We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists



186,000

200M



Our authors are among the

TOP 1% most cited scientists





WEB OF SCIENCE

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

# Interested in publishing with us? Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected. For more information visit www.intechopen.com



# Stochastic Game Theory Approach to Robust Synthetic Gene Network Design

Bor-Sen Chen, Cheng-Wei Li and Chien-Ta Tu National Tsing Hua University Taiwan

# 1. Introduction

The development of foundational technologies such as de novo DNA synthesis, milestone experiments such as the computational re-design of enzymes, the opportunity to widely recombine zinc fingers to re-program DNA-binding site specificity and the availability of well-studied model regulatory system for the design of engineering-inspired molecular devices provide a very powerful knowledge and technology basis for building novel biological entities (Heinemann and Panke, 2006). Synthetic biology is to engineer artificial biological systems to investigate natural biological phenomena and for a variety of applications. Synthetic biology will revolutionize how we conceptualize and approach the engineering of biological systems. The vision and applications of this emerging field will influence many other scientific and engineering disciplines, as well as affect various aspects of daily life and society (Andrianantoandro et al., 2006). Synthetic biology builds living machines from the off-the-shelf chemical ingredients, utilizing many of the same strategies that electrical engineers employ to make computer chips (Tucker & Zilinskas, 2006). The main goal of the nascent field of synthetic biology is to design and construct biological systems with the desired behavior (Alon, 2003; Alon, 2007; Andrianantoandro et al., 2006; Church, 2005; Endy, 2005; Hasty et al., 2002; Heinemann & Panke, 2006; Kobayashi et al., 2004; Pleiss, 2006; Tucker & Zilinskas, 2006). By a set of powerful techniques for the automated synthesis of DNA molecules and their assembly into genes and microbial genomes, synthetic biology envisions the redesign of natural biological systems for greater efficiency as well as the construction of functional "genetic circuit" and metabolic pathways for practical purposes (Andrianantoandro, et al., 2006; Ferber, 2004; Forster & Church, 2007; Gardner, et al., 2000; Heinemann & Panke, 2006; Isaacs, et al., 2006; Maeda & Sano, 2006; Tucker & Parker, 2000). Synthetic biology is foreseen to have important applications in biotechnology and medicine (Andrianantoandro *et al.*, 2006).

Though the engineering of networks of inter-regulating genes, so-called synthetic gene networks, has demonstrated the feasibility of synthetic biology (Gardner *et al.*, 2000), the design of gene networks is still a difficult problem and most of the newly designed gene networks cannot work properly. These design failures are mainly due to intrinsic perturbations such as gene expression noises, splicing, mutation, uncertain initial states and disturbances such as changing extra-cellular environments, and interactions with cellular context. Therefore, how to design a robust synthetic gene network, which could tolerate uncertain initial conditions, attenuate the effect of all disturbances and function properly on

the host cell, will be an important topic for synthetic biology (Alon, 2003; Alon, 2007; Andrianantoandro *et al.*, 2006; Batt *et al.*, 2007; Church, 2005; Endy, 2005; Goulian, 2004; Hasty *et al.*, 2002; Heinemann & Panke, 2006; Kaznessis, 2006; Kaznessis, 2007; Kitano, 2002; Kitano, 2004; Kobayashi *et al.*, 2004; Pleiss, 2006; Salis & Kaznessis, 2006; Tucker & Zilinskas, 2006). Previously, sensitivity analysis has been used for analysis of the dynamic properties of gene networks either in qualitative simulations of coarse-grained models or in extensive numerical simulations of nonlinear differential equation models or stochastic dynamic models (de Jong, 2002; Szallasi *et al.*, 2006). For applications in synthetic biology, these approaches are not satisfying. The local sensitivity analysis can provide only a partial description of all possible behaviors of a nonlinear gene network. In particular, it cannot guarantee that a synthetic gene network behaves as expected for all uncertain initial conditions and disturbances. Moreover, obtaining all convergences of states and parameters by extensive numerical simulations quickly becomes computationally intractable when the size of the synthetic network grows (Batt *et al.*, 2007).

An approach has recently been developed using semidefinite programming to partition the parameter spaces of polynomial differential equation models into so-called feasible and infeasible regions (Kuepfer et al., 2007). Following that, a robustness analysis and tuning approach of synthetic networks was proposed to provide a means to assess the robustness of the expected behavior of a synthetic gene network in spite of parameter variations (Batt et al., 2007). This approach has the capability to search for parameter sets for which a given property is satisfied through a publicly available tool called RoVerGeNe. Several gene circuit design networks have been introduced to implement or delete some circuits from an existing gene network so as to modify its structure for improving its robust stability or filtering ability (Chen et al., 2008b; Chen & Chen, 2008; Chen & Wu, 2008). However, robust synthetic gene network design is a different topic. It needs to design a complete man-made gene network to be inserted into a host cell. Therefore, the synthetic gene networks should be designed with enough robustness to tolerate uncertain initial conditions and to resist all possible disturbances on the host cell so that they can function properly in a desired steady state. This is a so-called robust regulation design that can achieve a desired steady state of synthetic gene networks despite uncertain initial conditions and disturbances on the host cell. Recently, robust synthetic gene network design has been developed from the robust stabilization method (Chen & Wu, 2009) and minimax method (Chen, et al., 2009).

In this study, a robust regulation design of synthetic gene network is proposed to achieve a desired steady state in spite of uncertain initial conditions, parameter variations and disturbances on the host cell. Because most information of these uncertain factors on the host cell is unavailable, in order to attenuate their detrimental effects, their worst-case effect should be considered by the designer in the regulation design procedure from the worst regulation error perspective. The worst-case effect of all possible initial conditions and disturbances on the regulation error to a desired steady state is minimized for the robust synthetic gene networks, i.e., the proposed robust synthetic gene network is designed from the minimax regulation error perspective. The minimax design scheme is a simple robust synthetic gene network design method because we do not need the precise information of the initial conditions, parameter variations and disturbances on the design procedure. This minimax regulation design problem for robust synthetic gene networks could be transformed to an equivalent dynamic game problem (Basar & Olsder, 1999; Chen *et al.*, 2002). Dynamic game methods have been widely applied to many fields of robust engineering design problems with external disturbances.

Recently, the application of dynamic game theory has been used for robust model matching control of immune systems under environmental disturbances (Chen et al., 2008a). A robust drug administration (control input) is designed to obtain a prescribed immune response under uncertain initial states and environmental disturbances. In this study, the stochastic game theory will be used for robust synthetic gene network design so that the engineered gene network can work properly under uncertain initial conditions and environmental disturbances on the host cell. The uncertain initial states and disturbances are considered as a player doing his best to deteriorate the regulation performance from the worst-case point of view, while the system parameters to be designed are considered as another player optimizing the regulation performance under the worst-case deterioration of a former player. Since the synthetic gene networks are highly nonlinear, it is not easy to solve the robust synthetic gene network design problem directly by the nonlinear dynamic game method directly. Recently, fuzzy systems have been employed to efficiently approximate nonlinear dynamic systems to solve the nonlinear control problem (Chen et al., 1999; Chen et al., 2000; Hwang, 2004; Li et al., 2004; Lian et al., 2001; Takagi & Sugeno, 1985). A Takagi-Sugeno (T-S) fuzzy model (Takagi & Sugeno, 1985) is proposed to interpolate several linearized genetic networks at different operating points to approximate the nonlinear gene network via some smooth fuzzy membership functions. Then with the help of the fuzzy approximation method, a fuzzy dynamic game scheme (Chen et al., 2002) is developed so that the minimax regulation design of robust synthetic gene networks could be easily solved by the techniques of the linear dynamic game theory, which can be subsequently solved by a constrained optimization scheme via the linear matrix inequality (LMI) technique (Boyd et al., 1994) that can be efficiently solved by the Robust Control Toolbox in Matlab (Balas et al., 2008). Because the fuzzy model can approximate any nonlinear system, the proposed robust regulation design method developed from the fuzzy stochastic game theory can be applied to the robust regulation design problem of any synthetic gene network that can be interpolated by a T-S fuzzy model. For comparison, the conventional optimal regulation design method without considering the effect of disturbances is also proposed for the synthetic gene network. Because the effect of disturbances is not attenuated efficiently, the optimal regulation design method of synthetic gene networks is much influenced by the disturbances on the host cell. Finally, an *in silico* example is given to illustrate the design procedure and to confirm the efficiency and efficacy of the proposed minimax regulation design method for robust synthetic gene networks.

