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1. Introduction

The study of double-diffusive convection has received considerable attention during the last several decades since this occurs in a wide range of natural settings. The origins of these studies can be traced to oceanography when hot salty water lies over cold fresh water of a higher density resulting in double-diffusive instabilities known as “salt-fingers,” Stern (35; 36). Typical technological motivations for the study of double-diffusive convection range from such diverse fields as the migration of moisture through air contained in fibrous insulations, grain storage systems, the dispersion of contaminants through water-saturated soil, crystal growth and the underground disposal of nuclear wastes. Double-diffusive convection has also been cited as being of particular relevance in the modeling of solar ponds (Akbarzadeh and Manins (1)) and magma chambers (Fernando and Brandt (12)).

Double-diffusive convection problems have been investigated by, among others, Nield (28) Baines and Gill (3), Guo et al. (14), Khanafer and Vafai (17), Sunil et al. (37) and Gaikwad et al. (13). Studies have been carried out on horizontal, inclined and vertical surfaces in a porous medium by, among others, Cheng (9; 10), Nield and Bejan (29) and Ingham and Pop (32). Na and Chiou (24) presented the problem of laminar natural convection in Newtonian fluids over the frustum of a cone while Lai (18) investigated the heat and mass transfer by natural convection from a horizontal line source in saturated porous medium. Natural convection over a vertical wavy cone has been investigated by Pop and Na (33). Nakyam and Hussain (25) studied the combined heat and mass transfer by natural convection in a porous medium by integral methods.

Chamkha and Khaled (4) studied the hydromagnetic heat and mass transfer by mixed convection from a vertical plate embedded in a uniform porous medium. Chamkha (5) investigated the coupled heat and mass transfer by natural convection of Newtonian fluids about a truncated cone in the presence of magnetic field and radiation effects and Yih (38) examined the effect of radiation in convective flow over a cone. Cheng (6) used an integral approach to study the heat and mass transfer by natural convection from truncated cones in porous media with variable wall temperature and concentration. Khanafer and Vafai (17) studied the double-diffusive convection in a lid-driven enclosure filled with a fluid-saturated porous medium. Mortimer and Eyring (22) used an elementary transition state approach to obtain a simple model for Soret and Dufour effects in thermodynamically ideal mixtures of substances with molecules of nearly equal size. In their model the flow of heat in the Dufour effect was identified as the transport of the enthalpy change of activation as molecules diffuse.
The results were found to fit the Onsager reciprocal relationship (Onsager, (30)). Alam et al. (2) investigated the Dufour and Soret effects on steady combined free-forced convective and mass transfer flow past a semi-infinite vertical flat plate of hydrogen-air mixtures. They used the fourth order Runge-Kutta method to solve the governing equations of motion. Their study showed that the Dufour and Soret effects should not be neglected. Mansour et al. (21) studied the effects of a chemical reaction and thermal stratification on MHD free convective heat and mass transfer over a vertical stretching surface embedded in a porous media with Soret and Dufour effects. Narayana and Murthy (26) examined the Soret and Dufour effects on free convection heat and mass transfer from a horizontal flat plate in a Darcy porous medium. The effects of the Soret and Dufour parameters on free convection along a vertical wavy surface in a Newtonian fluid saturated Darcy porous medium has been investigated by Narayana and Sibanda (27). Their study showed that in both the aiding and opposing buoyancy cases increasing the Soret parameter leads to a reduction in the axial mass transfer coefficient. They further showed that the effect of the Dufour parameter is to increase the heat transfer coefficient at the surface. On the other hand, the mass transfer coefficient increased with the Dufour parameter only up to a certain critical value of the Soret parameter. Beyond this critical value, the mass transfer coefficient decreased with increasing Dufour parameter values.

The thermophoresis effect on a vertical plate embedded in a non-Darcy porous medium with suction and injection and subject to Dufour and Soret effects was investigated by Partha (31). The findings in this study underlined the importance of the Dufour, Soret and dispersion parameters on heat and mass transfer. The results showed that the Soret effect is influential in increasing the concentration distribution in both aiding as well as opposing buoyancy cases. Cheng (8) studied the Dufour and Soret effects on heat and mass transfer over a downward-pointing vertical cone embedded in a porous medium saturated with a Newtonian fluid and constant wall temperature and concentration.

In this work we investigate heat and mass transfer from an inverted smooth and a wavy cone in porous media. In the case of the smooth cone we extend the work of Murthy and Singh (23) and El-Amin (11) to include cross-diffusion effects.

As with most problems in science and engineering, the equations that describe double-diffusive convection from an inverted cone in a porous medium are highly nonlinear and do not have closed form solutions. For the smooth cone, the equations are solved using the successive linearisation method (see Makukula et al. (19; 20)) which combines a non-perturbation technique with the Chebyshev spectral collocation method to produce an algorithm that is numerically accurate. The accuracy and robustness of the linearisation method is proved by using the Matlab bvp4c numerical routine and a shooting method to solve the equations. For the wavy cone, the governing nonlinear partial differential equations are solved using the well known Keller-box method.

