Chapter from the book *Molecular Imaging*
Downloaded from: http://www.intechopen.com/books/molecular-imaging

Interested in publishing with IntechOpen?
Contact us at book.department@intechopen.com
Recent Development and Trends in Molecular Imaging Probes for Prostate Cancer

Wenbin Zeng\textsuperscript{1,2}, Zhiguo Liu\textsuperscript{1,2} and Wei Wang\textsuperscript{2,3}

\textsuperscript{1} School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Central South University, Tongzipo Road, Changsha, \textsuperscript{2} Molecular Imaging Research Center, Central South University, Changsha, \textsuperscript{3} Cell Transplantation & Gene Therapy Institute, The Third Xiangya Hospital of Central South University, Changsha, China

1. Introduction

In 1853, a surgeon at the London Hospital, Adams J, discovered the first case of prostate cancer by histological examination [1]. In the report, he noted that the condition was “a very rare disease”. Remarkably, 150 years later, prostate cancer has become a significant health problem and disease. Prostate cancer continues to have the highest incidence rate of any other type of cancer in male, and it is the second leading cause of cancer deaths in male (in the United States), with about 220k new cases diagnosed each year only in US [2-4]. Detection rates of prostate cancers vary widely across the world, with less frequently detecting in East and South Asia than in Europe, and especially in the United States. Prostate cancer tends to develop in men over the age of fifty, and although it is one of the most prevalent types of cancer in men. However, many of those patients never have symptoms, while undergo no therapy, and eventually die of other causes. The increased incidence of prostate cancer patients has led to remarkable changes in diagnosis and treatment over the past decades. Fifty years ago the typical patient was a man in his early seventies who was diagnosed with metastases to the bone and/or soft tissues [5]. Characteristically, these lesions were bulky and histologically poorly differentiated. Diagnosis at such an advanced disease status was a death sentence, with patients dying within less than two years. Prostate cancer is currently diagnosed by sector biopsy in men presenting with an elevated serum prostate-specific antigen level. As for all biopsies, sector biopsy for prostate cancer is invasive and limited by sampling error [6]. Now there is a genetic and biochemical framework for understanding the process of both sporadic and inherited forms of prostate cancer, especially with the development of the new discipline, molecular imaging, a valuable tool for the diagnosis for prostate cancer [7]. At present, owing to the use of molecular imaging modality, together with the traditional serum PSA screening and improved biopsy techniques, most patients could be diagnosed with prostate cancer at a stage when it is potentially curable by surgical and/or radiological approaches. As a result, the good news is that the diagnosis of prostate cancer is no longer automatically a death sentence [8].
Molecular imaging is a newly emerging field, but has become an indispensable tool in cancer research, medical practice and clinical trials, with aims at noninvasive, real-time, quantitative visualization of in vivo molecular processes occurring at cellular and subcellular levels. Molecular imaging allows physicians and clinicians not only to see where a tumor is located in the body, but also to visualize the expression and activity of specific molecules (small molecular, or large molecular such as protein, antibody, and etc) and biological processes (e.g., apoptosis, metastasis, and angiogenesis) which influence tumor behavior and/or its response to therapy. At present, advancement in the molecular imaging field is promoted by the development of improved imaging hardware for use in preclinical and clinical settings, the identification and validation of new, biologically relevant imaging targets, and the development of improved imaging probes derived from novel chemicals. Of these three essential factors, which comprise the majority of current molecular imaging research, hardware developments and novel target discoveries significantly outpace the development and clinical advancement of new molecular imaging probes, particularly with respect to cancer imaging [9-12]. Hence, molecular imaging, or diagnostic imaging, could provide a full prospect of prostate tumor burden by uncovering recurrent and metastatic lesions.

Herein, we will focus on the discovery of molecular imaging probes that exist for the use of molecular imaging as a platform for prostate cancer, rather than specific details of hardware and instrumentation. Since molecular imaging probes may also help to guide oncologists, physicians and clinicians to identify those patients that could best benefit from a given therapeutic regimen, dose, or duration of drug, we will also outline the existing molecular imaging probes and modalities that are currently undergoing preclinical and clinical tests and those, which have been described based on the different receptor of prostate cancer, that could be rapidly translated into humans. Meanwhile, we will also discuss possible future directions and specific application of these and other potential new imaging strategies designed to both diagnosis and treatment for prostate cancer.

