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1. Introduction

The incidence of malignant melanoma has increased exponentially in recent decades (Jemal et al., 2001). Indeed it has been estimated that the incidence worldwide doubles every 10 to 15 years (Cascinelli & Marchesini, 1989). The American Cancer Society projected over 38,000 new cases of melanoma in males and 29,000 in females in 2009 (American Cancer Society, 2009). Currently, melanoma accounts for 3% of cancer diagnoses annually and although this is fewer than the reported incidence of other skin cancers the prognosis is unfortunately significantly worse. Melanoma accounts for 2% of cancer deaths in men and 1% in women (Boring et al., 1994). Survival is directly related to stage at diagnosis. Recent years have seen improvements in overall survival with 87% survival at five years for all patients, and 94% in patients with localized disease (Parker et al., 1996; Kopf, 1988). However, survival for patients with stage IV disease remains low at 25% at 2 years (Balch et al., 2001).

Public awareness of melanoma has increased in parallel with this increasing incidence. The most important risk factor is intermittent high exposure to ultraviolet radiation. However, despite this increasing awareness, the practice of ultraviolet radiation protection behaviour is low. Worryingly, in a 2005 survey in the US up to 14% of adults, primarily women and young adults, reported the use of indoor tanning devices on at least one occasion (American Cancer Society, 2009).

The mainstay of treatment is surgical excision with intention to cure (Prichard et al., 2002). However, the last decade has seen a paradigm shift in the surgical approach to this disease. The management of the primary tumour has become more conservative, with acceptance of narrower excision margins. Similarly, there has been a move away from the routine performance of an elective regional lymph node dissection, towards the utilization of sentinel lymph node biopsies to accurately stage the patient’s disease. The purpose of this chapter is to highlight the appropriate surgical management of both the primary tumour and the associated regional lymph node basin. We also aim to distil current controversies in the management of the regional lymph node basin.

2. Diagnosis

Any suspicious naevi or skin lesions should be assessed, using either the ABCDE system or the 7-point checklist shown below.
Seven point checklist: The ABCDE lesion system:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major features are:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Change in size</td>
<td>A Geometrical Asymmetry in 2 axes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irregular shape</td>
<td>B Irregular Border</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irregular colour</td>
<td>C At least 2 different Colours in lesion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor features are:</td>
<td>D Maximum Diameter &gt;6 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Largest diameter 7 mm or more</td>
<td>E Elevation of lesion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oozing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itch/change in sensation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Seven point checklist and ABCDE system for assessment of pigmented lesions (Whited, JD et al. 1998)

2.1 Risk factors
Risk factors for the development of malignant melanoma are varied and include genetic susceptibility, exposure to ultraviolet radiation, and immunologic deficits (Friedman et al., 1991). The most important of these is intermittent ultraviolet exposure. Intermittent unaccustomed sun exposure and sunburn history were found to have considerable roles as risk factors for melanoma. Interestingly, they reported that high occupational exposure was inversely associated to melanoma (Gandini et al., 2005).

Epidemiological studies have identified: blue, green or grey eyes, blonde or red hair, light complexion, freckles, sun sensitivity, and the inability to tan, as risk factors for the development of melanoma (Evans et al., 1988; Gellin et al., 1969). Countries with predominantly fair-skinned populations have shown that increasing proximity to the equator is associated with an increased risk of developing melanoma. Although it is not possible to modify genetic factors, minimizing exposure to ultraviolet radiation, in particular intermittent exposure to high intensity radiation, and the adoption of photoprotective measures, can significantly reduce the risk of development of melanoma (Brozena, 1993; Friedman, 1991). The most commonly practiced sun protection behaviours in a national sample of US adults were the application of sunscreen and shade seeking. The use of protective clothing (hats and long-sleeved shirts) was less frequently practiced (American Cancer Society, 2009).

