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1. Introduction

Carcinoma of the pancreas is a devastating disease. The incidence of pancreatic carcinoma has increased in recent decades, yet the treatment outcome for this disease remains unsatisfactory. Despite the introduction of new therapeutic techniques and adoption of aggressive combined treatment modalities, such as external beam radiotherapy (EBRT) and chemotherapy, the prognosis of pancreatic carcinoma remained to be extremely poor, with a mortality rate of more than 90% (Geer & Brennan, 1993). Pancreatic cancer responds poorly to surgical resection or chemotherapy, moreover only 10–20% of patients are candidates for curative surgical resection, and even with resection, long term survival still remains poor (Levin et al., 1978; Crile, 1970), therefore, the remaining patients have to seek alternative therapeutic options (Joyce et al., 1990). Biliary and gastric bypass have been used for palliation in unresectable pancreatic carcinomas and median survival in these patients was often 5–6 months (Thompson & Nagorney, 1986; Schwarz & Beger, 2000; Khan et al., 2010). However most of pancreatic carcinoma was diagnosed in the locally advanced or metastatic stage, both local control and management of distant metastases are the major factors that affect the prognosis of such patients. More recently, EBRT and chemotherapy have been standard adjuvants for locally advanced pancreatic carcinoma. External beam radiotherapy therapy, one of the options, is usually regarded as insensitive to pancreatic cancer and associated with more systemic side effects, although it can relieve pain in up to 50–85% of patients (Minsky et al., 1988). Also EBRT alone has failed to control disease progression and yields a median survival of 5.5–7 months (Bodner et al., 2000; Nag et al., 2006). The introduction of intraoperative electron beam radiotherapy, combined with EBRT and chemotherapy, has also failed to significantly improve long-term results, with recent studies reporting median survival rates of 7–16 months (Blasko et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2010; Cengiz et al., 2008; Monk et al., 2002). Despite the availability of many treatments, there was currently no consensus regarding the optimal therapeutic modality for unresectable pancreatic carcinomas. Therefore, it is necessary to investigate new techniques that may improve the prognosis. It has been reported that compared with external irradiation therapy, 5–Fu chemotherapy combined with radiotherapy prolonged the median survival time of patients with local advanced pancreatic cancer from 23 weeks to 42-44 weeks.
Interstitial brachytherapy has been reported to be a useful method for local control of malignant pancreatic tumors (Takacsi et al., 2002; Enomoto et al., 2006). After placement of the radioactive seeds, the target tissue is exposed to a steady emission of gamma rays, which leads to localized ablation. Interstitial brachytherapy has been applied to unresectable pancreatic cancers in an attempt to maximize local tumor dose and minimize radiation dose to the surrounding normal structures. Therefore, radioactive iodine-125 seed implantation is another choice for treatment of malignant tumors, which is widely applied for its curative effect, minimal surgical trauma, and few complications (Ebara et al., 2008; Siegel et al., 1988; Holm et al., 1981).

2. Advantages of biological effects of radioactive iodine-125 seed

Advantages of $^{125}\text{I}$ seeds over other forms of radiotherapy are as follows:

1. Radiation from seeds is characterized by attenuation over short distance outside the target area and low grade of depth dose, which can keep a higher accumulative dose (up to 160 Gy) within the tumor. Unfortunately, serious complications such as radiation hepatitis can occur when the absorbed dose is less than 35 Gy as in traditional radiotherapy.

2. External radiotherapy in fractionation is only effective in cells in some phases of cell cycle. During the interval of irradiation, effect of radiotherapy is decreased because cells in the stationary phase enter into the mitotic stage. The $^{125}\text{I}$ seeds can kill tumor cells continually by keeping cells in the resting period and causing tumor stem cell apoptosis (Wang et al., 2010).

3. Deficiency of oxygen is a bottleneck of conventional external radiotherapy. Sensitive phase cells will be killed by the accumulated damaging effect of irradiation. However, cells deficient in oxygen avoid apoptosis by entering the sensitive phase.

4. Inhomogeneous radiation absorption occurs in the traditional method due to respiratory movements, which decrease the therapeutic volume. By contrast, the therapy using radioactive seeds is not affected by respiratory movements; the probability of therapeutic volume loss is obviously tiny.

5. Implantation of low energy, radioactive seeds is able to decrease the metastasis of tumor by changing the immunophenotype of tumor cells. Some research indicated that the radiobiological effect of radioactive seeds was superior to that of three dimensional conformal radiation (Mazeron et al., 2003).

