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1. Introduction

Awake surgery (AS) and cortical mapping have gained wider acceptance for a variety of reasons: new anesthetic agents, improved surgical techniques, increasing use of functional magnetic resonance (fMRI), and growing interest in brain mapping as shown by refinements and upgrading of imaging techniques, such as magnetoencephalography, evoked responses potentials, high density electroencephalography, positron emission tomography (PET), and optical imaging among others (Bookheimer et al., 1997; Nariai et al., 2005; Papanicolau et al., 1999; Pouratian et al., 2002; Ruge et al., 1999; Rutten et al., 2002). Information technology and image-guided surgery have prompted researchers to compare non-invasive versus invasive mapping while the patient is awake (Hill et al., 2000; Kamada et al., 1997; Nariai et al., 2005; Papanicolau et al., 1999; Pouratian et al., 2002; Ruge et al., 1999; Rutten et al., 1999; Simos et al., 1999). Direct ECS has been used in Neurosurgery since 1930, first by Foerster, and then later by Penfield and colleagues (Foerster, 1931; Penfield & Boldrey, 1937; Penfield & Erickson, 1942; Penfield & Boldrey, 1937; Penfield & Erickson, 1942; Bookheimer et al., 1997; Nariai et al., 2005; Papanicolau et al., 1999; Pouratian et al., 2002; Ruge et al., 1999; Rutten et al., 1999; Simos et al., 1999). During cortical stimulation, task disruption is taken to indicate that the underlying cortex is essential for task performance. This has stimulated translational research and cooperation between neuroscientists and neurosurgeons from the basic sciences to clinical applications.

1.1 Historical background

Direct ECS has been used in Neurosurgery since 1930, first by Foerster, and then later by Penfield and colleagues (Foerster, 1931; Penfield & Boldrey, 1937; Penfield & Erickson, 1942;
In recent years, intraoperative ECS has been adopted for the identification and preservation of language function and motor pathways. Of note is that while cortical mapping was originally applied to epilepsy surgery where resection is essentially limited to the cortex, its indications were later extended to tumor surgery which involves the white matter. Whether these differences result in different clinical and operative settings is unclear and there exist mixed situations between the two extremes. The pathology that benefits most from AS is low-grade glioma (LGG). LGGs pose a considerable challenge in that they have characteristics of both epilepsy and tumors, with a long history that could influence neurofunctional anatomy in patients presenting normal neurological findings (Duffau et al., 2005; Duffau, 2005b, 2006a, 2006b, 2007). Importantly, tumor surgery and epilepsy surgery differ as to the aims of treatment: minimizing neurological sequelae is only one aspect, which can be tailored to lesion characteristics, as determined by clinical and instrumental studies. Basically, the two pathologies differ in symptoms and impairment. Improvement of preoperative clinical impairment and radical tumor resection are the endpoints for tumor surgery, while improvement of preoperative performance is the end-point in epilepsy treatment (Buckner, 2003; Hamberger et al., 2007). In glioma surgery, the definitive clinical advantages are broader indications for tumor removal, higher rate of radical tumor resection, and lower rate of postoperative impairment (Duffau 2005a,b).

1.2 Aim of brain mapping

In surgical treatment of cerebral gliomas the goals are to obtain complete tumor removal to the extent the nature of the pathology allows and to accomplish this without injuring normal anatomic structures (Yasargil, 1996a). Although LGGs and high-grade gliomas (HGGs) are distinct in biological features, clinical behavior and outcomes, understanding the effect of surgery remains equally important for both. This is especially true for lesions in areas of eloquence, where the proximity of critical pathways can present a significant challenge to standard operative strategies. The concept of eloquent area is evolving and may be potentially extended to all measurable functions. Thanks to collaborative teamwork in neuroscience and neuro-oncology, current neurosurgical innovations aim to improve our anatomical, physiological, and functional understanding of the surgical region of interest with a view to prevent potential morbidity during resection and improve the patient’s quality of life (QoL), an essential outcome measure.

2. Requirements and methodological constraints

One of the main requirements for brain mapping is to have a valid, repeatable, sensitive tool to stimulate functional areas while administering an appropriate test. Electrical stimulation parameters and tests may be adapted to the individual patient according to several individual variables. This makes the mapping procedure extremely variable and imposes methodological constraints subject to validation in individual cases. The method involves comparing intraoperative against postoperative findings classified as true positive (with or without intervention), true negative, false positive, false negative (Wiedmayer et al., 2004). Task must be easy to perform and robust, capable to impact postoperative clinical state without limiting surgical resection. Clinical feedback is used to validate the overall intraoperative strategy while considering additional outcome parameters suggested by surgical management guidelines: extent of resection; complications; neurological and neuropsychological state; functional state and survival (Chang et al., 2003). Finally, new
mapping techniques, like fMRI and DT imaging–based tractography (DTI), should be compared with ECS to determine their sensitivity and specificity.

2.1.1 Patient cooperation and compliance in awake surgery
Candidates for awake surgery face an unpredictable experience. To date, the choice depends on the patient who will have received a detailed description of the procedure and provided fully informed consent. Although awake craniotomy is generally considered to be well tolerated, complications such as emotional distress and agitation are not uncommon, with loss of control, the need for more sedation and failure of the mapping project. Failure rates due to agitation vary from 2 to 8% but are not systematically reported (Danks et al., 1998; Sahjipaul, 2000; Whittle et al., 2005).

