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1. Introduction

ANCA – associated vasculitis (AAV) encompass Wegener granulomatous (WG), Churg Strauss syndrome (CSS) and Microscopic Polyangiitis (MPA). Granuloma formation characterizes both WG and CSS, while necrotising inflammation of middle to small arteries, pauci-immune glomerulonephritis and production of ANCA typify all three of them. Common clinical and laboratory features point towards common pathogenetic mechanisms and thus therapeutic approach have evolved over the years from treatment of individual members of the group to unified treatment strategies. It is the presentation of the disease rather than a particular AAV that guides treatment decisions. Clinical subtypes of AAV according to the extend and the severity of the disease, have been proposed by the European Vasculitis Study (EUVAS) Group (Jayne & Rasmussen 1997) (Table 1): AAV can be presented as localized, early systemic, generalized, severe or refractory disease. This classification currently serves as the basis for the assignment of different treatment regimens.

Forty years ago AAV was a rapidly fatal disease. One-year survival was estimated to be less than 20%, mainly due to pulmonary and/or renal failure and steroids-related infection (Hoffman et al. 1992). The introduction of oral cyclophosphamide (CyP) during the ’70s radically changed the prognosis of AAV. Recent data from the EUVAS Group trials show that survival at 1-year is currently almost 90%. CyP in combination with prednisone remain today the cornerstone of treatment of AAV. However, several issues have emerged: a) there are still patients that either die early in the course of the disease or display progressive disease refractory to conventional treatment, b) relapses are frequent, affecting approximately 50% of patients with AAV during extended follow-up, c) the burden of drug-related toxicity is high, as serious adverse events affect approximately 40% of the patients. In addition to glucocorticoids toxicity, CyP can acutely lead to cytopenias, hemorrhagic cystitis and infections along with late complications including gonadal insufficiency and infertility, myelodysplasias and bladder cancer.

In an effort to minimize CyP toxicity as well as to find regimens that could replace CyP in cases of non-responding, refractory, or relapsing disease, several treatment options have been considered. During the last 20 years and despite the rarity of AAV, several multi-center, multi-national randomized control trials (RCTs) were conducted largely due to the combined efforts of EUVAS Group and the VCRC (Vasculitis Clinical Research Consortium), which have altered our treatment practice as it will be discussed below. Treatment of AAV consists of an initial phase aiming at rapid induction of remission and a second phase where maintenance of remission is sought.
## AAV Subtype Description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AAV Subtype</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Localized</td>
<td>typically the upper respiratory track in WG involvement of multiple sites but without</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Systemic</td>
<td>impending renal or other vital organ failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generalized</td>
<td>impending vital organ failure or renal involvement with creatinine level below 5.6 mg/dL (500 μmol/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>renal involvement with serum creatinine over 5.6 mg/dL (500 μmol/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refractory</td>
<td>progressive disease despite conventional treatment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Clinical Subtypes of AAV according to the extend and the severity of the disease

## 2. Induction of remission

The gold standard for induction of remission in most cases of AAV has been the combination of high dose prednisolone (1mg/kg/day with or without previous pulses 1g/d x 3d) with CyP either orally (2mg/kg/day) or intravenously (0.75 g/m² every month for 6 months) (Adu et al. 1997; Guillevin et al. 1997). In order to reduce the cumulative dose of drugs and thus adverse effects, current treatment strategies are differentiated according to the presentation of the disease (Table 1) and along with different schemes of CyP administration include several other medications such as rituximab, mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) and methotrexate (MTX).

### 2.1 Generalized AAV

Generalized AAV refers to patients who display imminent vital organ failure or renal involvement with creatinine level below 5.6 mg/dL (500 μmol/L).

In an earlier study including 47 patients with generalized AAV and renal involvement intravenous pulses of CyP (0.75 g/m², monthly for 6 months) were equally effective in achieving remission as oral CyP. Moreover, fewer incidents of cytopenia and infections had been recorded in the pulse group (Haubitz & Schellong 1998).

