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1. Introduction

Light weight aluminium alloys, showing excellent workability, high thermal and electrical conductivity, represent a good choice for the powder metallurgy (PM) industry to produce new materials having unique capabilities, not currently available in any other powder metal parts. Moreover the requirement on mechanical properties (i.e. high tensile strength with adequate plasticity) should assure an increasing role for aluminium alloys in the expanding PM market.

Room temperature tensile strengths in aluminium based metal matrix composites (MMC) in excess of 800 MPa have been reported (Guo & Kazama, 1997). However, PM based MMC currently show very limited application, also due to the high costs of production, thus having a low commercial appeal for both producers and end users. The application for aluminium powders is basically in the production of PM parts for structural and non-structural purposes in the transportation and commercial areas. Press and sinter products, blends of aluminium and elemental alloy powders are pressed into intricate configurations and sintered to yield net or near-net shapes. There are two interesting classes of commercial press and sinter aluminium alloys: Al-Mg-Si-Cu and Al-Zn-Mg-Cu-(Si). The first alloy displays moderate strength (the level of tensile strength is 240 MPa) while the latter alloy develops high mechanical properties (the level of tensile strength is 330 MPa) in both the as-sintered and heat-treated conditions. Solid solution strengthening and precipitation hardening can contribute to the higher strength values of the commercial alloys. (Pieczonka et al., 2008) report transverse strength of aluminium-based PM alloys in the range of 400 MPa (Al-Mg-Si-Cu) to 550 MPa (Al-Zn-Mg-Cu).

It’s well known (Bidulská et al., 2008 a) that conventional forming methods and heat treatment can determine a limit in the level of strength-plastic characteristics adequate to structural properties. One possible way for achieving higher mechanical properties is
represented by severe plastic deformation (SPD), such as Equal Channel Angular Pressing (ECAP) (Valiev & Langdon, 2006); (Bidulská et al., 2008 b), (Kočiško et al., 2009); (Bidulská et al., 2010 a), as it is further confirmed in (Valiev et al., 2000), (Vinogradov et al., 2002). In the PM area, SPD is a relatively new technological solution for achieving high strength (Lapovok, 2005); (Wu et al., 2008); (Bidulská et al., 2010 b).

Al-Zn-Mg-Cu PM alloys, due to zinc show a poor sintering aid; these alloys do not have a good sintering response either. The high vapour pressure of zinc also gives rise to additional porosity, particularly when elemental powders are used (Lumley & Schaffer, 1998). Al-Zn-Mg-Cu PM alloys have been introduced as elemental powders or rich masteralloys (Neubing & Jangg, 1987); (Miura et al., 1993); (Danninger et al., 1998); (Neubing et al., 2002); (Gradl et al., 2004).

Solid state sintering of aluminium alloys has so far been unsuccessful, mainly due to the stable oxide layers on each particle. The main reason is the relative diffusion rates through the oxide and the aluminium alloys (Schaffer et al., 2001). Some activation is necessary to overcome this barrier and activate the sintering process by effective liquid phase sintering. An essential requirement for effective liquid phase sintering is a wetting liquid. Based on thermodynamically approach, magnesium reacts with aluminium oxide forming spinel, facilitating the disruption of oxide layer and thus wetting by liquid (Ziani & Pelletier, 1999); (Martín et al., 2002); (Martín & Castro, 2003). The reaction may be facilitated during sintering by diffusion of the magnesium through the aluminium matrix and will be accompanied by a change in volume, creating shear stresses in the film, ultimately leading to its break up. This is beneficial to the diffusion, wetting and therefore sintering. Several researches for a suitable design of various aluminium alloys for successful sintering (Martín et al., 2004); (Kim et al., 2004); (Rout et al., 2004); (Schaffer et al., 2001) have been developed. In particular, the effect of copper in the alloys seems to be efficacious and therefore the sintering behaviour of Al-Zn-Mg-Cu alloys needs to be developed properly. Authors (Kehl & Fischmeister, 1980) suggested that the Al–CuAl$_2$ eutectic can wet Al$_2$O$_3$ at 873 K. However, magnesium additions to molten aluminium reduce the contact angle sufficiently to produce wetting (Ip et al., 1993); (Liu et al., 1992). The work of adhesion of liquid metals on oxide surfaces increases with the free energy of formation of the metal oxide. It is therefore apparent that the oxide on aluminium is a barrier to sintering and needs to be overcome. Several works analyze the use of sintering additives on enhancing aluminium sinterability (Pieczonka et al., 2008); (Danninger et al., 1998), but few ones concentrated onto the evaluation of the role of porosity (Martín et al., 2004) on sintering behaviour and then on mechanical properties.