Other risk factors for the development of melanoma include: a positive family history (Greene et al., 1985) personal history of melanoma or non-melanoma cancer or in-situ skin carcinoma (Evans et al., 1988), large numbers of melanotic naevi in childhood (Holman & Armstrong, 1984), and xeroderma pigmentosum (Kraemer, 1984).

2.2 Biopsy
Suspicious lesions should undergo a full thickness excisional biopsy (Lees & Briggs, 1991). This should include the full thickness of the lesion with a 1-3 mm margin of clinically
normal skin and subcutaneous fat. The surgical incision should be planned with definitive treatment in mind. This should include longitudinal orientation in the extremities. In addition, narrow excision margins are recommended, in order to avoid interference with subsequent sentinel lymph node mapping (Royal College of Surgeons Guidelines, 2006). As shave and punch biopsies make pathological staging of melanoma impossible, their routine use is not recommended (Royal College of Surgeons Guidelines, 2006). Incisional biopsies may also render lesions difficult to assess on histopathological criteria, but they may be acceptable in certain anatomic locations such as the palm or sole, digit, face, ear, subungal areas, or in very large lesions. Incisional biopsies have not been associated with a worse prognosis, in terms of local or regional recurrence rates or mortality (Ledeerman & Sober, 1985; Lees & Briggs, 1991; Austin et al., 1996; Royal College of Surgeons, 2006).

3. Surgical management of the primary tumour

The surgical management of the primary tumour has shifted from extensive surgical resection, which was not only debilitating but also disfiguring, to a more conservative approach. Patients with malignant melanoma should ideally be managed by a multidisciplinary team in a tertiary referral centre. This team should include: a dermatologist, surgeon, medical oncologist, pathologist, radiologist, counsellor, specialist nurse and palliative care specialist (Royal College of Surgeons, 2006).

Excision biopsy of histologically confirmed melanoma should be followed by excision of the melanoma scar with a macroscopic margin of normal skin (Royal College of Surgeons, 2006). Previously, wider excision margins have been used to prevent lymphatic spread to the draining lymph node basin. Numerous studies have, however, failed to show any statistically significant difference between wide excision margins ranging from 3 – 5 cm, and narrower margins of 1 – 2 cm, in terms of local recurrence, mortality and disease-free survival. In addition, wider excision margins are associated with greater morbidity. (Thomas et al., 2004). Excision margins around primary melanoma should not be less than 1 cm. Exception to this rule is made for in-situ melanoma, where confirmed histological excision is adequate (Haigh et al., 2003).

The risk of death from melanoma is dependent on a number of factors, including tumour thickness according to the Breslow classification (Breslow, 1980), the presence of ulceration in the primary tumour, micrometastases to sentinel nodes, tumour site and gender (Balch et al., 2001). As survival is directly dependent on tumour thickness, current guidelines recommend excision margins based on Breslow thickness of the initial excision biopsy (Royal College of Surgeons, 2006). For patients with T1 tumours, a margin of 1 cm is advised. In this group of patients, where melanoma are less than 1 mm thick, rates of local recurrence are not higher when an excision margin of 1 cm is used instead of wider margins (Veronesi et al., 1977). A 1 – 2 cm excision margin is recommended for T2 lesions. Long-term results of a randomised trial have shown that a melanoma greater than 0.8 mm and less than or equal to 2 mm thickness can be treated with excision margins of 2 cm, as safely as those with 5 cm margins. Rates of local recurrence are not higher in patients with the narrower 2 cm margin. Similarly, rates of overall survival and recurrence -free survival are not higher in patients with narrower resection margins (Cohn-Cedarmark et al., 2000). T3 and T4 lesions should have a 20 mm margin. Loco-regional recurrence rates have been shown to be higher in melanoma greater than or equal to 2 mm thickness that is excised with a 1 cm margin, instead of a 3 cm margin. However, overall survival rates are similar in both groups. There
is insufficient data to support the preferred use of either a 2 cm or 3 cm margin, and consequently, it may be reasonable to allow the patient to decide, following an informed discussion of surgical options. The use of the larger 3 cm margin is however recommended in patients with deep tumours (> 4 mm depth), due to the higher risk of loco-regional recurrence (Thomas et al., 2004). In selected cases, however, margin size may be modified to accommodate individual anatomic or cosmetic considerations (Royal College of Surgeons, 2006). Table 2 shows a summary of recommended excision margins based on tumour size.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Margins</th>
<th>Tis</th>
<th>Histologically clear margins are adequate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>1 cm margin recommended</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>1-2 cm margin recommended</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3&amp;T4</td>
<td>2 - 3 cm margin recommended</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Recommended excision margins based on tumour size