2. Imaging probes for prostate cancer based on androgen receptor (AR)

Androgens are fundamental for the growth, development and maintenance of the prostate. Its effects are exerted via the nuclear androgen receptor (AR) which is a ligand-dependent transcription activator involved in cellular proliferation and differentiation and is founded in all histologic types of prostate tumors. Pathologic and molecular analyses of AR would afford the evidence of the gene expression and increased protein mutation, which contributes to a change of function, and ligand-independent activation [13, 14]. Since it is particularly imperative to find approaches for assessing prostate cancer comprehensively, molecular imaging of AR might provide an unprecedented opportunity for deciphering the molecular mechanisms involved in the development and natural progression of prostate cancer from a localized process to the hormone-refractory metastatic disease. Such understanding will be the key for targeted imaging and therapy, as well as for predicting and evaluating treatment response and prognosis [15]. An alternative approach to radiolabeled antibodies, such as 111In-labeled prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA) monoclonal antibody (also named as ProstaScint) was reported, with a focus on the development of AR radioligands for positron emission tomography (PET), single photon emission computerized tomography (SPECT), and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)-based
imaging of the prostate. Generally speaking, AR radiolabeled ligands can be divided into two main structural classes, steroidal (such as 18F-FDHT) and nonsteroidal (such as flutamide and bicalutamide), or into two different functional classes, androgenic and antiandrogenic [16, 17].

2.1 Steroidal AR radioligands for imaging prostate cancer

Zanzonico P and co-workers discovered a steroidal AR radioligand, 18F-FDHT (16β-[18F]fluoro-5R-dihydrotestosterone), and carried out some basic research to evaluate it [18, 19]. FDHT is of a structural analog of 5R-di-hydrotestosterone, a principal intraprostatic form of androgen. A study of progressive androgen-independent prostate cancer on seven patients indicated that 18F-FDHT may be a promising new radiotracer compare with 18F-FDG. In the relevant study of fluorinated androgen analogs in baboon, performed by Welch group, the uptake of FDHT in the prostate was blocked by coadministration of cold testosterone (reduced about 10-fold) [20]. To date, FDHT appears to bind specifically to androgen receptors in vivo and to be of the most favorable targeting properties for noninvasive imaging among all receptor-binding radiotracers studied before. In other researches, 18F-FDHT present some advantages such as fast tumor uptake and prolonged retention of radioactivity observed in human studies. However, limitations such as metabolic rate of this labeled compound rapid have been observed [21-23].
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Scheme 1. The chemical structure of Testosterone and 18F-FDHT.

2.2 Nonsteroidal AR radioligands for imaging prostate cancer

Nonsteroidal AR radioligands, (R)-[11C]-dimethylaminehydroxy-flutamide derivatives (Scheme 2, probe 2, 3, and 4), were designed, synthesized and radiosynthesised by Jacobson O et al in 2006 [24]. The preliminary biological evaluations of three novel nonsteroidal flutamide derivative androgen ligands demonstrated some significant benefits compared with the currently used commercial drugs. These compounds have higher or similar affinities to the AR when compared with 3-bromo-hydroxyflutamide and hydroxyflutamide. However, unlike other reported nonsteroidal radiolabeled AR ligands, these compounds have an electron-rich group (dimethylamine) located on the methyl moiety, which may confer a better stability to the molecule. Additionally, they serve as an anchor for carbon-11 labeling in a more straightforward approach than labeling with fluorine-18 or bromine-76. Furthermore, some other nonsteroidal agents are being evaluated for prostate cancer imaging, based on hydroxyflutamide and bicalutamide pharmacophores, for example, as shown in Scheme 2, (R)-[18F]-hydroxyflutamide (5), 3-[76Br]-bromo-hydroxyflutamide (6), [18F]- bicalutamide (7),
Scheme 2. Chemical structures of some nonsteroidal AR radioligands for imaging prostate cancer.
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[76Br]-bromo-bicalutamide (8), and [76Br]-bromo-thiobicalutamide (9) [25, 26]. Additionally, [76Br]-bromo-bicalutamide compound has been found to have an order of magnitude higher affinity for AR than that of bicalutamide (Kd of 0.113 μM for [76Br]-bromobicalutamide versus to Kd of 1.276 μM for bicalutamide). Also, a series of novel prospective SPECT imaging agents has been reported very recently. These 99mTc-containing flutamide derivatives (10, 11) were synthesized, characterized, and evaluated, with a significant selective uptake by a prostate [27]. Furthermore, Marom H et al reported a new nonsteroidal antiandrogen-lanthanoid metal complexes (12) as a potential MRI contrast agent for prostate cancer diagnostics [28]. These interesting results demonstrate that AR provides a more sensitive imaging-based biologic target for imaging and early assessment of treatment response.