A randomized controlled trial by EUVAS, termed CYCLOPS, compared another scheme of pulse cyclophosphamide with daily oral cyclophosphamide for the induction of remission of AAV (De Groot et al. 2009). Patients with generalized AAV (n=149) and renal involvement received prednisolone plus either pulse cyclophosphamide (15 mg/kg every 2-3 weeks; n = 76) or daily oral cyclophosphamide (2 mg/kg per day; n=73). The efficacy of both regimens was similar in inducing remission. Lower cumulative dose of CyP was achieved in the pulse group, which displayed fewer episodes of leukopenia. It should be noted though that following pulse treatment there was a tendency towards a higher rate of relapse compared to oral treatment during the 9-month follow-up of the study.

Although it is not clear whether there are significant differences regarding efficacy and safety between the 2 schemes of CyP pulses, EULAR recommendations endorse the following strategy (Mukhtyar et al. 2009): administration of 15mg/kg of CyP every 2 weeks for 3 pulses and then every 3 weeks for additional 3-6 pulses. According to the same set of recommendations prednisolone intake should start at 1mg/kg/day (or 60mg/day) for 1 month, tapered to 15mg/day at 3 months and 7.5mg/day at 12 months.
Following the paradigm of lupus nephritis, MMF has been examined whether it can replace CyP for the induction of remission in AAV. In a study including 35 patients with AAV renal vasculitis with serum creatinine <5.6 mg/dL, 18 received MMF (2g/day) and 17 monthly pulses of CyP (0.75–1.0 g/m²) for 6 months (Hu et al. 2008). According to the study, complete remission was achieved in 78% in the MMF group compared to 47% in the CyP group. Whether MMF truly bears superiority towards CyP remains to be verified in large controlled trials. MYCYC (http://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00414128 (2010)), a multi-center controlled trial by EUVAS comparing the efficacy of MMF and CyP has been initiated. Notably, MMF will be used at the increased dose of 3g/day.

Rituximab, an anti-CD20 chimeric monoclonal antibody that effectively depletes B cells, has recently received much attention regarding its treatment potential in AAV. The number of activated B cells in AAV is associated with disease activity and the extend of organ involvement. Moreover, B cells generate ANCA-producing plasma cells. Studies in humans and animal models support the concept that ANCA have a central role in the pathogenesis and maintenance of AAV (Xiao et al. 2002).

The efficacy of rituximab to induce remission in generalized AAV was examined in two RCTs. In RITUXVAS trial (Jones et al. 2010), 11 patients received pulses CyP plus glucocorticoids and 33 patients additionally received rituximab. The rituximab group received four infusions of rituximab 375 mg/m² per week plus two infusions of intravenous cyclophosphamide 15 mg/kg. The cyclophosphamide group received between six and ten infusions of cyclophosphamide 15 mg/kg. Azathioprine was used as maintenance therapy in all patients. At 12 months, remission rates were comparable between the two groups (76% for rituximab and 82% for CyP group). Rituximab (375 mg/m² per week for four weeks) was also compared with oral CyP (2 mg/ kg per day) in addition to glucocorticoids in the RAVE trial (Stone et al. 2010). At 6 months remission rates were 64% for the rituximab and 53% for the CyP group. These lower rates of remission compared to other studies were probably due to the aggressive tapering of corticosteroids employed.

Overall, both studies show that rituximab therapy is not inferior to CyP (oral or pulses) treatment for the induction of remission in patients with generalized AAV. It should be noted, however, that adverse effects were also comparable between rituximab and CyP receivers an issue that has to be further addressed in studies with extended follow-up. Rituximab can thus replace CyP treatment especially in patients where CyP is contraindicated and could be the drug of choice in patients with childbearing potential. In spring of 2011, rituximab became the first drug to get an FDA approval for the treatment of AAV.

### 2.2 Early systemic AAV

Early systemic AAV refers to patients with involvement of multiple sites but without imminent renal or other vital organ failure.