### 3.1 In-transit metastasis

In-transit metastases are defined as cutaneous or subcutaneous deposits of melanoma between the site of primary disease and regional lymph nodes (Hayes et al., 2004). Deposits may be localized around the primary tumour, may be widespread throughout the affected limb, or on the head, neck or trunk, depending on the primary site. The number of deposits generally increases over time (Hayes et al., 2004). They are thought to arise from dissemination of melanoma cells via lymphatics to tissues located primarily between the primary tumour and the regional lymph node basin. Other theories include that of drift metastases within tissue fluid of the limb (McCarthy, 2002) or the local implantation of circulating haematogenous melanoma cells (Heenan & Ghaznawie, 1999).

The presence of small in-transit metastatic melanoma presents specific surgical problems. Unlike nodal disease, which can be managed by regional lymph node dissection (with local recurrences being uncommon), in-transit disease is often widespread and may necessitate multiple surgeries as the disease progresses and new deposits become apparent. This may cause a great deal of distress for patients. In its most severe form, in-transit metastasis may become severely disabling and may be refractory to treatment. Treatment is therefore, palliative, even if staging investigations fail to show evidence of distant metastatic disease (Hayes et al., 2004). Recent studies have recommended that treatment should be tailored to the extent of the disease, with treatments associated with significant morbidity being reserved for bulky advanced metastases (Hayes et al., 2004).

In-transit metastases are sharply circumscribed with a clear line demarcating them from normal dermis and epidermis. This line does not contain any in-situ component. Therefore, wide excision margins are not recommended for these lesions, and, therefore, a complete macroscopic excision and primary closure is sufficient. If lesions are grouped closely together, an en bloc excision is acceptable (Hayes et al., 2004).

There are numerous treatments available for management of in-transit metastases that are not amenable to surgical excision. Carbon dioxide laser therapy has been used in the
management of multiple small in-transit metastases that are not suitable for surgical excision. This treatment may be performed under local anaesthetic or if a very large number of lesions are present, general anaesthetic. It is suitable for use as a day-case procedure. If the lesion is small -measuring less than 3 mm- it may be vapourized completely. Larger lesions, however, are first circumscribed with the laser followed by excision of the central core. Haemostasis is achieved with a pressure dressing, following treatment. This procedure is tolerated well by patients, as it is relatively pain free. Wound healing may take up to 6 weeks following treatment. The value of carbon dioxide laser therapy is highest in patients with multiple small lesions, but is it is less useful in patients with larger deposits. It has been recommended that this treatment be undertaken before isolated limb perfusion, as the latter is associated with significant morbidity (Hayes et al., 2004).