3. Imaging probes for prostate cancer based on PSMA

Serum prostate-specific antigen (PSA) has long been used as an organ-specific biomarker and is currently the most commonly used one for prostate cancer. PSA is a 33 kDa androgen-regulated serine protease produced by the prostate gland. However, PSA and other related parameters have limited sensitivity and limited specificity to prostate cancer. Therefore, it may be affected by a manner unrelated to the effect of the therapy on tumor, and is the cause of the patients' great anxiety or overstated diagnostic expectations [29, 30]. The prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA) is expressed in both the benign and the neoplastic prostatic epithelial cells and in other tissues, such as kidney, liver, and brain [31]. It is upregulated in hormone-resistant states and in metastatic disease. It is a transmembrane, 750 amino acid, type II glycoprotein primarily expressed in normal human prostate epithelium but overexpressed in prostate cancer, including metastatic disease. Because PSMA is expressed by virtually all prostate cancers and its expression is further increased in poorly differentiated, metastatic and hormone-refractory carcinomas, it is a very attractive target for prostate cancer imaging and therapy [32, 33]. Recently, researchers from Johns Hopkins University presented the preparation of radiolabeled small-molecule ligands for PSMA ([125I]DCIT, [11C]DCMC, [18F]DCFBC], as well as seven technetium 99m- or rhenium-labeled chelating agents attached to an amino-functionalized PSMA inhibitor with or without a variable length linker moiety [34-36]. These probes were based on potential capitalization on PSMA as a relevant biologic target for imaging and therapy of prostate cancer. Other works indicated that biotinylated anti-PSMA antibody conjugated to streptavidin-labeled iron oxide nanoparticles could be used as the MRI probe for detection of prostate cancer cells [37]. T1-weighted signal was greater for cells with magnetic particles bound to cell surface than for cells that internalized the particles, whereas no such effect was noted with T2-weighted images. Besides, a low molecular weight PSMA-based fluorescent imaging agent have been relatively extensively studied in prostate cancer. 2-(3-(5-[7-(5-amino-1-carboxy-pentyl-carbamoyl)-heptanoy-amino]-1-carboxy-pentyl)-ureido) entanediic acid was conjugated with a commercially available near-infrared light-emitting dye (IRDye 800CW) to afford 16 in good yield. It has a PSMA inhibitory activity of 0.37 nM, which is capable of generating target-to-nontarget ratios of at least 10 fold in PSMA-expressing PC3-PIP vs PSMA-negative PC3-flu tumors in vivo. It is useful for the study of PSMA-expressing tissue in preclinical models or for intraoperative guidance [38].
Scheme 3. Probes for imaging prostate cancer based on PSMA.

