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1. Introduction

Great progress in face recognition technology has been made recently. Since the first face recognition vendor test (FRVT) Phillips et al. (2007) in 1993, face recognition performance has been improved by two orders of magnitude in thirteen years. Notably, in the FRVT 2006 it is the first time that algorithms are capable of human performance levels, and at false acceptance rates in the range of 0.05, machines can outperform humans Phillips et al. (2007). The advances are promising for face verification applications where a typical one-to-one match is performed. It is still a grand challenge to power large-scale face image retrieval. Large-scale face image retrieval is the enabling technology behind the next generation search engines (search beyond words), by which web users can do social search with personal photos. High performance face identification algorithms are needed to support large scale face image retrieval. Compared with face verification, face identification is believed \( N \) times harder than face verification due to its nature of \( 1:N \) problems. The number of individuals \( N \) in the database has a great impact on both the effectiveness and efficiency of face identification algorithms.

With the state of the art face identification algorithms, the identification rate is only around 70\% (rank = 1) for the FERET database, a gallery of ten thousands individuals. When to serve for large-scale face image retrieval applications, the identification rate will further decrease as the gallery size increase (fortunately not linearly but logarithmically). The computing complexity of face identification is linearly related to the number of individuals \( N \). For large-scale face image retrieval the efficiency of face identification is a key issue. In this paper we focus on the efficiency aspects of face identification.

Technically, it is very challenging to find a person from a very large or extremely large database which might hold face images of millions or hundred millions people. A highly efficient image retrieval technology is needed. Indexing technology based on tree structures has been widely used in commercial search engines. These structures are quite efficient for small dimensions (of the order of 1-10). However, as the data dimensionality increases, the query performance of these structures degrades rapidly. For instance, White and Jain report that as the dimensionality increases from 5 to 10, the performance of a nearest-neighbor query
in multi-dimensional structures such as the SS-tree and the R-tree, degrades by a factor of 12. This phenomenon, known as the dimensionality curse is a common characteristic of all multi-dimensional index structures. In spite of the progress in the design and analysis of multi-dimensional structures such as the TV-trees, the X-trees, and the SR-trees, the dimensionality curse persists.

A very efficient approach to large-scale image retrieval is to use an approximate similarity searching strategy Tuncel et al. (2004). Without building an indexing mechanism, the search engine simply accesses partial information about all the feature vectors. Popular examples of this approach are the VA-file algorithm Weber & Blott (1997), and the dimensionality reduction techniques. Feature vectors are approximated using the accessed partial information. In the state of the art face recognition techniques, all face images in a gallery are transferred into lower resolution used for feature vectors (called face signatures). Two examples are face signatures (images) of 21-by-12 pixels used in the statistical subspace method Shaknharovich & Moghaddam (2004) and face signatures of 28-by-23 pixels used in our 1D HMM method Le (2008). The problem is that due to huge number of individuals in a larger gallery all signatures are too big to fit into a single server’s memory. The signatures have to be stored in hard disks. The number of disk I/O operations will be the bottleneck for query processing. The processing time is approximately proportional to the size of a signature image. Therefore, compression of face signatures will play a central role in large-scale face image retrieval. High compression will lead to a fast retrieval but the distortion due to compression will affect the retrieval quality. Therefore, two types of scientific challenges are:

- How to characterize the trade-off between retrieval quality and speed.
- How to efficiently compress face signatures under the fixed distortion.

This chapter brings together our earlier works in a more detailed and coherent whole. A shorter version can be found in Kouma & Li (2009). Our contributions are:

1. To treat the image retrieval problem as a source coding problem and the rate-distortion theory \( R(D) \) is used to characterize retrieval quality (\( D \): distortion of coding) and retrieval speed (\( R \): rate of coding)

2. To view compression of signature images it as a typical “Wyner-Ziv Coding” problem, which circumvents the problem that the query images are not available until we decompress the signature image