3. Indications

1. Neutrophil leukocyte $3\times10^9$/L or higher, platelets $70\times10^9$/L or higher, and hemoglobin 90 g/L or higher in peripheral blood.

2. Prothrombin index (PI) greater than 50% and partial thromboplastin time (PTT) less than 50 s; kidney function within normal range.

3. Karnofsky physical scores (KPS) greater than or equal to 60.

4. With pathologically confirmed an advanced stage of pancreatic cancer.

5. Advanced pancreatic cancer was unable to undergo open surgery due to clinical or personal reasons.
6. Tumor maximum diameter of less than 6 cm.
7. Previous course of chemotherapy were eligible.

4. Contraindications
1. Pregnant women and patients with distant metastasis (e.g., liver, lung).
2. Tumor maximum diameter greater than 6 cm.
3. Expected survival time of less than 3 months.
5. Bleeding tendency.
6. Prothrombin time 3 seconds longer than the control were excluded.
7. Any previous irradiation or external radiotherapy were excluded.

5. Preoperative preparation
5.1 I seed sources
The $^{125}$I sealed seed sources were supplied by XinKe Pharmaceutical Ltd, Shanghai. For the seed implantation we used 18-G implantation needles and turntable implantation gun (XinKe Pharmaceutical Ltd, Shanghai, China). The $^{125}$I seeds were manufactured from silver rods, which absorbed $^{125}$I, and were enclosed in a titanium capsule welded by laser. The diameter of each seed was 0.8 mm, the length was 4.5 mm, and thickness of the wall of the titanium capsule was 0.05 mm (Fig. 1,2). The $^{125}$I produces gamma rays (5% of 35 keV, 95% of 28 keV) with a half-life of 59.6 days, half-value thickness of 0.025 mm of lead, penetration of 17 mm, incipient rate of 7 cGy/h, a mean radioactivity of $0.694 \pm 0.021$ mCi (25.6 MBq), and activities of 0.5–0.9 mCi.

![Fig. 1. Radioactive $^{125}$I seeds](image1)

![Fig. 2. Radioactive $^{125}$I seed profile chart](image2)
5.2 Diagnosis of pancreatic cancer

Imaging methods were adopted for the clinical diagnosis of pancreatic cancer. Patients were first diagnosed by conventional computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and by thin slice helix CT 10 days before implantation of seeds. Histological confirmation of the diagnosis was achieved by CT-guided, EUS-guided fine needle aspiration (FNA) 1 week before implantation. FNA has been accepted as a gold standard (Volmar et al., 2005; Gupta et al., 2002; Dickey et al., 1986; Mueller, 1993; Brandt et al., 1993) in the diagnosis of pancreatic cancer. Furthermore, patients had an abnormal serum CA19-9 level (higher than 37 U/mL, 519±439 U/mL). All enrolled patients were diagnosed with pancreatic carcinoma before seed implantation.

5.3 Treatment planning

Dose distribution was calculated using a Fudan TPS2.00 brachytherapy planning system (Fudan University, Shanghai, China) based on the American Association of Physicists in Medicine TG43 brachytherapy formalism (Chen et al., 2008). The total volume of each tumor was calculated according to the CT image with the treatment planning system (TPS) before implantation (Cengiz et al., 2008). Patients underwent a detailed tumor volume study using CT scans 1–2 weeks before seed implantation. Images of each pancreatic carcinoma were obtained at 5 mm intervals. The radiation oncologist and surgeons together outlined the gross tumor volume (GTV) on each image and planning target volume (PTV) included GTV plus 0.5 – 1.0 cm peripheral tissue. These tracings were digitized and scanned to define the tumor volume, from which the D90 of 60-140 Gy for $^{125}$I seed irradiation, with the median of 120 Gy and the number of $^{125}$I seeds to be implanted could be calculated. The D90 was prescribed in a way that at least 90% of the tumor volume received the reference dose. In brief, the information from CT or MRI images was reconstructed into a three-dimensional form, and the precise margin of the tumor was outlined to facilitate the calculation of tumor matched peripheral dose (MPD). The expected number of implanted seeds was calculated according to the modified level formula (Monk et al., 2002). The $^{125}$I with a nominal activity of 0.5–0.8 mCi/seed and a diameter of less than 1 mm was used as a radiation source and implanted into pancreatic tumor under image guidance, at a spacing of 1 cm.