2. Flow over a smooth cone in porous medium

Consider the problem of double-diffusive convection flow over inverted cone with half-angle Ω, embedded in a saturated non-Darcy porous medium as shown in Figure 1. The origin of the coordinate system is at the vertex of the cone. The x-axis measures the distance along the surface of the cone and the y-axis measures the distance outward and normal to the surface of the cone. The surface of the cone is subject to a non-uniform temperature $T_w > T_\infty$ where $T_\infty$ is the temperature far from the cone surface. The solute concentration varies from $C_w$ on the surface of the inverted cone to a lower concentration $C_\infty$ in the ambient fluid. The solid
and fluid phases are assumed to be in local thermal equilibrium. The governing equations for such a flow are (see Yih (38), Cheng (8), Murthy (23), El-Amin (11));

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (ru) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (rv) = 0, \quad (1)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{c \sqrt{K}}{v} \frac{\partial u^2}{\partial y} = \frac{K g \beta \cos \Omega}{v} \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} + \frac{\beta^*}{\beta} \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} \right), \quad (2)
\]

\[
u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \alpha_y \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{D_k T}{c_l c_p} \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y^2}, \quad (3)
\]

\[
u \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( D_y \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{D_k T}{c_l c_p} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2}, \quad (4)
\]

where for a thin boundary layer, \( r = x \sin \Omega \), \( g \) is the acceleration due to gravity, \( c \) is an empirical constant, \( K \) is the permeability, \( \nu \) is kinematic viscosity of the fluid, respectively, \( \beta \) and \( \beta^* \) are the thermal expansion and the concentration expansion coefficients, \( \alpha_y \) and \( D_y \) are the effective thermal and mass diffusivities of the saturated porous medium defined by \( \alpha_y = \alpha + \gamma \omega d \) and \( D_y = D + \xi d \), respectively, \( \gamma \) and \( \xi \) are coefficients of thermal and solutal dispersions, respectively, \( \alpha \) and \( D \) are constant thermal and molecular diffusivities, \( k_T \) is the thermal diffusion ratio, \( c_s \) is concentration susceptibility and \( c_p \) is the specific heat at constant pressure. We assume a nonlinear power-law for temperature and concentration variations within the fluid so that the boundary conditions are

\[
v = 0, \quad u = 0, \quad T = T_w = T_\infty + A x^n, \quad C = C_w = C_\infty + B x^n \quad \text{on} \quad y = 0, \quad x \geq 0 \quad (5)
\]

\[
u = 0, \quad T = T_\infty, \quad C = C_\infty \quad \text{as} \quad y \to \infty, \quad (6)
\]

where \( A, B > 0 \) are constants and \( n \) is the power-law index. The subscripts \( w, \infty \) refer to the cone surface and ambient conditions respectively. We introduce the similarity variables

\[
\eta = \frac{y}{x^\frac{1}{2}} R a^\frac{1}{2}, \quad \psi = \alpha r R a^\frac{1}{2} f(\eta), \quad \theta(\eta) = \frac{T - T_\infty}{T_w - T_\infty}, \quad \phi(\eta) = \frac{C - C_\infty}{C_w - C_\infty}, \quad (7)
\]

Fig. 1. Inverted smooth cone in a porous medium
where $\psi$ is the stream function and $Ra_x$ is the Rayleigh number defined by:

$$ u = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}, \quad v = -\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \quad \text{and} \quad Ra_x = \frac{g \beta K \cos \Omega (T_w - T_\infty) x}{\alpha v}. $$

The dimensionless momentum, energy and concentration equations become

$$ f'' + 2 \lambda f' f'' - \theta' - N \phi' = 0, $$

$$ \theta'' + \frac{n + 3}{2} \phi' f'' - n f'' \phi' + Ra_\gamma (f'' \phi' + f' \phi'') + D_f \phi'' = 0, $$

$$ \frac{1}{Le} \phi'' + \frac{n + 3}{2} \phi' f'' - n f'' \phi' + Ra_\xi (f'' \phi' + f' \phi'') + S_r \theta'' = 0, $$

subject to the boundary conditions

$$ f = 0, \quad \theta = 1, \quad \phi = 1 \quad \text{on} \quad \eta = 0, $$

$$ f' = 0, \quad \theta = 0, \quad \phi = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \eta \to \infty. $$

where primes denote differentiation with respect to $\eta$. The important thermo-physical parameters are the buoyancy ratio $N$ (where $N > 0$ represents aiding buoyancy and $N < 0$ represents the opposing buoyancy), the Dufour parameter $D_f$, the Soret parameter $S_r$, the pore depended Rayleigh number $Ra_d$ and the Lewis number $Le$. These are defined as

$$ N = \frac{\beta^* C_w - C_\infty}{\beta T_w - T_\infty}, \quad D_f = \frac{Dk_T}{c_s c_p} \frac{C_w - C_\infty}{\alpha (T_w - T_\infty)}, \quad S_r = \frac{Dk_T}{c_s c_p} \frac{\alpha (T_w - T_\infty)}{C_w - C_\infty}, $$

$$ Ra_d = \frac{g \beta K \cos \Omega (T_w - T_\infty)d}{\alpha v}, \quad Le = \frac{\alpha}{D_f}, \quad \dot{\sigma} = \frac{C \sqrt{Ra}}{v d}, $$

where $Ra_\gamma = \gamma Ra_d$, $Ra_\xi = \xi Ra_d$ represent the thermal and solutal dispersions respectively, $\lambda = \sigma Ra_d$ and $\dot{\sigma}$ is an inertial parameter. The parameters of engineering interest in heat and mass problems are the local Nusselt number $Nu_x$ and the local Sherwood number $Sh_x$. These parameters characterize the surface heat and mass transfer rates respectively. The local heat and mass transfer rates from the surface of the cone are characterized by the Nusselt and Sherwood numbers respectively where

$$ Nu_x = -Ra_x^{\frac{1}{2}} [1 + Ra_\gamma f'(0)] \theta'(0) \quad \text{and} \quad Sh_x = -Ra_\xi^{\frac{1}{2}} [1 + Ra_\xi f'(0)] \phi'(0). $$