2.1.2 Preoperative clinical assessment
Together with imaging, symptoms and objective findings will guide the surgical strategy. Disturbances in language-related functions, whether transient or progressive, functional or organic, are more indicative of operative risks than the lesion location itself (Benzagmout et al., 2007; Peraud et al., 2004). The standard assessments for dominance are the Edinburgh handness test, the Wada test and/or fMRI with the verb generation task (Duffau et al., 2003a).

The second step in patient assessment is neurological examination. It can reveal motor impairment (Medical Research Council scale, John, 1984) and disturbances in speech and cognition; however, it cannot provide reliable or sufficient information about the type of dysphasia or specific classification nor recognize mild impairments. This is an important drawback, since the rate of patients with mild-moderate deficits undergoing AS for mapping is quite high (26-55%) (Bello et al., 2007; Sanai et al., 2008; Skirboll et al., 1996). While there is general consensus that mapping requires that patients present no significant disturbance at intraoperative task testing, some authors have underlined the utility of preoperative assessment, showing how sensitive tasks can maximize testing efficiency. The clinical aim is to recognize preserved functions or subprocesses in order to preserve them intraoperatively (Petrovich Brennan et al., 2007; Pouratian et al., 2003). This research can be pursued through consultation with a group of cognition experts during operative planning to develop personalized tests and tasks for a given patient. Specific functions include: spontaneous speech; language fluency; object naming; written/oral comprehension; reading; dictation; and repetition (baseline for French authors). Added to these are tasks involving writing sentences and words, oral controlled association by phonetic cue and semantic cue, famous face naming, action picture naming, transcoding tasks (Bello et al., 2007; Sanai et al., 2008). Nevertheless, evaluation was limited to the naming task before intraoperative assessment in the majority of cases (Haglund et al., 1994; Hamberger et al., 2005; Ojemann, 1989).

Reviewing the literature, the role of the neuropsychologist in AS is seldom defined in relation to treatment and little attention has been paid to the impact of primary brain tumors on QoL (Buckner et al., 2001; Giovagnoli & Boiardi, 1994; Taphoorn et al., 1992, 2005; Weitzner et al., 1996; Weitzner & Meyers, 1997). Differently from other cancer patients, where the burden of the disease is assessed, in brain tumor patients a decrease in cognitive and emotional functioning may be the result of cerebral disease. Subclinical symptoms, personality changes and mood disturbances may prove to be as burdensome to patients, or
more so, as certain focal neurological deficits (Giovagnoli et al., 2005; Talacchi et al., 2010b). Often, they go unrecognized at self assessment; judgment requires trained experts, like those cited above, with oncological experience (Pahlson et al., 2003; Taphoorn et al., 2004). Tumors in the dominant hemisphere may profoundly affect a patient’s cognitive function well beyond language function. Although some deficits are known to be related to tumor localization, in brain tumor patients, especially in those with a LGG, many studies failed to find deficits restricted to a single cognitive domain (Tucha et al., 2000; Yoshi et al., 2008). This makes the assessment test battery crucial for global evaluation and longitudinal study.

2.1.3 Tasks, functions and circuits
Ojemann attempted to describe a distribution of language functions (Ojemann, 1989, 2003). He reported that naming interferences occur over a wide area of the left lateral cortex, extending beyond the limits of the classical model. He also found substantial variability in individual organization (Ojemann, 1977; Ojemann & Mateer, 1979; Ojemann G.A. et al., 1989; Ojemann, S.G., et al., 2003). Commonly, at least one area was described in the inferior frontal gyrus and one or more areas in the temporo-parietal peri-sylvian cortex. Other studies identified naming locations in specific regions such as the insular lobe, the striatum and opercular region, and the basal temporal language area (Duffau & Fontaine, 2005; Duffau et al., 2005; Hamberger, et al., 2001; Ilmberger, et al., 2001; Lüders et al, 1988, 1991; Peraud et al. 2004). However, even though surgical resection will ordinarily respect positive site margins, patients may still display a postoperative language deficit (Petrovich Brennan et al, 2007). Single neuron recording provides a very sparse distribution of circuits which can be difficult to study with focal electrical interference (Waydo et al., 2006). Language, whose underlying primary neuronal substrate was termed “module”, small areas measuring 1-2 cm² with well-defined boundaries, represents a fortunate exception to this observation rather than the rule (Ojemann, G.A. et al., 1989). In addition, many authors showed that naming sites are often in close relationship to specific sites for different language functions, verb generation, reading, counting, comprehension, writing, working memory, and calculation, which justify the terminology adopted for designating them (nodes and shell-core) (Haglund et al., 1994; Schwartz et al., 1999; Schäffler et al., 1996).

Accordingly, the characteristics of the underlying neural circuits differ among functions and are not yet well understood anatomically. This differences may influence the mapping modality, recording the neuronal activity that “participates” in a widely distributed function with some regional differences. This is the case of intraoperative ECS in the right hemisphere for mapping spatial functions where “positive sites” could be removed while monitoring the corresponding partial deficit during tumor resection (Bartolomeo et al., 2007; Gharabaghi et al, 2006; Thiebaut de Schotten et al., 2005).