3. To develop a distributed coding scheme based on LDPC codes to compress face signature images

2. Image retrieval as a Wyner-Ziv coding problem

In the 1970s Slepian and Wolf had already proved that efficient compression can also be achieved by exploring source statistics partially or wholly at the decoder only. This was known as distributed lossless coding Slepian & Wolf (1973). This is illustrated in Figure 1 by an example of compressing an information source \( X \) in the presence of side information \( Y \) when \( X \) and \( Y \) are two correlated sources. For the simplest case when \( Y \) is not known at all, \( X \) can be compressed at the rate larger than or equal to \( H(X) \). When \( Y \) is known at both the encoder and decoder, the problem of compressing \( X \) is also well understood: one can compress \( X \) at the theoretical rate of its conditional entropy \( H(X | Y) \). But what if \( Y \) is known
only at the decoder for \( X \) and not at the encoder? The surprising answer from the Slepian-Wolf coding theorem Slepian & Wolf (1973) is that one can still compress \( X \) using only \( H(X | Y) \) bits, the same bits as the case where the encoder does know \( Y \)! This was extended to the lossy encoding by Wyner and Ziv Wyner & Ziv (1976) and yielded a similar result: Under certain conditions, as when \( X \) and \( Y \) are jointly Gaussian with the MSE measure, when the decoder knows \( Y \), then whether or not the encoder knows \( Y \), the rate-distortion performance for coding \( X \) is identical.

In face retrieval applications, for a given signature \( X \), \( R \geq H(X) \) bits are needed to represent it. If the query image \( Y \) is from the same individual, then \( Y \) will be highly related to \( X \). If we know \( Y \) in advance then we don’t need to store the whole information of \( X \), instead just the conditional information, \( R \geq H(X | Y) \). Obviously, \( H(X | Y) \leq H(X) \). As mentioned, retrieval speed is determined by (linearly proportional to) the rate \( R \), so it makes large sense to reduce the rate from \( H(X) \) to \( H(X | Y) \). The challenge is that in practice, \( Y \) is not known in advance and we can’t directly make use of the knowledge of \( Y \) to help the compression of \( X \). The solution is to treat it as the Wyner-Ziv coding problem: take the query image \( Y \) as the side information. There will be no rate loss as long as \( X \) and \( Y \) are jointly Gaussian. In fact, in the state of art face recognition techniques Gaussian modeling of human faces are commonly used. For example, in statistical subspace methods Shakhnarovich & Moghaddam (2004), it is assumed that \( \Delta = X - Y \) is a Gaussian distribution if \( X \) and \( Y \) are from the same individual. The Gaussian distribution is used to characterize intra-personal variations \( \Omega \), caused by different facial expressions, lighting, and poses of the same individual. According to Wyner-Ziv coding theory there is no rate loss when \( Y \) is available only at the decoder since it is the quadratic Gaussian case. The rate will be:

\[
R_{WZ}(D) = R_{X|Y}(D) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \log \left( \frac{\omega_i}{D_i} \right)
\]

(1)

where

\[
D = \sum_i D_i
\]

(2)

Note that \( Y \) can be arbitrarily distributed. The rate-distortion \( R_{WZ}(D) \), put it in the image retrieval language, says the minimum time complexity \( (R) \) achieving retrieval distortion \( D \). It governs the tradeoff between the retrieval quality \( (D) \) and retrieval speed \( R \) in practice. Just as the information theory, the Wyner-Ziv theorem only tells us a theoretical bound on information rate but not how to reach the bound in practice. The image coding community has high interest in exploring how to design practical Slepian-Wolf and Wyner-Ziv codecs.

With Low-density parity-check codes, when the code performance approaches the capacity.
of the correlation channel, the compression performance approaches the Slepian-Wolf bound (see referenced listed in Varodayan et al. (2005)). In contrast, efforts toward practical Wyner-Ziv coding have been undertaken only recently. Zamir and Shamai proved that linear codes and nested lattices might approach the Wyner-Ziv rate-distortion function if the source data and side information are jointly Gaussian Zamir et al. (n.d.). Xiong et al. implemented a Wyner-Ziv encoder as a nested lattice quantizer followed by a Slepian-Wolf coder Xiong et al. (2003). In general, a practical Wyner-Ziv coder can be thought to consist of a quantizer followed by a Slepian-Wolf encoder, as illustrated in figure 2. This makes it possible for us to focus on two basic components: quantization and reconstruction. As an example of practical codec a Wyner-Ziv video coding system is reported to perform 10-12 dB better than H.263+ intra-frame coding Varodayan et al. (2005). In the face image retrieval, compression of face signature images are much more challenging than distributed video coding due to rather large variations between face images of the same person, which may be taken at different time, by using different cameras. In this paper we focus on using Slepian-Wolf coding to compress face signature images.

