure rate of nearly 46% were reported at a follow-up of twenty years with the use of the femoral head as an autograft for augmenting the superolateral aspect of the acetabular rim (Harris, 1993; Mulroy & Harris, 1990). The difficulties in revascularisation and of the large grafts and the forces which the graft is exposed may be the possible reasons for the
failure. Also, the high failure rates of femoral head augmentation in the reconstruction of superolateral acetabulum with cancellous bone fixation was related with mostly proximal placement of the acetabulum (Stans et al., 1998). Only cement augmentation may be an option in reconstructing the acetabulum in Crowe type III patients. It was reported that cement augmentation works well if the initial hip center is within the true acetabular region (Stans et al., 1998).

After the cementless acetabular components began to be used in dysplasia patients, the need to massive grafts in acetabular reconstruction, even at atrophic acetabulums, was diminished (Jasty et al., 1995). It was suggested that lack of osseous reconstruction of the lateral acetabular margin did not have any notable influence on the stability of the cup (Perka et al., 2004). In our personal experiences, if you have press-fit fixation between anterior and posterior wall or sufficient fixation with screws; it is allowed early weight bearing without any problem.

2.4.5 The approach to the deformed femur
The difficulty in reconstructing the femur of dysplastic patients mostly resulted from the alteration in the anatomy of the femur. The femur of the DDH patients were shown to have shorter necks and smaller straighter canals than the normal femurs (Noble et al., 2003). Cemented stems were used in dysplastic patients for a long time with a lesser success than the patients of primer arthrosis. Probably, the biomechanical abnormality of the hip and the persisted limbing after the surgery causes the act of abnormal forces on the cemented component. Consequently early aseptic loosening rates of cemented components increases in DDH patients. In a 20 years follow-up, 5 % aseptic losing rate was reported with the cemented femoral components (Klapach et al., 2001). Moreover evidence of aseptic loosening was observed in 40% of the femoral components of Crowe type III patients at 20 years duration (Stans et al., 1998).

Cementless femoral stems seem to be more useful in reconstruction of young patients. Furthermore usage of the cementless stems prevent the occupation of excessive thick cement in narrow medullary canals. In a series of Lai et al., 56 patients, who have Crowe type 4 DDH, were operated with cementless stems (Lai et al., 2005). They didn't report any stem revision in a follow-up period of mean 12.3 years.

Cementless Zweymüller stem was used in 121 dysplastic hips and fracture occured in the proximal part of the femur in seven cases (Perka et al., 2004). They believed that the high rate of femoral fracture was a result of increased anteversion of the femoral neck, the tight medullary space and the the altered anatomy in the proximal femur following previous operations. However the survival rate of the stems was not influenced by this complication and it was reported as % 100 at an average follow-up duration of 9.3 years.

The porous coated S-Rom cementless moduler stem was also reported as successful in the treatment of Crowe type III and IV hips, at a 10 year follow-up (Biant et al., 2009). They used the prosthesis as an intramedullary fixation device in the patients whom were required femoral shortening, with the benefit of the straight structure of this stem.

The excessive femoral anteversion seems to be an important problem in DDH patients rather than the primer arthrosis patients (Argenson et al., 2005). Compensating for excessive anteversion of the dysplastic femur is easy with the use of cemented stems. If a cementless stem will be used, it may be better to choose a moduler or distally fixed component rather than proximally fixed femoral component. The proximally fixed components don’t allow you to rotate the stem to compansate the anteversion because of the metaphyseal filling.
Moduler stems allow us the regulation of the femoral anteverision unrelated with proximal metaphysial morphology (Cameron et al., 1996). An other option in overcoming the anteverision problem is the usage of the thin conically stems. The results of Wagner conic prosthesis are also found as successful in a short term period (Ström et al, 2003). Hartofilakidis reported successful results with CDH Charnley prosthesis, a straight thin prosthesis (Hartofilakidis & Karachalios, 2004).