Most of the properties of PM materials are strongly related to porosity. Porosity can be used as an indicative parameter to evaluate and control the processes which the components underwent (Salak, 1997). The pores act as crack initiators and due to their presence distribution of stress is inhomogeneous across the cross section and leads to reduction of the effective load bearing area. Both the morphology and distribution of pores have a significant effect on the mechanical behaviour of PM materials. Two types of porosity are typically observed in sintered materials (Salak, 1997): interconnected and isolated porosity. Interconnected porosity has a more pronounced effect on properties than isolated porosity. The effect of porosity on the mechanical properties depends on the following factors (Pietrowski & Biallas, 1998); (Esper & Sonsino, 1994); (Marcu Puscas et al., 2003); (Bidulská et al., 2010 a); (Beiss & Dalgic, 2001):

- the quantity of pores (i.e., the fractional porosity);
- their interconnection;
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- size;
- morphology;
- distribution;
- chemical composition;
- lubricant;
- die design and
- in terms of sintering;
- atmosphere,
- temperature and
- time.

In order to precisely evaluate the powder behaviour, new approaches are necessary (Hryha et al., 2009); (Mihalikova, 2010), as well as mathematical and computer simulation (Kim, 2002); (Bidulská et al., 2008 c); (Kvačkaj et al., 2007), mainly in the description of densification behaviour after SPD process.

In order to describe the dimensional and morphological porosity characteristics, the dimensional characteristic $D_{\text{circle}}$ and the morphological characteristics $f_{\text{shape}}$ and $f_{\text{circle}}$ have been identified as the most effective parameters. The description of parameters is reported as follows:

- $D_{\text{circle}}$ is the diameter of the equivalent circle that has the same area as the metallographic cross-section of the pore.
- $f_{\text{shape}}$ and $f_{\text{circle}}$ reflect the form of the pores.

The $f_{\text{shape}}$ represents pore elongation, while $f_{\text{circle}}$ depicts pore profile irregularity. Both parameters range between 0 and 1, being equal to unity for a circular pore. Elongation (elliptical deformation) as well as irregularity of the pore profile results in small values of $f_{\text{shape}}$ and $f_{\text{circle}}$ approaching 0 for highly elongated ones (Powder Metal Technologies and Applications, 1998); (DeHoff & Aigeltinger, 1970); (Marcu Puscas et al., 2003). Quantitative image analysis of investigated material treats pores as isolated plane two-dimensional objects in solid surroundings (Fig. 1).

![Fig. 1. The base characteristics by quantitative image analysis](image)

The base characteristics are maximum and minimum pore dimensions $D_{\text{max}}$ and $D_{\text{min}}$, pore area $A$, perimeter $P$ and the diameter of the equivalent circle $D_{\text{circle}}$.

2. Experimental conditions

A commercial ready-to-press aluminium based powders (ECKA Alumix 321 and ECKA Alumix 431) were used as materials to be investigated. Formulations of the tested alloys are presented in Table 1 (wt. %).
### Table 1. Chemical compositions of investigated PM aluminium alloys

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Alumix 321</th>
<th></th>
<th>Alumix 431</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>lubricant Mg Si Cu Fe</td>
<td>balance</td>
<td>Mg Zn Cu -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>balance</td>
<td>1.50 0.95 0.49 0.21 0.07</td>
<td>1.0 2.5 5.5 1.6 -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Chemical compositions of investigated PM aluminium alloys

Particles size distribution, usually representing the mass percentage retained upon each of series of standard sieves of decreasing size and the percentage passed by the sieve of finest size, was carried out by sieve analyzer according to ISO 4497. The apparent density of powders was determined according to MPIF Standard 04. The tap density of powders was determined according to MPIF Standard 46. Specimens were obtained using a 2000 kN hydraulic press, applying different pressures. Unnotched impact energy specimens 55×10×10 mm
3