3. Low Density Parity-Check (LDPC) codes as Slepian-Wolf coder

Low Density Parity-Check Codes are intensively studied in other literatures, But for the sake of completeness we briefly review it here. LDPC codes are a class of linear block codes. They were invented by Gallager in the early 60’s. But due the computational complexity (at that time), LDPC codes were largely forgotten until the early 90’s. LDPC codes are specified by a sparse parity-check matrix $\mathbf{H}$, as well as a bipartite graph, introduced by Tanner Tanner (1981). Equation 3 and figure 3 show a parity-check matrix and its graphical representation, respectively. an LDPC code consists of $N$ variable nodes (number of bits in a codeword) and $M$ check nodes (number of parity bits). A check node $c_m$ is connected to a variable node $v_n$ if the element $h_{ij}$ in $\mathbf{H}$ is 1.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$ (3)

Typically a parity-check matrix is very big - of size over 2000 entries - and very sparse.
An LDPC code is called (ir)regular if the total number of 1’s in every column of the matrix is (not) the same as well as the total number of 1’s in every row. Equivalently if all the check nodes have (not) the same number of connections to the variable nodes as well as the variable nodes to the check nodes.

LDPC codes are randomly constructed subject to these (ir)regularity constraints Gallager (1962).

3.0.1 Encoding

Given a binary source $X \in \{0, 1\}^{1 \times n}$ and an LDPC code $H \in \{0, 1\}^{k \times n}$ - we multiply $X$ with $H$ and find the corresponding syndrome $Z = H^T X$, $Z \in \{0, 1\}^{1 \times n}$. Equivalently in the tanner graph we add all the variable nodes connected to the same check node. All operations are performed in modulo 2. The corresponding syndrome $Z$ will be the compressed version of $X$.

3.0.2 Decoding

The decoder must estimate $X$, say $\hat{X}$, from $Z$, given $H$ and $Y \in \{0, 1\}^{1 \times n}$, known to be correlated to $X$. That is $Pr(X_i \neq Y_i) < 0.5$, $i = 1, 2, ..., n$.

As in Liveris et al. (2002), the conventional message passing$^2$ the LDPC decoder Casado et al. (2007); Leiner (2005); Shokrollahi (2003) is modified for the syndrome information to be taken into account. This yields to the following syndrome decoding algorithm:

- $\{x_i, y_i\} \in \{0, 1\}$, $i = 1, 2, ..., n$ are the values in $X$ and $Y$, respectively
- $s_i \in \{0, 1\}$, $i = 1, 2, ..., k$ are the values in $Z$
- $q_{ij}$ is the message passed variable node $v_i$ to a check node $c_j$
- $r_{ji}$ is the message passed from a check node $c_j$ to a variable node $v_i$

$^1$ Actually the concept of compressing a binary source to its syndrome was first introduced by S. Pradhan et al. Pradhan & Ramch (1999). But that concept was rather an inspiration to constructive frameworks
$^2$ The message passing algorithm itself, even called Belief propagation in some literatures, is intensively studied in Bishop (2006); Kschischang et al. (2001)
• $Q_i$ is the set of connected check nodes to the $i$:th variable node.
• $Q_{i\backslash j}$ is the set of connected check nodes, excluding the $i$:th check node, to the $j$:th variable node.
• $R_j$ is the set of connected variable nodes to the $j$:th check node.
• $R_{j\backslash i}$ is the set of connected variable nodes, excluding the $i$:th variable node, to the $j$:th check node.