Following initial open label studies with promising results, the NORAM study (De Groot et al. 2005), a RCT initiated by EUVAS, evaluated the efficacy of MTX as a potentially less toxic than CyP alternative to induce remission in patients with early systemic AAV. In addition to corticosteroids, patients received either oral CyP (2 mg/Kg per day) or oral MTX (initial dose 15mg/week that was increased to 20-25mg/week) for 12 months. Patients’ follow-up lasted 18 months, and during the last 6 months all medications were stopped. At 12 months remission rates between the two groups were comparable (89.8% for MTX and 93.5% for oral CyP). Time to remission in overall was also comparable. However, some patients with
pulmonary involvement or relatively extensive disease in the MTX group had delayed onset of remission. In addition, at 18 months the relapse rate in the MTX group was 69.5%, which was significantly higher compared to that observed in the CyP group (46.5%). These high relapse rates in both treatment arms suggest that treatment of early systemic AAV should last beyond 1 year. The overall frequency of adverse events did not differ between the two groups. Leukopenia was prevalent with the use of CyP as was liver dysfunction with the use of MTX. In view of the results of the NORAM study, EULAR recommend MTX as an alternative to CyP for remission induction in non-organ-threatening or non-life threatening AAV.

2.3 Severe AAV
Severe AAV refers to patients with vital organ failure, typically renal involvement with serum creatinine over 5.6 mg/dL (500μmol/L).
Patients with life- and/or vital organ threatening manifestations, such as diffuse alveolar hemorrhage (DAH), severe rapidly progressive glomerulonephritis (serum creatinine >5.6 mg/dL), glomerulonephritis with double serum positivity for MPO-ANCA and anti-glomerular basement membrane antibodies (Rutgers et al. 2005), require urgent, aggressive treatment. Supportive measures such as blood transfusion and mechanical ventilation or hemodialysis are frequently required.
In a EUVAS trial called MEPEX (Jayne et al. 2007) the effectiveness of plasma exchange was evaluated in patients with severe AAV involving acute renal failure. All 137 patients received oral CyP and prednisolone. One group was assigned to additionally receive three infusions of 1g methylprednisolone pulse therapy (n=67) and a second to receive seven courses of plasma exchanges (n=70). At 3 months, 69% of patients who received plasma exchanges were alive and dialysis independent compared to the significantly lower 49% of patients treated with methylprednisolone pulses.
The usefulness of plasma exchange in patients already on dialysis at the time of diagnosis has also been suggested (de Lind et al. 2007). Intravenous pulses methylprednisolone as adjunctive therapy in patients with severe tubular atrophy and <18% normal glomeruli increased the chance of therapy-related death over the chance of dialysis independence. The same was true for the group receiving plasma exchange only when the proportion of normal glomeruli reached a value of <2%.
Although there are no RCT available, plasma exchanges appear to be warranted in patients with DAH. In a retrospective review of 20 patients with DAH that were presented at a single institute and were treated with plasma exchanges in addition to intravenous methylprednisolone with or without CyP, resolution of lung hemorrhage was achieved in all 20 patients after an average number of 6.4 treatments. Historical review of 11 patients hospitalized at the same centre and did not receive plasma exchanges revealed 3 deaths. (Klemmer Am J Kid Dis 2003).

2.4 Other treatments
Anti-Tumor Necrosis Factor (anti-TNF) regimens have been considered as an alternative to current treatment for over a decade. Based on the beneficial effects of TNF blockade in other chronic inflammatory disorders and the fact that several lines of evidence implicate TNF in the pathogenesis of AAV in humans and animal models of the disease, several small pilot
However, data derived from subsequent studies were conflicting and could not corroborate any additional benefit from the use of infliximab in patients with AAV (Booth et al. 2004; Josselin et al. 2008; Morgan et al. 2010). It should be noted that there is no RCT for infliximab in AAV. On the contrary, the effect of entanercept, another anti-TNF agent, was examined in a large RCT (WGET) with patients suffering from WG (Wegener's G.E.T.W. 2005). In WGET, entanercept was used as an additional therapy to standard treatment for the induction and maintenance of remission in 180 patients with WG. At 27 months, remission rates and time-to-remission, were not significantly different between the two groups. It should be emphasized that six patients in the etanercept group developed solid cancers compared to no such malignancies in the control group (Stone et al. 2006). Hence, etanercept is considered ineffective and potentially hazardous when added to standard therapy for induction and maintenance of remission in WG. The overall experience with anti-TNF drugs in AAV is either negative or inconclusive. Skepticism has replaced initial enthusiasm and currently the use of TNF blockade in patients with AAV appears remote.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Dosage</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prednisolone</td>
<td>60 mg/day for 1 month tapered to 15 mg/day at 3 months</td>
<td>Use IV methylprednisolone 500-1,000 mg/day for 3 days in critical organ manifestations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclophosphamide IV pulse</td>
<td>15 mg/Kg 2-weekly for 3 pulses, then 3-weekly for 3-6 pulses</td>
<td>Oral cyclophosphamide (2 mg/Kg/day) might be used adjusted to keep WBC &gt;3,000/µL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methotrexate</td>
<td>Starting dose 15 mg/week, increased to 20-25 mg/week at 2 months</td>
<td>Use in non critical organ manifestations (normal serum creatinine)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rituximab IV</td>
<td>375 mg/m2/week for 4 pulses</td>
<td>Use in intolerance to CyP and in young patients with non-severe critical organ manifestations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mycophenolate Mofetil</td>
<td>2 g/day</td>
<td>In patients with moderate renal involvement who cannot take cyclophosphamide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmapheresis</td>
<td></td>
<td>Use in critical organ manifestations (serum creatinine &gt;5.6 mg/dL, lung hemorrhage)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Induction of remission in ANCA-associated vasculitis
Since ANCA may have a central role in the pathogenesis of AAV, the idea to target autoreactive T cells that drive the production of ANCA secreting-plasma cells appears to be a logical treatment approach. Abatacept, a CTLA4—Ig molecule, blocks the co-stimulatory talk between T and B cells and has been proven efficacious in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. A RCT to evaluate relapse rate over a 24 month period in patients treated for 12 months with abatacept in addition to standard treatment (MTX plus prednisone) had been initiated but unfortunately was recently terminated due to slow recruitment (ABAVAS Study NCT00482066).