(ISO 5754) were prepared. The green compacts were weighed with an accuracy of ± 0.001 g. The dimensions were measured with a micrometer calliper (± 0.01 mm). Specimens were dewaxed in a ventilated furnace (Nabertherm) at 673 K for 3600 s
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. Sintering was carried out in a vacuum furnace (TAV) at 883 K for 1800 s
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, with an applied cooling rate (post sintering) of 6 K/s. The cooling rate was monitored and recorded by means of thermocouples inserted in the central axis and close to the surface of the specimen. In vacuum furnaces, the cooling rate is generally determined by the pressure of the gas (N
2

) introduced into the chamber. The SPD processes were divided into two separately steps, first step was ECAP-BP process and second was ECAP process. The set-up of ECAP-BP for the produced PM materials consisted of a vertical entrance channel with a forward pressing plunger and a horizontal exit channel with a back plunger providing a constant back pressure during pressing. The die had a 90° angle with sharp corners and channels of 6×6 mm
2

 in the cross section. Specimens were then inserted in the entrance channel with graphite lubrication. A heating device was employed to heat the die to 523 K, which was kept under control to ± 1 K through a thermocouple mounted close to the intersection of the channels. A back pressure of 100 MPa was used. The specimens were ECAPed-BP for 1 pass. The ECAP was realized by hydraulic equipment at room temperature, which makes it possible to produce the maximum force of 1 MN. The die had a 90° angle with sharp corners and channels of diameter 10 mm in the cross section. The specimens were ECAPed for 1 pass.

Optical characterization was carried out on the minimum of 10 different image fields. For determination porosity characteristics were used magnification 100x for specimens prepared pressing and sintering and 500x for ECAPed specimens. Pores were recorded and processed by Leica Qwin image analysis system.

From these primary data a huge variety of secondary quantities can be derived which are used to describe pore size and pore shape. The scatter or deviations from primary data are mostly caused by delaminated specimens that were found in investigated aluminium alloys, mainly in low pressing pressure due to the low green strength or at very high pressing pressure due to the work hardening. The results in this investigation were sorted in number of processing pores in terms of processing conditions; for specimens prepared pressing and
sintering were processed a minimum of 1000 pores and for ECAPed specimens were processed a minimum of 300 pores. The calculations of both morphological parameters are reported as follows:

\[ f_{\text{shape}} = \frac{D_{\text{min}}}{D_{\text{max}}} = \frac{a}{b} \quad [-] \]  

where:
- \( D_{\text{min}} \) [\( \mu \text{m} \)], the parameter representing minimum of Feret diameter;
- \( D_{\text{max}} \) [\( \mu \text{m} \)], the parameter representing maximum of Feret diameter;

\[ f_{\text{circle}} = \frac{4 \cdot \pi \cdot A}{P^2} \quad [-] \]  

where:
- \( A \) [\( \mu \text{m}^2 \)], the area of the metallographic cross-section of the pore, as the form

\[ A = \pi \cdot a \cdot b \quad [\mu \text{m}^2] \]  

\[ P = \pi \left[ 1.5 \cdot (a \cdot b) - \sqrt{a \cdot b} \right] \quad [\mu \text{m}] \]  

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Effect of compacting pressure

The first stage of rigid die compaction is a basic forming technique used in the production of a lot of PM materials. It is primarily uniaxial compaction and the forming operation employs either a mechanical or a hydraulic press. A classical way for the evaluation of the powder compressibility is the relationship between the density or porosity and the applied pressure (Kawakita & Lüdde, 1971); (Panelli & Filho, 2001); (Hryha et al., 2008); (Denny, 2002); (Bidulská et al., 2009); (Bidulský et al., 2008). Different compacting pressures have been applied for the identification of the compressibility behaviour (100, 200, 300, 400, 500, 600 and 700 MPa) and the following compressibility equation (Dudrová et al., 1982); (Dudrová et al., 1983); (Parilák et al., 1983); (Parilák et al., 2004) was used:

\[ P = P_0 \cdot \exp \left( -K \cdot p^a \right) \quad [\%] \]  

where:
- \( P \) [%], porosity achieved at an applied pressure \( p \);
- \( P_0 \) [%], apparent porosity calculated from the value of experimentally estimated apparent density:

\[ P_0 = \left[ 1 - \frac{P_a}{\rho_{lh}} \right] \cdot 100 \quad [%] \]
Using the linear form of equation (5):

\[
\ln \left( \ln \left( \frac{P_0}{P} \right) \right) = - \ln K + n \cdot \ln p
\]  