5.4 Calculation of the number of seeds needed for implantation

The total volume of each tumor was calculated according to the CT image with the treatment planning system (TPS) before implantation (Bodner et al., 2000). In brief, the information from CT or MRI images was reconstructed into a three-dimensional form, and the precise margin of the tumor was outlined. An isodose curve and dose-volume histogram were drawn to concentrate the radioactivity in the target area. The expected number of implanted seeds was then calculated according to the modified Cevec formula (Monk et al., 2002) as follows:

Number of seeds needed

$$\text{Number of seeds needed} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\text{Tumor length} + \text{width} + \text{height in cm}\right) \times 5 \times \text{the mean activity per seed in mCi}}{3}$$

In practice, to reach the maximum radiation effect, the number of seeds implanted was 15% more than needed. The seeds were sterilized by immersing in 2% glutaraldehyde solution for 20-30 minutes, then washed and placed into the specially designed releasing device.
During the course of implantation, and the seeds were released and deposited in the target position.

5.5 Pretreatment evaluation
In most cases, the initial request for a radiotherapy consultation occurred at the time of exploratory laparotomy, so a detailed preimplant evaluation by the radiation oncologist was not possible; however, in all cases, the patients were carefully studied by the surgical team preoperatively. All patients were evaluated by comprehensive medical history, physical examination, and standard presurgical studies including complete blood count, serum chemistries, liver function tests, urine analysis, and chest X-ray, as well as the following special imaging studies: abdominal ultrasound, computerized tomography, and MRI.

5.6 Patient preparation
All patients signed written informed consent before the study and were informed of potential benefits and risks. The whole study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee.

Patients fasted for 24 h prior to the operation, and oral laxatives were given 12 h before the procedure. Pancreatic secretion was inhibited by medication 24 h before the operation to reduce the rates of complications. For patients with jaundice, percutaneous transhepatic cholangiodrainage (PTCD) was scheduled first in order to relieve symptoms, improve liver function, and reduce the surrounding edema, after which seeds implantation was performed.

6. Image-guided interstitial brachytherapy protocol

6.1 CT-guided interstitial brachytherapy protocol
The total volume of each tumor was calculated according to the CT image with the treatment planning system (TPS) before implantation (Cengiz et al., 2008). In brief, the information from CT or MRI images was reconstructed into a three-dimensional form, and the precise margin of the tumor was outlined to facilitate the calculation of tumor matched peripheral dose (MPD). The expected number of implanted seeds was calculated according to the modified level formula (Monk et al., 2002). In practice, to reach the maximum radiation effect, the number of seeds implanted was 15% more than needed. Implantation was guided by CT according to our TPS. The $^{125}$I with a nominal activity of 0.5–0.9 mCi/seed and a diameter of less than 1 mm was used as a radiation source and implanted into pancreatic tumor under fluoroscopy CT guidance, at a spacing of 1 cm, avoiding puncturing vessels, pancreatic duct, and other nearby organs. Patients fasted for 24 h prior to the operation, and oral laxatives were given 12 h before the procedure. Pancreatic secretion was inhibited by medication 24 h before the operation to reduce the rates of complications. Sufficient breath training was given to ensure steady breath movement during the procedure. All the brachytherapy implants were performed in a standard CT room under local anesthesia. CT imaging was taken at intervals of 5 mm. The distance between the adjacent implantation needles was approximately 1 cm each. Transgression of the bowel during the puncture did not result in substantial complications in our study. However, a safer approach is achieved by transversing the stomach. Intestine and colon should be avoided especially when using large-bore needles. Repeated CT with the implantation needles in place permitted adjustment of depth and angle of needle direction.
Two to five seeds per needle were loaded, and seeds were released every 5–10 mm apart upon withdrawing the needles. For patients with jaundice, percutaneous transhepatic cholangiodrainage (PTCD) was scheduled first in order to relieve symptoms, improve liver function, and reduce the surrounding edema, after which seeds implantation was performed. Afterwards the implantation puncture site was bandaged and compressed to achieve hemostasis. Patients were kept in radiooncology/interventional ward for 4 full days.