2.1.4 Item selection
As a standard procedure, an intraoperative task has to be previously verified on each patient before being performed, and those items chosen that patients were able to name during the preoperative testing phase. Items that patients were unable to name at preoperative assessment are deleted (Hamberger et al., 2005; Ojemann, 1989; Roux et al., 2003b). What is not well specified is the cut-off number of items a patient can misname when included in an AS protocol (Roux et al., 2003a; Lubrano et al., 2004). According to Little et al. (Little, et al., 2004), a preoperative object naming error rate greater than 25%
cannot statistically correlate with cortical stimulation and therefore cannot be reliably interpreted as evoked by stimulation.

2.2 Electrical stimulation

Stimulation depolarizes a very focal area of the cortex which, in turn, evokes certain responses. For example, the 50-60 Hz Penfield technique has long been used to elicit motor responses, documented through direct visual observation of contralateral tonic limb movements in the beginning and since the late 1990s through motor evoked potentials (MEP) recordings (Cedzich et al., 1996, 1998; Kombos et al., 2001; Neuloh & Schramm, 2002; Penfield & Boldrey, 1937). Although the mechanism of stimulation effects on language are poorly understood, the principle is based on depolarization of local neurons and passing pathways, inducing local excitation or inhibition, as well as possible diffusion to more distant areas by way of orthodromic or antidromic propagation (Ranck, 1975). With the advent of the bipolar probe and electrocorticography (EcoG) for after-discharge (AD) detection as a measure of electrical spreading, avoidance of local diffusion and more precise mapping have been achieved with an accuracy estimated to be ~ 5 mm (Haglund, et al., 1992, 1993).

This diversity among protocols is not trivial because it obviously impacts on the results of stimulation. Therefore, function localization may vary across studies as a result of different stimulation parameters and mapping strategies. Moreover, mapping strategies appear as one of the main variables that may affect the results of stimulation: direct cortical stimulation using the so-called short train technique (5 to 7 stimuli, 0.5 ms duration, ISI 4.0 ms = 250 Hz, with a train repetition rate of 1 or 2 Hz) or the 50-60 Hz Penfield technique (Penfield & Boldrey, 1937); maximizing stimulation currents at each cortical site to ensure the absence of eloquent function according to AD threshold or keeping stimulation intensity constant, while mapping the entire cortex and setting the threshold just below the lowest current observed to induce AD; monopolar or bipolar stimulators; stimulation parameters in subcortical white matter according to cortical response or at the lowest threshold to evoke a response, all these alternatives may be encountered in similar studies.

2.3 Positive sites

Ojemann, using the single sample binomial test to check whether a site is essential for language, examined the accuracy of response during naming (Ojemann & Mateer, 1979; Ojemann, 1989). He gave a nonparametric description to determine if a site can be interpreted as essential: “a site was determined to be related to language function if the chance probability of errors evoked at that site was less than 0.05 […] evoking errors during two of three stimulations at a site often achieved that level of statistical significance…”. This rate was slightly different in others author’s strategies (Hamberger, et al., 2005; Peraud, et al., 2004).

The visual object naming task is easy to apply but is much more difficult to interpret. When classifying the type of errors, the main distinction that needs to be made is between speech arrest, anomia and speech disturbances. Error classification is strongly related to the aim of a study; it can vary from a simple definition of error (every change that occurs during stimulation) to a more articulate definition (Bello et al, 2007; Benzagmout et al, 2007; Duffau et al, 1999, 2003b; Duffau, 2006a).

2.4 The role of imaging in the integrated surgical strategy

Because of the infiltrating nature of gliomas, it is more than likely that a portion of the mass will occupy or be continuous with functional tissue. However, some evidence
supports the concept that resection should ideally go beyond the gross tumor margin apparent on preoperative imaging. Therefore, it is not only patients with tumors located within the frontal operculum who may benefit from intraoperative language mapping, but also those with lesions in proximity to this region because of the significant variability in this region’s anatomical and functional organization (Edeling et al., 1989; Quiñones-Hinojosa et al., 2003). Possible causes of damage are: trajectory in subcortical tumors; abnormal anatomy in recurrent tumors; distorted anatomy due to the tumor; tumor infiltrating the functioning brain in LGGs; irregular tumors; and tumor periphery in HGGs. All are known to be crucial factors for surgical outcome, and knowledge of the structural characteristics of eloquent areas may help the surgeon to avoid clinical consequences. Aims may be categorized as linked to: 1) orientation, which is usually not histology-dependent (trajectory, abnormal anatomy, distorted anatomy); and 2) removal, usually used for specific gliomas (low-grade, irregular margins, periphery).

### 2.4.1 Functional imaging for eloquent tissue localization

Considerable advances in functional imaging have been made in both technology and availability, raising the question of whether it may eventually supplant intraoperative ECS mapping. Devices such as fMRI and PET units may aid in the preoperative planning of resection strategy, but these techniques, while reliable for detecting the motor area, remain too imprecise for complex functions such as language mapping where their sensitivity (PET, 75%; fMRI, 81%) and specificity (PET, 81%; fMRI, 53%) are suboptimal. (Fitgerald et al., 1997; Herolz et al., 1996, 1997). These modalities highlight language-associated areas of indeterminate significance, and they do not offer real-time information intraoperatively. To this end, MRI neuronavigational techniques can facilitate not only greater resection, but embedding of DTI can also prevent inadvertent resection of adjacent subcortical pathways (Talos et al., 2007; Wu et al., 2007). Although the use of DTI has not been shown to impact directly on patient survival, its utility resides in maximizing tumor resection while minimizing morbidity. Nevertheless, for the identification of functional language pathways and guidance of safe tumor removal, these diagnostic imaging tools remain supplements to, not substitutes for, direct intraoperative stimulation mapping.