### 2.1 Method of solution

To solve equations (9) - (12), the successive linearisation method (see Makukula et al. (19; 20)) was used. This assumes that the functions $f(\eta)$, $\theta(\eta)$ and $\phi(\eta)$ may be expressed as

$$ f(\eta) = f_i(\eta) + \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} f_m(\eta), $$

$$ \theta(\eta) = \theta_i(\eta) + \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} \theta_m(\eta), $$

$$ \phi(\eta) = \phi_i(\eta) + \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} \phi_m(\eta), $$

$$ f_i(\eta) + \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} f_m(\eta), $$

$$ \theta_i(\eta) + \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} \theta_m(\eta), $$

$$ \phi_i(\eta) + \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} \phi_m(\eta), $$

$$ f_i(\eta) + \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} f_m(\eta), $$

$$ \theta_i(\eta) + \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} \theta_m(\eta), $$

$$ \phi_i(\eta) + \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} \phi_m(\eta), $$
where \( f_i, \theta_i, \phi_i \) \((i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots)\) are such that

\[
\lim_{i \to \infty} f_i = \lim_{i \to \infty} \theta_i = \lim_{i \to \infty} \phi_i = 0. \tag{17}
\]

The functions \( f_m, \theta_m \) and \( \phi_m \) \((m \geq 1)\) are approximations that are obtained by recursively solving the linear parts of the equations that result from substituting (16) in equations (9) - (11). Using the above assumptions, nonlinear terms in \( f_i, \theta_i, \phi_i \) and their corresponding derivatives are considered to be very small and therefore neglected.

Starting from the initial guesses

\[
f_0(\eta) = 1 - e^{-\eta}, \quad \theta_0(\eta) = e^{-\eta} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_0(\eta) = e^{-\eta}, \tag{18}
\]

which are chosen to satisfy boundary conditions (12), the subsequent solutions for \( f_i, h_i, \theta_i \) \(i \geq 1\) are obtained by successively solving the linearized form of the governing equations.

The linearized equations to be solved are

\[
a_{1,i-1} f_{i}'' + a_{2,i-1} f_{i}'' - \theta_i' - N \phi_i' = r_{1,i-1},
\]

\[
b_{1,i-1} \theta_i'' + b_{2,i-1} \theta_i' + b_{3,i-1} \theta_i + b_{4,i-1} f_i'' + b_{5,i-1} f_i' + b_{6,i-1} f_i + D_F \phi_i'' = r_{2,i-1},
\]

\[
c_{1,i-1} \phi_i'' + c_{2,i-1} \phi_i' + c_{3,i-1} \phi_i + c_{4,i-1} f_i'' + c_{5,i-1} f_i' + c_{6,i-1} f_i + S \theta_i'' = r_{3,i-1},
\]

subject to the boundary conditions

\[
f_i(0) = f_i'(\infty) = 0, \quad \theta_i(0) = \theta_i(\infty) = \phi_i(0) = \phi_i(\infty) = 0. \tag{22}
\]

The coefficient parameters \( a_{k,i-1}, b_{k,i-1}, c_{k,i-1} \) \((k = 1, 2, \ldots, 6)\), \( r_{j,i-1} \) \((j = 1, 2, 3)\) are given by

\[
a_{1,i-1} = 1 + 2 \lambda \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} f'_m, \quad a_{2,i-1} = 2 \lambda \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} f''_m, \tag{23}
\]

\[
b_{1,i-1} = 1 + Ra_\gamma \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} f'_m, \quad b_{2,i-1} = \frac{n + 3}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} f_m + Ra_\gamma \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} f''_m, \tag{24}
\]

\[
b_{3,i-1} = -n \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} f'_m, \quad b_{4,i-1} = Ra_\gamma \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} \theta'_m, \quad b_{5,i-1} = Ra_\gamma \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} \theta''_m - n \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} \theta'_m, \tag{25}
\]

\[
b_{6,i-1} = \frac{n + 3}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} \theta''_m, \tag{26}
\]

\[
c_{1,i-1} = \frac{1}{Le} + Ra_\gamma \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} f'_m, \quad c_{2,i-1} = \frac{n + 3}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} f_m + Ra_\gamma \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} f''_m, \tag{27}
\]

\[
c_{3,i-1} = -n \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} f'_m, \quad c_{4,i-1} = Ra_\gamma \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} \phi'_m, \quad c_{5,i-1} = Ra_\gamma \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} \phi''_m - n \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} \phi'_m, \tag{28}
\]

\[
c_{6,i-1} = \frac{n + 3}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} \phi''_m. \tag{29}
\]
The functions \( f_i, \theta_i, \phi_i \) (\( i \geq 1 \)) are obtained by iteratively solving equations (19) - (22). The approximate solutions for \( f(\eta), \theta(\eta) \) and \( \phi(\eta) \) are then obtained as

\[
f(\eta) \approx \sum_{m=0}^{\hat{M}} f_m(\eta), \quad \theta(\eta) \approx \sum_{m=0}^{\hat{M}} \theta_m(\eta), \quad \phi(\eta) \approx \sum_{m=0}^{\hat{M}} \phi_m(\eta),
\]

where \( \hat{M} \) is the order of the SLM approximation. Equations (19) - (22) were solved using the Chebyshev spectral collocation method where the unknown functions are approximated using Chebyshev interpolating polynomials at the Gauss-Lobatto points

\[
\xi_j = \cos \frac{\pi j}{\hat{N}}, \quad j = 0, 1, \ldots, \hat{N},
\]

where \( \hat{N} \) is the number of collocation points. The physical region \([0, \infty)\) is first transformed into the region \([-1, 1]\) using the domain truncation technique in which the problem is solved on the interval \([0, L]\) instead of \([0, \infty)\). This is achieved by using the mapping