6.2 EUS-guided interstitial brachytherapy protocol
All eligible patients underwent implantation of iodine–125 seeds. The operator wore a lead apron. A linear–array therapeutic echo endoscope (EG3830UT; Pentax Precision Instruments, Orangeburg, New York, USA) was inserted into the proximal stomach. The maximal diameter of the tumor was measured by real–time sector ultrasound (Olympus China Co. Ltd, Shanghai, China), with a frequency of 5-7.5 MHz. EUS was performed to show the conformation of the pancreatic tumor and EUS images were captured by computer. The tumor volumes were calculated using EUS and CT images and 3D diameters of the tumors and treatment plan system software (Zhiye Medical Software Co., Shenyang, China). The minimum peripheral dose was then set to 140 Gy and the dose of every seed was entered into the software. The number of implants required was calculated by the software and the distribution plan maps were drawn: the experienced operator would then know the distance and direction of every target site from the center of the tumor. Iodine–125 radioactive seeds could be inserted easily through the channel of a 19–gauge therapeutic needle (Wilson–Cook Medical Inc., Winston–Salem, North Carolina, USA). When the needle was inserted into the target site under EUS guidance, the stylet was removed and a seed was inserted into the needle; the stylet of the needle was then advanced to push the seed forward, and the seed was released from the needle and implanted into the tissue. This implantation procedure was repeated until all the seeds were implanted into target sites according to the treatment plan. The lesion was observed by multi–slice scanning, and the relationship between the surrounding vasculature and the tumor was then identified. The puncture points and method of puncturing were determined by color Doppler technology to prevent injuring the pancreatic duct or the vasculature of the pancreas. In principle, the seeds should be in a line and parallel to each other. The distance between each seed should be the same (1.0–1.5 cm). The distribution of seeds should be denser in the peripheral area so as to avoid high–dose–induced complications.

6.3 Intraoperative ultrasound-guided interstitial brachytherapy protocol
After the diagnosis of pancreatic cancer had been established by biopsy intraoperation, tumor volume was measured during laparotomy by intraoperative ultrasonography utilizing a megahertz linear probe. Guided by ultrasound, 18-gauge needles were implanted into mass and spaced in a parallel array at intervals of 1.0 cm, extending at least 0.5~1 cm beyond the margins of the pancreatic lesions. During the placement of the needles, care was taken to avoid the needles from the pancreatic duct, small blood vessels, and the adjacent transverse colon at least 1 cm. After needles were implanted, $^{125}$I seeds were implanted using a Mick-applicator and the spacing was maintained at 1.0 cm intervals. The number of $^{125}$I seeds implanted ranged from 10 to 75, with the median number implanted of 38. The specific activity of $^{125}$I ranged from 0.40 to 0.60 mCi per seed, and the total isotope
radioactivity implanted ranged from 4 to 37.5 mCi. An omental fat pad was placed over the implanted volume to protect the gastric and transverse colon mucosa from irradiation.

7. Post-implant adjuvant therapy

7.1 Chemotherapy
Patients who gave consent to chemotherapy received combined treatment with gemcitabine 1.0 g/m² (body surface area) and 5-fluorouracil (5-Fu) 300 mg/m² 1 week after the implantation. The chemotherapy was a 5-day schedule which contained gemcitabine on the first day followed by 4 days of 5-Fu. The chemotherapy was repeated every 4 weeks for up to six cycles if tolerated.

7.2 External beam radiotherapy (EBRT)
EBRT was generally recommended to all patients for an adjuvant aim. The patient received EBRT at 4–6 weeks after 125I seed implantation. The total doses of EBRT ranged from 35 to 50 Gy at 1.8–2.0 Gy per fraction if tolerated.

8. Clinical benefit response (CBR)
The clinical benefit response assessment in these patients with locally advanced pancreatic cancer was derived from the measurement of pain levels, functional impairment (assessed by the Karnofsky performance status score), and weight loss (Burris et al., 1997). For patients to achieve an overall rating of positive CBR, they had to be positive for at least one parameter (pain, performance, status, or weight) without being negative for any of the others (Hwang et al., 2004). This improvement had to last for at least 4 weeks. Patient survival, tumor responses, and the clinical benefit responses were recorded. Visual analog scale (VAS) pain score was recorded as level 0 to 10, in which 0 indicated no pain, 1 to 3 indicated mild pain, 4 to 7 meant moderate pain, and 8 to 10 severe pain. Scoring began after 125I seeds were implanted.