### 2.4.2 Structural imaging for tumor periphery localization

In glioma surgery, the approach to subcortical diffuse gliomas and the decision to resect the infiltrated brain surrounding the tumor core are the cornerstones of modern, aggressive surgical strategy. This is the rationale for a sound command of knowledge of brain functions at the tumor margin in individual cases. Non-enhancing tumor periphery, pattern (sharp or blurred) and characteristics (edema or infiltrated brain) constitute the challenging part of the operation where most effort is concentrated. MRI, FLAIR and T2-weighted images will visualize structural properties but their priority is controversial. Spectroscopy and DTI have been advocated as promising tools for delineating the extent of tumor infiltration (Price, et al., 2003; Stadbauer, et al., 2004, 2006). In addition, anisotropy measures have attempted to differentiate edema from infiltrated brain (Lu, et al., 2003, 2004). In contrast, perfusion can help to distinguish tumor grading but has not given information about the periphery so far.
3. Intraoperative setting

AS is a challenge for a working team, since it implies substantial modifications in the professional behavior of all physicians involved: for the surgeon working in an uncomfortable situation; the anesthesiologist monitoring the patient continuously; the neuroradiologist awaiting intraoperative confirmation of interpretation of findings; the neuropsychologist making real-time evaluation of scans. AS involves a complex scenario: integration of different types of knowledge, organization of a heterogeneous team, cooperation in different settings (operating room, ward, out-patient clinic), surgical and research protocols to be adopted, technical adjustments to make the research comparable.

3.1 Anesthesiology

Awake surgery is performed as follows. Continuous sedation (Sarang, et al., 2003) was achieved with rapidly acting agents and infiltrative anesthesia of the scalp. Airway management remains a concern due to the risk of aspiration or oversedation with oxygen saturation <90%. Patients breathe spontaneously during awake surgery. Propofol, fentanyl, remifentanil and midazolam are commonly used agents. Volatile anaesthetics should not be given because they interfere with electroencephalographic (EEG) recording and cause a dose-dependent distortion on the EEG, with vasodilatation resulting in increased intracranial pressure (ICP) (Himmelseher, et al., 2001). While propofol can also alter the EEG (Herrick, et al., 1997), intravenous drugs are preferable because of their rapid onset and easily manageable duration of action which causes no nausea or vomiting. The sedation level is very important since oversedation results in an uncooperative patient and medical problems (i.e., respiratory depression), whereas undersedation makes the patient uncomfortable and restless. For this purpose, the Modified Observer’s Assessment of Alertness/Sedation Scale (Bauerle, et al., 2004) was used.

The feasibility and efficacy of AS have been studied in comparison with general anesthesia (GA). The absolute anesthesiologic exclusion criteria for AS are obstructive sleep apnea and difficult intubation (Picht et al., 2006). Parameters for comparing GA versus AS:

- Duration of surgery: according to Gupta, the mean procedure time was shorter in the GA group than in the AS group (182 min vs. 196 min; p <0.05) (Gupta, et al., 2007). A similar duration was found by Keifer and Taylor (Keifer et al., 2005; Taylor & Bernstein, 1999). Bello reported longer durations: mean 5 h 45 min, longest 6 h 45 min; mean awake time 1 h 45 min (Bello et al., 2007). In Whittle the mean awake duration was 62 min (range 10-105 min) (Whittle et al., 2005).

- Intraoperative medical complications are classified as anesthetic (inadequate or excessive sedation, pain, nausea, vomiting); respiratory (oxygen saturation <90%, increased CO2, hypoventilation <8/min, airway obstruction); hemodynamic (hyper- o hypotension, tachy- or bradycardia); neurological (convulsions, brain swelling, new neurological deficit) (Costello et al., 2005; Keifer et al., 2005; Sarang, & Dinsmore, 2003). In a review of the literature, Skucas demonstrated how hyper- and hypotension could be frequent in AS (11 and 56%, respectively) (Skucas, et al., 2006). However, in their study on 332 patients, they observed that airway problems are not so frequent: only 2% of patients developed hypoxemia and only 1.8% required intubation or positioning of respiratory devices. Respiratory issues could arise more frequently in obese patients or those with asthma or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). As concerns intractable seizures in unconscious patients, the study reported that seizures occurred in only 3%
of patients, whereas other studies have reported rates as high as 16% (Bello et al., 2007; Petrovich Brennan et al., 2007; Serletis et al., 2007; Taylor & Bernstein, 1999). Some authors advocated the use of propofol to reduce intraoperative seizures (Berkenstadt et al., 2001; Danks et al., 1998; Gignac et al., 1993; Herrick et al., 1997; Huncke et al., 1998; Sarang, & Dinsmore, 2003).