Recent data generated increased interest towards the role of the complement pathway in the pathogenesis of AAV, which appears to have an essential role on how ANCA can damage the vascular wall. Eculizumab is a monoclonal antibody that blocks the activation of C5, a central component of the complement cascade. Blockade of C5 activation was shown to have substantial therapeutic effect in animal models of AAV. A similar approach to treat patients with AAV will be evaluated in a pilot study with 10 patients receiving eculizumab in addition to standard treatment (study NCT01275287).

In anticipation of novel treatments, drugs currently used to induce remission in AAV are summarized in Table 2 and include corticosteroids, CyP, MTX, MMF, Rituximab and plasmapheresis.

3. Maintenance of remission

The majority of patients with AAV can achieve remission within the first 6 months of treatment. However, if no other care is undertaken over half of the patients may have a relapse within the first 2 years following remission (De Groot et al. 2005). Relapses in AAV were associated with subsequent progression to end-stage renal disease (Hogan et al. 2005). Moreover, the number of relapses for an individual patient was a strong predictor of damage during follow-up, as measured by the Vasculitis Damage Index (Koldingsnes & Nossent 2002). Therefore maintenance, once remission is achieved, is essential for the long term outcome of patients with AAV.

CyP as oral (1.5mg/kg per day) or infusion regimen (0.75/m², effusion every 3 months) was initially the standard regimen to maintain remission (Hoffman et al. 1992). Because of the serious adverse events related to long term exposure to CyP less toxic drugs have been proposed such as azathioprine, methotrexate, leflunomide or MMF. The CYCAZAREM study by EUVAS randomly assigned 144 patients with AAV (who achieved remission with oral CyP and prednisolone) to receive either azathioprine (2 mg/kg per day; n = 71) or CyP (1.5 mg/kg per day; n = 73) (Jayne et al. 2003). Both treatment groups continued to receive prednisolone (7.5 mg per day). At 18 months of follow-up, relapses had occurred in 15.5% and 13.7% of patients in the azathioprine and CyP group, respectively, suggesting that azathioprine is as effective as CyP for maintaining remission in AAV. Adverse events were comparable between the two treatment groups.

In a RCT by the French Vasculitis Study Group, 126 patients with WG or MPA following induction therapy, were randomly assigned to receive either oral azathioprine (2 mg/kg per day; n = 63) or methotrexate (0.3 mg/kg per week, progressively increased to 25 mg per week; n = 63) for 12 months. No significant difference was found between the two groups in terms of relapse-free survival and toxicity at a follow-up period of 29 ± 13 months (Pagnoux et al. 2008).