\[
\eta = \frac{\xi + 1}{2}, \quad -1 \leq \xi \leq 1,
\]

where \( L \) is the scaling parameter used to invoke the boundary condition at infinity. The unknown functions \( f_i, \theta_i \) and \( \phi_i \) are approximated at the collocation points by

\[
f_i(\xi) \approx \sum_{k=0}^{N} f_i(\xi_k) T_k(\xi_j), \quad \theta_i(\xi) \approx \sum_{k=0}^{N} \theta_i(\xi_k) T_k(\xi_j), \quad \phi_i(\xi) \approx \sum_{k=0}^{N} \phi_i(\xi_k) T_k(\xi_j), \quad j = 0, 1, \ldots, \hat{N},
\]

where \( T_k \) is the \( k \)th Chebyshev polynomial defined as

\[
T_k(\xi) = \cos[k \cos^{-1}(\xi)].
\]

The derivatives at the collocation points are represented as

\[
\frac{d^s f_i}{d\eta^s} = \sum_{k=0}^{\hat{N}} D_{kj} f_i(\xi_k), \quad \frac{d^s \theta_i}{d\eta^s} = \sum_{k=0}^{\hat{N}} D_{kj} \theta_i(\xi_k), \quad \frac{d^s \phi_i}{d\eta^s} = \sum_{k=0}^{\hat{N}} D_{kj} \phi_i(\xi_k), \quad j = 0, 1, \ldots, \hat{N},
\]

The functions \( f_i, \theta_i, \phi_i \) are approximated at the collocation points by

\[
f_i(\xi) \approx \sum_{m=0}^{\hat{M}} f_m(\xi), \quad \theta_i(\xi) \approx \sum_{m=0}^{\hat{M}} \theta_m(\xi), \quad \phi_i(\xi) \approx \sum_{m=0}^{\hat{M}} \phi_m(\xi),
\]
where \( s \) is the order of differentiation and \( \mathbf{D} = \frac{2}{\Delta x} \mathbf{D} \) with \( \mathbf{D} \) being the Chebyshev spectral differentiation matrix. Substituting equations (34) - (36) in (19) - (22) leads to the matrix equation

\[
\mathbf{A}_{i-1} \mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{r}_{i-1},
\]

subject to the boundary conditions

\[
\begin{align*}
& f_i(\xi_0) = 0, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\hat{N}} \mathbf{D}_{\xi_k} f_i(\xi_k) = 0, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\hat{N}} \mathbf{D}_{\xi_0} f_i(\xi_k) = 0, \\
& \phi_i(\xi_0) = \phi_i(\xi_0) = \phi_i(\xi_0) = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

In equation (37), \( \mathbf{A}_{i-1} \) is a \((3\hat{N} + 3) \times (3\hat{N} + 3)\) square matrix and \( \mathbf{x}_i \) and \( \mathbf{r}_{i-1} \) are \((3\hat{N} + 1) \times 1\) column vectors defined by

\[
\mathbf{A}_{i-1} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{31} & A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x}_i = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F}_i \\ \mathbf{\Theta}_i \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{r}_{i-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}_{1,i-1} \\ \mathbf{r}_{2,i-1} \\ \mathbf{r}_{3,i-1} \end{bmatrix},
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{F}_i = [f_i(\xi_0), f_i(\xi_1), \ldots, f_i(\xi_{\hat{N}-1}), f_i(\xi_{\hat{N}})]^T, \\
& \mathbf{\Theta}_i = [\phi_i(\xi_0), \phi_i(\xi_1), \ldots, \phi_i(\xi_{\hat{N}-1}), \phi_i(\xi_{\hat{N}})]^T, \\
& \mathbf{\Phi}_i = [\phi_i(\xi_0), \phi_i(\xi_1), \ldots, \phi_i(\xi_{\hat{N}-1}), \phi_i(\xi_{\hat{N}})]^T, \\
& \mathbf{r}_{1,i-1} = [r_{1,i-1}(\xi_0), r_{1,i-1}(\xi_1), \ldots, r_{1,i-1}(\xi_{\hat{N}-1}), r_{1,i-1}(\xi_{\hat{N}})]^T, \\
& \mathbf{r}_{2,i-1} = [r_{2,i-1}(\xi_0), r_{2,i-1}(\xi_1), \ldots, r_{2,i-1}(\xi_{\hat{N}-1}), r_{2,i-1}(\xi_{\hat{N}})]^T, \\
& \mathbf{r}_{3,i-1} = [r_{3,i-1}(\xi_0), r_{3,i-1}(\xi_1), \ldots, r_{3,i-1}(\xi_{\hat{N}-1}), r_{3,i-1}(\xi_{\hat{N}})]^T, \\
& A_{11} = a_{1,i-1} \mathbf{D}^2 + a_{2,i-1} \mathbf{D}, \quad A_{12} = -\mathbf{I}, \quad A_{13} = -\mathbf{N} \mathbf{I}, \\
& A_{21} = b_{4,i-1} \mathbf{D}^2 + b_{5,i-1} \mathbf{D} + b_{6,i-1} \mathbf{I}, \quad A_{22} = b_{1,i-1} \mathbf{D}^2 + b_{2,i-1} \mathbf{D} + b_{3,i-1} \mathbf{I}, \\
& A_{23} = D \mathbf{D}^2, \quad A_{31} = c_{4,i-1} \mathbf{D}^2 + c_{5,i-1} \mathbf{D} + c_{6,i-1} \mathbf{I}, \\
& A_{32} = c_{1,i-1} \mathbf{D}^2 + c_{2,i-1} \mathbf{D} + c_{3,i-1} \mathbf{I}, \quad A_{33} = S_r \mathbf{D}^2.
\end{align*}
\]