- Blood loss: Gupta observed that blood loss is less in AS than in GA (266 ml vs. 365 ml; p<0.05) (Gupta et al., 2007).
- Postoperative local complications: Taylor found a 2.5% wound-complication and postoperative hematoma rate, similar to that described in a large study conducted on 1427 elective supratentorial craniotomies (Taylor et al., 1995; Taylor & Bernstein, 1999).
- Mean postoperative hospital stay and intensive care unit (ICU) stay: these are not significant results (Gupta et al., 2007). An awake craniotomy carries low morbidity and mortality rates and minimizes the need for ICU admission and the total hospital stay.
- Patient age: most authors agree that patients must be older than 11 years (Berger et al., 1989).

### 3.2 Clinical and imaging recording

Patient response during awake mapping is an important component in the data analysis also after surgery. Published data show different levels of analysis of response depending on the aim of mapping. Specifically, response registrations depend upon the presence of a either professional figure in the operating room for providing a written record of the findings or the use of audiotape or/and videotape recordings to be evaluated by a blinded or multiple postoperative examiners (Corina et al, 2005; Duffau et al., 2006; Haglund 1994; Sanai et al., 2008).

Audio-videotaping the mapping procedures is particularly useful for checking mouth and face muscles in order to classify language disorders and to detect eye movements which, mostly in frontal sites, could interfere with reading (Malow et al., 1996; Milea et al., 2002; Peraud et al., 2004; Roux et al., 2003b; Van Buren & Fedio, 1978). Documentation with pictures or audio-videotape of the brain was systematically obtained during stimulation to match sites with response site by site.

### 3.3 Positioning

Positioning is a compromise between patient and surgeon comfort. Ideally, positioning attains a head position that should fulfill requisites besides those of the surgeon: patient muscle relaxation; airways accessibility for the anesthesiologist; and the possibility of talking and interacting with the staff including watching the personal computer. For these reasons, the patient is positioned while awake. A rigid headrest with pins is perfectly tolerated by the patient after the administration of a local anesthetic.

### 3.4 Craniotomy

Language-mapping techniques were historically developed in the context of epilepsy surgery, in which large craniotomies exposed the brain well beyond the region of surgical interest to localize multiple cortical regions containing stimulation-induced language and motor function (i.e., “positive” sites) prior to resection. Recently, with increasing reliance on mapping, craniotomies have gradually been reduced to the size necessary to approach the lesion, thereby allowing for minimal cortical exposure overlying the tumor, less extensive
intraoperative mapping, and a more time-efficient neurosurgical procedure (Sanai et al., 2008).

3.5 Surgical instruments

Essential devices for accurate surgical techniques are the intraoperative microscope and the ultrasonic aspirator. The neuronavigator has a multipurpose application: define the cortical boundaries of lesions, especially in LGGs; establish the site of corticectomy and the trajectory to subcortical lesions; provide image-assisted surgery for both fMRI (motor task) and DTI; and establish the distance to anatomical landmarks. These advantages are limited to the first part of the operation prior to brain shift. In this regard, intraoperative MRI is a promising tool for improving accuracy in subcortical mapping of fascicles and functions.

4. Surgical resection strategy

The intraoperative mapping technique involves selecting the tasks in relation to preoperative assessment, the operative tools, the neurophysiologic parameters and the consequent strategy, i.e., the way we combine them in a clinical situation. Taken together, these costly and time consuming procedures point to the importance of methods and surgical strategy.

One substantial limitation to clinical comparative inference is that multiple cortical or subcortical sites are manipulated during an operation making it impossible to relate one event to the manipulation of one site. In other words, when forced to face a number of methodological issues, it is likely that surgical strategy will improve. Choices regarding positioning, surgical technique, tumor definition, clinical and intraoperative information, functional studies, intraoperative tools, all together will favor a good result. In contrast, consideration of only one or a few functional variables may be confounding. This is why research studies should be validated in the clinical setting, taking into account additional variables critical for this purpose (Sawaya et al., 1998). Furthermore, the challenge of AS and cognitive mapping is the working team, i.e., to what extent individual proficiency can contribute to a clinical purpose when working in a group.

4.1 The traditional approach (Yasargil’s lesson)

Yasargil lesson’s may be summarized as follows: 1) glioma is an infiltrative tumor only in its advanced stages of growth; 2) its growth pattern follows functional pathways and respects compartmental boundaries (neo-archipallial, central nuclei, white matter and ventricles); and 3) tumor debulking starts from the center and proceeds in a circumferential manner till the white matter is exposed (“the deflating ball and one window” techniques) (Yasargil, 1996b, 1996c; Yasargil et al., 2004).

This last point is justified by the risk of damage to adjacent brain areas and forms the basic principle of resection strategy. In light of recent pathological and functional knowledge, however, this defensive strategy for treating both LGGs and HGGs, which seeks foremost to avoid brain injury without considering the infiltrated brain or the functional characteristics of adjacent brain areas, now seems largely unwarranted. Nonetheless, it is not an absolutely safe technique, since HGGs are often highly vascularized tumors and bleeding may mask vascular or parenchymal structures and result in involuntary injury. Moreover, tumor manipulation may induce tissue swelling and physical modifications, altering the typical
characteristics of the normal brain, thus confounding the neurosurgeon’s judgment, which basically relies on visual inspection. The simple observation that, as a rule, recurrences originate from the margins of the operative cavity should underline that additional resection is a primary aim of surgery if it does not endanger functions that could impair patient performance, the accurate knowledge of which was the limiting factor of the strategy. Bearing this in mind, the rationale of the current approach becomes readily understandable: attack the tumor at its margins.