In another RCT, 54 patients with WG (who achieved remission after treatment with cyclophosphamide and prednisolone) were randomly assigned oral leflunomide (30 mg per
day) or oral methotrexate (starting with 7.5 mg per week and reaching 20 mg per week after 8 weeks) for 2 years (Metzler et al. 2007). Leflunomide was more effective in preventing relapses than oral methotrexate (6 versus 13 relapses, respectively), but was associated with a higher rate of adverse events. However, this study was underpowered; it was initially designed for 154 patients but only 54 were enrolled.

The potential benefit of MMF treatment for maintenance of remission in AAV has been demonstrated in preliminary uncontrolled studies (Silva et al. 2010). On the basis of these studies, an RCT by EUVAS, termed IMPROVE, comparing MMF with azathioprine for maintenance of remission in renal vasculitis, has been carried out (Hiemstra et al. 2010). Among 156 patients treated either with AZA (starting at 2 mg/kg/d) or MMF (starting at 2000 mg/d) following induction of remission with CyP and prednisolone, patients on MMF experienced more relapses compared to AZA group.

Some observational studies provide preliminary data regarding the efficacy of other regimens to maintain remission in patients with AAV. Rituximab appears to be beneficial (Stasi et al. 2006; Rhee et al. 2010). A large RCT currently evaluates the efficacy of rituximab in comparison to AZA (MAINRITSAN, Study NCT00748644). On the contrary, addition of intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIg) to standard maintenance treatment (AZA plus prednisone) does not prevent relapses (Fortin et al. 2009).

In overall, treatment with low-dose prednisolone plus AZA (2 mg/Kg/day until 12 months then 1.5 mg/Kg/day) (Jayne et al. 2003; Pagnoux et al. 2008), or MTX (20-25 mg/week) (Pagnoux et al. 2008) is currently the preferred approach for maintenance of remission in AAV. The duration of maintenance is not known but should be >24 months (Lapraik et al. 2007) (Table 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Dosage</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prednisolone</td>
<td>Starting from 15 mg/day at 3 months tapered to 7.5 mg/day at 12 months</td>
<td>Alternate-day schemes have also been applied to minimize side effects. Should probably be avoided in generalized or severe forms of the disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclophosphamide IV pulse</td>
<td>0.75 mg/m² every 3 months</td>
<td>Oral cyclophosphamide (1.5 mg/Kg/day) might be used adjusted to keep WBC &gt;3,000/µL in patients who experience frequent relapses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azathioprine</td>
<td>2 mg/kg/day for 12 months then 1.5 mg/kg/day</td>
<td>Currently the gold standard for maintenance of remission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methotrexate</td>
<td>Starting dose 0.3/kg per week, increased to 25 mg per week</td>
<td>At high doses is a good alternative to Azathioprine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rituximab IV</td>
<td>375 mg/m² every 6 months</td>
<td>Waits to be compared with Azathioprione. Should be considered in patients where AZA or MTX is either contraindicated or ineffective.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Maintenance of remission in ANCA-associated vasculitis
4. Relapse
Symptoms and signs of apparent AAV relapse, especially in patients on immunosuppression, should be differentiated from infections and malignancies. Relapse can be treated with oral or IV pulses of CyP plus prednisolone (60 mg/day) (Wegener's G.E.T.W. 2005). Patients intolerant to CyP can receive MMF (Stassen et al. 2007) or rituximab. IVIg (added to maintenance treatment) can achieve remission (Martinez et al. 2008) and it is particularly useful until infection is ruled out.

5. Refractory disease
Refractory disease refers to patients who experience progressive disease despite conventional treatment. Patients with refractory disease should be treated in specialized centers. Rituximab has been successful in CyP-refractory disease in observational studies (Smith et al. 2006; Keogh et al. 2006; Jones et al. 2009; Keogh et al. 2005). Deoxyspergualin (0.5 mg/Kg/day subcutaneously in 6 cycles of 21 days with 7-day intervals), an antiproliferative agent licensed in Japan for acute renal transplant rejection, showed promise in phase II study (Flossmann et al. 2009). Infliximab was beneficial in refractory disease in observational studies (Josselin et al. 2008) but when added to standard induction treatment did not make any difference (Morgan et al. 2010).