(7)

The parameters \( K \) and \( n \) can be calculated by linear regression analysis. A linear relationship between the parameters \( K \) and \( n \) was found and described in (Parilák et al., 2004):

\[
\ln K = f(p) : \ln K = a - b \cdot n
\]  

(8)

where:

\( a = 1.432; \)

\( b = 7.6; \)

Correlation coefficient \( r = 0.9665. \)

The measured characteristics of the as-received aluminium powders are presented in Table 2 and Table 3, where the particle size distribution of both investigated aluminium alloys are reported. It can be seen from the results that the largest fraction of particles for the investigated material is in range of 63 to 100 \( \mu \text{m} \). Particle size distribution of investigated aluminium alloys are presented in Table 2 and Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size fraction [( \mu \text{m} )]</th>
<th>Fraction [%]</th>
<th>St. deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>200-250</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160-200</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-160</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63-100</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-63</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;45</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Particle size distribution of investigated Al-Mg-Si-Cu-Fe aluminium alloy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size fraction [( \mu \text{m} )]</th>
<th>Fraction [%]</th>
<th>St. deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>200-250</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160-200</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-160</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63-100</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-63</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;45</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Particle size distribution of investigated Al-Zn-Mg-Cu aluminium alloy
Variations in particle size distribution and consequently the uniformity of powder mixes significantly influence the specimens’ density and the mechanical properties including strength, wear and fatigue. Therefore, particle size distribution strongly affected apparent and tap density (Table 4). The finer Al-Zn-Mg-Cu alloy achieved 3 times higher tap density than Al-Mg-Si-Cu-Fe alloy. For example, the powders with a higher tap density generally have a lower sintered density than powders of similar size but different shape. The smaller the particles the greater the specific surface of the powder system is. (Powder Metal Technologies and Applications, 1998) suggested that this phenomenon increases the friction between particles and subsequently decreases the apparent density.

Table 4 reports the density properties of the studied systems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>$\rho_a$ [g.cm$^{-3}$]</th>
<th>$\rho_t$ [g.cm$^{-3}$]</th>
<th>$i$ [-]</th>
<th>$\rho_{th}$ [g.cm$^{-3}$]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al-Mg-Si-Cu-Fe</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>2.7134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-Zn-Mg-Cu</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>2.7213</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. The fundamental density properties of investigated aluminium alloys

$\rho_a$ is the apparent density, $\rho_t$ is the tap density, $i$ is the ratio $\rho_{th} / \rho_a$.

Table 5 shows the compressibility behaviour of the investigated systems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>$P_0$ [%]</th>
<th>$K\cdot10^{-2}$ [-]</th>
<th>$n$ [-]</th>
<th>$p_1$ [MPa]</th>
<th>$r$ [-]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al-Mg-Si-Cu-Fe</td>
<td>59.83</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>0.4514</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>0.9934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-Zn-Mg-Cu</td>
<td>59.58</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.5822</td>
<td>106.2</td>
<td>0.9994</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Compressibility parameters of investigated aluminium alloys

$p_1$ represents the fictive pressure.

According to data listed in Table 5, the compressibility parameter $n$ is related to the activity of powders to densification by the plastic deformation. In case of powders with high plasticity, $n$ is close to 0.5; in case of low plasticity, $n$ is close to 1. The results show excellent trends for both aluminium alloys. Al-Mg-Si-Cu-Fe alloy ($n = 0.4514$) shows a higher ability to plastically deform than Al-Zn-Mg-Cu alloy ($n = 0.5822$). The effect of powder morphology also reflects in the values of the compressibility parameter $K$, which is lower for Al-Zn-Mg-Cu ($K = 0.60 \cdot 10^{-2}$) than for system Al-Mg-Si-Cu-Fe ($K = 1.57 \cdot 10^{-2}$). The difference between Al-Mg-Si-Cu-Fe and Al-Zn-Mg-Cu system is connected with the effect of particle geometry (represented by particle size distribution). It is very important to note that the lubrication of aluminium powder during compaction and ejection has to be considered since it has a strong tendency to stick to the tooling (Kehl et al., 1983); (Dudas & Dean, 1969); (Lefebvre et al., 2002).

Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 show the relationship between experimental and calculated data according to the aforementioned equations.

$p_1$ represents the “work” related to the densification done by particles transient rearrangement (for $n=1$). Compressibility of the Al-Mg-Si-Cu-Fe alloy is slightly higher than that of the Al-Zn-Mg-Cu alloy, mainly in the area of pressing pressures from 100 to 500 MPa.

The compressibility equation (5) enables to calculate the pressure $p_1$ needed for achieving almost close to zero porosity, only by particle movements. The results show a shifting from 106.2 MPa (Al-Zn-Mg-Cu) to 40.5 MPa for Al-Mg-Si-Cu-Fe.
Fig. 2. Compressibility curves of Al-Mg-Si-Cu-Fe aluminium alloy

\[
P = 10 + 59.83 \cdot \exp(-0.1580 \cdot p^{0.8511})
\]
\[
r = 0.9934
\]

Fig. 3. Compressibility curves of Al-Zn-Mg-Cu alloy

The unetched microstructures after pressing are shown in the following figures. Fig. 4 presents the typical microstructures for low pressures when the densification of the powder occurs by particle rearrangement (translations and rotations of particles) providing a higher packing coordination. Lower pressure creates high volume of porosity. Using low pressure may lead to edge blunting and porosity agglomeration, consequently a low green strength was found. It is clear visible, mainly in the microstructure of Al-Zn-Mg-Cu alloy.

Fig. 4. Microstructure of aluminium alloys at 50 MPa, left - Al-Mg-Si-Cu-Fe, right - Al-Zn-Mg-Cu
After the finishing of particle rearrangement, the elastic and plastic deformation of particles starts through their contacts. Fig. 5 presents the detailed microstructures with small work hardened areas by implication of plastic deformation.

Fig. 5. Microstructure of aluminium alloys at 200 MPa, left - Al-Mg-Si-Cu-Fe, right - Al-Zn-Mg-Cu

Fig. 6 shows that the contact area between the particles increases and particles undergo extensive plastic deformation in both aluminium alloys. During compaction the particles deform following to the formation of solid interfaces at the point or planar particle contacts "compaction facets" (Dudrová & Kabátová, 2007), representing areas with elevated free energy. Thus, the potential areas for nucleation and growth of inter-particle necks during the sintering are increased. In terms of compressibility, the pressing pressure of 400 MPa seems to be appropriate for achieving the desirable cold welding.

Fig. 6. Microstructure of aluminium alloys at 400 MPa, left - Al-Mg-Si-Cu-Fe, right - Al-Zn-Mg-Cu

The final stages of densification of powder particles under the pressure of 600 MPa are presented in Fig 7 (optical microscopy) and Fig. 8 (scanning electron microscopy).

In a number of materials densified by plastic flow, cusp-shaped pores <1 μm in size have been observed. The radius of material on the pore surface is much smaller than the particle radius. The material surrounding the pore has a shape typical of atomized produced powders (Fig. 9 right). Plastic deformation of powder particles leading to intimate contact between oxide- and/or contamination-free surfaces results in the formation of chemical bonds and adhesion, as confirmed by (Powder Metal Technologies and Applications, 1998).
It is clear that the Al-Mg-Si-Cu-Fe has a cold welding development bigger than the Al-Zn-Mg-Cu one. This is confirmed by the results of compressibility behaviour. The pore radius decreases with deformation. Such cusp-shaped pores are less stable under applied pressure than the spherical pores considered by (Powder Metal Technologies and Applications, 1998) formed by diffusional flow. What in principle opposes the closure of cusp-shaped pores is
the increasing surface tension force on the concave surfaces, resulting in Laplace compressive stresses on these surfaces. At very high pressing pressure delaminated (cracking across the particle, Fig. 9) specimens were failing in the investigated systems; this is basically due to the work hardening effect.

3.2 Effect of sintering process

Consolidation of aluminium alloys by sintering presents a major problem: the oxide layer covering aluminium particles, Fig. 10.