9. Evaluation of curative effect
Patients were monitored for adverse events and for abnormalities in laboratory indices, including hematological parameters, lipase, amylase, carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), CA19-9, and liver function tests. They were assessed by physical examination (including weight, Karnofsky performance status, and visual analog scale pain score), and the tumor size was monitored by CT scan or EUS. Patients were examined by CT 2 month after the operation. The short-term efficacy was determined according to the tumor response standards suggested by the World Health Organization (Miller et al., 1981). Briefly, complete response (CR) was defined as the complete disappearance of the lesion lasting for more than 4 weeks. Partial response (PR) referred to the situation where the size (i.e., the longest dimension multiplied by maximal upright dimension) of the lesion decreased by more than 50% and then remained unchanged for 4 weeks. Stable disease (SD) was defined as the situation where the size of the tumor decreased by less than 50% or increased by less than 25%. Response rate was defined as the sum of CR and PR. Local tumor control after brachytherapy was defined as the absence of tumor progression in CT (SD+PR+CR). The long-term efficacy included the median survival time, tumor-free survival, and 1-year
survival rate. Serum CA19–9 level was checked every month post-implantation as an indicator of prognosis.

10. Follow-up

The tumor diameter, general condition, and pain score of patients were monitored and recorded during follow-up. KPS and visual analog scale (VAS) pain score were used as the main indicators of quality of life (Burris et al., 1997; Hwang et al., 2004). VAS scoring began when chemotherapy started (1 week after brachytherapy). One month after seed implantation, patients were evaluated by radiation oncologists and surgeons by physical examination, complete blood panel, chest X-ray, abdominal CT and ultrasound. One month later, a clinical consultation was provided. After that, evaluation was given every 2–3 months or sooner if a new clinical sign or symptom appeared. Time of survival was calculated from the date of diagnosis to the date of death or last follow-up. A local recurrence was defined as tumor progression (PD) within the implanted area or surrounding regions as seen on CT. Local recurrence and distant metastasis were scored until patient death and censored thereafter. The short term efficacy was determined according to the tumor response standards suggested by the World Health Organization. The long term efficacy included the median survival time, tumor–free survival, and survival rate.

11. Complications

The significant causes of high morbidity of $^{125}$I seed intraoperative implantation were due to the needles penetrated into pancreatic duct, small blood vessels in the pancreas and/or organ at risk resulting in fistula and abscess formation. The major long-term complication from the combined effects of multimodality treatments has been gastrointestinal bleeding and obstruction (Shipley et al., 1980). Clinical evaluation, ultrasound, and CT scans determined that the majority of patients developed metastases to the liver and peritoneal surface.

12. Clinical status and prospects

The survival for patients with pancreatic cancer remains poor despite standard surgical approaches, new adjuvant therapeutic techniques, and combined modality treatment. The treatment of unresectable pancreatic cancer continues to be a major challenge. More than half of patients have a locally or regionally confined tumor requiring local treatment. Resection of primary pancreatic malignancies with a curative intention is only feasible in less than 15% of all patients (Barkin & Goldstein, 2000). Most patients will have unresectable disease even before the diagnosis is made. The median survival time for untreated patients is 4 months, and they will suffer in varying degrees from pain, anorexia, weight loss, jaundice, and intestinal obstruction (Korinthenberg et al., 2011; Jiang et al., 2010). The management of these patients is still controversial. Combined modality treatment may have a positive effect on survival and quality of life in this group of patients. Traditional treatment for local control of advanced or metastatic pancreatic cancer involves intravenous chemotherapy with 5-fluorouracil (5-Fu) or gemcitabine; however, local recurrence and progression in the pancreas and peripancreatic lymph nodes under this treatment has been reported to be as high as 58% (Xie et al., 2006). Stereotactic radiotherapy...
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(SRT) allows an escalation of radiation doses to be applied to a small target volume within a small margin. SRT is administered in one or a few fractions with the goal of sparing the surrounding normal tissue by using multiple non-coplanar field arrangements for the administration. In a phase II study on the use of SRT in the treatment of locally advanced pancreatic carcinoma by Huyer et al, the median survival time was only 5.7 months, and the one-year survival rate was 5% (Gudjonsson, 1987). These data associate SRT with a poor outcome, unacceptable toxicity, and questionable palliative effects, making SRT unadvisable for patients with advanced pancreatic carcinoma.

In the context of multimodal oncologic therapy concepts a minimally invasive approach is often desired. Percutaneous image-guided seed implantation which can be performed without surgery or general anesthesia has attracted increasing attention because of its ability to increase radiation dose to pancreatic tumors without damaging neighboring organs (Peretz et al., 1989). With this technique, highly effective radiation doses are applied as a single fraction, ensuring protracted cell killing over a period of up to several weeks or months. Compared with other interventional procedures, advantages exist regarding interference-free and accurately predictable energy distribution, treatable size of a target lesion, and lower rate of acute adverse effects possible by maintaining tissue continuity. Extensive experiences with this technique had been collected during several preceding studies targeting liver malignancies as well as one study targeting lung malignancies (Korinthenberg et al., 2011; Jiang et al., 2010; Xie et al., 2006). \(^{125}\)I seed placement has become a routine treatment for malignant tumors at various sites.