Isolated limb perfusion (ILP) was first described in the 1960s (Creech & Krementz, 1966). This process involves the application of a tourniquet to the affected limb, thereby isolating it from the systemic circulation, and administering cytotoxic agents via an extracorporeal bypass circuit. This procedure is performed under general anaesthetic. The first step is to expose and cannuulate the artery and vein supplying the affected limb. The chemotherapeutic agent is then perfused over a period of 1 hour. Agents used include melphalan and dacarbazine. TNF-α has been shown to increase response rates, when given with melphalan (Lienard et al., 1992). It is thought to work by targeting neo-vasculature instead of being directly cytotoxic to tumour cells, and is of use in larger deposits (Fraker, 1999). This is then followed by a washout period lasting 30 minutes (Hayes et al., 2004). Advantages of this procedure include the delivery of high doses (up to tenfold higher than the dose tolerated systemically) of chemotherapeutic agents to the affected limb, with a reduction in systemic toxicity (Briele et al., 1985). Disadvantages of this treatment are numerous: local toxicity may be in the form of mild erythema or even epidermolysis and deep tissue damage (Wieberdink et al., 1982). High pressures may lead to compartment syndrome requiring fasciotomy (Mubarak & Owen, 1977). Hypotension and myelosuppression may result from leakage of perfusate into the systemic circulation (Hayes et al., 2004). One study found that patients with stage III disease, who were treated with BCG and dimethyltriazeno imidazole carboxamidine (DTIC), trended towards a delay in recurrence and increased survival, but this was not statistically significant (Can Med Assoc J. 1983). Similarly, the use of interferon alpha for isolated limb perfusion is not supported by strong scientific evidence (RCSI guidelines, 2006).

More recently, the role of isolated limb infusion in the management of in-transit metastasis is being investigated. This technique is minimally invasive, easy to perform and is more economical than isolated limb perfusion (Brady et al, 2006; Mian et al, 2001). The size of the area treated depends on disease severity and ranges from a small section of a limb to the entire limb. The first step in this process is to determine the volume of the limb in order to allow calculation of the appropriate dose of chemotherapeutic agents. A number of techniques have been described for calculation of limb volume. These include the use of programs which take into account serial limb measurements. Other centres use the formula \( \pi r^2 h \) to calculate limb volume at measured intervals. The next step is the placement of arterial and venous catheters into the contralateral limb. The catheter tips must lie above the knee or elbow. A hot air blanket is placed over the affected limb with the intention in inducing a temperature of 38-40 degrees Celcius (limb hyperthermia). The patient is anaesthetised and 30ml of papaverine is administered followed by a heparin flush. A tourniquet is then applied. A mixture of dactinomycin and melphalan are circulated for 20
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Thickness (mm)</th>
<th>Ulceration Status/Mitoses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tis</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| T1             | ≤ 1.00        | a: Without ulceration and mitosis < 1/ mm²  
|                |               | b: With ulceration or mitoses ≥1/ mm²      |
| T2             | 1.01-2.00     | a: Without ulceration     |
|                |               | b: With ulceration        |
| T3             | 2.01-4.00     | a: Without ulceration     |
|                |               | b: With ulceration        |
| T4             | > 4.00        | a: Without ulceration     |
|                |               | b: With ulceration        |
| N0             | 0             | NA                        |
| N1             | 1             | a: Micrometastasis*       |
|                |               | b: Macrometastasis†       |
| N2             | 2-3           | a: Micrometastasis*       |
|                |               | b: Macrometastasis†       |
|                |               | c: In transit metastases/satellites without metastatic nodes |
| N3             | 4+ metastatic nodes, or matted nodes, or in transit metastases/satellites with metastatic nodes |
| M0             | No distant metastases | NA |
| M1a            | Distant skin, subcutaneous, or nodal metastases | Normal |
| M1b            | Lung metastases | Normal |
| M1c            | All other visceral metastases | Normal |
|                | Any distant metastasis | Elevated |

Abbreviations: NA, not applicable; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase. Micrometastases are diagnosed after sentinel lymph node biopsy. Macrometastases are defined as clinically detectable nodal metastases confirmed pathologically.

Table 3. TNM staging categories for cutaneous melanoma (Balch et al., 2009)
minutes by withdrawing through the venous circuit and infusion into the arterial circuit. One litre of Hartmann’s solution is then infused to remove the chemotherapeutic agents. Following the infusion the tourniquet and catheters are removed, a pressure dressing is applied and the patient’s leg is elevated (Al-Hilli et al, 2007).