![Fig. 10. Oxide layer covering aluminium particles](image)

The oxide thickness is dependent on the temperature at which it is formed and the humidity contents in the atmosphere in which the powders is stored. Schaffer & Hall, 2002, suggested that the oxygen concentration in the nitrogen atmosphere is reduced by the aluminium through a self-gettering mechanism. The outer layers of the porous powder compact serve as a getter for the inner layers such that the oxygen partial pressure is reduced deep within the pore network. Aluminium nitride then forms, either by direct reaction with the metal or by reduction of the oxide layer, and sintering follows according to the equations.

$$\text{Al} + \text{N} \rightarrow \text{AlN} \quad (9)$$

It is highly exothermic: the $\Delta H_{\text{AlN}}^0 = -318 \text{ KJ/mol}$. If the temperature rises, as a consequence of the reaction, it will increase the liquid volume, which may enhance sintering (Schaffer et al., 2006). The oxide therefore prevents suitable bonding. This has been explained in terms of the relative diffusion rates through the oxide and the metal, for metals with stable oxides. It means that, in order to achieve a good sintering response, aluminium alloys have to be modified by additional steps like, for example, severe plastic deformation or, in terms of pre-processing, master alloy powders. Liquid phase sintering of investigated aluminium alloys consists of typical stages. During the heating stage, the penetration of the pressing contacts by the transient liquid eutectic phases results in a pronounced expansion within a rather small temperature range. During further heating, when the solidus temperature for the composition is exceeded, also persistent liquid phase is formed, resulting in fast shrinkage. Depending on the selected heating rate and sintering
temperatures, the ratio solid-liquid varies and also the shrinkage does. The very sensitive solid-liquid equilibrium results in tight requirements for the tolerable temperature interval. It is well-known that for an effective liquid phase sintering, a wetting liquid represents an essential requirement. Authors (Kehl & Fischmeister, 1980) suggested that the Al-CuAl\textsubscript{2} eutectic can wet Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} at 873 K. However, not even magnesium additions (to melt aluminium) reduce the contact angle sufficiently to produce wetting (Martín et al., 2004); (Danninger, 1987); (Martín & Castro, 2007). This is possibly the main reason why sintering Al-Zn-Mg-Cu and Al-Mg-Si-Cu-Fe aluminium alloys still cannot be considered that easy. It should be noticed that the investigated microstructures present the regions with alloying elements with high chemical activity, e.g. magnesium and copper, Figs. 11 and 12. Magnesium is mainly concentrated around the pores and in the necks volume. It appears that the primary porosity inside powder is also relatively permeable. The densification behaviour of powder particles in the examined alloy is complicated due to the large surface area and associated oxide layers. Formation of transient liquid phase, according to (Danninger & Gierl, 2001), enhances homogenization of the alloying element, mainly magnesium and copper, by accelerating material transport through spreading of the melt in the pore network and the pressing contacts and by increasing the diffusional cross-section.

Fig. 11. The typical microstructure for 400 MPa pressed specimens, Al-Zn-Mg-Cu specimens, left SEI and right COMPO

Fig. 12. The typical microstructure for 600 MPa pressed specimens, Al-Zn-Mg-Cu specimens, left SEI and right COMPO
Densification, $\Psi$, was calculated to determine the amount of shrinkage or swelling during sintering:

$$\Psi = \frac{\rho_s - \rho_g}{\rho_e - \rho_g} \quad [-]$$

where:
- $\rho_s$ [g.cm$^{-3}$], the sintered density
- $\rho_g$ [g.cm$^{-3}$], the green density and
- $\rho_t$ [g.cm$^{-3}$], the theoretical density.

The results presented in Table 6 show values of theoretical density in different processing conditions as well as densification behaviour $\Psi$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pressure [MPa]</th>
<th>pressing</th>
<th>dewaxing</th>
<th>sintering</th>
<th>$\Psi$</th>
<th>ECAP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>92.48</td>
<td>93.11</td>
<td>92.12</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>98.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>92.84</td>
<td>93.30</td>
<td>92.40</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>98.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>93.03</td>
<td>92.89</td>
<td>92.82</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>98.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700</td>
<td>93.19</td>
<td>92.93</td>
<td>93.09</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>98.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. Densification behaviour of material as values of theoretical density (%), except $\Psi$ (-).