In contrast, interstitial permanent implantation of radioactive seeds into the tumor site provides the advantage of delivering a high dose of irradiation to the tumor (range 140–160 Gy) which drops off sharply outside the local implanted field. \(^{125}\)I seeds with a half-life of approximately 59.6 days were selected as the radioactive source for permanent implantation in this study, allowing approximately 95% of the needed dose to be delivered within a year (Hoyer et al., 2005). Implantation of radioactive isotopes for the treatment of pancreatic carcinoma has been used for the past several decades. For example, Handly et al. reported the use of radium needle implantation in 7 patients for the treatment of pancreatic carcinoma in 1934 (Hilaris, 1975). Of those, one patient survived up to two years. Hilaris, who was a pioneer in the development of \(^{125}\)I seeds for implantation for the treatment of pancreatic carcinoma, published a study of 98 patients receiving seed implants that responded with a median survival of 7 months (Handley, 1934), with 1 patient surviving for five years. Pain control was achieved in 65% of patients and lasted between 5 and 47 months (with a median of 6 months). In a review study by Morrow et al., no difference in survival between patients treated with interstitial brachytherapy and patients treated by surgical resection at the same institution were observed (Hilaris, 1975). The median survival time was 7 months, and at least one patient survived up to five years. Pain control was achieved in 65% of the patients (Morrow et al., 1984). Syed et al. reported 18 patients treated with biliary bypass surgery, \(^{125}\)I interstitial brachytherapy, and EBRT (Syed et al., 1983). Ten patients with the interstitial brachytherapy were "sandwiched" between two courses of EBRT. Typically, patients received 30 Gy EBRT following biopsy and bypass surgery, then 2 weeks later an additional interstitial brachytherapy of 100–150 Gy, and then an additional 15–20 Gy EBRT was administered 3–4 weeks after interstitial implantation. The results showed a 13 month median survival time in 12 patients with head and body pancreatic carcinoma. \(^{125}\)I seed implantation has been attempted in patients with locally advanced pancreatic carcinoma, and no difference in overall survival was found compared with the
use of other techniques (Morrow et al., 1984). Wang et al. reported 14 patients treated with $^{125}$I seed implantation guided by intraoperative ultrasound (Wang et al., 2009). The interstitial needle position and distribution were determined using ultrasound supervision and with the intent to spare at least 1 cm from nearby or normal tissues including the internal pancreatic duct and small blood vessels. The placement of an omental fat pad over the implanted volume was also used to protect the gastric and transverse colon mucosa from irradiation. The result indicates that the local control of disease was achieved in 78.6% of all patients. 87.5% (7/8) of all patients experienced complete and partial pain relief and shown satisfactory palliative effect. The overall 1-, 2- and 3-year survival rates were 33.9%, 16.9% and 7.8%, respectively with the median survival of 10 months. The survival rate and survival times were found to be the most advantageous for some selected stage II/III patients.

However, there are few reports on CT-guided implantation of radioactive seeds in the treatment of pancreatic cancer. At present, the most commonly used isotope is $^{125}$I, and $^{125}$I placement has become a routine treatment for recurrent tumors at various sites. Wang et al. reported in this group of pancreatic cancer patients (Wang et al., 2010). 31 patients implanted $^{125}$I seeds under CT guidance and yielded good local control of the disease. The results showed even distribution of the radioactive seeds with overall response rate of 61.3%, local control rate of 90.3%, and pain relief rate of 92%.