1. initialize; Prior Log Likelihood Ratios (LLR)s of $x_i$: 

$$q_i^0 = \log \frac{Pr[x_i = 0|y_i]}{Pr[x_i = 1|y_i]} = (1 - 2y_i) \log \frac{1-p}{p} \quad (4)$$

2. Message (or LLR) sent from $i$:th variable node to $j$:th check node:

$$r_{ji} = 2 \text{arctanh} \left( (1 - 2s_j) \prod_{i' \in R_{j\backslash i}} \tanh \left( \frac{q_{i'j}}{2} \right) \right) \quad (5)$$

3. Message sent from $j$:th check node to $i$:th variable node:

$$q_{ij} = q_i^0 + \sum_{j' \in Q_{i\backslash j}} r_{j'i} \quad (6)$$

4. Hard decision:

$$\hat{x}_i = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } q_i^0 + \sum_{j'=1}^k q_{ij} \geq 0 \\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

5. If $H^T \hat{X} \approx Z$, stop. Else goto 3

3.1 Potentials and limitations of LDPC codes

We carried out approximatively the same simulations as in Liveris et al. (2002). We compressed a (randomly generated) binary source $X$ with codeword length $n = 16384$ bits to different compression ratios. The side information was generated with different crossover probabilities. The rates were increased until lossless compression was achieved. The results are presented in table 1

Although experimental results showed that LDPC-based compression of binary sources provides rates very close to Slepian-Wolf bound, it is important to mention a few caveats:

• No convergence at all is observed. Probable causes:
  – The real crossover probability is higher than required
  – The source signals are “far”$^3$ $^4$ from random

$^3$ Experimentally, source signals do not need to be strictly random for the decoder to work
$^4$ There are ongoing research especially dealing with non-random sources Garcia-Frias & Zhong (2003)
• The maximum number of iterations is reached\(^5\), but convergence is not.
• Convergence is reached but the decoded codeword is the wrong one.

To generate parity-check matrix we used the implementation from Avudainayagam (2002). Additionally Liveris et al. showed that the performance achieved by LDPC codes is seen to be better than recently published results using Turbo codes. LDPC codes seem therefore to be more attractive solution to our "Wyner-Ziv Coding of Face Images" problem.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crossover probabilities</th>
<th>0.01</th>
<th>0.05</th>
<th>0.1</th>
<th>0.2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Theoretical conditional entropies</td>
<td>0.169</td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td>0.469</td>
<td>0.722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental conditional entropies</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0.420</td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td>0.880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental conditional entropies in Liveris et al. (2002)</td>
<td>0.310</td>
<td>0.435</td>
<td>0.630</td>
<td>0.890</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Lossless compression results using LDPC

4. Compression of face signature images using LDPC codes

Since the query images are not available when face signature images are compressed, a direct solution is to treat face signature images as binary sources \(X\). Since \(X_i\) and \(X_j\) are two views from the same person and they are highly correlated with \(\Pr(X_i \neq X_j) = p < 0.5\). To allow the use of distributed coding to compress \(X\) the correlation between \(X_i\) and \(X_j\) can be modeled with a binary symmetric channel (BSC) with crossover probability \(p\) as shown in Fig 4 Following Liveris et al. (2002), LDPC codes \(\mathbb{H}\) will be used to compress the binary sources with the query image as side information. That is, given a binary source \(X\) and a LDPC code \(\mathbb{H}\), which is a \(k \times n\) parity-check matrix, we multiply \(X\) with \(\mathbb{H}\) and find the corresponding syndrome \(Z = \mathbb{H}X\) with the length \((n - k)\). The LDPC decoder estimates the \(n\)-length sequence \(X\) from its \((n-k)\) length syndrome \(X\) and the side information, query image \(Y\) (length \(n\)). The system is shown in Fig 5. The compression ratio achieved with this scheme is \(\frac{n}{n-k}\). Figure 12 illustrates faces images and their respective syndrome face (signatures).