In the above definitions, \( a_{k,i-1}, b_{k,i-1}, c_{k,i-1} (k = 1, 2, \ldots, 6) \) are diagonal matrices of size \((\hat{N} + 1) \times (\hat{N} + 1)\) and \( \mathbf{I} \) is an identity matrix of size \((\hat{N} + 1) \times (\hat{N} + 1)\). After modifying the matrix system (37) to incorporate boundary conditions (38) - (37), the solution is obtained as

\[
\mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{A}_{i-1}^{-1} \mathbf{r}_{i-1}.
\]

Equations (9) - (12) were further solved numerically using the Matlab bvp4c routine and a shooting technique comprising the Runge-Kutta method of four slopes and the Newton-Raphson method. In solving the boundary value problem by the shooting method, an appropriate ‘\( \alpha \)’ was determined through actual computations and differs for each set of parameter values.
2.2 Discussion of smooth cone results

In the absence of the inertia parameter $\lambda$, Soret and Dufour effects, the non-Darcy problem reduces to that considered by Yih (38) who solved the governing equations using the Keller-box scheme. The problem would also be a special case of the study by Cheng (8) who used a cubic spline collocation method to solve the governing equations. The results from these previous studies are used as a benchmark to test the accuracy of the linearisation method. The heat and mass transfer coefficients are given in Table 1 for different orders of the linearisation method, buoyancy and Lewis numbers. In general, the linearisation method has fully converged to the numerical results at the seventh order for all parameter values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$N$</th>
<th>$Le$</th>
<th>$Nu_x/\sqrt{Ra_x}$</th>
<th>$Sh_x/\sqrt{Ra_x}$</th>
<th>Yih (38)</th>
<th>Cheng (8)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>order 3</td>
<td>order 7</td>
<td>order 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5990</td>
<td>1.7186</td>
<td>1.7186</td>
<td>1.7186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.1886</td>
<td>1.1795</td>
<td>1.1795</td>
<td>1.1794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0869</td>
<td>1.0870</td>
<td>1.0869</td>
<td>1.0870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9031</td>
<td>0.9031</td>
<td>0.9030</td>
<td>0.9032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.8141</td>
<td>0.8141</td>
<td>0.8141</td>
<td>0.8143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.7686</td>
<td>0.7686</td>
<td>0.7686</td>
<td>0.7685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.7686</td>
<td>0.7686</td>
<td>0.7686</td>
<td>0.7685</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Benchmark results for $Nu_x/\sqrt{Ra_x}$ and $Sh_x/\sqrt{Ra_x}$ when $\lambda = 0.0$, $n = 0.0$, $Ra_\gamma = 0.0$, $Ra_\xi = 0.0$, $D_f = 0.0$ and $Sr = 0.0$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$Sr$</th>
<th>$D_f$</th>
<th>$Nu_x/\sqrt{Ra_x}$</th>
<th>$Sh_x/\sqrt{Ra_x}$</th>
<th>bvp4c</th>
<th>Shooting method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>order 3</td>
<td>order 7</td>
<td>order 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1.550183</td>
<td>1.550010</td>
<td>1.550010</td>
<td>1.55001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1.493268</td>
<td>1.493106</td>
<td>1.493106</td>
<td>1.49311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.373266</td>
<td>1.373121</td>
<td>1.373121</td>
<td>1.37312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>1.170132</td>
<td>1.169958</td>
<td>1.169958</td>
<td>1.16996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.674035</td>
<td>0.675657</td>
<td>0.675657</td>
<td>0.675658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.960995</td>
<td>0.962038</td>
<td>0.962038</td>
<td>0.962039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.251253</td>
<td>1.251840</td>
<td>1.251840</td>
<td>1.251840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>1.466009</td>
<td>1.466449</td>
<td>1.466449</td>
<td>1.466450</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Comparison of values of $Nu_x/\sqrt{Ra_x}$ and $Sh_x/\sqrt{Ra_x}$ for $\lambda = 1.0$, $N = 1.0$, $n = 1.0$, $Ra_\gamma = 0.5$, $Ra_\xi = 0.5$ and $Le = 1.0$
Table 2 shows the effects of the Dufour and Soret parameters on the heat and mass transfer coefficients when the other parameters are held constant. The accuracy of the method is compared with the Matlab bvp4c solver and a shooting method. Again, the results demonstrate that the SLM is accurate and converges rapidly to the numerical approximations. Furthermore the results show that the heat transfer rate increases with the Soret effect but decreases with the Dufour parameter. On the other hand, mass transfer decreases with increasing Soret numbers while increasing with Dufour numbers. These findings are consistent with those of Narayana and Sibanda (26) where the heat transfer coefficient was observed to increase with increasing values of the Soret parameter while the mass transfer coefficient decreased with increasing values of the Soret parameter.

Fig. 2. Effect of (a) inertia parameter $\lambda$, (b) power-law index $n$, (c) buoyancy parameter $N$, and (d) the thermal dispersion parameter $Ra_\gamma$ on the fluid velocity when $Le = 1$, $Sr = 0.3$ and $D_f = 0.2$

Figure 2 shows the effect of (a) the inertia parameter $\lambda$, (b) the power-law index $n$, (c) the buoyancy parameter $N$, and (d) the modified Rayleigh number $Ra_\gamma$ on the fluid velocity for the inverted cone in a non-Darcy porous medium. Here $N < 1$ implies that the concentration buoyancy force is less than the thermal buoyancy force, $N = 1$ implies that the buoyancy forces are equal and the case $N > 1$ exists when the concentration buoyancy force exceeds the thermal buoyancy force. It is clear that the boundary layer thickness increases with $\lambda$, $N$ and the Rayleigh number. However, the velocity decreases as the power-law index increases.
Fig. 3. Effect of (a) inertia parameter $\lambda$, (b) power-law index $n$, (c) the buoyancy parameter $N$, and (d) the thermal dispersion parameter $Ra_\gamma$ on the temperature profile when $Le = 1$, $Sr = 0.3$ and $D_f = 0.2$

Figures 3 - 4 show the effects of (a) the inertia parameter $\lambda$, (b) the power-law index $n$, (c) the buoyancy parameter $N$, and (d) the thermal dispersion parameter $Ra_\gamma$ on the temperature and solute concentration profiles. The temperature profiles decrease with increasing $n$. The concentration profiles increase whereas temperature profile decreases with increasing thermal dispersion parameter.