4. Other probes for imaging prostate cancer based on EGF receptor, GRP receptor, and integrin αvβ3

[18F]-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) (17) is a well known molecular imaging probe for monitoring tissue glucose metabolism in clinical trial [39, 40]. Because of the advantages of the known mechanism that most tumors are hypermetabolic with increased glucose metabolism (Warburg effect), this probe is more suitable for assessing the glucose metabolism for prostate cancer. The upregulation of glucose transporter (GLUT) proteins (such as GLUT1 and/or GLUT3) and/or increased enzymatic level of hexokinase (HK) and activity (primarily HK-II) have been observed in many cancers. GLUT, which affects the rate-limiting step, is very important for glucose metabolism. The whole procedure needs energy-independent glucose transport across the cell membrane down the concentration gradient. Then, glucose is phosphorylated to glucose-6-phosphate by efficiently using HK-II. FDG, with a similar structure to glucose, is phosphorylated to FDG-6-phosphate, but when compared with glucose-6-phosphate, it cannot be further metabolized in the glycolytic pathway and finally trapped and accumulated in the cell owing to its negative charge [41, 42]. The GLUT1 mRNA expression was observed by Northern blot analysis in both androgen-independent cell lines and the androgen-sensitive prostate cancer cell line [43]. A related study from Australia demonstrated that the expression of GLUT12 in human prostate cancer cell lines potentially enhanced glucose metabolism in prostate tumor [44]. These findings may explain the phenomenon of higher FDG accumulation in prostate cancer, especially in malignancy grade. However, there are some limitations: the role of 18F-FDG PET in prostate cancer is controversial, and the results are heavily influenced by the
patient selection; The uptake of 18F-FDG is low in tumour tissue. The 60%–70% sensitivity of 18F-FDG PET for prostate cancer is not high enough to justify its routine clinical use for staging or restaging of this disease [45]. The poor performance of 18F-FDG PET is likely related to the low glucose metabolic rate that results from the relatively slow growth of most prostate cancers as well as to other factors.

Another PET tracers, such as 11C- or 18F-labeled acetate (18, 19) and choline (20, 21), have been extensively studied in prostate cancer [46, 47]. However, 11C acetate is primarily retained in prostate cancer cell lines, due to incorporation of the radiocarbon into phosphatidylcholine and neutral lipids of the cells [48]. Meanwhile, because of the alteration in several enzymes involved in the metabolism of fatty acids and enhanced beta-oxidation pathway, it has been observed that fatty acid metabolism rather than glycolysis may be dominant in prostate cancer [49]. Recent studies also confirmed that the involvement of the fatty acid synthesis pathway in 11C acetate uptake in prostate tumors is an imaging marker for fatty acid synthesis expression [50]. Previous clinical studies with 11C- labeled acetate (11C-ACE) have reported improved sensitivity, up to 100%, for detection of primary tumors in patients with untreated prostate cancer [51, 52]. However, the potential for widespread use of 11C-ACE is limited by the short radioactive half-life (20.4 min) of 11C. Accordingly, there is considerable interest in identifying positron-emitting radiopharmaceuticals labeled with isotopes with longer half-lives that are suitable for imaging of prostate cancer. One such radiopharmaceutical that has been studied is 18F-fluoroethylcholine, which also appears to be a cell membrane precursor compound [53, 54]. Another potential agent is the acetate analog 18F-fluorooacetate (18F-FAC). Fatty acid synthesis is an important pathway in cancer cell, which requires major enzyme for converting carbohydrates to fatty acids, and the upregulation of the relevant enzyme plays a key role in tumorigenesis of the prostate in the transgenic adenocarcinoma of mouse prostate (TRAMP) model [55]. Recently, [11C]acetoacetate (22) has also been evaluated as a potential PET probe of ketone body use by prostate tumors [56]. It was found that PC-3 androgen-independent prostate tumors display moderated uptake of [11C] acetoacetate with rapidly decreasing background activity. Further research would be needed to determine the exact biologic relevance of imaging ketone body use to the natural history of prostate cancer and how it may be useful in a specific clinical setting.

The epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) is over-expressed in a variety of human cancers, including in hormone-refractory prostate carcinomas, in which the EGFR has been associated with advanced disease stage, resistance to standard treatment and poor prognosis. Therefore, the EGFR is considered to be a promising molecular target for molecular imaging and therapy for hormone-refractory prostate cancer. Fozing T reported a synthesis an EGF receptor tyrosine kinase (EGFR-TK) inhibitor, 123I-PD153035 (23) as potential imaging probes. In vitro studies of 123I-PD153035 was found that it accumulates highly in human PC-3 and DU-145 prostate cancer cells cooperating with 123I-mAb425, the 123I radiolabelled IgG2a antibody [57]. In vivo studies of the human prostate cancer xenografts in mouse was accurately visualized after i.v. administration of 123I-PD153035 by a gamma camera. These data suggest that 123I-PD153035 are promising candidates as an imaging probe for EGFR- positive prostate cancer and warrant further in vivo validations to ascertain their potential as imaging agents for clinical used. Another radiolabeled bombesin (a target molecular with high affinity for GRP receptors) analogues was synthesized and
assessed for SPECT (111In label) and PET (64Cu or 18F label) visualization of prostate cancer [58, 59]. However, imaging of the GRP receptor is being under the further investigation to determine whether it is useful or not in clinical trial for prostate cancer.