# 2. Robust synthetic gene network design via stochastic game approach

First, for the convenience of problem description, a simple design example of a four-gene network in (Batt *et al.*, 2007) is provided to give an overview of the design problem of robust synthetic gene networks. A more general design problem of robust synthetic gene networks will be given in the sequel. Let us consider a robust regulation design problem of a cascade loop of transcriptional inhibitions built in *E. coli*. (Hooshangi *et al.*, 2005). The synthetic gene network is represented in Fig. 1. It consists of four genes: *tetR*, *lacl*, *cl* and *eyfp* that code respectively three repressor proteins, TetR, LacI and CI, and the fluorescent potein EYFP (enhanced yellow fluorescent protein) (Batt *et al.*, 2007). aTc (anhydrotetracycline) is the input to the system. The fluorescence of the system, due to the protein EYFP, is the measured output. The protein CI inhibits gene *eyfp*. The protein TetR inhibits gene *lacI*. The protein LacI inhibits gene *cl*. The regulatory dynamic equations of the synthetic transcriptional cascade in Fig. 1 are given as follows (Batt *et al.*, 2007).

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_{tetR} &= k_{tetR,0} - \gamma_{tetR} x_{tetR} + w_1 \\ \dot{x}_{lacI} &= k_{lacI,0} + k_{lacI} (r_{lacI} (x_{tetR}) + a_{lacI} (u_{aTc}) - r_{lacI} (x_{tetR}) a_{lacI} (u_{aTc})) - \gamma_{lacI} x_{lacI} + w_2 \\ \dot{x}_{cI} &= k_{cI,0} + k_{cI} r_{cI} (x_{lacI}) - \gamma_{cI} x_{cI} + w_3 \\ \dot{x}_{evtp} &= k_{evtp,0} + k_{evtp} r_{evtp} (x_{cI}) - \gamma_{evtp} x_{evtp} + w_4 \end{aligned}$$
(1)

with the uncertain initial conditions  $x_{tetR}(0)$ ,  $x_{lacl}(0)$ ,  $x_{cl}(0)$  and  $x_{eyfp}(0)$  in the host cell.  $k_{tetR,0}$ ,  $k_{lacl,0}$ ,  $k_{cl,0}$  and  $k_{eyfp,0}$  are basal production rates of the corresponding proteins, which are assumed to be given constants.  $k_{lacl}$ ,  $k_{cl}$  and  $k_{eyfp}$  are the production rate parameters while  $\gamma_{tetR}$ ,  $\gamma_{lacl}$ ,  $\gamma_{cl}$  and  $\gamma_{eyfp}$  are decay rate parameters of the corresponding proteins. The regulatory functions  $r_{lacl}$ ,  $r_{cl}$  and  $r_{eyfp}$  are the Hill functions for repressors and  $a_{lacl}$  for an activator.

The Hill function can be derived from considering the equilibrium binding of the transcription factor to its site on the promoter region. For a repressor, Hill function is an *S*-

shaped curve which can be described in the form  $r(x) = \frac{\beta_r}{1 + (\frac{x}{k_r})^n}$ .  $\beta_r$  is the maximal

expression level of promoter.  $K_r$  is the repression coefficient. The Hill coefficient n governs the steepness of the input function. For an activator, Hill function can be described in the

form  $a(x) = \frac{\beta_a x^n}{K_a^n + x^n}$ .  $\beta_a$  is the maximal expression level of promoter.  $K_a$  is the activation

coefficient. *n* determines the steepness of the input function (Alon, 2007).  $w_1$ ,  $w_2$ ,  $w_3$  and  $w_4$  are the disturbances of the synthetic gene network, which denote the total of environmental noises, modeling residuals, intrinsic parameter fluctuations in the host cell. Therefore,  $w_i$ ,  $i=1\sim4$  are assumed uncertain but bounded disturbances. The synthetic gene network design is to specify  $k_{lacl}$ ,  $k_{cl}$ ,  $k_{eyfp}$  and  $\gamma_{tetR}$ ,  $\gamma_{lacl}$ ,  $\gamma_{cl}$ ,  $\gamma_{eyfp}$  such that the system states  $x_{tetR}$ ,  $x_{lacl}$ ,  $x_{cl}$  and  $x_{eyfp}$  can approach the desired states  $x_{d1}$ ,  $x_{d2}$ ,  $x_{d3}$  and  $x_{d4}$ , respectively, in spite of uncertain initial conditions and disturbances.

If a synthetic gene network consists of n genes, then equation (1) can be extended to the following n-gene network dynamics.

$$\dot{x} = k_0 + f(x, k, \gamma) + g(u) + w, \ x(0) = x_0$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

where the state vector x denotes the concentrations of proteins in the synthetic gene network.  $k_0$  denotes the vector of basal production rates of the corresponding proteins.  $f(x,k,\gamma)$  denotes the regulation vector of synthetic gene network, which is the function of production rate parameters k and decay rate parameters  $\gamma$  to be designed. g(u) denotes the input function to the synthetic gene network. w denotes the vector of stochastic disturbances on the host cell, whose statistics may be unavailable. The initial condition  $x_0$  is assumed stochastic with unknown covariance. The robust synthetic gene network design is to select parameters k and  $\gamma$  from feasible ranges so that the state vector x can approach a desired state vector  $x_d$  in spite of uncertain initial condition x(0) and disturbances w on the host cell. i.e.,  $x \rightarrow x_d$  at the steady state despite uncertain x(0) and w. This is a robust regulation problem of synthetic gene networks, i.e., the state vector x of synthetic gene networks is robustly regulated to  $x_d$  in the host cell. Let us denote the regulation error as

$$\tilde{x} = x - x_d \tag{3}$$

158

Then the regulation error dynamic system is given by

$$\tilde{x} = f(\tilde{x} + x_d, k, \gamma) + v, \quad \tilde{x}(0) = \tilde{x}_0 \tag{4}$$

where  $v=k_0+g(u)+w$  denotes the total uncertain disturbance in the regulation error system because these terms always fluctuate in the host cell and are not easily measured correctly. Because of the uncertainty of v and  $\tilde{x}(0)$ , the minimax regulation design method is an efficient but simple design scheme for robust synthetic gene network. The uncertainty of disturbance v and initial condition  $\tilde{x}(0)$  in the following minimax design can be considered as a player maximizing their effects on the regulation error in the following robust design problem of synthetic gene networks (Basar and Olsder, 1999; Chen *et al.*, 2002).

$$\min_{\substack{k \in [k_1, k_2] \\ \gamma \in [\gamma_1, \gamma_2]}} \max_{\tilde{x}(0), v} \frac{E\left[\int_0^{t_f} \tilde{x}^T Q \tilde{x} dt\right]}{E\left[\int_0^{t_f} v^T v dt + \tilde{x}^T(0) \tilde{x}(0)\right]}$$
(5)

where Q is the weighting matrix. In general, Q is a diagonal weighting matrix with Q=diag([ $q_{11}, q_{22}, ..., q_{nn}$ ]) to denote the punishment on regulation error. If only the last state  $x_n$  is required to be regulated to achieve the desired steady state  $x_{dn}$ , then we can let  $q_{nn}=1$  and  $q_{11}=q_{22}=...=q_{n-1n-1}=0$ . [ $k_1,k_2$ ] and [ $\gamma_1,\gamma_2$ ] denote the allowable ranges of production rate vector k and decay rate vector  $\gamma$ , respectively. The allowable ranges are determined by the engineering biotechnologies of synthetic biology. k and  $\gamma$  to be designed can be considered as another player minimizing the worst-case effect of  $\tilde{x}(0)$  and v on the regulation error. If the disturbances v and initial condition  $\tilde{x}(0)$  are deterministic, then the expectation operation E[] in (5) could be neglected.

The physical meaning of (5) is that the worst-case effect of uncertain  $\tilde{x}(0)$  and v on the regulation error  $\tilde{x}$  must be minimized from the mean energy perspective by k and  $\gamma$ , which are chosen from the allowable ranges. Therefore, for uncertain  $\tilde{x}(0)$  and v, the robust synthetic gene network design is to solve the minimax problem in (5) subject to the regulation error dynamic system in (4). This is the so-called stochastic game problem in the robust synthetic gene network design (Basar & Olsder, 1999).