Isolated limb infusion has a number of advantages over isolated limb perfusion. Firstly, it is associated with a lower rate of complications including erythema, skin loss, compartment syndrome, myopathy, neuropathy and limb loss (1%). In addition, catheters are placed percutaneously making it less invasive with no requirement for a bypass circuit. Operating time is shorter than for isolated limb perfusion (4 hours for isolated limb perfusion versus 1 hour for isolated limb infusion). Complete response rates of 45% and partial response rates of 42% have been reported for isolated limb infusion compared to complete response rates of 40% and partial response rates of 40% for isolated limb perfusion (Brady et al, 2006; Mian et al, 2001).

The presence of in-transit metastases indicates a poor prognosis. The development of in-transit disease is rapidly followed by distant metastases (Hayes et al., 2004). The American Committee on Cancer Staging (AJCC) classify it as stage IIIB or IIIC disease, along with regional lymph node metastases. Five year survival rates in patients with stage III disease range from 18% to 60%. However, patients with in-transit metastasis have the worst prognosis, with 5 year survival of approximately 25% (Hayes et al., 2004).

3.2 Reconstruction

The optimal treatment of patients is primary closure, following excision of the primary tumour with adequate margins. Unfortunately, this is not always possible, and the patient may require reconstructive surgery. The type of reconstruction employed depends on the location of the melanoma. Skin grafts are often used, following excision of melanoma on the limbs. Traditionally, these were harvested from the contralateral limb, as melanoma was thought to metastasize primarily via lymphatic routes (Cade, 1961, Roberts et al., 2002). A recent study has shown that there is no difference in rates of donor site recurrence whether the ipsilateral or contralateral limb is used. The authors recommended that to improve patients post-operative recovery, the skin graft be harvested from the same limb as the primary tumour (Schumacher et al., 2010).

The use of skin grafts on the head and neck, however, is not always ideal, and may give rise to significant deformity. Local rotational skin flaps, such as rhomboid flaps, are safe, versatile, and aesthetically pleasing when used in this area (Lent & Aryian, 1994). They may also be of use in very large areas where a skin graft alone would give a poor cosmetic result.

4. Management of the regional lymph node basin

The outcome in patients diagnosed with melanoma is dependent, not only on tumour thickness, but also on the presence of regional or distant metastasis (Lees & Briggs, 1991). In fact, regional lymph node status is thought to be the most powerful prognostic indicator in clinically localized melanoma (Morton et al., 2006). The presence of regional nodal metastasis is associated with a 50% reduction in survival (Royal College of Surgeons, 2006). The rate of nodal metastatic disease is largely dependant upon the initial tumour thickness. T1 melanoma has a favourable outlook, with a 10% risk of occult nodal metastasis. Approximately 25% of patients, with melanoma between 1.5 - 4.0 mm thick, have lymph node metastasis at presentation. 60% of patients with melanoma greater than 4 mm will
show regional lymph node metastasis at diagnosis. These data form the basis for the current
guidelines on which patients should be offered a sentinel lymph node biopsy (Royal College
of Surgeons, 2006).
Patients diagnosed with stage III disease commonly have clinically negative lymph nodes
but are found to have micro-metastatic disease on their sentinel lymph node biopsy. Such
patients have a more favourable outcome than patients with clinically involved nodes at
presentation (Balch et al., 2009). The major determinants of outcome for stage III disease are:
number of metastatic lymph nodes and the presence of either microscopic or macroscopic
disease. Five-year survival rates for patients with stage IIIA disease is 67%, and 10-year
survival is 60%. Five-year survival rates for patients with stage IIIB disease is estimated at
53%. Stage IIIC disease has a poorer prognosis with 5-year survival of approximately 26%
(Balch et al., 2001).