Figure 5 depicts the variation of the heat transfer rate $Nu_x Ra_\gamma^{-1/2}$ and the mass transfer rate $Sh_x Ra_\gamma^{-1/2}$ with Lewis numbers for different values of the Dufour and Soret parameters. For fixed Soret numbers, it is evident that as $Le$ increases, the Nusselt number decreases for any particular value of $D_f$. The variation of the Sherwood number with $Le$ for different values of $D_f$ is shown in Figure 5(b). Increasing $Le$ enhances the mass transfer rate for any particular value of $D_f$. It is also evident that as $D_f$ increases the Sherwood number increases for all values of $Le$.

The variation of the Nusselt and Sherwood numbers with $Le$ and $Sr$ when the Dufour number is fixed is shown in Figures 5(c) - 5(d). Increasing $Le$ reduces the Nusselt number for all values of $Sr$. Conversely, increasing the Soret parameter enhances the Nusselt number. Also, increasing $Le$ contributes to enhancing the mass transfer rate for any particular value of $Sr$. On the other hand, increasing $Sr$ reduces the Sherwood number.
3. Flow over a wavy cone in porous media

In this section we investigate the case of double-diffusive convection in a fluid around an inverted wavy cone. Figure 6 shows the model of the problem investigated. The wavy surface of the cone is described by

\[ y = a^* \sin(\pi x / \ell), \]  

(52)

where \( a^* \) is the amplitude of the wavy surface and \( 2\ell \) is the characteristic length of the wave.

The governing momentum, heat and solute concentration equations can be written in the form

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = \frac{gK}{v} \left( \beta_t \cos(\Omega) \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} + \beta_v \sin(\Omega) \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + \beta_c \cos(\Omega) \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} + \beta_c \cos(\Omega) \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} \right),
\]

(53)

\[
\frac{u}{\partial x} + \frac{v}{\partial y} = \alpha \left( \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} \right) + \frac{Dk}{c_s c_p} \left( \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y^2} \right),
\]

(54)

\[
\frac{u}{\partial x} + \frac{v}{\partial y} = D \left( \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y^2} \right) + \frac{Dk}{c_s c_p} \left( \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} \right),
\]

(55)

subject to boundary conditions

\[
v = 0, \quad T = T_w, \quad C = C_w \quad \text{on} \quad y = a^* \sin(\pi x / \ell),
\]

(56)

\[
u = 0, \quad T = T_\infty, \quad C = C_\infty \quad \text{as} \quad y \to \infty.
\]

(57)

Here the symbols have their usual meanings. We now use the following non-dimensional variables;

\[
(X, Y, R, \sigma, a) = \left( x, y, r, \sigma^*, a^* \right) / \ell, \quad (U, V) = \left( u, v \right) / \alpha,
\]

\[
\Theta = (T - T_\infty) / (T_w - T_\infty) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi = (C - C_\infty) / (C_w - C_\infty).
\]

(58)

(59)
Fig. 5. The effect of the Dufour and Soret parameters on heat and mass transfer with $\lambda = 0.7$, $n = 1$, $Ra_\gamma = 0.5$, $Ra_\xi = 0.5$, $Le = 1$ (i) $Sr = 0.3$ and (ii) $D_f = 0.2$

The governing equations now become,

$$
\frac{\partial U}{\partial Y} - \frac{\partial V}{\partial X} = Ra \left[ \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial Y} + N \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial Y} + \tan(\Omega) \left( \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial X} + N \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial X} \right) \right],
$$

(60)

$$
U \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial X} + V \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial Y} = \left( \frac{\partial^2 \Theta}{\partial X^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \Theta}{\partial Y^2} \right) + D_f \left( \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial X^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial Y^2} \right),
$$

(61)

$$
U \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial X} + V \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial Y} = \frac{1}{Le} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial X^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial Y^2} \right) + Sr \left( \frac{\partial^2 \Theta}{\partial X^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \Theta}{\partial Y^2} \right).
$$

(62)
The parameters appearing above are given by equations (13) - (14). Introducing the stream function \( \psi(X,Y) \) defined such that

\[
U = \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial Y} \quad \text{and} \quad V = -\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial X},
\]

equations (60) - (62) can be written in the following form

\[
\frac{1}{R} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial X^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial Y^2} - \frac{R_X}{R} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial X} \right) = Ra \left[ \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial Y} + N \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial Y} + \tan(\Omega) \left( \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial X} + N \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial X} \right) \right],
\]

\[
\frac{1}{R} \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial Y} \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial X} - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial X} \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial Y} \right) = \frac{1}{Le} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial X^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial Y^2} \right) + Sr \left( \frac{\partial^2 \Theta}{\partial X^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \Theta}{\partial Y^2} \right),
\]

\[
\frac{1}{R} \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial Y} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial X} - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial X} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial Y} \right) = \frac{1}{Le} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \Theta}{\partial X^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \Theta}{\partial Y^2} \right) + Sr \left( \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial X^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial Y^2} \right),
\]

where \( R \) is the non-dimensional radius of the cone. The appropriate boundary conditions are

\[
\psi = 0, \quad \Theta = 1, \quad \Phi = 1 \quad \text{on} \quad Y = \sigma(X) = a \sin(\pi X),
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial Y} = 0, \quad \Theta = 0, \quad \Phi = 0 \quad \text{as} \quad Y \to \infty.
\]