In general, it is not easy to solve the nonlinear stochastic game problem in (5) subject to (4) directly. It is always solved by a sub-minimax method. First, let the upper bound  $g^2$  of (5) be (Basar & Olsder, 1999; Chen *et al.*, 2002)

$$\min_{\substack{k \in [k_1, k_2] \\ \gamma \in [\gamma_1, \gamma_2]}} \max_{\tilde{x}(0), v} \frac{E\left[\int_0^{t_f} \tilde{x}^T Q \tilde{x} dt\right]}{E\left[\int_0^{t_f} v^T v dt + \tilde{x}^T (0) \tilde{x}(0)\right]} \le g^2$$
(6)

We will first solve the sub-minimax problem in (6) and then decrease the upper bound  $g^2$  as much as possible to approach its minimax solution. In general, the minimax problem in (6) is equivalent to the following minimax problem (Basar & Olsder, 1999; Chen *et al.*, 2002)

$$\min_{\substack{k \in [k_1, k_2] \\ \gamma \in [\gamma_1, \gamma_2]}} \max_{v} E\left[\int_0^{t_f} \left(\tilde{x}^T Q \tilde{x} - g^2 v^T v\right) dt\right] \le g^2 E\left[\tilde{x}^T(0) \tilde{x}(0)\right], \quad \forall \tilde{x}(0)$$
(7)

(11)

where  $g^2$  is to be minimized because it is the upper bound in (6) and should be as small as possible to approach the minimax solution. Let us denote the cost function as

$$J(k,r,v) = E\left[\int_0^{t_f} \left(\tilde{x}^T Q \tilde{x} - g^2 v^T v\right) dt\right]$$
(8)

#### 3. Design procedure and result

#### 3.1 Sub-minimax design for robust synthetic gene networks

From the above analysis, the dynamic game problem in (6) or (7) is equivalent to finding the worst-case disturbance  $v^*$  which maximizes  $J(k, \gamma, v)$  and then the minimax  $k^*$  and  $\gamma^*$  which minimize  $J(k, \gamma, v^*)$  such that the minimax value  $J(k^*, \gamma^*, v^*)$  is less than  $g^2 E[\tilde{x}^T(0)\tilde{x}(0)]$ , i.e.

$$J(k^{*},\gamma^{*},v^{*}) = \min_{\substack{k \in [k_{1},k_{2}]\\ \gamma \in [\gamma_{1},\gamma_{2}]}} J(k,r,v^{*}) = \min_{\substack{k \in [k_{1},k_{2}]\\ \gamma \in [\gamma_{1},\gamma_{2}]}} \max_{v} J(k,\gamma,v) \le g^{2}E\Big[\tilde{x}^{T}(0)\tilde{x}(0)\Big], \quad \forall \tilde{x}(0)$$
(9)

Hence, if there exist  $k^*$ ,  $\gamma^*$  and  $v^*$  such that the minimax design problem in (9) is solved, then they can satisfy the minimax performance of the robust synthetic gene network design in (6) as well. Therefore, the first step of robust synthetic gene network design is to solve the following dynamic game problem:

$$\min_{\substack{k \in [k_1, k_2] \\ \gamma \in [\gamma_1, \gamma_2]}} \max_{v} J(k, \gamma, v) \tag{10}$$

subject to the error dynamic equation in (4). Since  $J(k^*, \gamma^*, v^*) \le g^2 E[\tilde{x}^T(0)\tilde{x}(0)]$  according to (9) and  $g^2$  is the upper bound of the game in (6), the sub-minimax has to make  $g^2$  as small as possible, too.

From the above analysis, we obtain the following sub-minimax result for robust synthetic gene network design.

**Proposition 1:** The sub-minimax synthetic gene network design is equivalent to solving the following constrained optimization for  $k^*$  and  $\gamma^*$ ,

$$\left(\frac{\partial V(\tilde{x})}{\partial \tilde{x}}\right)^{T} f(\tilde{x} + x_{d}, k, \gamma) + \tilde{x}^{T} Q \tilde{x} + \frac{1}{4g^{2}} \left(\frac{\partial V(\tilde{x})}{\partial \tilde{x}}\right)^{T} \left(\frac{\partial V(\tilde{x})}{\partial \tilde{x}}\right) < 0$$

$$E[V(\tilde{x}(0))] \leq g^{2} E[\tilde{x}^{T}(0)\tilde{x}(0)]$$
(12)

with  $V(\tilde{x}) > 0$  and the worst-case disturbance is given by

$$v^* = \frac{1}{2g^2} \frac{\partial V(\tilde{x})}{\partial \tilde{x}} \tag{13}$$

Proof: see Appendix A.

#### Remark 1:

- 1. From (6),  $g^2$  is the upper bound of the game. In (11), we minimize the upper bound  $g^2$  to achieve the sub-minimax solution for robust synthetic gene networks.
- 2. The physical meaning of the constrained minimization in (11) and (12) is that we want to specify  $k^*$  and  $\gamma^*$  from the allowable parameter ranges such that the upper bound  $g^2$  is as small as possible until no positive solution  $V(\tilde{x}) > 0$  of HJI in (12) exists.

At present, there exists no efficient analytic or numerical method to solve the HJI in (12) for nonlinear stochastic system control or filtering designs (Zhang & Chen, 2006; Zhang *et al.*, 2005).

#### 3.2 Minimax robust synthetic gene networks via fuzzy interpolation method

Because it is very difficult to solve the nonlinear HJI in (12), no simple approach is available for solving the constrained optimization problem in (11) for the minimax robust synthetic gene network design problem. Recently, the Takagi-Sugeno (T-S) fuzzy model has been widely employed (Chen *et al.*, 1999; Chen *et al.*, 2000; Hwang, 2004; Takagi & Sugeno, 1985) to approximate the nonlinear system via interpolating several linearized systems at different operating points so that the nonlinear Nash stochastic problem could be transformed to a fuzzy stochastic game problem (Chen *et al.*, 2002). By using such approach, the HJI in (12) can be replaced by a set of linear matrix inequalities (LMIs). In this situation, the nonlinear stochastic game problem in (10) could be easily solved by the fuzzy dynamic method for the robust design of sub-minimax design problem.

Suppose the nonlinear system in (4) could be approximated by a T-S fuzzy system (Takagi & Sugeno, 1985). The T-S fuzzy model is a piecewise interpolation of several linearized models through fuzzy membership functions. The fuzzy model is described by fuzzy *if-then* rules and will be employed to deal with the nonlinear stochastic game problem for robust synthetic gene network design under uncertain initial conditions and disturbances. The *i*th rule of fuzzy model for nonlinear systems in (4) is of the following form (Chen *et al.*, 1999; Takagi & Sugeno, 1985).

#### Rule *i*:

If  $\tilde{x}_1(t)$  is  $F_{i1}$  and ... and  $\tilde{x}_q(t)$  is  $F_{iq}$ ,

then 
$$\dot{\tilde{x}} = \mathbf{A}_i(k,\gamma)\tilde{x} + v, \ i = 1, 2, \cdots, L$$
 (14)

where  $F_{ij}$  is the fuzzy set.  $\mathbf{A}_i(k,\gamma)$  is constant matrix with the elements of k and  $\gamma$  contained in its entries. q is the number of premise variables and  $\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_q$  are the premise variables. The fuzzy system is inferred as follows (Chen *et al.*, 1999; Chen *et al.*, 2000; Li *et al.*, 2004; Lian *et al.*, 2001; Takagi and Sugeno, 1985)

$$\dot{\tilde{x}}(t) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{L} \mu_i(\tilde{x}(t)) [\mathbf{A}_i(k, \gamma) \tilde{x}(t) + v]}{\sum_{i=1}^{L} \mu_i(\tilde{x}(t))}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{L} h_i(\tilde{x}(t)) [\mathbf{A}_i(k, \gamma) \tilde{x}(t) + v], \quad \tilde{x}(0) = \tilde{x}_0$$
(15)

where 
$$\mu_i(\tilde{x}(t)) = \prod_{j=1}^q F_{ij}(\tilde{x}_j(t)), \quad h_i(\tilde{x}(t)) = \frac{\mu_i(\tilde{x}(t))}{\sum_{i=1}^L \mu_i(\tilde{x}(t))}, \text{ and } F_{ij}(\tilde{x}_j(t)) \text{ is the grade of}$$

membership of  $\tilde{x}_j(t)$  in  $F_{ij}$ . We assume

 $\mu_i(\tilde{x}(t)) \ge 0 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^L \mu_i(\tilde{x}(t)) > 0$ (16) Therefore, we get the following fuzzy basis functions

$$h_i(\tilde{x}(t)) \ge 0 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^L h_i(\tilde{x}(t)) = 1$$
 (17)

The T-S fuzzy model in (15) is to interpolate *L* linear systems to approximate the nonlinear system in (4) via the fuzzy basis functions  $h_i(\tilde{x}(t))$ . We could specify system parameter

 $\mathbf{A}_{i}(k,\gamma)$  easily so that  $\sum_{i=1}^{L} h_{i}(\tilde{x}(t)) \mathbf{A}_{i}(k,\gamma) \tilde{x}$  can approximate  $f(\tilde{x} + x_{d}, k, \gamma)$  in (4) by the fuzzy identification method (Takagi and Sugeno, 1985).