4.1 Binary coding of face signature images

The simplest way to transfer a grayscale face image into binary sequences is to employ the bit-plane coding to convert each gray level to its binary representation with prefixed resolution and then encode each bit-plane separately. Figure 6 shows the probability distributions for inter-face and intra-face variations over a small-scale face database (containing 40 subjects with 10 photos each). The low correlation is caused by the fact that the bit-plane coding is very sensitive to luminance changes, small changes in gray level can have a significant impact on the complexity of the bit planes. Obviously, it is inadequate to use LDPC to compress bit-planes, directly.

Since our preliminary goal is to investigate how to use LDPC to compress face signature images, here we just select a working binary coding scheme for our experiments. Our choice goes to Expectation Maximization (EM) Dempster et al. (1977) for segmentation Weiss (1997).

\(^5\) For the sake of simplicity, we designed a decoding scheme that runs a predefined number of iterations. Intense studies for convergence rules are carried out in Casado et al. (2007); Daneshgaran et al. (2007); Hou et al. (2001); Matache et al. (2000)
EM attempts to assign objects a class but in an unsupervised way, tending to maximize the inter-class variation, while keeping the within-class semantic. Figure 7 shows segmentation results using EM. The results in figure 8 show that intra-face and inter-face variations are approximately $p_{\text{intra}} \sim N(0.21, 1.43 \times 10^{-2})$ and $p_{\text{inter}} \sim N(0.35, 1.35 \times 10^{-2})$, respectively.

A certain improvement in correlation over intra-person faces is noticed (as shown in figure 6, yet not sufficient, because to employ LDPC the crossover probability has to be less than $36\%$. 
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Fig. 6. Distribution of facial variation in grayscale

Fig. 7. Segmentation using EM

0.2 Liveris et al. (2002). We have to go for further processing. After carefully examining all face signatures one will see that intra face variations are mainly caused by the following factors: facial expressions, face poses, illuminance changes, camera factors etc. We have to do alignment between face signatures images.
4.2 Motion compensated alignment

To take away the displacement between two face signature images, a motion-compensated alignment technique is employed Li & Forchheimer (1995). The idea is first to select a natural face from the database as the reference face. To align a face signature image with respect to the reference image, the reference image has to be divided into blocks, \( \{ D_k \} \). Given a block \( D_k \) in the reference image, the aim is to find the corresponding block \( B_i \) in the face image by minimizing the distance \( d(D_k, B_i) \). The distance is given by:

\[
d(D_k, B_i) = |D_k - (a_0 + a_1 B_i)|
\]  

(8)
Fig. 10. Face distributions in binary vs. crossover probabilities, after alignment. dash-star line: intra-face. dash-point line: inter-face.

Coefficients $a_0$ and $a_1$ are defined by

$$a_0 = u_d - \frac{\sigma_d}{\sigma_b} u_b$$

$$a_1 = \frac{\sigma_d}{\sigma_b}$$

where $u_b$ and $\sigma_b$ are the mean value and variance of the block $B_i$ and $u_d$ and $\sigma_d$ are the mean value and variance of the block $D_k$. The effect of the employed motion compensated alignment on face signature images is shown in figure 9. It is noted here that motion compensated alignment is performed before binary coding via the EM segmentation approach.

We carry out experiments over the face database and computed inter-face and intra-face variations as shown in figure 10. $p_{\text{inter}}$ and $p_{\text{intra}}$ can be modeled as normal distributions, $p_{\text{inter}} \sim N(0.035, 7.04 \times 10^{-5})$ and $p_{\text{intra}} \sim N(0.029, 7.17 \times 10^{-5})$. The small intra face variations make it perfect to use LDPC for compression of face signature images. More important, we know the compression bound: the theoretical limit for lossless compression of face signature images $X$ is

$$nR \geq nH(X|Y) = nH(p)$$

$$H(p) = -p \log_2(p) - (1-p) \log_2(1-p)$$

Here $p = 0.0298$ corresponding to $H(X|Y) = 0.1934$, that is, a compression ratio of 5 can be achieved.
5. Retrieval metric

We introduce a similarity measure where the key is using syndrome decoding Liveris et al. (2002) and normalized Hamming distance.