5. The sentinel lymph node biopsy

The sentinel lymph node is defined as any lymph node that receives lymphatic drainage
directly from a primary tumor site (Thompson, 2001; Uren et al., 1994). The rationale for
undertaking a sentinel lymph node biopsy in melanoma is to firstly to provide prognostic
information and secondly to allow node negative patients avoid an unnecessary lymph node
dissection. The current indications for sentinel lymph node biopsy include intermediate
thickness melanoma, 1 – 4 mm (Morton et al., 2006). However, a study published this year
also recommended that patients with thin melanoma, greater than 0.75 mm and or
ulceration, should be considered for a sentinel lymph node dissection, although this has as
yet not gained widespread acceptance (Yonick et al., 2011).
The use of sentinel lymph node biopsy allows surgeons to appropriately select patients for
complete lymph node dissection, instead of undertaking lymph node dissection in all
patients. This practice allows assessment of the regional lymph node basin with low rates of
morbidity (Gershenwald et al., 1999). By managing occult nodal metastases early, through
sentinel lymph node dissection, the patients risk of melanoma-related death is reduced
(Faries et al., 2010).

Fig. 1. The sentinel lymph node biopsy
The technical details of sentinel lymph node biopsy can be broken down into a number of steps. First, the patient undergoes preoperative lymphoscintigraphy which identifies the regional nodal basin and estimates the location of the sentinel node. Four intradermal injections of 0.1–0.2 ml of 10 mBq radiocolloid are performed around the melanoma or melanoma scar: the injection should raise a small wheal on the skin. The most commonly used radiotracers are 99mTc-labeled albumin (Europe), 99mTc-labeled sulfur colloid and 99mTc-antimony trisulfide colloid. Scintillation cameras are used to obtain dynamic images. These images allow identification of sentinel nodes within the regional nodal basin. They also allow discrimination of second-tier nodes, which may be falsely interpreted as sentinel nodes on delayed imaging. The surface location of the sentinel node may be marked on the skin preoperatively or, alternatively, a gamma probe can be used to locate the node intra-operatively. Intra-operative lymphatic mapping involves injection of vital blue dye (Isosulfan blue (Lymphazurin), Methylene Blue or Patent Blue V are used). A combination of radiotracers and blue dye has been shown to allow sentinel node identification in 99% of cases. The blue dye is injected intra-dermally (again to produce a wheal) in 2-4 locations at the site of the primary lesion, 10-15 minutes before skin incision. The dye is used to visualize the sentinel node intra-operatively. A gamma probe (covered in a sterile plastic sheath), which detects radiation, may be used to locate the sentinel node. Counts should be obtained over the skin before incision, to confirm the location of the sentinel node. A short skin incision is made, bearing in mind the potential need for complete lymph node dissection. The sentinel nodes are then identified using the blue dye and gamma probe as a guide, and they are removed with minimal dissection. An ex-vivo count should be obtained, by measuring the radioactivity of the sentinel node(s) after removal. A bed count is then also obtained following removal of the sentinel node(s), to ensure that no sentinel nodes remain (Bagaria et al., 2010).

Significant controversy surrounds the use of sentinel lymph node biopsy in thin, early melanomas. There are a number of reasons for this. Firstly, patients with a low-risk of nodal metastases are exposed to the toxicity of a potentially unnecessary procedure. Secondly, the routine use of sentinel lymph node biopsy is expensive: global application of sentinel lymph node biopsy in all patients is estimated to cost between $700,000 and $1,000,000 for every sentinel node metastasis detected (Agnses et al., 2003).
Can we therefore use the sentinel lymph node technique selectively in patients with thin melanomas? Multiple studies have examined risk factors for poor prognosis in patients with thin melanoma. Age has been shown to be associated with a decreased overall and melanoma-specific survival (Faries et al., 2010). Paradoxically, age is associated with a lower risk of lymph node metastases. This paradox can be explained by decreased lymphatic function with advancing age (Conway et al., 2009). Other risk factors which have been put forward as predictors of lymph node metastasis include: sex, vertical growth phase, Breslow thickness, mitotic rate, Clark level, and tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes (Bedrosian et al., 2000; Bleicher et al., 2003; Cecchi et al., 2007; Gimotty et al., 2004; Kesmodel et al., 2005; Oliveira et al., 2003; Puleo et al., 2005; Vaquerano et al., 2006).