After the nonlinear system in (4) is approximated by the T-S fuzzy system in (15), the nonlinear dynamic game problem in (10) is replaced by solving a dynamic game problem in (6) subject to the fuzzy system (15).

**Proposition 2:** The sub-minimax robust synthetic gene network design is to solve  $k^*$  and  $\gamma^*$  by the following constraint optimization

$$\min_{\substack{k \in [k_1, k_2] \\ \gamma \in [\gamma_1, \gamma_2]}} g^2$$
(18)

subject to

$$P\mathbf{A}_{i}(k,r) + \mathbf{A}_{i}^{T}(k,r)P + Q + \frac{1}{g^{2}}PP \leq 0, \ i = 1, \cdots, L$$

$$P \leq g^{2}I, \ P > 0$$
and the worst-case disturbance  $v^{*}$  is given by
$$v^{*} = \frac{1}{g^{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{L}h_{i}(\tilde{x})P\tilde{x}$$
(19)
(20)

Proof: see Appendix B.

By the fuzzy approximation, the HJI in (12) can be approximated by a set of algebraic inequalities in (19). By Schur complement (Boyd *et al.*, 1994), the constrained optimization problem in (18)-(19) is equivalent to the following LMI-constrained optimization problem

$$\min_{\substack{k \in [k_1, k_2] \\ \gamma \in [\gamma_1, \gamma_2]}} g^2 \tag{21}$$

subject to

$$\begin{bmatrix} P\mathbf{A}_{i}(k,\gamma) + \mathbf{A}_{i}^{T}(k,\gamma)P + Q & P \\ P & -g^{2}I \end{bmatrix} \leq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, L$$

$$P \leq g^{2}I, P > 0$$
(22)

#### Remark 2:

- 1. The fuzzy basis functions  $h_i(\tilde{x})$  in (15) and (17) can be replaced by other interpolation functions, for example, cubic spline functions.
- 2. By the fuzzy approximation, the HJI in (12) of nonlinear dynamic game problem can be solved by Robust Control Toolbox in Matlab efficiently (Balas *et al.*, 2008). The constrained optimization in (18) and (19) can be solved by decreasing  $g^2$  until there is no positive definite solution P > 0 in (22) with  $k^* \in [k_1, k_2]$  and  $\gamma^* \in [\gamma_1, \gamma_2]$ .
- 3. In the LMI-constrained optimization in (22) for the robust synthetic gene network design, we do not need the statistics of initial conditions and disturbances on the host cell, which are not easy to be measured. Therefore, the proposed method is simple but robust for synthetic gene networks.

#### Remark 3:

For comparison, the conventional optimal regulation design is also proposed for synthetic gene networks. If the effect of external disturbances and uncertain initial conditions on the regulation error is not considered as (5) in the design procedure, i.e., only the following optimal regulation design is considered.

$$\min_{\substack{\boldsymbol{x} \in [k_1, k_2]\\ \boldsymbol{y} \in [\gamma_1, \gamma_2]}} E\left[\int_0^{t_f} \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^T Q \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}} dt\right]$$
(23)

subject to (4)

then we obtain the following sub-optimal regulation design for synthetic gene networks. *Proposition 3:* The sub-optimal synthetic gene network design in (23) is to solve the following constrained optimization

$$\min_{\substack{k \in [k_1, k_2] \\ \gamma \in [\gamma_1, \gamma_2]}} E[V(\tilde{x}(0))]$$
(24)

subject to

$$V(\tilde{x}) > 0, \quad \frac{\partial V(\tilde{x})}{\partial \tilde{x}} f(\tilde{x} + x_d, k, r) + \tilde{x}^T Q \tilde{x} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial V(\tilde{x})}{\partial \tilde{x}} \right)^T \left( \frac{\partial V(\tilde{x})}{\partial \tilde{x}} \right) < 0$$
(25)

Proof: see *Appendix C*.

Because it is not easy to solve the above HJI-constrained optimization for the sub-optimal regulation design in (24) and (25), the fuzzy approximation method is needed to simplify the design procedure. If the nonlinear error dynamic equation in (4) is represented by the fuzzy interpolation system in (15), then the optimal synthetic gene network design in (23) is equivalent to the following optimal regulation design problem.

$$\min_{\substack{k \in [k_1, k_2] \\ \gamma \in [\gamma_1, \gamma_2]}} E\left[\int_0^{t_j} \tilde{x}^T Q \tilde{x} dt\right]$$
subject to  $\dot{\tilde{x}} = \sum_{i=1}^L h_i(\tilde{x}) \mathbf{A}_i(k, \gamma) \tilde{x} + v$ 
(26)

*Proposition 4:* The sub-optimal regulation design problem in (26) becomes how to solve the following constrained optimization problem

$$\min_{\substack{k \in [k_1, k_2]\\ \gamma \in [\gamma_1, \gamma_2]}} \operatorname{Tr}(PR_0)$$
(27)

subject to

$$P > 0, \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{i}^{T}(k,\gamma)P + P\mathbf{A}_{i}(k,\gamma) + Q & P \\ P & -2I \end{bmatrix} \leq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, L$$

$$(28)$$

where  $R_0$  denotes the covariance matrix  $E[\tilde{x}(0)\tilde{x}^T(0)]$ . Proof: similar to the proof of *Proposition* **2**.

Since the effect of stochastic disturbances on  $\tilde{x}$  is not considered as (5) in the above suboptimal synthetic gene network design, the synthesized gene networks will be more sensitive to the external disturbances or other uncertain factors. They will be compared with the sub-minimax robust synthetic gene network in the simulation example.

#### Remark 4:

Since the effect of the disturbance v on the regulation error has not been attenuated efficiently on the design procedure of the sub-optimal regulation in *Proposition* 3 and 4, the disturbance will have much effect on the sub-optimal regulation design of synthetic gene network. This property will be discussed and compared with the proposed robust synthetic gene network in the design example in the following section.

According to the analyses above, a design procedure is developed for the proposed robust synthetic gene network.

X Design Procedure:

- 1. Give feasible parameter ranges  $[k_1,k_2]$  and  $[\gamma_1,\gamma_2]$  for production rate parameters k and decay rate parameters  $\gamma$ , respectively, according to the biotechnology ability.
- 2. Give the desired steady state  $x_d$  according to the design purpose and develop a regulation error dynamic (4) for a synthetic gene network.
- 3. Construct a T-S fuzzy model in (15) to approximate the regulation error dynamic in (4).

Solve the constrained optimization problem from the ranges  $k \in [k_1, k_2]$  and  $\gamma \in [\gamma_1, \gamma_2]$  in (21) and (22) for the robust synthetic gene network design  $k^*$  and  $\gamma^*$ , respectively according to the sub-minimax scheme or solve the constrained optimization problem in (27) and (28) for the sub-optimal regulation design.

#### 3.3 Design example in silico for the proposed robust design

Consider the man-made synthetic gene network in the dynamic equations (1) (Batt *et al.*, 2007). The synthetic gene network is shown in Fig. 1. Where  $k_{tetR,0}$ ,  $k_{lacl,0}$ ,  $k_{cl,0}$  and  $k_{eyfp,0}$  are basal production rates of the corresponding proteins, which are assumed to be 5000, 587, 210 and 3487, respectively (Batt *et al.*, 2007; Hooshangi *et al.*, 2005).  $k_{lacl}$ ,  $k_{cl}$  and  $k_{eyfp}$  are the production rate parameters while  $\gamma_{tetR}$ ,  $\gamma_{acl}$ ,  $\gamma_{cl}$  and  $\gamma_{eyfp}$  are the decay rate parameters of the corresponding proteins in the host cell (i.e. *E. coli.*). In the robust synthetic gene network design, we should select the parameters *k* and  $\gamma$  from feasible ranges so that the state of synthetic gene network  $x_i$  could approach a desired steady state  $x_{d,i}$  for some biotechnical purpose.  $r_{lacl}$ ,  $r_{cl}$  and  $r_{eyfp}$  are the decreasing Hill functions for regulations of repressors.  $a_{lacl}$  is

an increasing function since aTc is an activator. The Hill function is a *S*-shaped curve (Alon, 2007).  $u_{aTc}$  is the input to the synthetic gene network system. We assume anhydrotetracycline input concentration to be a constant value 10000 (i.e.  $u_{aTc} = 10000$ ). For the convenience of simulation, we assume that extrinsic disturbances  $w_1 \sim w_4$  are  $w_i = [500n_1 \ 10000n_2 \ 100n_3 \ 100000n_4]^T$ , where  $n_i$ , i=1,2,3,4 are independent Gaussian white noises with zero mean and unit variance.