6. Special issues
6.1 End stage renal disease (ESRD) and renal transplantation
Relapse of ANCA-associated vasculitis is significantly less frequent (0.08 episodes/person-year) in ESRD patients compared with patients with preserved renal function (0.16-0.20 episodes/person-year), but infection, an important cause of death is almost double in frequency in ESRD (Lionaki et al. 2009). Therefore, immunosuppression in patients with ESRD may be limited to patients with active vasculitis. Patients with ESRD can receive renal transplants. The overall survival of renal transplants is 90% at 5 years (Gera et al. 2007), and 70% at 10 years (Little et al. 2009). In one study, risk factors for renal transplant rejection was transplantation <12 months postvasculitis remission (Little et al. 2009). Therefore, renal transplantation should be considered in patients with ESRD with sustained remission of vasculitis.

6.2 Subglottic stenosis
Patients with active disease receive aggressive treatment with prednisolone and CyP, and tracheostomy, if needed. Rituximab may also be used in case of CyP inadequate response. In inactive disease, intralesional costicosteroid with dilatation is generally effective (Hernandez-Rodriguez et al. 2010).

6.3 Orbital mass
Aggressive treatment with steroids and CyP is the standard of care. Rituximab is effective in refractory cases
6.4 Precautions

CyP dose should be adjusted for renal function and age. There should be vigilance for side effects of drugs used (CyP, rituximab, MTX, IVIg, plasmapheresis). Patients should be checked for tuberculosis with chest x-rays and PPD skin test, and patients with latent tuberculosis should receive prophylaxis with isoniazid plus vitamin B6. Patients on IV pulse CyP, receive antiemetic drug (ondesartan) immediately prior to and 8 hours after the CyP pulse. On the day of IV CyP pulse, patients receive oral or IV hydration with 2-3 liters of fluid. They also receive IV 2-mercaptoethanesulfonate (mesna) (20% of CyP dose) immediately before and at 2, 4 and 8 hours after the CyP pulse to reduce irritation of urinary bladder. The dose of next IV CyP pulse is adjusted to keep nadir WBC (12-14 days after the IV pulse) >3,000/µL. The rate of leucopenia, infections, and gonadal toxicity is reduced in the IV pulse CyP compared to oral CyP regimen (De Groot et al. 2009; Haubitz & Schellong 1998). Oral mesna is also beneficial for patients on oral CyP. According to a recent study ever-tobacco smoking and previous episode of hemorrhagic cystitis were strong predictors for the development of cancer in the urinary tract. Thus patients with these characteristics need close surveillance. All patients receiving CyP are advised to take prophylaxis against Pneumocystis jiroveci with trimethoprim/sulphamethoxazole (800/160 mg thrice weekly). This may also prevent relapses in patients with respiratory involvement (Zycinska et al. 2009).

Gonadal failure is a common side effect in patients treated with CyP, where the risk increases in parallel with the increase of the cumulative dose received. No standard care to preserve gonadal function has been proposed for patients with AAV under CyP. Similar issues encountered in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) have been addressed. For women with SLE two protocols exist: administration of leuprolide acetate with or without transdermal estrogen and depo-progesterone for contraception. Leuprolide should be administered 10-14 days prior to each CyP infusion. In men with SLE, administration of intramuscular monthly injections of testosterone has been proposed. Analogous approaches should probably be established for young patients with AAV at risk.

Patients on immunosuppression should not be vaccinated with live attenuated vaccines. They can and should be vaccinated with dead pathogens. Patients with WG exhibit adequate antibody (Holvast et al. 2009) and cell-mediated (Holvast ARD2010) immune response to influenza vaccines (Holvast et al. 2010).

7. Conclusion

The treatment of ANCA-associated vasculitis (AAV) has evolved over the years from treatment of individual members of the group i.e Wegener granulomatosis(WG), Churg-Strauss syndrome (CSS), microscopic polyangiitis (MPA), to unified treatment. For years, treatment of WG was corticosteroids plus oral cyclophosphamide (CyP), which was effective but had a high frequency of severe adverse effects (Hoffman AIM1992). To reduce the adverse effects of CyP, notably, bone marrow suppression and infections, hemorrhagic cystitis, gonadal toxicity, and tumors, other regimens were sought. So, intense immunosuppression with CyP is used only for induction of remission, and then remission is maintained with drugs with fewer side effects, such as azathioprine (AZA) and
methotrexate (MTX). In addition, the regimen for the induction of remission is individualized according to disease severity.
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