To transform the wavy surface of the cone to a smooth one we introduce the following transformation,

\[
\tilde{X} = X,
\]

\[
\tilde{Y}Ra^{-1/2} = Y - \sigma(X),
\]

\[
\tilde{\psi} = Ra^{-1/2} \psi.
\]
Substituting the transformations (70) into equations (64) - (66) and letting \( Ra \rightarrow \infty \), we obtain the following equations:

\[
\frac{1 + \sigma_\xi^2}{R} \frac{\partial^2 \bar{\psi}}{\partial Y^2} = \left[ 1 - \sigma_\xi \tan(\Omega) \right] \left( \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial Y} + N \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial Y} \right),
\]

(70)

\[
(1 + \sigma_\xi^2) \left( \frac{\partial^2 \Theta}{\partial Y^2} + D_f \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial Y^2} \right) = \frac{1}{R} \left( \frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial Y} \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial X} - \frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial X} \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial Y} \right),
\]

(71)

\[
(1 + \sigma_\xi^2) \left( \frac{1}{Le} \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial Y^2} + \frac{S_t}{\partial Y} \right) = \frac{1}{R} \left( \frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial Y} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial X} - \frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial X} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial Y} \right).
\]

(72)

We may further simplify equations (70) - (72) by introducing the following transformation:

\[
\xi = X, \quad \eta = \bar{Y} / (1 + \sigma_\xi^2 \xi^{1/2}), \quad R = R_\xi \xi^{1/2} f(\xi, \eta), \quad \Theta = \theta(\xi, \eta), \quad \Phi = \phi(\xi, \eta).
\]

(73)

Substituting equation (73) into equations (70) - (72), gives the nonlinear system of differential equations:

\[
f'' = \left[ 1 - \sigma_\xi \tan(\Omega) \right] (\theta' + N\phi'),
\]

(74)

\[
\theta'' + \frac{3}{2} f \theta' + D_f \phi'' = \xi (f' \theta_\xi - \theta' f_\xi),
\]

(75)

\[
\frac{1}{Le} \phi'' + \frac{3}{2} f \phi' + S_t \theta'' = \xi (f' \phi_\xi - \phi' f_\xi),
\]

(76)

with boundary conditions:

\[
f(\xi, 0) = 0, \quad \theta(\xi, 0) = 1, \quad \phi(\xi, 0) = 1,
\]

\[
f(\xi, \infty) = 0, \quad \theta(\xi, \infty) = 0, \quad \phi(\xi, \infty) = 0.
\]

(77)

The associated local Nusselt and Sherwood numbers are given by

\[
Nu_x = -Ra^{1/2} \bar{\xi}^{3/2} \theta'(\xi, 0) / \left( 1 + \sigma_\xi^2 \bar{\xi}^{1/2} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad Sh_x = -Ra^{1/2} \bar{\xi}^{3/2} \phi'(\xi, 0) / \left( 1 + \sigma_\xi^2 \bar{\xi}^{1/2} \right).
\]

(78)

The mean Nusselt and Sherwood numbers from the leading edge to streamwise position \( x \) are given by

\[
\frac{Nu_m}{Ra^{1/2}} = -\frac{x}{L} \int_0^\xi \bar{\xi}^{-1/2} \theta'(\bar{\xi}, 0) d\bar{\xi}, \quad \frac{Sh_m}{Ra^{1/2}} = -\frac{x}{L} \int_0^\xi \bar{\xi}^{-1/2} \phi'(\bar{\xi}, 0) d\bar{\xi}.
\]

(79)

### 3.1 Discussion of wavy cone results

The governing equations (74) - (76) along with the boundary conditions (77), were solved numerically using the Keller-box method (see Keller (16)) for various parameter combinations. Two hundred uniform grid points of step size 0.05 were used in the \( \eta \)-direction. A uniform grid with 120 nodes was used in the \( \xi \)-direction. At every \( \xi \)-grid line, the iteration process is carried out until an accuracy of \( 10^{-6} \) is achieved for all the variables. The computations carried out are given in Figures 7 to 14.
Figure 7 shows the effect of the Dufour number $D_f$ on heat and mass transfer for two different values of the amplitude $a$. The effect of increasing the amplitude, on average, is to reduce the heat and mass transfer rates as compared with the limiting case of a smooth cone. Figures 7(c) and 7(d) highlight the same. Figures 7(a) and 7(b) show that for $a = 0$ (smooth cone) both $Nu_x Ra^{-1/2}$ and $Sh_x Ra^{-1/2}$ increase steadily with $\xi$ whereas for the wavy cone (i.e., $a \neq 0$) we observe oscillations in $Nu_x Ra^{-1/2}$ and $Sh_x Ra^{-1/2}$ over the three complete cycles of undulations from $\xi = 0$ to $\xi = 6$ having length two. These results represent the nonlinear coupling of the change in fluid velocity and orientation of the gravitation. The results are in agreement with those reported by Cheng (6) and Pop and Na (34). The Dufour number $D_f$ reduces $Nu_x Ra^{-1/2}$ and $Nu_m Ra^{-1/2}$. The opposite is true in the case of $Sh_x Ra^{-1/2}$ and $Sh_m Ra^{-1/2}$.