From the robust synthetic gene network design procedure, we give the feasible parameter ranges of production rate parameters k and decay rate parameters  $\gamma$  as follows (Batt *et al.*, 2007)

$$k_{lacl} \in [70,7000] \\ k_{cl} \in [75,8000] \\ k_{eyfp} \in [30,30000] \\ k_{eyfp} \in [0.007,0.067] \\ k_{eyfp} \in [0.007,0.067]$$

$$(29)$$

Then we give the desired steady states of the synthetic gene network are  $x_{d,i}$ =[1000,50000,300,500000]<sup>T</sup>, *i*=*tetR*, *lacI*, *cI*, *eyfp*. Then the regulation error dynamic equation in (4) is developed for the synthetic gene network. Because it is very difficult to solve the nonlinear HJI in (12), no simple approach is available to solve the constrained optimization problem in (11) for robust parameters  $k_i^*$  and  $\gamma_i^*$ . We construct the T-S fuzzy model in (15) to approximate the regulation error dynamic in (4) with the regulation error dynamic system's state variables as the premise variables in the following. **Rule** *i*:

If  $\tilde{x}_1(t)$  is  $F_{i1}$  and  $\tilde{x}_2(t)$  is  $F_{i2}$  and  $\tilde{x}_3(t)$  is  $F_{i3}$  and  $\tilde{x}_4(t)$  is  $F_{i4}$ ,

then 
$$\tilde{x} = \mathbf{A}_i(k, \gamma)\tilde{x} + v, \ i = 1, 2, \cdots, L$$

where the parameters  $A_i(k,\gamma)$  and the number of fuzzy rules is L=16. To construct the fuzzy model, we need to find the operating points of the regulation error dynamic system. The operating points for  $\tilde{x}_1$  are chosen at  $\overline{x}_{11} = -40$  and  $\overline{x}_{12} = 4040$ . Similarly, the operating points of  $\tilde{x}_2, \tilde{x}_3, \tilde{x}_4$  are chosen at  $\overline{x}_{21} = -38510$ ,  $\overline{x}_{22} = 381$ ,  $\overline{x}_{31} = -16.7$ ,  $\overline{x}_{32} = 1686$ ,  $\overline{x}_{41} = -441590$ , and  $\overline{x}_{42} = 4372$ , respectively. For the convenience of design, triangle-type membership functions are taken for Rule 1 through Rule 16. We create two triangle-type membership functions for each state (see Fig. 2).

In order to simplify the nonlinear stochastic game problem of the robust synthetic gene network, we just solve only the sub-minimax problem in (6) instead. With the help of fuzzy approximation method and LMI technique, we can easily solve the constrained optimization problem in (21) and (22) instead of the nonlinear constrained optimization problem in (11) and (12) for the minimax robust synthetic gene network design. Finally, we obtain the upper bound of the game in (6)  $g^2 = 0.847536$  and a common positive definite symmetric matrix *P* for (22) as follows

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 0.45842 & -0.0079 & 0.0143 & -0.00068 \\ -0.0079 & 0.07186 & -0.000557 & 0.00268 \\ 0.0143 & -0.000557 & 0.04847 & 0.000718 \\ -0.00068 & 0.00268 & 0.000718 & 0.0578 \end{bmatrix}$$

165

with the specified robust production rate parameters  $k_{lacl}^* = 7000$ ,  $k_{cl}^* = 4037.5$  and  $k_{eufp}^* = 30000$  and robust decay rate parameters  $\gamma_{tetR}^* = 5$ ,  $\gamma_{lacl}^* = 0.1517$ ,  $\gamma_{cl}^* = 4.0216$  and  $\gamma_{eufp}^* = 0.067$  of the synthetic gene network. With these design parameters, the parameters  $\mathbf{A}_i$  of fuzzy model are described in *Appendix D*.

Figure 3 presents the simulation result for robust synthetic gene networks by using Monte Carlo method with 50 rounds and with the uncertain initial values.  $x_1(0) \sim x_4(0)$  are assumed normal-distributed random numbers with means 5000, 8000, 2000, 10000 and standard deviations 500, 800, 200, 1000, respectively. As can be seen, the synthetic gene network has robust regulation ability to achieve the desired steady state (black dashed line) in spite of uncertain initial states and the disturbances on the host cell. Obviously, the robust synthetic gene network by the proposed sub-minimax regulation design method has robust stability to the uncertain initial conditions and enough filtering ability to attenuate the disturbances on the host cell and can approach the desired steady states.

For comparison, we solve the sub-optimal regulation design problem in (27) and (28) for the specified production rate parameters  $k_{lacl}^* = 70$ ,  $k_{cl}^* = 4037.5$  and  $k_{eyfp}^* = 15015$  and decay rate parameters  $\gamma_{tetR}^* = 2.525$ ,  $\gamma_{lacl}^* = 0.1517$ ,  $\gamma_{cl}^* = 7.2815$  and  $\gamma_{eyfp}^* = 0.067$  of the synthetic gene network. The simulation result of conventional optimal regulation design is also shown in Fig. 4. As can be seen, the conventional optimal regulation design of the synthetic gene network is more sensitive to the initial conditions and disturbances and cannot achieve the desired steady state under the uncertain initial conditions and disturbances. *Remark 5:* 

The experimental systems in the above example may not be fully observable. If we want to know whether all state variables can approach to the desired states  $x_d$ , several fluorescent proteins (red, green and cyan colour) should be necessary to observe their protein expressions of all state variables in the experimental design.

### 4. Discussion

Because the initial conditions and disturbances on the host cell are uncertain, to simplify the design problem, a robust synthetic biology design is formulated as a stochastic game problem in this study. The uncertain initial conditions and disturbances due to intrinsic and extrinsic molecular noises on the host cell are considered as a player maximizing the regulation error and the design parameters are considered as another player minimizing the regulation error. In order to avoid solving HJI in the stochastic game theory-based design problem, a T-S fuzzy interpolation method is introduced to simplify the design procedure of robust synthetic gene networks via only solving a set of LMIs, which can be efficiently solved by Robust Control Toolbox in Matlab.

In our study, we can select the weighting matrix  $Q=\text{diag}([q_{11}, q_{22}, q_{33}, q_{44}])$  which denotes the punishment on the corresponding tracking error  $\tilde{x}$ . If we only need to achieve a desired steady state  $x_{d4}$  (EYFP), we just assign a value to the fourth diagonal element  $q_{44}$  of the weighting matrix Q and set  $q_{11}=q_{22}=q_{33}=0$ . The rest of states  $x_1\sim x_3$  will not approach to the given steady state  $x_{d1}\sim x_{d3}$  because of no any punishment. However, in this case, some infeasible steady states of  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ , and  $x_3$  may be obtained even an optimal  $x_4$  can be achieved. In this study, the desired steady states of  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ , and  $x_3$  when an optimal  $x_4$  is achieved. Further,

the undesired steady states of  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ , and  $x_3$  may also have metabolic toxicity on host cell and should be avoided. Since the steady states of  $x_1 \sim x_3$  are not that important, the desired steady states  $x_{d1} \sim x_{d3}$  can be adjusted within feasible ranges, so that the desired steady state  $x_{d4}$  can still achieve some optimization as possible. This kind of design can avoid hampering the optimization of  $x_4$  when  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ , and  $x_3$  achieve some feasible steady states.

In our *in silico* design example, we can design the specified robust production rate parameters  $k_i^*$  and decay rate parameters  $\gamma_i^*$  within the feasible parameter ranges to achieve the desired steady states of the synthetic gene network. As for the biological implementation, we could refer to standard biological parts in biological device datasheets to construct the genetic circuits with the fine-tuned production rate parameters  $k_i^*$  and decay rate parameters  $\gamma_i^*$ . In this way, synthetic biologists can increase efficiency of gene circuit design through registries of biological parts and standard datasheets, which are developed concerned with proper packing and characterizing of 'modular' biological activities so that these biological parts or devices with some desired characteristics may be efficiently assembled into gene circuits (Canton, *et al.*, 2008).

Quantitative descriptions of devices in the form of standardized, comprehensive datasheets are widely used in many engineering disciplines. A datasheet is intended to allow an engineer to quickly determine whether the behavior of a device will meet the requirements of a system in which a device might be used (Canton, et al., 2008). Such a determination is based on a set of standard characteristics of device behavior, which are the product of engineering theory and experience. In the datasheets of engineering, the characteristics typically reported are common across a wide range of device types, such as sensors, logic elements and actuators. Recently, biological datasheets have been set as standards for characterization, manufacture and sharing of information about modular biological devices for a more efficient, predictable and design-driven genetic engineering science (Arkin, 2008; Canton, et al., 2008). Because datasheets of biological parts or devices are an embodiment of engineering standard for synthetic biology (Canton, et al., 2008), a good device standard should define sufficient information about biological parts or devices to allow the design of gene circuit systems with the optimal parameters. Datasheets contain a formal set of inputoutput transfer functions, dynamic behaviors, compatibility, requirements and other details about a particular part or device (Arkin, 2008; Canton, et al., 2008). Since parameters k<sub>i</sub> are combinations of transcription and translation, they could be measured from the inputoutput transfer functions and dynamic behaviors of biological parts or devices in biological device datasheets. From properly characterized input-output transfer functions and dynamic behaviors of parts or devices in biological device datasheets, an engineer can estimate the corresponding parameters of biological parts or devices. When the biological parts and devices in datasheets become more complete in future, we can rapidly select from a vast list the parts that will meet our design parameters  $k_i$ . Therefore we can ensure that devices selected from datasheets can fit the optimal parameters and systems synthesized from them can satisfy the requirements of design specifications for robust synthetic gene networks.

In order to guarantee the biological feasibility of the calculated optimal parameters, the ranges  $[k_1, k_2]$  and  $[\gamma_1, \gamma_2]$  of parameters should be determined by the whole parameters of biological parts repositories (http://partsregistry.org/) so that the optimal parameters

selected within these ranges to minimize  $g^2$  in equations (21) and (22) have biological meaning, or equivalently from the whole biological parts in biological device datasheets, we can find a set of biological parts whose parameters can minimize the  $g^2$  in equations (21) and (22) to achieve the robust optimal design of synthetic gene network.

In synthetic gene networks, there is much uncertainty about what affects the behavior of biological circuitry and systems. For example, devices will perturb the cellular functions and there are also likely to be parasitic and unpredictable interactions among components as well as with the host. Since  $k_i$  is a combination of promoter strength, ribosome binding site and degradation of the transcript, there are some variations or uncertainties on the parameter value  $k_i$ . These variations or uncertainties of  $k_i$  can be transformed to an equivalent uncertain disturbance  $w_i$  in equation (1) from the viewpoint of mathematic model. The proposed robust minimax synthetic biology design method can predict the most robust value of  $k_i$  from the perspective of stochastic game. In our robust design method, we don't need the statistics of these parameter uncertainties because the proposed synthetic genetic network not only can achieve the desired steady state but also can tolerate the worst-case effect due to these uncertain parameter variations and external noises on the host cell.

For comparison, a sub-optimal regulation design for synthetic gene network is also developed for synthetic gene network. Because the sub-optimal regulation design cannot efficiently attenuate the effect of uncertain initial conditions and disturbances on the regulation, it is not suitable for robust synthetic gene networks with uncertain initial conditions and disturbances on the host cell. As seen in the example *in silico*, the proposed robust synthetic gene network can function properly in spite of uncertain initial conditions and disturbances on the host cell. Design of more robust and complex genetic circuits is foreseen to have important applications in biotechnology, medicine and biofuel production, and to revolutionize how we conceptualize and approach the engineering of biological systems (Andrianantoandro *et al.*, 2006). Therefore, it has much potential for the robust synthetic gene network design in the near future.

# 5. Tables and figures



Fig. 1. Synthetic transcription cascade loop *in silico* design example. aTc represses TetR, TetR represses *lacl*, LacI represses *cl*, CI represses *eyfp*. aTc is the system input and the fluorescent protein EYFP is the output.



Fig. 2. Membership functions for four states  $\tilde{x}_1$ ,  $\tilde{x}_2$ ,  $\tilde{x}_3$  and  $\tilde{x}_4$ .



Fig. 3. The robust synthetic gene network design with uncertain initial values and the desired steady states  $x_d = [1000, 50000, 300, 500000]^T$ . And with specified robust production rate parameters  $k_{lacl}^* = 7000$ ,  $k_{cl}^* = 4037.5$  and  $k_{eyfp}^* = 30000$  while the specified robust decay rate parameters are  $\gamma_{tetR}^* = 5$ ,  $\gamma_{lacl}^* = 0.1517$ ,  $\gamma_{cl}^* = 4.0216$  and  $\gamma_{eyfp}^* = 0.067$  of the synthetic gene network. The Monte Carlo simulation method is used with 50 rounds.



Fig. 4. The conventional optimal regulation design with uncertain initial values and the desired steady states  $x_d = [1000, 50000, 300, 500000]^T$ . And with specified production rate parameters  $k_{lacl}^* = 70$ ,  $k_{cl}^* = 4037.5$  and  $k_{eyfp}^* = 15015$  while the specified decay rate parameters are  $\gamma_{tetR}^* = 2.525$ ,  $\gamma_{lacl}^* = 0.1517$ ,  $\gamma_{cl}^* = 7.2815$  and  $\gamma_{eyfp}^* = 0.067$  of the synthetic gene network. It is seen that the conventional optimal regulation design of the synthetic gene network is sensitive to the initial conditions and disturbances and cannot achieve the desired steady states. The Monte Carlo simulation method is used with 50 rounds.

# 6. Appendixes

#### 6.1 Appendix A: Proof of proposition 1

Let us consider a Lyapunov energy function  $V(\tilde{x}) > 0$ , then the cost function in equation (8) is equivalent to

$$J(k,\gamma,v) = E\left[V(\tilde{x}(0)) - V(\tilde{x}(t_f)) + \int_0^{t_f} \left(\tilde{x}^T Q \tilde{x} - g^2 v^T v + \frac{dV(\tilde{x})}{dt}\right) dt\right]$$
(A1)  
ain rule, we get

By the cha

$$\frac{dV(\tilde{x}(t))}{dt} = \left(\frac{\partial V(\tilde{x}(t))}{\partial \tilde{x}(t)}\right)^{T} \cdot \frac{d\tilde{x}(t)}{dt} = \left(\frac{\partial V(\tilde{x}(t))}{\partial \tilde{x}(t)}\right)^{T} \cdot \left(f(\tilde{x}(t) + x_{d}(t), k, \gamma) + v(t)\right)$$
(A2)

Substituting (A2) into (A1), we maximize  $J(k, \gamma, v)$  by the uncertain disturbance v

$$\max_{v} J(k,\gamma,v)$$

$$= \max_{v} E\left[V(\tilde{x}(0)) - V(\tilde{x}(t_{f})) + \int_{0}^{t_{f}} \left(\tilde{x}^{T}Q\tilde{x} - g^{2}v^{T}v + \left(\frac{\partial V(\tilde{x})}{\partial\tilde{x}}\right)^{T}f(\tilde{x} + x_{d}, k, \gamma) + \left(\frac{\partial V(\tilde{x})}{\partial\tilde{x}}\right)^{T}v\right)dt\right]$$

$$= \max_{v} E \left[ V(\tilde{x}(0)) - V(\tilde{x}(t_{f})) + \int_{0}^{t_{f}} \left( \tilde{x}^{T} Q \tilde{x} + \left( \frac{\partial V(\tilde{x})}{\partial \tilde{x}} \right)^{T} f(\tilde{x} + x_{d}, k, \gamma) - \left( gv - \frac{1}{2g} \frac{\partial V(\tilde{x})}{\partial \tilde{x}} \right)^{T} \left( gv - \frac{1}{2g} \frac{\partial V(\tilde{x})}{\partial \tilde{x}} \right) + \frac{1}{4g^{2}} \left( \frac{\partial V(\tilde{x})}{\partial \tilde{x}} \right)^{T} \left( \frac{\partial V(\tilde{x})}{\partial \tilde{x}} \right) \right] dt \right]$$

$$= E \left[ V(\tilde{x}(0)) - V(\tilde{x}(t_{f})) + \int_{0}^{t_{f}} \left( \tilde{x}^{T} Q \tilde{x} + \left( \frac{\partial V(\tilde{x})}{\partial \tilde{x}} \right)^{T} f(\tilde{x} + x_{d}, k, \gamma) + \frac{1}{4g^{2}} \left( \frac{\partial V(\tilde{x})}{\partial \tilde{x}} \right)^{T} \left( \frac{\partial V(\tilde{x})}{\partial \tilde{x}} \right) \right] dt \right]$$
(A3) with the worst-case disturbance  $v^{*} = \frac{1}{2g^{2}} \frac{\partial V(\tilde{x})}{\partial \tilde{x}}$ .

By the inequality in (12), it is seen that  $V(\tilde{x}(0))$  is the upper bound of (A3) i.e., the subminimax problem becomes how to solve the following constrained optimization problem

$$\min_{\substack{k \in [k_1, k_2] \\ \gamma \in [\gamma_1, \gamma_2]}} \max_{v} J(k, \gamma, v) \le \min_{\substack{k \in [k_1, k_2] \\ \gamma \in [\gamma_1, \gamma_2]}} E[V(\tilde{x}(0))]$$
(A4)

# subject to (12) and $V(\tilde{x}) > 0$ .

By the fact in (9),  $g^2 E\left[\tilde{x}^T(0)\tilde{x}(0)\right]$  is the upper bound of  $\min_{\substack{k \in [k_1, k_2]\\ \gamma \in [\gamma_1, \gamma_2]}} \max_{v} J(k, \gamma, v)$ . Therefore

 $E[V(\tilde{x}(0))]$  in (A4) should be bounded by  $g^2 E[\tilde{x}^T(0)\tilde{x}(0)]$ , i.e.  $E[V(\tilde{x}(0))] \le g^2 E[\tilde{x}^T(0)\tilde{x}(0)]$ . Therefore the suboptimal solution is to minimize its upper bound. Hence, the sub-minimax problem in (A4) could be replaced by

$$\min_{\substack{k \in [k_1, k_2] \\ \gamma \in [\gamma_1, \gamma_2]}} \max_{v} J(k, \gamma, v) \leq \min_{\substack{k \in [k_1, k_2] \\ \gamma \in [\gamma_1, \gamma_2]}} E[V(\tilde{x}(0))] \leq \min_{\substack{k \in [k_1, k_2] \\ \gamma \in [\gamma_1, \gamma_2]}} E\left[g^2 \tilde{x}^T(0) \tilde{x}(0)\right] = \min_{\substack{k \in [k_1, k_2] \\ \gamma \in [\gamma_1, \gamma_2]}} g^2 \operatorname{Tr}(R_0) \quad (A5)$$

where  $\text{Tr}(R_0)$  denotes the trace of  $R_0$  and  $R_0$  denotes the covariance of the initial condition  $\tilde{x}(0)$  i.e.,  $R_0 = E[\tilde{x}(0)\tilde{x}^T(0)]$ , which is independent of the choice of k and  $\gamma$ . Therefore, the sub-minimax design problem is equivalent to solving the following constrained optimization



subject to (12) and  $V(\tilde{x}) > 0$ .

#### 6.2 Appendix B: Proof of proposition 2

We replace error dynamic system in (4) by its fuzzy interpolation system in (15). Then HJI in (12) can be represented by

$$\left(\frac{\partial V(\tilde{x})}{\partial \tilde{x}}\right)^{T} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{L} h_{i}(\tilde{x}) \mathbf{A}_{i}(k, \gamma) \tilde{x}\right) + \tilde{x}^{T} Q \tilde{x} + \frac{1}{4g^{2}} \left(\frac{\partial V(\tilde{x})}{\partial \tilde{x}}\right)^{T} \left(\frac{\partial V(\tilde{x})}{\partial \tilde{x}}\right) < 0$$
(B1)

Let us choose the Lyapunov function  $V(\tilde{x})$  as  $V(\tilde{x}) = \tilde{x}^T P \tilde{x}$  for some positive definite symmetric matrix *P* and substitute it into (B1). Then we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{L} h_i(\tilde{x}) \left\{ \tilde{x}^T \left( P \mathbf{A}_i(k,r) + \mathbf{A}_i^T(k,r) P + Q + \frac{1}{g^2} P P \right) \tilde{x} \right\} \le 0$$

$$P \le g^2 I$$
(B2)

where the property in (17) is used.

It is seen that the inequalities in (19) implies (B2). Therefore, the sub-minimax design for the fuzzy equivalent system becomes how we solve the constrained optimization in (18) and (19). By substituting  $V(\tilde{x}) = \tilde{x}^T P \tilde{x}$  into (13), we get the worst-case disturbances  $v^*$  in (20).

# 6.3 Appendix C: Proof of proposition 3

Again, let us consider a Lyapunov energy function  $V(\tilde{x}) > 0$ , then the equation (23) is equivalent to

$$\min_{\substack{k \in [k_1, k_2] \\ \gamma \in [\gamma_1, \gamma_2]}} E\left[\int_0^{t_f} \tilde{x}^T Q \tilde{x} dt\right]$$

$$= \min_{\substack{k \in [k_1, k_2] \\ \gamma \in [\gamma_1, \gamma_2]}} E\left[V(\tilde{x}(0)) - V(\tilde{x}(t_f)) + \int_0^{t_f} \left(\tilde{x}^T Q \tilde{x} + \frac{dV(\tilde{x})}{dt}\right) dt\right]$$

$$= \min_{\substack{k \in [k_1, k_2] \\ \gamma \in [\gamma_1, \gamma_2]}} E\left[V(\tilde{x}(0)) - V(\tilde{x}(t_f)) + \int_0^{t_f} \left(\tilde{x}^T Q \tilde{x} + \left(\frac{\partial V(\tilde{x})}{\partial \tilde{x}}\right)^T f(\tilde{x} + x_d, k, \gamma) + \left(\frac{\partial V(\tilde{x})}{\partial \tilde{x}}\right)^T v\right) dt\right]$$

By the fact that  $2a^Tb \le a^Ta + b^Tb$  for any two-vectors *a* and *b*, we get

$$\min_{\substack{k \in [k_1, k_2] \\ \gamma \in [\gamma_1, \gamma_2]}} E\left[\int_0^{t_f} \tilde{x}^T Q \tilde{x} dt\right] = \min_{\substack{k \in [k_1, k_2] \\ \gamma \in [\gamma_1, \gamma_2]}} E\left[V(\tilde{x}(0)) - V(\tilde{x}(t_f)) + \int_0^{t_f} \left(\tilde{x}^T Q \tilde{x} + \left(\frac{\partial V(\tilde{x})}{\partial \tilde{x}}\right)^T f(\tilde{x} + x_d, k, \gamma) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial V(\tilde{x})}{\partial \tilde{x}}\right)^T \left(\frac{\partial V(\tilde{x})}{\partial \tilde{x}}\right) + \frac{1}{2}v^T v\right] dt$$

By the inequality in (25), we get the sub-optimal regulation problem as follows

$$\min_{\substack{k \in [k_1, k_2] \\ \gamma \in [\gamma_1, \gamma_2]}} E\left[\int_0^{t_f} \tilde{x}^T Q \tilde{x} dt\right] \leq \min_{\substack{k \in [k_1, k_2] \\ \gamma \in [\gamma_1, \gamma_2]}} E\left[V(\tilde{x}(0)) + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^{t_f} v^T v dt\right]$$

Since disturbance v is independent of the choice of parameters k and  $\gamma$ , and only the choice of  $V(\tilde{x})$  will influence the above minimization, the sub-optimal design becomes how to solve the constrained optimization problem in (24) and (25).

**6.4 Appendix D:** Parameters of the T-S fuzzy model with the specified kinetic parameters  $k^*$  and decay rates  $\gamma^*$ 





# 7. References

Alon, U. (2003) Biological networks: The tinkerer as an engineer, *Science*, 301, 1866-1867.
 Alon, U. (2007) *An Introduction to Systems Biology: Design Principles of Biological Circuits*. Chapman & Hall/CRC.

174

- Andrianantoandro, E., Basu, S., Karig, D.K. and Weiss, R. (2006) Synthetic biology: new engineering rules for an emerging discipline, *Molecular Systems Biology*, 2, 1-14.
- Arkin, A. (2008) Setting the standard in synthetic biology, Nature Biotechnology, 26, 771-774.
- Balas, G., Chiang, R., Packard, A. and Safonov, M. (2008) *Robust Control Toolbox User's Guide*. The MathWorks, Inc., Natick, MA.
- Basar, T. and Olsder, G.J. (1999) *Dynamic noncooperative game theory*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics; 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. The MathWorks, Inc., Natick, MA.
- Batt, G., Yordanov, B., Weiss, R. and Belta, C. (2007) Robustness analysis and tuning of synthetic gene networks, *Bioinformatics*, 23, 2415.
- Boyd, S., El Ghaoui, L., Feron, E. and Balakrishnan, V. (1994) *Linear Matrix Inequalities in System and Control Theory*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia.
- Canton, B., Labno, A. and Endy, D. (2008) Refinement and standardization of synthetic biological parts and devices, *Nature Biotechnology*, 26, 787-793.
- Chen, B.S., Chang, C.H. and Chuang, Y.J. (2008a) Robust model matching control of immune systems under environmental disturbances: Dynamic game approach, *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 253, 824-837.
- Chen, B.S., Chang, Y.T. and Wang, Y.C. (2008b) Robust H∞ stabilization design in gene networks under stochastic molecular noises: Fuzzy-interpolation approach, *IEEE Trans. on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part B (Special Issue for Systems Biology)*, 38, 25-42.
- Chen, B.S. and Chen, P.W. (2008) Robust engineered circuit design principles for stochastic biochemical networks with parameter uncertainties and disturbances, *IEEE Trans. on Biomedical circuits and Systems*, 2, 114-132.
- Chen, B.S., Tseng, C.S. and Uang, H.J. (1999) Robustness design of nonlinear dynamic systems via fuzzy linear control, *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Systems*, 7, 571-585.
- Chen, B.S., Tseng, C.S. and Uang, H.J. (2000) Mixed H2/H∞ fuzzy output feedback control design for nonlinear dynamic systems: an LMI approach, *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Systems*, 8, 249-265.
- Chen, B.S., Tseng, C.S. and Uang, H.J. (2002) Fuzzy differential games for nonlinear stochastic systems: Suboptimal approach, *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Systems*, 10, 222-233.
- Chen, B.S. and Wu, W.S. (2008) Robust filtering circuit design for stochastic gene networks under intrinsic and extrinsic molecular noises, *Mathematical Biosciences*, 211, 342-355.
- Chen, B.S. and Wu, C.H. (2009) A systematic design method for robust synthetic biology to satisfy design specifications, *Bmc Syst Biol*, 3:66, 1-15.
- Chen, B.S., Chang, C.H. and Lee, H.C. (2009) Robust synthetic biology design: stochastic game theory approach, *Bioinformatics*, 25, 1822-1830.
- Church, G.M. (2005) From systems biology to synthetic biology. *Molecular Systems Biology*, 1:2005.0032.
- de Jong, H. (2002) Modeling and simulation of genetic regulatory systems: A literature review, *Journal of Computational Biology*, 9, 67-103.
- Endy, D. (2005) Foundations for engineering biology, *Nature*, 438, 449-453.
- Ferber, D. (2004) Synthetic biology: Microbes made to order, Science, 303, 158-161.
- Forster, A.C. and Church, G.M. (2007) Synthetic biology projects in vitro, *Genome Research*, 17, 1-6.

- Gardner, T.S., Cantor, C.R. and Collins, J.J. (2000) Construction of a genetic toggle switch in Escherichia coli, *Nature*, 403, 339-342.
- Goulian, M. (2004) Robust control in bacterial regulatory circuits, *Current Opinion in Microbiology*, 7, 198-202.
- Hasty, J., McMillen, D. and Collins, J.J. (2002) Engineered gene circuits, Nature, 420, 224-230.
- Heinemann, M. and Panke, S. (2006) Synthetic biology putting engineering into biology, *Bioinformatics*, 22, 2790-2799.
- Hooshangi, S., Thiberge, S. and Weiss, R. (2005) Ultrasensitivity and noise propagation in a synthetic transcriptional cascade, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 102, 3581-3586.
- Hwang, C.L. (2004) A novel Takagi-Sugeno-based robust adaptive fuzzy sliding-mode controller, *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Systems*, 12, 676-687.
- Isaacs, F.J., Dwyer, D.J. and Collins, J.J. (2006) RNA synthetic biology, *Nature Biotechnology*, 24, 545-554.
- Kaznessis, Y.N. (2006) Multi-scale models for gene network engineering, *Chemical Engineering Science*, 61, 940-953.
- Kaznessis, Y.N. (2007) Models for synthetic biology, BMC Systems Biology, 1:47.
- Kitano, H. (2002) Systems biology: A brief overview, Science, 295, 1662-1664.
- Kitano, H. (2004) Biological robustness, Nature Reviews Genetics, 5, 826-837.
- Kobayashi, H., Kaern, M., Araki, M., Chung, K., Gardner, T.S., Cantor, C.R. and Collins, J.J. (2004) Programmable cells: Interfacing natural and engineered gene networks, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 101, 8414-8419.
- Kuepfer, L., Sauer, U. and Parrilo, P. (2007) Efficient classification of complete parameter regions based on semidefinite programming, *BMC Bioinformatics*, 8, 12.
- Li, T.H.S., Chang, S.J. and Tong, W. (2004) Fuzzy target tracking control of autonomous mobile robots by using infrared sensors, *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Systems*, 12, 491-501.
- Lian, K.Y., Chiu, C.S., Chiang, T.S. and Liu, P. (2001) LMI-based fuzzy chaotic synchronization and communications, *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Systems*, 9, 539-553.
- Maeda, Y.T. and Sano, M. (2006) Regulatory dynamics of synthetic gene networks with positive feedback, *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 359, 1107-1124.
- Pleiss, J. (2006) The promise of synthetic biology, *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 73, 735-739.
- Salis, H. and Kaznessis, Y.N. (2006) Computer-aided design of modular protein devices: Boolean AND gene activation, *Physical Biology*, 3, 295-310.
- Szallasi, Z., Stelling, J. and Periwal, V. (2006) *System Modeling in Cellular Biology: From Concepts to Nuts and Bolts.* The MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Takagi, T. and Sugeno, M. (1985) Fuzzy identification of systems and its applications to modeling and control, *IEEE Trans. on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics*, 15, 116-132.
- Tucker, J.B. and Zilinskas, R.A. (2006) The promise and perils of synthetic biology, *New Atlantis*, 12, 25-45.
- Tucker, M. and Parker, R. (2000) Mechanisms and control of mRNA decapping in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, *Annual Review of Biochemistry*, 69, 571-595.
- Zhang, W. and Chen, B.S. (2006) State feedback H∞ control for a class of nonlinear stochastic systems, *SIAM journal on control and optimization*, 44, 1973-1991.
- Zhang, W., Chen, B.S. and Tseng, C.S. (2005) Robust H∞ filtering for nonlinear stochastic systems, *IEEE Trans. on Signal Processing*, 53, 589-598.



Game Theory Edited by Qiming Huang

ISBN 978-953-307-132-9 Hard cover, 176 pages Publisher Sciyo Published online 27, September, 2010 Published in print edition September, 2010

Game theory provides a powerful mathematical framework that can accommodate the preferences and requirements of various stakeholders in a given process as regards the outcome of the process. The chapters' contents in this book will give an impetus to the application of game theory to the modeling and analysis of modern communication, biology engineering, transportation, etc...

#### How to reference

In order to correctly reference this scholarly work, feel free to copy and paste the following:

Bor-Sen Chen, Cheng-Wei Li and Chien-Ta Tu (2010). Stochastic Game Theory Approach to Robust Synthetic Gene Network Design, Game Theory, Qiming Huang (Ed.), ISBN: 978-953-307-132-9, InTech, Available from: http://www.intechopen.com/books/game-theory/stochastic-game-theory-approach-to-robust-synthetic-gene-network-design



# InTech Europe

University Campus STeP Ri Slavka Krautzeka 83/A 51000 Rijeka, Croatia Phone: +385 (51) 770 447 Fax: +385 (51) 686 166 www.intechopen.com

#### InTech China

Unit 405, Office Block, Hotel Equatorial Shanghai No.65, Yan An Road (West), Shanghai, 200040, China 中国上海市延安西路65号上海国际贵都大饭店办公楼405单元 Phone: +86-21-62489820 Fax: +86-21-62489821 © 2010 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike-3.0 License</u>, which permits use, distribution and reproduction for non-commercial purposes, provided the original is properly cited and derivative works building on this content are distributed under the same license.



# IntechOpen