These specific factors remain controversial and several studies have questioned their importance (Stitzenberg et al., 2004; Wong et al., 2006). The use of vertical growth phase as a predictor of metastasis may be problematic as it is not reported in many centres and pathologists may not be experienced at distinguishing it from the radial growth phase. A similar problem is encountered when Clark level is used (Owen et al., 2001). Tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes are measured on a scale that is deemed to be subjective, and therefore may give rise to inter-observer variation. Mitotic rate, however, has been shown to be extremely important in melanoma risk assessment (Gimotty et al., 2005; Paek et al., 2007; Sondak et al., 2004) and is planned to be included in the updated American Joint Committee on Cancer Staging system. Ulceration has been associated with a worse prognosis and a higher rate of nodal metastasis, but this is an uncommon finding in thin lesions (McKinnion et al., 2003). A recent study by Faries et al, examining the rate of nodal recurrence in thin melanoma following wide local excision, only identified Breslow thickness, age, and sex as significant indicators of recurrence. Based on these findings, they developed a scoring system and nomogram for the risk of regional nodal metastasis. It has been recommended that this system may be used to reassure low-risk patients, who are anxious about metastasis, or to convince high-risk patients to proceed with a sentinel lymph node biopsy (Faries et al., 2010).

The role of sentinel lymph node biopsy for patients with thick melanomas (> 4 mm) also remains controversial. This specific group of patients have a high risk of nodal metastasis, with some studies showing rates as high as 60% (Balch et al., 2001; Gershenwald et al., 2000). However, as this group of patients are also at high risk of distant metastatic disease the role of either sentinel lymph node biopsy or regional lymph node clearance remain unclear, in terms of providing an improvement in overall survival. Recent studies, have however proposed an improvement in both disease free and overall survival with the presence of a negative sentinel lymph node (Scoggins et al., 2010). They therefore concluded that sentinel lymph node biopsy and complete lymph node dissection for sentinel node positive patients achieves good regional nodal disease control. Sentinel lymph node biopsy in this group of patients may, therefore important for prognosis as well as having therapeutic implications and should at least be considered in these patients (Scoggins et al., 2010).

6. Elective regional lymph node dissection

Complete lymph node dissection is performed with the intention of halting metastatic spread of melanoma in the early stages of the disease (Callery et al., 1982; Roses et al., 1985). Five-year survival rates in patients with negative complete lymph node dissection stands at 62.5%, compared with 20.3% in patients with positive non-sentinel nodes (Kunte et al.,
Therefore, patients undergoing elective lymph node dissection should have improved survival, when compared with patients who are only treated following the appearance of metastases, a point that has been demonstrated in numerous retrospective studies (Balch et al., 1979, 1988; Callery et al., 1982; Milton et al., 1982; Roses et al., 1985). Before the advent of sentinel lymph node biopsy, a complete lymph node dissection was carried out for all patients with malignant melanoma irrespective of lymph node status. However, a number of randomized prospective trials have failed to show overall survival benefit to elective lymph node dissection, and propose that regional lymph nodal metastases represent markers of systemic disease (Balch et al., 1996; Sim et al., 1978; Veronesi et al., 1977, 1982).

It is unclear if an elective lymph node dissection is the appropriate next step in the management of patients with a positive sentinel lymph node biopsy. Currently, a complete lymph node dissection is carried out for all patients with a positive sentinel lymph node, irrespective of the type of metastases (micro-metastasis or macro-metastasis). The value of a complete lymph node dissection in this group of patients has not been extensively investigated (Garbe et al., 2008) and it must constantly be borne in mind that complete lymph node dissection is associated with significant patient morbidity (Guggenheim et al., 2008).