4.2 The current approach according to mapping information

As a preliminary consideration, the knowledge how a tumor grows may orientate the cortical approach. As the glioma grows in the gyrus, it infiltrates the short tracts (the u fibers) first and then the longer tracts, rather than the pia. Consequently, a direct or sulcal approach will be unsuitable for diffuse tumors in which resection of the involved gyrus and eventually the adjacent gyrus is preferable (Meyer et al., 2001). Corticectomy at this site, at the tumor margin, is the main surgical innovation of brain mapping. Cortical mapping highlights positive and negative areas before starting resection. This “negative mapping” strategy represents a paradigm shift in language and no-language mapping techniques by eliminating the neurosurgeon’s reliance on positive site control in operative exposure, although validation for other related functions is still needed (Berger, 1994; Black et al, 1987; Keles & Berger, 2004; Matz et al., 1999; Sawaya et al., 1999; Toms et al., 1999).

Cortical LGGs may be nearly indistinguishable from normal brain tissue. The neuronavigator is therefore essential for precisely demarcating the superficial limits of the tumor. The arachnoid opening is also useful before deciding on the area of resection. The exact distinction acquired with the neuronavigation system may provide immediate visual feedback during the initial subcortical resection when the resection proceeds between different tissues.

The technique is to start from safe areas and where the vascular supply is expected: following the vessels, skeletonizing and preserving those serving eloquent areas and dividing the others, especially those supplying the tumor, in order to devascularize it. Subcortical pathways perpendicular to positive sites can be followed within the infiltrated brain as far as apparent normal brain is exposed or positive mapping is detected. This is not always possible in language areas, since the closer we come to positive sites, the easier it will be to have intraoperative impairment which will prevent further mapping. Consequently, in language mapping, DTI may be of help in orientating where to map on the tumor periphery in order to stimulate the least possible. DTI may be not considered as more than a imaginary guide. This strategy may be changed in some situations, for instance, with less cooperative patients and during long operations, by adopting the following sequence: mapping, starting resection close to positive sites and following subcortical pathways, and then approach the vascular supply and safe areas. Repeating the mapping subcortically 2-3 times is usually enough, even when anatomical distortion occurs.

Starting from safe areas enables us to follow the tumor into the transition zone, from the margins to the infiltrated brain till the normal brain. First sight inspection means starting resection from the tumor periphery before causing possible injury, which can alter tissue color. Here, the microscope is essential for magnifying the region in order to differentiate normal brain from infiltrated brain. This major challenge can be successfully addressed only by experienced neurosurgeons. The corridor measures about 1 cm taken mostly from the
infiltrated brain and less from the tumor. Since diffuse tumors are heterogeneous, it’s better to see the phenotype of the single variant from the beginning and possibly to stay around the tumor, creating a corridor between the infiltrated brain and the normal brain. Starting from the corridor created around the tumor, additional space is obtained by removing or retracting the tumor and leaving the brain untouched. The rule is: expose the normal brain, map and stay away from it. Working very closely to normal brain tissue implies avoiding any pressure and retraction that could cause brain injury. As a rule, use of the self-retaining spatula is unnecessary and dangerous. This strategy provides us with a better anatomical orientation in a context which is still similar to the anatomo-functional picture as acquired preoperatively with MRI.

Following large, randomized prospective studies in Europe, several countries have already approved, and are now using, 5-ALA for improved quality of resection in HGGs, aimed at assisting the surgeon according to the same resection strategy (Stummer et al., 2006).

The standard for subcortical mapping for language is not yet defined: current amplitude, functional significance of fasciculi, safe distance from fasciculi or tolerance of partial injury, tests to be used, false negative stimulation rate, definition of positive sites, method of validation of positive sites. In particular, continuous monitoring is affected by the learning effect, as described by Ojemann (Ojemann J.G., et al., 2002).

The limiting factor to total removal is not always the eloquent areas. In large and diffuse tumors we can state that a strategy aimed at exploring and removing safe areas first is a preliminary condition to continue this aggressive strategy in order to obtain maximal resection which justifies risks in eloquent areas. Safe exposure of deep structures is not always feasible; and facing increasing structural and functional uncertainties is preferable to stopping the operation before taking additional risks in eloquent areas, assuming that the degree of cytoreduction has limited value if total removal cannot be achieved (Lacroix et al., 2001). Vascular injury may be another limiting factor to tumor removal, especially in insular tumors where transit and terminal arteries, normal and pathological arteries, and venous drainage are ever-present challenges during the operation.

4.2.1 Temporo-insular tumors

The approach to temporal and insular tumors may be trans-cortical or trans-sylvian. When corticectomy is done, the tumor is devascularized by subpial dissection, while trans-sylvian dissection makes it possible to follow the vessels, free the tumor, and then decide which vessels to preserve and which to divide. The lenticulostriate arteries, the parietal trunk of the middle cerebral artery bifurcation on the non-dominant hemisphere and both trunks in the dominant hemisphere and the long perforators running posteriorly are the critical vessels to preserve in order to avoid permanent language and motor deficits. As a rule, vessels smaller than 0.5 mm are pathological. In these tumors, temporal or frontal basal cortical areas are seldom the critical point of resection, and multiple windows can be created between vessels. Accordingly, various sequential options may be weighed in order to start from safe areas and to control arterial vessels (Duffau, 2009; Sanai et al., 2010, Simon et al., 2009). Mapping techniques allow the neurosurgeon to recognize the internal capsule, which is the critical anatomical point, at least in a non dominant site, while additional information can be obtained from monitoring motor evoked potentials (MEPs) in the course of the operation since insular tumors carry a risk of vascular injury, higher than the risk of direct injury (Figure 1-3). Neuloh and Schramm highlight the semi-quantitative relation between MEP
Fig. 1. Pilocytic astrocytoma of the right posterior insula. The tumor was removed via a trans-sylvian approach assisted by intraoperative motor monitoring (preoperative on the left side; postoperative on the right side).