2.4.6 The subtrochanteric femoral shortening osteotomy
The restoration of the acetabulum to the anatomic center of the hip is not always so easy especially in Crowe type 4 patients. Three possible alternative to solve this problem on the dislocated femur were reported; 1) Trochanteric osteotomy may be carried out with metaphysial shortening and advancement of the trochanter. Cemented stem may be the choice of use in this option, 2) A modular stem may be an option, 3) Subtrochanteric shortening and derotation, combined with an distally fixed cementless stem is the third alternative (Cabenala, 2001). Trial reduction by skeletal or skin traction was performed before the surgery, to assess the potential reduction (Symenoides et al., 1997). They also used a gradual traction technique with the use of an external fixator but pain and nerve palsy was reported in this case. According to us, subtrochanteric femoral shortening osteotomy is the best option to lower the femoral head to its original site instead of soft tissue release without damaging the nerves by stretching. Non-union rates are low with the use of cementless femoral stems, especially in Crowe type 4 dysplasies. Its also useful in aligning the anteverision of the neck in such malrotated femoral metaphyses. There is more than one technique reported for the shortening of the femur (Bruce et al., 2000; Klisic & Jankovic, 1976; Sener et al., 2002; Yasgur et al.,1997). Klisic and Jankovic (Klisic & Jankovic, 1976) was first stated the usage of the femoral shortening osteotomies in the treatment of highly dislocated hips and than it was adapted into the total hip replacement operations by Sponseller and MacBeath (Sponseller & McBeath, 1988). Transvers subtrochanteric shortening osteotomy is a successful technique and none neurologic complication was reported in a series of Myung- Sik Park et al. of 24 patients operated with transvers osteotomy (Park et al., 2007). Yalcin et al. reported 79,5 % good and perfect results in 44 total hip replacements accompanied by transvers osteotomy at a meanly 62 months follow-up (Yalcin et al., 2010). In a study of Aeron et al., the patients, whom femurs were shortened, were followed up to mean 4.8 years and preoperative Harris scores found to increase from 43 to 89, postoperatively (Krych et al., 2010). The short time requirement for bone healing, much bone contact and stability against the torsional forces are the advantages of step-cut osteotomy. Despite of these advantages, Sener et al. reported 2 non-unions after step-cut osteotomy in 28 patients (Sener et al., 2002) while one non-union was reported after transvers osteotomy in 14 hips (Onodera et al., 2006). In an other serie, Masaki Takao et al. combined cementless moduler total hip prosthesis with step-cut subtrochanteric shortening osteotomy (Takao et al., 2011). No non-union and nerve palsy were reported. Merle d’Aubigne and Postel hip score increased from 9 to 16 in this 23 cases serie. The operation found to be successful in Crowe type IV patients. Furthermore, Grappiolo et al performed different femoral osteotomy techniques during total hip prosthesis surgery of 128 dysplastic hips (Grappiolo et al, 2007). They thought that subtrochanteric transvers osteotomy was the safest technique, after a 20 years experience.
Also, subtrochanteric transvers osteotomy is the technique which was favoured in our clinic for last 6 and 7 years. It seems to be much easy to perform, rather than the other techniques. The other advantage of this technique is that it gives you the possibility to fix the malrotation of the proximally femur.

In spite of these good results with shortening osteotomy, some authors don’t favor shortening of the femoral diaphysis (Hartofilakidis & Karachalios, 2004). Osteotomy of the greater trochanter was performed in all hips except for three with dysplasia in a series of Hartofilakidis, consisted of 223 patients. They shortened the femur with progressive resection of bone from the femoral neck. Although they acquired a limb-lengthening of average 3.5 cm (1 to 7 cm) in the high dislocated patients, they only reported 2 nerve palsy which resolved within six months. They believed that nerve damage can be avoided by cautious handling of the retractors intraoperatively and by the placement of both hip and knee in flexion for three to four days after the operation. Fibrous union was seen 4%, 13% and 22% of the patients in dysplastic, low dislocated and high dislocated groups, respectively. It was stated that all of these fibrous unions were asymptomatic. Non-union and migration of the greater trochanter was observed in 2 and 1 hips in low dislocated and high dislocated groups, respectively.