Permanent interstitial administration of radioactive seeds appears to offer consistent and improved local control, although a major drawback is the high rate of perioperative morbidity and mortality. The significant causes of high morbidity of $^{125}$I seed intraoperative implantation were due to the needles penetrated into pancreatic duct, small blood vessels in the pancreas and/or organ at risk resulting in fistula and abscess formation. The major long-term complication from the combined effects of multimodality treatments has been gastrointestinal bleeding and obstruction (Schwarz & Beger, 2000). The high incidence of complications maybe related to that the seeds were implanted nearby normal tissues such as gastric, colon and jejenum. The second reason may be the activity of seeds was high. The third reason maybe the doses of seeds beyond the tolerance of normal pancreas tissue. In earlier studies, perioperative mortality was 16% – 25% from acute pancreatitis, fistulization, and abscess formation (Peretz et al., 1989). Side effects reported in the Hilaris et al., study included 1 patient developing a post-operative mortality, another patient suffered from a pancreatic fistula, 4 patients developed biliary fistula, 4 developed abscesses, 4 developed gastrointestinal bleeding, 6 developed obstruction of the gastrointestinal tract, 5 patients developed sepsis, and 4 patients developed deep venous thrombophlebitis (Handley, 1934). In comparison, the study by Syed et al. included 8 patients with a poorer prognosis, 2 patients with prolonged wound drainage, 3 patients developed insulin-dependent diabetes, and 2 patients developed other interstitial complications (Peretz et al., 1989). Also Wang et al. reported [48], one patient suffered from chylous fistula, one patient suffered from pancreatitis and one suffered from gastritis, seven patients suffered from low fever, there were no grade III and grade IV toxicity and complications, and less than most series of surgically-treated pancreatic cancer patients published in the literature (Morrow et al., 1984; Wang et al., 2009).

Local complications of advanced pancreatic carcinoma result in significant morbidity and mortality. Although systemic therapy is ultimately needed for cure, an effective locoregional therapy for the treatment of the pancreatic primary and/or regional metastases in the liver would be beneficial in patients who do not have extensive extrahepatic disease at the time of
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13. In conclusion

This study suggested that image-guided brachytherapy using $^{125}$I seeds implantation appeared to be safe, effective, uncomplicated, and could produce adequate pain relief for treating unresectable pancreatic cancer. $^{125}$I seed implantation with image-guided provides a satisfactory distribution of seeds in tumor mass, minimizes radiation to surrounding organs due to the sharp dose fall-off outside the implanted volume, and generates no damage (Armstrong et al., 1994). We hypothesize that a further improvement in median survival of...
patients with unresectable pancreatic carcinoma may be obtained with the combined aggressive use of EBRT, systemic chemotherapy.

14. Examples of clinical application

Fig. 3. 80-year-old female patient. Pancreatic carcinoma patient with $^{125}$I seeds implant under CT guidance. (a) PTCD shows the lower common bile duct stenosis (arrow), while the upper common bile duct and intrahepatic bile ducts shows expansion. (b) Common bile duct stent is implanted (arrow). (c) Contrast CT done prior to $^{125}$I seeds implantation revealed mass measured around 1.7×1.8cm at head of pancreas (arrow), adjacent vessels and important organs were showed clearly on the film. (d-f) Puncture needle was inserted precisely to the tumor through subcutaneous tissue under CT guidance, and $^{125}$I seeds were implanted (arrows). (g) 2 months follow-up. Repeated contrast CT showed reduced size of mass and aggregation of $^{125}$I seeds (arrow). (h) 4 months follow-up. Pancreatic mass showed stabilization with repeated contrast CT (arrow). (i) 6 months follow-up. Repeated contrast CT showed increased size of mass (arrow) and ascites.
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Fig. 4. 69-year-old male patient. Pancreatic carcinoma patient with $^{125}\text{I}$ seeds implant under CT guidance. (a) Contrast CT done prior to $^{125}\text{I}$ seeds implantation revealed mass measured around 2.1×2.6cm at the head of pancreas (arrow), adjacent vessels and important organs were showed clearly on the film. (b) PTC shows the lower common bile duct stenosis (arrow), while the upper common bile duct and intrahepatic bile ducts show expansion. (c-d) Puncture needle was inserted precisely to the tumor through subcutaneous tissue under CT guidance, and $^{125}\text{I}$ seeds were implanted (arrows). (e) 6 months follow-up. Repeated contrast CT showed reduced size of mass and aggregation of $^{125}\text{I}$ seeds (arrow). (f) 12 months post $^{125}\text{I}$ seed implantation, repeated contrast CT showed reduced size of mass and aggregation of $^{125}\text{I}$ seeds, pancreatic mass showed stabilization (arrow).

Fig. 5. 76-year-old male patient. Pancreatic carcinoma patient with $^{125}\text{I}$ seeds implant under CT guidance. (a) Contrast CT done prior to $^{125}\text{I}$ seeds implantation revealed mass measured around 3.5×5.5cm at the body and tail of pancreas (arrow), adjacent vessels and important organs were showed clearly on the film. (b) Puncture needle was inserted precisely to the tumor through subcutaneous tissue under CT guidance, and $^{125}\text{I}$ seeds was implanted then (arrow). (c) 2 months follow-up. Repeated contrast CT showed reduced size of mass and aggregation of $^{125}\text{I}$ seeds (arrow).
Fig. 6. 57-year-old female patient. Pancreatic carcinoma patient with $^{125}$I seeds implant under CT guidance. (a) Contrast CT done prior to $^{125}$I seeds implantation revealed mass measured around 4.5×5.5cm at the tail of pancreas (arrow), adjacent vessels and important organs were showed clearly on the film. (b) 12 months follow-up. Repeated contrast CT showed reduced size of mass and aggregation of $^{125}$I seeds (arrow). (c) 24 months follow-up. Repeated contrast CT showed reduced size of mass and aggregation of $^{125}$I seeds (arrow). Pancreatic mass showed stabilization.