The effect of $D_f$ on heat and mass transfer is depicted in Figure 8 for two different values of the cone half angle $\Omega$. From 8(c) and 8(d) it is clear that increasing the half angle $\Omega$, on average, reduces the heat and mass transfer rates. Figures 8(a) and 8(b) show that there is an increase in oscillations of $Nu_x Ra^{-1/2}$ and $Sh_x Ra^{-1/2}$ for higher values of $\Omega$. In this case the Dufour number also reduces the heat transfer while enhancing mass transfer.

Figure 9 demonstrates the effect of $D_f$ on heat and mass transfer for two different values of buoyancy ratio $N$. It is evident that the buoyancy ratio amplifies heat and mass transfer from the cone. Again, the Dufour number contributes to lowering heat transfer rates while enhancing mass transfer rates.

The effect of $D_f$ on the heat and mass transfer is highlighted for two different values of Lewis numbers in Figure 10. We observe that $Le$ reduces heat transfer whereas the opposite is true in the case of mass transfer. For large values of $Le$, higher values of $D_f$ ($\geq 0.5$) produce negative heat transfer rates indicating that heat diffuses from fluid to the cone in such cases. Figures 10(a) and 10(c) confirm and reinforce the same fact. The effect of Soret number $S_r$ on heat and mass transfer for two different values of amplitude $a$ is projected in Figure 11. The decreasing effect of the amplitude $a$ on heat and mass transfer rates observed in this situation also. The Soret number $S_r$ contributes towards enhancing the heat transfer and mass transfer rates observed in this situation also. The Soret number $S_r$ contributes to increasing $Nu_x Ra^{-1/2}$ and $Nu_m Ra^{-1/2}$ while reducing $Sh_x Ra^{-1/2}$ and $Sh_m Ra^{-1/2}$ as can be seen in Figures 11(a) - 11(d).

The effect of $S_r$ on heat and mass transfer is shown in Figure 12 for two different values of cone half angle $\Omega$. The fact that $\Omega$ reduces the heat and mass transfer rates is observed in plots 12(a) and 12(d). The Soret number $S_r$ has the effect of increasing the heat transfer and reducing the mass transfer for all values of $\Omega$.

Figure 13 shows the effect of $S_r$ on heat and mass transfer rates for two different values of the buoyancy ratio $N$. From 13(a) - 13(d) we observe that the buoyancy ratio enhances both heat and mass transfer rates. For selected values of $N$, $S_r$ contributes towards enhancing the heat transfer rate while reducing the mass transfer rate.

The effect of $S_r$ on the heat and mass transfer rates is shown in Figure 14 for selected values of the Lewis number $Le$. It is evident that $Le$ reduces the heat transfer whereas the opposite is true in case of mass transfer. At large values of $Le$ there is a critical value of $S_r$ up to which $Nu_x Ra^{-1/2}$ and $Nu_m Ra^{-1/2}$ increases and beyond this critical value, both $Nu_x Ra^{-1/2}$ and $Nu_m Ra^{-1/2}$ start to fall as can be more clearly seen in Figures 14(a) and 14(c). From Figures 14(b) - 14(d) we observe that the effect of $S_r$ is to reduce the rate of mass transfer from the surface of the wavy cone.
Fig. 7. Effect of $D_f$ on heat and mass transfer with $\Omega = \pi/9$, $N = 1$, $Le = 2$ and $Sr = 0.2$. 
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(b) 

(c) 

(d)
Fig. 8. Effect of $D_f$ on heat and mass transfer with $a = 0.2$, $N = 1$, $Le = 2$ and $Sr = 0.2$
Fig. 9. Effect of $D_f$ on heat and mass transfer with $a = 0.2$, $\Omega = \pi /9$, $Le = 2$ and $Sr = 0.2$
Fig. 10. Effect of $D_f$ on heat and mass transfer with $a = 0.2$, $\Omega = \pi/9$, $N = 1$ and $S_r = 0.2$.
Fig. 11. Effect of $S_r$ on heat and mass transfer with $\Omega = \pi/9$, $N = 1$, $Le = 2$ and $D_f = 0.3$
Fig. 12. Effect of $S_r$ on heat and mass transfer with $a = 0.2$, $N = 1$, $Le = 2$ and $D_f = 0.3$
Fig. 13. Effect of $S_r$ on heat and mass transfer with $a = 0.2$, $\Omega = \pi/9$, $Le = 2$ and $D_f = 0.3$
Fig. 14. Effect of $S_r$ on heat and mass transfer with $a = 0.2$, $\Omega = \pi /9$, $N = 1$ and $D_f = 0.3$
4. Conclusions

Double-diffusive convection from inverted smooth and wavy cones in Darcy porous media has been investigated. A similarity analysis is performed to reduce the governing equations to coupled nonlinear differential equations that are solved by using the successive linearisation method (SLM), the Matlab bvp4c, a shooting technique and the Keller-box method.

For the smooth cone the effects of the governing parameters on the velocity, temperature and concentration profiles have been studied. The effects of Dufour and Soret effect on the rate of heat and mass transfer were determined. Comparison between our results and earlier results has been made. The findings suggest that the successive linearisation method is a reliable method for solving nonlinear ordinary differential equations.

In the case of the wavy cone we have studied the effects of cross-diffusion on the heat and the mass transfer rates. From the present study we can see that $D_f$ reduces heat transfer and increases mass transfer. The effect of $S_r$ is exactly the opposite except at high Lewis numbers when the heat transfer rate increases up to a critical value of $S_r$ and then starts decreasing beyond that value.
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