Kerboull et al., also performed femoral stem mostly without shortening in 118 Crowe type IV hips (Kerboull et al., 2001). Shortening of the femur was needed only in 2 patients. Only 1 transient peroneal nerve palsy was reported although limb was lengthened more than 4 cm in 30 hips. The amount of limb lengthening causing nerve palsy, is not clear in the literature. After the operation, hip and knee flexion may help in reducing the tension in femoral and peroneal nerve, respectively. It was reported that 2.7 cm lengthening increased the risk of peroneal nerve injury, while 4.4 cm lengthening increased the risk of sciatic nerve injury (Edwards et al., 1987). They concluded that the maximal lengthening shouldn’t exceed 4 cm. The 30% of nerve palsy thought to be due to lengthening of the limb (Johanson et al., 1983). However, no correlation was observed between nerve injury and the amount of limb lengthening in a study, recently (Eggli et al, 1999). They found out the relation between nerve injury and the severity of the surgery. All cases who had nerve palsy after the operation, except one, required hard work because of different reasons like; previous operation, the severity of the disease, large acetabuler defect, serious flexion deformity of the hip. Direct or indirect mechanical trauma was though to be responsible in nerve palsy.

2.4.7 The resurfacing arthroplasty in DDH

Although that total hip arthroplasty is known as the golden treatment option in DDH, it was reported that resurfacing arthroplasty would be a successful alternative in Crowe type 1-2 patients (Xu et al., 2008). Amsutz et al. operated 103 hips of 90 patients whom have 94 percent Crowe type 1 displasia and reported it as a perfect method in a short and mid term period (Amstutz et al., 2008). It was interesting that the 43% of the femoral heads had a defect of more than 1 cm in their series. The vitality of the femoral head of the patients, whom were operated with Birmingham implants, was examined by fluride positron emission tomography and found that the femoral head vitality persisted after the operation (Forrest et al., 2006). However, the ALVAL reaction resulted from metal-metal implants is the drawback of this technique, because the age-group of the indicated patients are mostly young.
2.4.8 Difficulties of arthroplasty in previously operated patients
The affect of the previous operations at the childhood on arthroplasty is not clear. Highly complication and revision rates were reported after total hip arthroplasty of the patients with femoral osteotomy (Ferguson et al., 1994). Boos et al. compared the results of the total hip arthroplasty between the patients whom femoral osteomy was performed before and not performed (Boos et al., 1997). There was no difference in peri-operative complication and revision rates but they stated that the operation time was much longer and the surgical exposure was much difficult in the previously operated group than the other.
Chiari osteotomy was thought to be as an ease in the acetabuler component placement during the arthroplasty operations (Chiari, 1974). Supporters of this opinion attract the attention on the need of long time studies to sustain their theory (Hoffman et al., 1974; Mitchell, 1974; Wedge, 1995). Despite of these supporters, more bleeding was reported in previously operated patients with Chiari technique. And it was also stated that it was time consuming and the morbidity was higher (Minoda et al., 2006). The removal of the implants used during the osteotomy operations seems as a factor increasing the morbidity (Beaupre & Csongradi, 1996; Jago & Hindley, 1998). In our experience, it is hard to secure the primary stability of the acetabulum in patients who had periacetabular osteotomy beforehand.

3. Conclusion
There are several classification systems which may tell us the pathology very well in DDH. However none of them seems to be a candidate to guide for the surgeon in choosing the best technique and prosthesis as well. It is obvious that there is a need for a new classification method in DDH. 3D CT scans may be useful in preoperative planning. The reconstruction in DDH will remain as a challenge which may be overcome in the battle field since a new classification method is reported to help the surgeon.
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