Fig. 7. 56-year-old female patient. Pancreatic carcinoma patient with $^{125}$I seeds implant under CT guidance. (a) Contrast CT done prior to $^{125}$I seeds implantation revealed mass measured around 4.5×6.5cm at the body and tail of pancreas (arrow), adjacent vessels and important organs were showed clearly on the film. (b) Puncture needle was inserted precisely to the tumor through subcutaneous tissue under CT guidance, and $^{125}$I seeds was implanted then (arrow). (c) Puncture needle reached precisely from prerenal space to the body and tail of pancreas cancer, which as far as possible to avoid the intestinal lumen (arrow). (d) CT 2Dimensional reconstruction after $^{125}$I seeds implantation was done to determine the distribution of $^{125}$I seeds (arrow). At the same picture the duodenal stent can be seen (curved arrow). (e) 2 months follow-up. Repeated contrast CT showed reduced size of mass and aggregation of $^{125}$I seeds (arrow).
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Fig. 8. 78-year-old male patient. Pancreatic carcinoma patient with $^{125}$I seeds implant under CT guidance. (a) Contrast CT done prior to $^{125}$I seeds implantation revealed mass measured around 3.5×4.5cm at the head of pancreas (arrow), adjacent vessels and important organs were showed clearly on the film. (b) Puncture needle was inserted precisely to the tumor through subcutaneous tissue and stomach under CT guidance, and $^{125}$I seeds was implanted then (arrow). (c-d) CT 2Dimensional reconstruction after $^{125}$I implantation was done to determine the distribution of $^{125}$I seeds (arrows). (e) 2 months follow-up. Repeated contrast CT showed reduced size of mass and aggregation of $^{125}$I seeds (arrow). (f) 12 months follow-up. Repeated contrast CT showed reduced size of mass and aggregation of $^{125}$I seeds, pancreatic mass showed stabilization (arrow.)

Fig. 9. 56-year-old female patient. Pancreatic carcinoma patient with $^{125}$I seeds implant under CT guidance. (a) Preoperative contrast-enhanced CT scan shows a 3.5cm x 4.5cm tumor at the head of pancreatic carcinoma (arrow). (b) CT scan shows that $^{125}$I seeds are implanted into the tumor via 18G implantation needles (arrow). (c) CT scan shows the distribution of $^{125}$I seeds post implantation (arrow). (d) 2 months follow-up. CT scan shows pancreatic tumor partially decreased and $^{125}$I seeds gathered together (arrow).
Fig. 10. 70-year-old male patient. Pancreatic carcinoma patient with $^{125}$I seeds implant under CT guidance. (a) Preoperative contrast-enhanced CT scan shows a 3.0cm x 3.0cm tumor at the body of pancreatic carcinoma (arrow). (b) CT scan shows that $^{125}$I seeds are implanted into the tumor via 18G implantation needles (arrow). (c) CT scan shows the distribution of $^{125}$I seeds post implantation (arrow). (d) 2 months follow-up. CT scan shows pancreatic tumor partially decreased and $^{125}$I seeds gathered together (arrow).
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Currently there have been many armamentaria to be used in cancer treatment. This indeed indicates that the final treatment has not yet been found. It seems this will take a long period of time to achieve. Thus, cancer treatment in general still seems to need new and more effective approaches. The book "Current Cancer Treatment - Novel Beyond Conventional Approaches", consisting of 33 chapters, will help get us physicians as well as patients enlightened with new research and developments in this area. This book is a valuable contribution to this area mentioning various modalities in cancer treatment such as some rare classic treatment approaches: treatment of metastatic liver disease of colorectal origin, radiation treatment of skull and spine chordoma, changing the face of adjuvant therapy for early breast cancer; new therapeutic approaches of old techniques: laser-driven radiation therapy, laser photo-chemotherapy, new approaches targeting androgen receptor and many more emerging techniques.
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