Considering the first three columns, it can be seen that with increasing pressing pressure, the values of theoretical density increase. It is well-known that aluminium powder would not require much sintering because its relative softness allows very high green densities to be obtained by traditional uniaxial compaction alone; green densities in excess of 90% are typical. Indeed, sintering of aluminium often causes swelling and results in negative densification values (Lumley & Schaffer, 1998).

A high heating rate in transient systems also promotes liquid formation because it limits the time available for dissolution of the additive in the base prior to melting. ECAP process can be sufficient to achieve a good densification. Also, the presence of adsorbed and absorbed gases by the Al particles, as well as water vapour present during vacuum sintering (Showaiter & Youseffi, 2008) would increase the size of the compacts and therefore reducing their sintered density due to volume expansion.

As expected, the sintering brings to the formation of secondary porosity during transient LPS as well as the swelling presented seems to be related to the amount of liquid generated. The formation of secondary pores, according to (Martin et al., 2004); (Danninger, 1987); (Martín & Castro, 2007) is dependent to the previous formation of a liquid able to migrate away from the site of the prior alloying particles. The mix of primary (which still present in studied materials), secondary and residual porosity reveals the mean values of $D_{\text{circle}}$ decreased with increasing pressing pressure. As expected, the coarse additive particle sizes leave large residual pores behind. Sintering under vacuum gave rise to the presence of higher pore content and excessive amounts of residual porosity at grain boundaries.

Table 7 shows the values of porosity characteristics for the investigated material processed before ECAP.
Table 7. Porosity distribution of studied material before ECAP

The successful densification of elemental powder mixtures by LPS is based on the formation of a combination of transient and permanent liquid phases (Martín et al., 2004); (Danninger, 1987). The LPS, firstly formed, allows the incorporation of alloying elements and therefore leads to swelling of the compacts. Another result of transient liquid phase is the presence of secondary pores. Since the melt spreads into the matrix pores and pressing contacts, it leaves pores behind, the diameter of which is correlated to the size of the original alloy particle.

The subsequently formed permanent liquid phases encourage densification accompanied by the corresponding shrinkage. On the other hand, this swelling-shrinkage sequence leads to distortion and to difficulties for dimensional control of the components.

The origin of compact swelling and the formation of secondary porosity during transient LPS are based on two main causes (Martín et al., 2004); (Schaffer et al., 2001). Firstly, the dissolution of the alloying particles in the metal matrix and secondly, the migration of a wetting liquid, formed from the alloying particles, through pore channels and/or the grain boundaries of the main component, Fig. 13. Both effects lead to the generation of secondary pores.

![Figure 13. Consolidation of aluminium alloys by sintering](www.intechopen.com)
3.3 Effect of SPD process

Effect of ECAP-BP

The processing way of ECAP-BP differs from ECAP in terms of the adopted temperature, which is settled at 523 K. The results of these investigations are presented in Table 8.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. and pressure</th>
<th>$D_{\text{circle}}$</th>
<th>$f_{\text{shape}}$</th>
<th>$f_{\text{circle}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D, 400 MPa</td>
<td>10.47</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D, 600 MPa</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+E, 400 MPa</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+E, 600 MPa</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+S+E, 400 MPa</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+S+E, 600 MPa</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8. Porosity distribution of studied material after ECAP-BP

Where: D-dewaxing, S-sintering, E-ECAP-BP

As expected, sintering coupled to back pressure tends to shift the distributions towards lower pores size $D_{\text{circle}}$ and higher values of $f_{\text{shape}}$ and $f_{\text{circle}}$ since higher-temperature treatments leads to porosity reduction and improving pore morphology. Application of ECAP-BP supported next decreasing of pore size, represented by value of $D_{\text{circle}}$. It can be noted that most of the pores diameter values are in the range from 2 to 10 µm in all specimens, however rarely larger pores with the size up to 45 µm were observed.

It could be expected that this large amount of small pores strongly influences both $f_{\text{shape}}$ and $f_{\text{circle}}$ considering that small pores reveal preferably circular shape.

The results presented in Table 8 show a value of $f_{\text{circle}}$ is in the range from 0.22 to 0.27. It is important to emphasize that $f_{\text{circle}}$ depicts only how circular the form of the pore is, and $f_{\text{shape}}$ include also how smooth the pore contour is, as it was shown in (Pavanati et al., 2007); the evolution of pores to a smooth contour is more effective than to a circular form during sintering, so the highest value of $f_{\text{shape}}$ was registered for sintered and ECAPed specimen.