In relation to tumor angiogenesis, the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) signaling pathway and the integrin αvβ3 (a cell adhesion molecule) have been identified to play key roles. Molecular imaging of these targets in the tumor vasculature may help tailor targeted antiangiogenic therapy [60]. Some of PET probes suitable for integrin receptor imaging have been reported, and have shown high specificity in various types of tumors, including prostate cancer models [61]. Active research continues in identifying more specific biologic markers for interrogating the tumor vessels. These investigations will not only shed more light on the biologic basis of the complex signals involved in malignancy-induced angiogenesis, but may also help facilitate the design and image-based testing of drugs targeted to tumor-specific angiogenesis.

![Scheme 4. Other small molecular imaging probes for prostate cancer.](image)

5. Conclusion

Molecular imaging probes represent an important, growing class of chemical compounds for biology, pharmaceutical sciences, preclinical and clinic studies and further application. In conjunction with the imaging modality (such as PET, SPECT, MRI and etc), the identification of molecular imaging targets and the development of new labeled molecular probes for those targets are crucial for expanding the capability of in vivo molecular imaging for biological research, molecular diagnostics and drug discovery. In the post genomics era, there is the opportunity to advance probes to the point where they can target specific biochemical signatures associated with disease, including prostate cancer. Because changes in biochemistry occur before diseases reach an advanced stage, molecular imaging probes will foster earlier and more personalized diagnosis of disease. Herein, the abilities of various probes to assess different functional and molecular characteristics for prostate
cancer are being explored and reviewed. The advantages and limitations of imaging agents for prostate cancer were outlined in Table 1.

Table 1. Advantages and limitations of probes used in clinical and preclinical trials for prostate cancer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probes for prostate cancer</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Limitations</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>fast tumor uptake, prolonged retention of radioactivity</td>
<td>rapid metabolic rate, not sensitive enough</td>
<td>21, 22, 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 3, 4</td>
<td>stability, easy for 11C label, high affinity</td>
<td>instability of the 11C labeled precursor, low radiolabeled yield</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13, 14, 15</td>
<td>easy for synthesisization, specificity, multi-labeled methods</td>
<td>not specific enough (mouse kidneys, also express PSMA)</td>
<td>31, 33, 34, 35, 36,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>clinical use, safety</td>
<td>not sensitive enough, low cellular uptake</td>
<td>39, 40, 41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18, 19</td>
<td>clinical use, high uptake</td>
<td>short physical half-life of positron-emitting radionuclide (19)</td>
<td>51, 52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20, 21</td>
<td>clinical use, high sensitivity and specificity, suitable for PET (20)</td>
<td>short physical half-life of positron-emitting radionuclide (21)</td>
<td>53, 54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Perspective

Currently, imaging probes for prostate cancer are focused on the construction that yield an increased selectivity and sensitiveness of measurements per examination, thus higher resolution and quantification accuracy is required. Due to the complication of case difference and personalized conditions, more accurate and more efficient forward and inversion problems for improving the quantification accuracy will be speed up. The design, synthesis and application of dual- and multi-modality probe will be a hot research area, which may be the next generation of probe. The combination of different functional modality undoubtedly will improve the accuracy of diagnosis and analysis to prostate cancer. On the other hand, a targeted gene-therapy approach is also being developed to activate the immune system to recognize prostate cancer cells. To discovery probes based on labeled gene and related macromolecule and these types of approaches might provide a new direction of prostate cancer therapies. We believe that such imaging probes will play a vital role in our further understanding of prostate cancer, in early detection and in the design of effective treatments.
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