Fig. 2. Left posterior temporo-insular glioblastoma. The tumor was operated on under awake surgery for cortical language mapping and subcortical motor monitoring. Posterior perisylvian cortical sub-cortical approach was used for tumor removal (preoperative on the left side; postoperative on the right side).
findings and clinical outcome. An amplitude reduction between 25 and 50% increases probability of a postoperative permanent deficit, as well as reversible loss and irreversible loss of MEPs (Neluoh & Schramm, 2004). Typically, MEPs deterioration will allow the neurosurgeon or anesthesiologist to react promptly, with temporary or definitive discontinuation of resection in the critical target area, readjustment or temporary release of retractors if used, application of papaverine to spastic vessels and increased blood pressure.

4.2.2 Rolandic tumors
The well-known corticospinal tract may be difficult to identify in pathological situations where brain distortion alters the normal anatomy. This is the main concern in the surgical approach to fronto-parietal tumors, the supplementary motor area (SMA) being secondary in importance. Image- and neurophysiologic-assisted surgery may be effective as well (Talacchi et al., 2010a). Vascular supply derives from the distal branch of the pericallosal artery and the fronto-parietal distal branches of the middle cerebral artery. Phase reversal, MEPs, cortical and subcortical mapping allow identification of the central sulcus, motor area and descendent pathways, respectively. The closest safe and convenient distance is 5 mA for diffuse tumors and 1-2 mA for circumscribed lesions. This is the site with the best surgical results among eloquent areas (Talacchi et al., 2010a) (Figure 4).

4.2.3 Peri-sylvian dominant tumors
In this area the great advantage of intraoperative mapping was to render tumors operable which were otherwise not amenable to surgery because of an unpredictable risk of aphasia. The language-mapping technique described in detail by Ojemann remains the mainstay of this procedure and may be combined with motor mapping in the fronto-parietal area, for both the periphery in cortical tumors and for trajectory planning in subcortical tumors.
Methodological validation for subcortical language mapping is still lacking, and landmarks for presumed significant fascicles are not well defined and often altered by anatomical distortion. In awake patients, there is an approximately 10% risk of finding a positive site within the tumor, which remains the main limiting factor to removal (Ojemann G.A. et al., 1989) (Figure 5-6).

Fig. 4. Left rolandic glioblastoma. The tumor was removed using motor monitoring (preoperative on the left side; postoperative on the right side).

Fig. 5. Left frontal oligodendroglioma in the Broca area. The tumor was removed under awake surgery (preoperative on the left side; postoperative on the right side).
5. Outcome assessment

While the initial assumption was that no electrically identified areas should be removed if postsurgical language complications are to be avoided, it was later increasingly assumed that postsurgical language deficits would not occur only if cortex that did not result in language deficits with electrical stimulation was removed (Sanai et al., 2008). This indirect message is gaining strength, although most studies lack pre- and postoperative global assessment and objective determination of cognitive complications. Furthermore, the original assumption that resection of any essential language areas will result in postoperative aphasia has not yet been definitively confirmed nor has the assumption that sparing positive sites for naming task will result in sparing of other language functions (Hamberger, et al., 2005; Peraud, et al., 2004; Petrovich Brennan, et al., 2007; Seek, et al., 2006; Whittle, et al., 2003, 2005).

Moving from intraoperative naming-assisted surgical resection to other language and cognitive tasks, before relying on new protocols we need a multi-staged system of evidence for the potential and limitations of AS for cognitive mapping, its clinical validity for a single task or battery of tasks and technical standardization (intentionally addressed in the present article). Meanwhile, patient safety must be guaranteed by accurate comparative assessment, which should be discussed and defined (Lacroix et al., 2001; Vives & Piepmeier, 1999).

5.1 The value of glioma extent of resection

Microsurgical resection remains a critical therapeutic modality for all gliomas (Black, 1998; Guthrie & Laws, 1990; Keles et al., 1999; Yasargil et al., 2004). For all gliomas, the
identification of universally applicable prognostic factors and treatment options remains a great challenge. Among the many tumor- and treatment-related parameters, only patient age and tumor histological characteristics have been identified as reliable predictors of patient prognosis, although tumor location in an eloquent area and the patient’s functional status can also be statistically significant. With significant advances in brain tumor imaging and intraoperative technology during the last 15 years, some reports showed that improved extent of resection has a significant effect on both tumor progression and overall survival (Bauman et al., 1999; McGirt et al., 2008; Sanai et al., 2010; Smith et al., 2008). Although LGGs and HGGs are distinct in their biology, clinical behavior and outcome, understanding the efficacy of surgery remains equally important for both.