A significant survival benefit has been noted in patients with a positive sentinel lymph node biopsy, who undergo a complete lymph node dissection, when compared with patients undergoing complete lymph node dissection after nodal metastases become apparent (Kretschmer et al., 2004). In a study conducted by Morton et al. (2006), a 5-year survival rate of 72% was seen in patients with positive sentinel lymph nodes, followed by immediate lymph node dissection, whereas patients undergoing a delayed lymph node dissection had a 5-year survival rate of only 52%. However, further positive non-sentinel lymph nodes are found in a relatively small proportion of patients: previously quoted figures ranged from 17%-24% (Ghaferi et al., 2009; Lee et al., 2004; Rossi et al., 2008; Wright et al., 2010). However, a recent study has shown rates of further positive findings to be as low as 14.8% (Kunte et al., 2011).

Ideally, patients at high risk of non-sentinel nodal metastases could be identified and treated by regional lymph node dissection, and patients with a low risk of non-sentinel nodal metastases could be spared from further intervention (Wright et al., 2008). Recently, researchers have sought to identify factors, which increase a patient’s likelihood of non-sentinel node metastases. Increasing Breslow depth has been associated with increased risk of non-sentinel node metastases, while a depth of less than 1 mm has no association with any further positive nodes on completion lymph node dissection (Kunte et al., 2011). Studies have failed to show an association between specific tumour and patient characteristics with an increased rate of non-sentinel nodal metastasis (Rossi et al., 2008). However, a number of histopathologic features have been shown to be associated with positive complete lymph node dissections. These include: nodular melanoma, ulceration, melanoma regression, naevus association, and no special tumour characteristics (Kunte et al., 2011). Using a size/ulceration score, Reeves et al. (2003) showed ulceration to be an independent predictor of non-sentinel node deposits.

Recent studies have examined the association between the size of sentinel lymph node deposits and the rate of positive complete lymph node dissection. Kunte et al. (2011) did not report any patients with micro-metastatic deposits on sentinel lymph node biopsy to have positive findings on complete lymph node dissection (Glumac et al., 2008). Another study showed a 3-year survival rate in patients with 1 mm sentinel lymph node metastasis to be
100%, while 3-year survival in patients with deposits greater than 1 mm was 80% (Van der Ploeg et al., 2009). Ollila et al. (2009), however, found a significantly higher rate of recurrence in patients with sub-micrometastatic disease (ie. sentinel lymph node deposits less than 0.1 mm), compared with node-negative patients. Unfortunately the role of complete lymph node dissection in patients with a positive sentinel lymph node biopsy remains unclear and further study is necessary to identify factors which may be incorporated into a model for assessing risk of identifying high risk patients.

7. Conclusion

The incidence of melanoma is rising steadily in the Western world. Increased awareness of the disease has not impacted on its poor prognosis. Surgery remains the mainstay of treatment for this difficult tumour. Indeed there is little in the way of adjuvant systemic therapy that improves overall survival. Adequate surgical margins with or without local reconstructive techniques can improve local recurrence rates. Utilization of the sentinel node biopsy technique allows accurate staging of disease and determination of prognosis. Positive sentinel lymph nodes should be treated with regional lymph node dissection to reduce loco-regional disease. The impact of this on overall survival has not yet been clearly elucidated. The future lies in the continued expansion of the molecular basis of melanoma and the hope of personalised targeted molecular therapies.
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Management of melanoma is challenging, especially for the late stage of the disease. Development of new therapies and optimizing current treatments are being pursued in attempt to further improve the survival rate. The book provides up-to-date knowledge and experience in early diagnosis, prevention and treatment of melanoma as well as current ongoing clinical studies on melanoma. The book also provides the most recent perspectives of research on the molecular basis of melanoma, such as melanoma associated genes and a possible link between stress and melanoma.
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