Application of SPD process and sintering causes a decrease of $D_{\text{circle}}$ to the minimum value of 2.02 and on the other hand, slightly increase the $f_{\text{circle}}$ to maximum value of 0.27. It is interesting that, for both pressing pressure, the parameter $D_{\text{circle}}$ has higher values for the initial state (after dewaxing) and the following processing (ECAP-BP and sintering plus ECAP-BP) causes contact areas between particles to increase and, consequently, a decrease in the effective shearing-stresses inside the particles. This condition happens with increasing densification, when the powder particles are plastically deformed and increasingly deformation strengthened. Dewaxing tends to generate larger pores in the microstructure, because of the lower densification attained on the green parts. When back pressure is applied, the stress distribution in deformed specimens causes the powder particles to squeeze together to such an extent that the initially interconnected pores transform to small semi-isolated pores, determining a lower value of parameter $D_{\text{circle}}$. Consequently, ECAP-BP influences the porosity distribution in terms of the severe shear deformation involving and therefore influences the pore morphology which is represented by both parameters of $f_{\text{shape}}$ and $f_{\text{circle}}$.
Effect of ECAP

The application of ECAP supported the following decreasing of the pore size, represented by the value of $D_{\text{circle}}$, Table 9.

It can be noted that most of the pores diameter values are under 1 μm. It could be expected that this large amount of small pores (nanoporosity, Fig. 14), strongly influences both $f_{\text{shape}}$ and $f_{\text{circle}}$ considering that small pores evolve easily to a circular form despite of well-known ability of ECAP to alignment of particles (Lapovok, 2005); (Lapovok et al., 2008).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pressure [MPa]</th>
<th>$D_{\text{circle}}$</th>
<th>St. dev.</th>
<th>$f_{\text{shape}}$</th>
<th>$f_{\text{circle}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9. Porosity distribution of studied material after ECAP

For the extremely large shear strains imposed by severe plastic deformation processing, even more extensive nucleation of nanopores is expected at grain boundaries or at particle-matrix interfaces (Lapovok et al., 2009). Formation of ultrafine grains during SPD processing increases the total area of grain boundaries and, therefore, the availability of nanopores nucleation sites. An additional effect of the continual grain refinement is an increase in the density of triple junctions that can act as preferred sites for nanopores nucleation. Lapovok et al. (2009) noted that small-angle X-ray scattering experiments on SPD-processed Al and an aluminium alloy carried out by (Betekhtin et al., 2007) provided useful insights in the effect of severe plastic deformation on formation of free volume, as also confirmed by (Divinski et al., 2009); (Ribbe et al., 2009), which was interpreted in terms of nanoporosity.

Fig. 14. Nanoporosity present in the studied material
Therefore, structure investigations by TEM (HRTEM) analysis will be useful key to identifications and confirmations the various theories about the material behaviour during the SPD processing (Dutkiewicz et al., 2009); (Lityńska-Dobrzyńska et al., 2010); (Maziarz et al., 2010); (Alexandrov et al., 2005).

4. Conclusion

**In terms of compaction.** The development of compressibility values with pressing pressure enables to characterize the effect of particles geometry and matrix plasticity on the compaction process. The presented results exhibit a high value of plasticity, as a property related to compressibility, and consequently promising compressibility data in terms of industrial potential applications are obtained.

**In terms of sintering.** The dissolution of the alloying particles in the metal matrix and therefore, the migration of a wetting liquid (formed from the alloying particles) through pore channels and/or the grain boundaries of the main component (promotes the swelling of compact) and the formation of secondary porosity, mainly at the prior alloying particle sites.

**In terms of ECAP-BP.** Analysis of presented parameters indicate that sintering coupled to SPD leads to porosity reduction and improving pore morphology. ECAP-BP influences the porosity distribution in terms of the severe shear deformation involved and therefore influences the pore morphology.

**In terms of ECAP.** The application of SPD, causing stress distribution in deformed specimens, made the powder particles to squeeze together to such an extent that the initially interconnected pores transform to small isolated pores, determining a given value of the parameter $D_{\text{circle}}$.
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