As concerns longer overall survival, more aggressive resection for LGGs can also influence the risk of malignant transformation, raising the possibility that surgical intervention can alter the natural history of the disease (Sanai & Berger, 2008). These associations are evident not only in the patient population with hemispheric LGGs but also in those with specific LGGs limited to specific eloquent subregions such as insular LGGs (Chaichana et al., 2010; Sanai et al., 2010; Simon et al., 2009; Smith et al., 2008).

5.2 Clinical outcome
The assumption is that every attempt should be made to preserve neurological functions in order to maintain the preoperative QoL, which is the main clinical outcome. However, postoperative settings vary greatly, often including focal evaluation for the related eloquent area but rarely accounting for complications or a wider battery of cognitive evaluations. Inadequate preoperative assessment can limit postoperative assessment and may lead to overlooking some functions which, though not tested intraoperatively, may still hold prognostic importance.

Interestingly, the peri-operative period, which traditionally lasts for 30 days after surgery, was prolonged in some studies to 3-4 months or up to 12 months, a period conventionally used to define permanent deficits (Duffau et al., 2001; Duffau et al., 2003b; Seitz et al., 1995).

5.2.1 Surgical outcome
The rationale for any treatment is bring about benefit superior to the natural history of the disease and to other treatments. The primary aim is to improve neurological outcome by relieving mass effect on normal brain structures without causing damage. Here, the Glioma Outcome Project deserves mention because it classified postoperative outcome and prospectively provided data for a large number of cases, Class II data, a benchmark for future studies. In this study, the majority of the patients improved (53%), while neurological, regional and systemic complications accounted for 8.1%, 10% and 9.2%, respectively, as observed at 21 days postoperatively. Unfortunately, eloquent areas were not specifically classified (Chang et al., 2003).

5.2.2 Focal outcome
While AS is claimed to decrease postoperative morbidity in eloquent areas, immediate postoperative evaluation showed a high rate of worsening of these functions, usually above 50%, which can be explained by the surgeon’s confidence when working with eloquent areas, as demonstrated by progressive improvement within a few weeks (Keles et al., 2004; Talacchi et al., 2010a). At 3 months, this percentage usually decreases to less than 20% and
then to 2-10% over the next months (Bello et al., 2008; Duffau 2005; Nariai et al., 2005). The degree of worsening varies substantially depending on the clinical scale used, arbitrarily classified at one level, with a high and low cut-off, which means great differences in deficits, at two levels (mild, moderate-severe) or at three levels (mild, moderate, severe) (Duffau, 2005; Hamberger, 2007; Nariai et al 2005; Seeck et al., 2006; Simos et al., 1999). A few authors used preoperative specific test categories for postoperative evaluation, site by site (Bello et al., 2008; Lucas et al., 2004; Lubrano et al., 2004). Nevertheless, when objective evaluations were adopted, as for motor mapping, the trend was very similar, with lower rates (20%), probably due more reliable testing (Talacchi et al 2010a; Reithmeier et al., 2003; Signorelli et al., 2001).

5.2.3 Functional outcome
Postoperative changes in cognitive functioning depend partly on the patient’s level of preoperative abilities, especially in impaired patients (Gupta et al., 2007; Ojemann, 1979, 1983; Ojemann G.A. & Mateer, 1979). This makes the assessment strategy crucial from the beginning, since it can limit interpretations of final results (Hamberger et al., 2003). Preoperative cognitive assessment is a neglected issue, but of great significance since about 80% of patients present with some degree of impairment (Talacchi et al., 2010b; Tucha et al., 2000). On the other hand, with pre- and intraoperative cognitive assessment, an extended postoperative assessment, including language and other cognitive functions, is required since research is based on the verification of the initial hypothesis and on unexpected results as well as on safety (Vives & Piepmeier, 1999). Some authors found poor agreement when comparing neuropsychological tests with neurological examination and self-assess, especially in cognition, properly expressed only by neuropsychological testing (Pahlson, et al., 2003). Mental problems proved to have a greater impact on QoL than physical problems, which are specifically expressed by KPS, demonstrating that neuropsychology is a sensitive tool for analyzing brain performance, rather than self-assessment and neurological examination (Giovagnoli et al., 2004; Gustafsson et al., 2006; Pålsson et al., 2003; Taphoorn et al., 1992). In addition, specific cognitive domains such as verbal memory were found to be a better predictor of survival than KPS (Meyers et al., 2000). Accordingly, the main rationale for brain mapping, the preservation of QoL, is still largely biased by inadequate measures whenever they are used.

6. Conclusions

- Some methodological shortcomings derive from the inappropriate transition from epilepsy surgery to tumor surgery.
- Mapping is not a uniform technique, but rather it differs as regards area, function, task, electrical parameters and responses evaluation. Consequently, it requires case-by-case validation.
- The aim is a common methodological framework and personalized intraoperative tasks.
- Mapping the tumor periphery systematically and mapping impaired patients remain unsolved and difficult tasks.
- Moving from cortical naming-assisted surgical resection to other language and cognitive tasks, poor clinical assessment still limits technical development of tasks and image-guided mapping.
• Through the use of appropriate methods in the clinical setting and in mapping techniques, surgical removal may safely attack the tumor, starting from the margins.
• Visual inspection allows us to “monitor” normal brain tissue which is to be preserved since functional eloquence is far from known in many areas.
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