At the retrieval phase, Given a query \( Y \), we will process \( Y \) in the same manner as in the enrollment phase, first motion compensated alignment followed by binary coding. For each syndrome \( Z_i \), \( \hat{X}_i \) is estimated with respect to \( \mathbb{H} \). This is equivalent to the Slepian-Wolf’s insight of sources coding with side information available only at the decoder Slepian & Wolf (1973), where \( Y \) represents the side information and \( Z_i \), the compressed correlated source. See figures 2 and 5. Normalized Hamming distance is performed between every \((Y, \hat{X}_i)\) pair. The normalized Hamming Distance is given by:

\[
D_i = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_j \oplus \hat{X}_{ij}
\]

The templates are then ranked according to their distance to the query.

6. Preliminary results

In our experiment we use the ORL Database of Faces. In the database there are 10 different images of each of 40 distinct subjects, taken at different times, varying light conditions and facial expressions. For our purpose 5 randomly chosen images out 10 of each 40 subjects are used as training set and 5 for validation and test. It is also important to mention that the images were resized to \( 28 \times 24 \) before further processing. The resizing parameters are
mainly motivated by the psychological assumption made in Torralba et al. (2008) and our own research on face recognition Le (2008). Three LDPC codes are employed corresponding to different compression ratios, $R = 0.31$, $R = 0.50$ and $R = 0.76$. Recall that we found experimentally that $p_{\text{inter}} \sim N(0.035, 7.04 \cdot 10^{-5})$ and $p_{\text{intra}} \sim N(0.029, 7.17 \cdot 10^{-5})$. Theoretically a compression rate $R = 0.1934$ is thus expected Slepian & Wolf (1973). This makes great sense since a $28 \times 24$ grayscale image, when transformed to binary, requires 672 bits to be stored. With the theoretical compression rate 537 bits saved. Using an LDPC code with rate $R = 0.31$, we were able to save 464 bits per template, while achieving comparable results with the scheme with no compression. Thus for 200 templates, we save 92800 bits! Figure 13 reports performance results, where the retrieval efficiency is plotted against the number of outputs. The line specifications in figure 13 are denoted as follow:

- **Solid-asterisk**: Alignment followed by bit-plane-wise binary representation
- **Solid**: Alignment followed by binarization. No compression
- **Dash-dot**: proposed scheme with rate 0.3
- **Solid-upward triangle**: proposed scheme with rate 0.5
- **Solid-downward triangle**: proposed scheme with rate 0.7

![Fig. 12. Face images and respective resulting syndrome](image-url)
7. Concluding remarks

Wyner-Ziv coding is radically different from conventional image coding. It gives a totally new coding paradigm. Most research efforts are devoted to how image and video compression can be done under the new paradigm. This paper is the debut of our effort to investigate how Wyner-Ziv coding can be used for large-scale image retrieval problem.

Image coding and image retrieval have been conventionally two different disciplines. In this paper image retrieval is considered as an image-coding problem. The powerful rate-distortion theory can be directly used to characterize the tradeoff between retrieval quality and retrieval speed through the crossover probability $p$.

Wyner-Ziv coding has a great potential to improve the efficiency of large-scale image retrieval. Under the Wyner-Ziv coding framework the query information provided by a huge number of web users can be utilized to reduce the storage and transmission of face images. Considering that Google receives hundreds of millions of queries per day and they use a million servers to run their search service, it is a big impact to our environment if consumption of storage and transmission can be reduced 90% by adopting Wyner-Ziv coding.

The results we reported here are very preliminary. We focus ourselves on how to use LDPC codes to compress binary coding of face signature images $X$ to reach the Slepian-Wolf bound $H(X|Y)$. We haven’t addressed at all how to quantize $X$ to achieve Wyner-Ziv coding, $H(Q(X)|Y)$. To focus on LDPC coding of $X$, we just use an EM-strategy to do binary coding of face signature images and use it for our benchmark. We already see that the binary coding results in a significant loss in the quality of image retrieval. To build an efficient whole system, the study of $Q(X)$ has to be carried out. In addition, motion compensated alignment plays a very important role and has a big impact on both compression efficiency and retrieval quality. How to achieve an optimal alignment is an important topic for future research.
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How to reference
In order to correctly reference this scholarly work, feel free to copy and paste the following:
